

Aaron Bloxham

From: Aaron Bloxham
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2015 10:29 AM
To: Aaron Bloxham
Subject: FW: Tucker Hill Phase 4

From: j c
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2015 1:06 PM
To: Aaron Bloxham
Subject: Tucker Hill Phase 4

Good Afternoon Mr Bloxham

I live in Tucker Hill at 7309 Darrow Dr Lot T-2. I am concerned about several issues with the proposed rezoning request.

The change in mixed lots with reducing the larger lots might change the unique character of Tucker Hill. Those same size lots anchor the character of Tucker Hill around the square and along Grasmere. Plus, the reduction of the house sq feet below 2000 will allow lower quality and priced houses into Tucker Hill.

Second, the flood plane remediation plan needs a though scrubbing. SLC did a very poor job of grating the lots and planning the water drain as many of the lots on Darrow have fugitive water drainage from the upper parts of the hill. In my case, Darling (2 ton of gravel drains) and the City of McKinney (6 in drainage pipes) help fixed problems caused by SLC. SLC refused to acknowledge and fix these problems.

Third, I look over the Darrow Creek and Green Belt area. This Green belt was said to be 100 yards wide. Now in the latest SLC briefing, it is to be no wider than 150 ft between Darrow and the northern road. SLC said that green belt will be the last thing to be developed. They have no proposed plans to date. The drawing make it look like just a ditch of grass. Are there any design guidelines for green belt areas? I would like to see the size of this belt increased back to 100 yards and have a detailed landscape and construction plan for the green belt.

Thank you, John Cisar