

EVALUATION RESULTS
12-12RFP DESKTOP REFRESH

		Weighting Factor																															
		Evaluator 1					Evaluator 2					Evaluator 3					Evaluator 4					Evaluator 5											
		Evaluator					Evaluator					Evaluator					Evaluator					Evaluator											
		1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5							
PURCHASE PRICE	40%	Austin Ribbon & Computer					CompuCom					Howard Technology Solutions					NetSync					Systemax											
		Offeror A					Offeror B					Offeror C					Offeror D					Offeror E											
3		3	3	3	3	6	5	5	5	3	5	9.2	3	4	3	3	2	6	2	2	2	2	1	3.6	2	2	2	4	4	5.6			
DELIVERY TIME		25%	4	3	4	5	5	5.25	3	3	3	3	3	3.75	4	2	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	2.5	4	3	4	4	4	4.75			
PROPOSED PRODUCT/SERVICE MEETS THE OBJECTIVES IN THIS REQUEST AND THE COM NEEDS & REQUIREMENTS		35%	4	3	3	4	5	6.65	4	3	4	3	5	6.65	1	0	1	3	1	2.1	2	0	3	3	2	3.5	3	0	3	4	4	4.9	
Highest Possible Score = 5		100%	3.58					3.92					2.62					1.92					3.05										

Evaluators are urged to use the following guidelines when assessing merits of each offer against each evaluation factor.

5 = Excellent (innovatively exceeds all needs)

4 = Above Average (meets all & exceeds some needs)

3 = Good (meets all needs)

2 = Fair (meets some needs)

1 = Merely Responsive (does not meet needs)

0 = Non-Responsive (fails to meet mandatory requirements)