

**COMPILED EVALUATION RESULTS
12-34RFQ EAP SERVICES**

PROPOSED RATES
NETWORK / GEO ACCESS REPORT
QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSES
REFERENCES
 Highest Possible Final Score = 5

Weighting Factor	Deer Oaks EAP Services						MH NET						Magellan						Alliance											
	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5	Evaluator 6	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5	Evaluator 6	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5	Evaluator 6	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Evaluator 4	Evaluator 5	Evaluator 6						
	Offeror A						Offeror B						Offeror C						Offeror D											
40%	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	4	5	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
30%	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
20%	4	4	3	4	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
10%	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
100%	4.217						2.4						2.9						4.2											

Evaluators are urged to use the following guidelines when assessing merits of each offer against each evaluation factor.

5 = Excellent (innovatively exceeds all needs)

4 = Above Average (meets all & exceeds some needs)

3 = Good (meets all needs)

2 = Fair (meets some needs)

1 = Merely Responsive (does not meet needs)

0 = Non-Responsive (fails to meet mandatory requirements)