

12-02SC Painting Services

| | Weighting Factor | | | Nakas Painting | | | Clayton Contracting | | | Myelyn Contracting | | | McClendon | | | Tallent | | | Concord | | | R & S | | | Aegis USA | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|---------------|---|---|---|---------------|
| | Evaluator 1 | Evaluator 2 | Evaluator 3 | Evaluator 1 | Evaluator 2 | Evaluator 3 | Evaluator 1 | Evaluator 2 | Evaluator 3 | Evaluator 1 | Evaluator 2 | Evaluator 3 | Evaluator 1 | Evaluator 2 | Evaluator 3 | Evaluator 1 | Evaluator 2 | Evaluator 3 | Evaluator 1 | Evaluator 2 | Evaluator 3 | Evaluator 1 | Evaluator 2 | Evaluator 3 | Evaluator 1 | Evaluator 2 | Evaluator 3 | | | | | | |
| | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | Offeror | | | | | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | | | | | | |
| PURCHASE PRICE | 50% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7.5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2.5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5.5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| MATERIALS MARKUP | 20% | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.8 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 2.6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2.4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2.4 |
| RESPONSE TIME | 10% | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.3 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 1.2 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 1.3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0.9 |
| REPUTATION OF THE BIDDER AND OF THE BIDDER'S GOODS OR SERVICES | 10% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 |
| BIDDER'S PAST RELATIONSHIP WITH CITY | 10% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | | 3.7 | | | | 2.9 | | | | 4.3667 | | | | 3.2667 | | | | 2.9333 | | | | 3.8 | | | | 3.4333 | | | | 2.2667 |

Highest Possible Score = 5

Evaluators are urged to use the following guidelines when assessing merits of each offer against each evaluation factor.

5 = Excellent (innovatively exceeds all needs)

4 = Above Average (meets all & exceeds some needs)

3 = Good (meets all needs)

2 = Fair (meets some needs)

1 = Merely Responsive (does not meet needs)

0 = Non-Responsive (fails to meet mandatory requirements)