Samantha Pickett

Subject:

FW: Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting - Case #13-195z3

From: Niels Enevoldsen

Sent: Friday, July 18, 2014 8:42 AM

To: Samantha Pickett **Cc:** Adam Buczek

Subject: Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting - Case #13-195z3

Dear Samantha Pickett,

As I'm not sure at this time if my wife and I can attend the meeting on Tuesday July 22, 2014 @ 6:00pm, I want to with this email to express our support for the Zoning change to allow for Townhome use, as it was presented to us by Adam Buczek with the Skorborg Company.

I waive my support in full, if any changes are to take place in the area labeled "Common Area 5" in the drawing that was presented to us as a result of this zoning change, from its now natural state.

With this I mean, no clearing of trees or forest floor as this would greatly disturb the wildlife (we have Bob cats, Coyotes, Raccoons, Armadillos, Squirrels, Opossums, Wild Turkeys and numerous species of Birds) in this area that we treasure so much.

I sincerely hope the city of McKinney will take this into consideration, to protect and preserve this unique "wild life preserve" in the middle of the city for all of us and generations to come, to enjoy and live up to the city slogan "McKinney Unique by nature" by leaving this area untouched.

Sincerely,

Niels Enevoldsen 308 Preston Creek Drive McKinney, Texas, 75070 To:

City of McKinney

Planning and Zoning Committee

To be delivered at P&Z meeting July 22, 2014

From: Multiple Residents of Sorrellwood Park (who back up to proposed development)

Re:

Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting - Case #13-195z3

Dear Planning and Zoning Committee,

Most of the residents whose properties back up to the proposed development have been meeting and discussing the proposed development and its possible alternative uses for the past few months. We understand that it is currently zoned for commercial development and the current proposal is to change that so the Skorborg Company can build a townhome development.

After considering the pros and cons of the possible uses, we support this proposal as long as the following assurances are followed through with.

- We had a concern that the green space behind our houses would be more open to the public and no longer the somewhat private space it now is. In response to this, Skorborg has agreed to deed to each owner the land from our current back-yard property line to the center creek line behind our houses. That will give us the control to post No Trespassing signs, etc to stop public traffic.
- 2) We purchased these lots backing up to this green space because of the green space and we don't want that to go away. We want to keep the natural trees and brush to act as a buffer between our developments and to maintain the wildlife. Skorborg has assured us that they do not plan to clear out any more of that land than is absolutely necessary for their development. In addition, we request that the city not request the clearing of any portions of that land (no clearing of trees or the ground level) unless absolutely needed.
- 3) We have had concern over the housing product being built. The latest information from Windsor Homes was that the townhomes would be a high quality product with high end finishes, architectural details, and a mix of masonry covering at least 65% of the evelations. We feel that these minimum requirements are satisfactory and will result in a development that will be a good neighbor to us.

If any of these major points change or go away, our support will be withdrawn from this proposed development.

Sincerely,				
I support the development as detailed in this letter. Additional comments from me:				
Additional comments from	me:			
a him	Jerany Smith	316 Preston Creek		
Signature	Printed Name	Address		

1 support the development as d	etailed in this letter.	٠.
Additional comments from me:	Dould like the lety to	regular a mix of stone &
buck versus only be	ulc.	0
Dubbil Vanceuk Signature	Debbie Vaniek Bran Vanicek Printed Name	312 Preston (reek Dr. Address
I support the development as develop	etailed in this letter.	
Signature	JOHN REEVES Printed Name	416 Preston Chek Dr. Address
L I support the development as d Additional comments from me:	etailed in this letter.	
Signature	Tim Penny Printed Name	304 Preston Creek Dr. Address
I support the development as d	etailed in this letter.	
Signature	Larry Anderson Printed Name	2912 Mountain (vec L Dr. Address
I support the development as develop		
Hoold Wilson Signature	Printed Name	2916 MOUNTAIN CREE
Signature	i inited Name	Addic33

dditional comments from me: _		
este Situan Signature and a Welso	Printed Name Paula Wilson	408 Baston Back Address
I support the development a	s detailed in this letter.	
Bonnes R. Haugu Dung Hungu Signature	RONALD R. HAUGEN Beverly Haugen Printed Name	300 PRESTAD CRECK D 300 Preston Creek D Address
I support the development a dditional comments from me:	is detailed in this letter.	
Signature Signature	Printed Name	320 Preston C Address
I support the development additional comments from me:	as detailed in this letter.	
Signature	Printed Name	Address
_ I support the development and ditional comments from me:	as detailed in this letter.	

✓ I support the developmen Additional comments from m	nt as detailed in this letter. ne:	
Asp. E. Cuss Signature	Printed Name	412 PRESTON CREEK Address
I support the developmen Additional comments from m	nt as detailed in this letter. ne:	
Signature Signature	Printed Name	404 Preston Greek Address
	nt as detailed in this letter. ne:	
Signature	Printed Name	Address
I support the developmer Additional comments from m	nt as detailed in this letter. ne:	
Signature	Printed Name	Address
	nt as detailed in this letter. ne:	
Signature	Printed Name	Address

To:

City of McKinney

Planning and Zoning Committee

To be delivered at P&Z meeting July 22, 2014

From: Multiple Residents of Sorrellwood Park (who back up to proposed development)

Re:

Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting - Case #13-195z3

Dear Planning and Zoning Committee,

Most of the residents whose properties back up to the proposed development have been meeting and discussing the proposed development and its possible alternative uses for the past few months. We understand that it is currently zoned for commercial development and the current proposal is to change that so the Skorborg Company can build a townhome development.

After considering the pros and cons of the possible uses, we support this proposal as long as the following assurances are followed through with.

- We had a concern that the green space behind our houses would be more open to the public and no longer the somewhat private space it now is. In response to this, Skorborg has agreed to deed to each owner the land from our current back-yard property line to the center creek line behind our houses. That will give us the control to post No Trespassing signs, etc to stop public traffic.
- 2) We purchased these lots backing up to this green space because of the green space and we don't want that to go away. We want to keep the natural trees and brush to act as a buffer between our developments and to maintain the wildlife. Skorborg has assured us that they do not plan to clear out any more of that land than is absolutely necessary for their development. In addition, we request that the city not request the clearing of any portions of that land (no clearing of trees or the ground level) unless absolutely needed.
- 3) We have had concern over the housing product being built. The latest information from Windsor Homes was that the townhomes would be a high quality product with high end finishes, architectural details, and a mix of masonry covering at least 65% of the evelations. We feel that these minimum requirements are satisfactory and will result in a development that will be a good neighbor to us.

If any of these major points change or go away, our support will be withdrawn from this proposed development.

Sincerely,				
I support the development as detailed in this letter. Additional comments from me:				
Additional comments from	me:			
a him	Jerany Smith	316 Preston Creek		
Signature	Printed Name	Address		

1 support the development as d	etailed in this letter.	٠.
Additional comments from me:	Dould like the lety to	regular a mix of stone &
buck versus only be	ulc.	0
Dubbil Vanceuk Signature	Debbie Vaniek Bran Vanicek Printed Name	312 Preston (reek Dr. Address
I support the development as develop	etailed in this letter.	
Signature	JOHN REEVES Printed Name	416 Preston Chek Dr. Address
L I support the development as d Additional comments from me:	etailed in this letter.	
Signature	Tim Penny Printed Name	304 Preston Creek Dr. Address
I support the development as d	etailed in this letter.	
Signature	Larry Anderson Printed Name	2912 Mountain (vec L Dr. Address
I support the development as develop		
Hoold Wilson Signature	Printed Name	2916 MOUNTAIN CREE
Signature	i inited Name	Addic33

dditional comments from me: _		
este Situan Signature and a Welso	Printed Name Paula Wilson	408 Baston Back Address
I support the development a	s detailed in this letter.	
Bonnes R. Haugu Dung Hungu Signature	RONALD R. HAUGEN Beverly Haugen Printed Name	300 PRESTAD CRECK D 300 Preston Creek D Address
I support the development a dditional comments from me:	is detailed in this letter.	
Signature Signature	Printed Name	320 Preston C Address
I support the development additional comments from me:	as detailed in this letter.	
Signature	Printed Name	Address
_ I support the development and ditional comments from me:	as detailed in this letter.	

✓ I support the developmen Additional comments from m	nt as detailed in this letter. ne:	
Asp. E. Cuss Signature	Printed Name	412 PRESTON CREEK Address
I support the developmen Additional comments from m	nt as detailed in this letter. ne:	
Signature Signature	Printed Name	404 Preston Greek Address
	nt as detailed in this letter. ne:	
Signature	Printed Name	Address
I support the developmer Additional comments from m	nt as detailed in this letter. ne:	
Signature	Printed Name	Address
	nt as detailed in this letter. ne:	
Signature	Printed Name	Address

To: City of McKinney

Planning and Zoning Committee For P&Z meeting October 14, 2014

From: Multiple Residents of Sorrellwood Park (who back up to proposed development)

Re: Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting - Case #13-195Z6

Dear Planning and Zoning Committee,

Most of the residents whose properties back up to the proposed development have been meeting and discussing the proposed development and its possible alternative uses for the past several months. We understand that it is currently zoned for commercial development and the current proposal is to change that so the Skorborg Company can build a townhome development.

After considering the pros and cons of the possible uses, we support this proposal as long as the following assurances are followed through with:

- 1) We had a concern that the green space behind our houses would be more open to the public and no longer the somewhat private space it now is. In response to this, Skorborg has agreed to deed to each owner the land from our current back-yard property line to the center creek line behind our houses. That will give us the control to post No Trespassing signs, etc to stop public traffic. We understand that any property owners who do not want the land are not obligated to take it. In such case, that land will be deeded to the new HOA or Sorrellwood's HOA or residents.
- 2) We purchased these lots backing up to this green space because of the green space and we don't want that to go away. We want to keep the natural trees and brush to act as a buffer between our developments and to maintain the wildlife. Skorborg has assured us that they do not plan to clear out any more of that land than is absolutely necessary for their development. In addition, we request that the city not request the clearing of any portions of that land (no clearing of trees or the ground level) unless absolutely needed.

Regarding the valley storage – Our concerns are that large trees and brush will be cleared out to create the necessary valley storage. We have received assurances from Adam with Skorburg that they will work with us to determine a size and location to minimize the need to remove trees and brush.

In particular, we request that the city allows flexibility to configure the valley storage to address our 2 primary concerns with the storage areas. We request that the valley storage:

- a) Be located as far away from the creek as possible (within engineering restrictions.)
- b) Is allowed to be deeper than 2' to minimize the surface area and thus minimize the needed tree and brush removal.
- 3) We have had concern over the quality of the housing product being built. The latest information is that the development will have alleys with rear-entry garages and that the townhomes would be a high quality product with high-end finishes, architectural details, and a mix of masonry covering at least 90% of the evelations. We feel that these minimum requirements are satisfactory and will result in a development that will be a good neighbor to us.

I support the development as detailed in this letter. If any of these major points change or go away, our support will be withdrawn from this proposed development.

Sincerely,	Jerany Smith	316 Prestan Creek
Signature	Printed Name	Address
Other Comments:		

To: City of McKinney

Planning and Zoning Committee For P&Z meeting October 14, 2014

From: Multiple Residents of Sorrellwood Park (who back up to proposed development)

Re: Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting - Case #13-195Z6

Dear Planning and Zoning Committee,

Most of the residents whose properties back up to the proposed development have been meeting and discussing the proposed development and its possible alternative uses for the past several months. We understand that it is currently zoned for commercial development and the current proposal is to change that so the Skorborg Company can build a townhome development.

After considering the pros and cons of the possible uses, we support this proposal as long as the following assurances are followed through with:

- 1) We had a concern that the green space behind our houses would be more open to the public and no longer the somewhat private space it now is. In response to this, Skorborg has agreed to deed to each owner the land from our current back-yard property line to the center creek line behind our houses. That will give us the control to post No Trespassing signs, etc to stop public traffic. We understand that any property owners who do not want the land are not obligated to take it. In such case, that land will be deeded to the new HOA or Sorrellwood's HOA or residents.
- 2) We purchased these lots backing up to this green space because of the green space and we don't want that to go away. We want to keep the natural trees and brush to act as a buffer between our developments and to maintain the wildlife. Skorborg has assured us that they do not plan to clear out any more of that land than is absolutely necessary for their development. In addition, we request that the city not request the clearing of any portions of that land (no clearing of trees or the ground level) unless absolutely needed.

Regarding the valley storage – Our concerns are that large trees and brush will be cleared out to create the necessary valley storage. We have received assurances from Adam with Skorburg that they will work with us to determine a size and location to minimize the need to remove trees and brush.

In particular, we request that the city allows flexibility to configure the valley storage to address our 2 primary concerns with the storage areas. We request that the valley storage:

- a) Be located as far away from the creek as possible (within engineering restrictions.)
- b) Is allowed to be deeper than 2' to minimize the surface area and thus minimize the needed tree and brush removal.
- 3) We have had concern over the quality of the housing product being built. The latest information is that the development will have alleys with rear-entry garages and that the townhomes would be a high quality product with high-end finishes, architectural details, and a mix of masonry covering at least 90% of the evelations. We feel that these minimum requirements are satisfactory and will result in a development that will be a good neighbor to us.

I support the development as detailed in this letter. If any of these major points change or go away, our support will be withdrawn from this proposed development.

Sincerely,	308 Preston Creek Drive, McKinney, TX,75070
Signature: Niels Enevoldsen (Oct 13, 2014)	
Email: nielshome123@gmail.com	Address
Other Comments:	

To: City of McKinney

Planning and Zoning Committee For P&Z meeting October 14, 2014

From: Multiple Residents of Sorrellwood Park (who back up to proposed development)

Re: Planning & Zoning Commission Meeting - Case #13-195Z6

Dear Planning and Zoning Committee,

Most of the residents whose properties back up to the proposed development have been meeting and discussing the proposed development and its possible alternative uses for the past several months. We understand that it is currently zoned for commercial development and the current proposal is to change that so the Skorborg Company can build a townhome development.

After considering the pros and cons of the possible uses, we support this proposal as long as the following assurances are followed through with:

- 1) We had a concern that the green space behind our houses would be more open to the public and no longer the somewhat private space it now is. In response to this, Skorborg has agreed to deed to each owner the land from our current back-yard property line to the center creek line behind our houses. That will give us the control to post No Trespassing signs, etc to stop public traffic. We understand that any property owners who do not want the land are not obligated to take it. In such case, that land will be deeded to the new HOA or Sorrellwood's HOA or residents.
- 2) We purchased these lots backing up to this green space because of the green space and we don't want that to go away. We want to keep the natural trees and brush to act as a buffer between our developments and to maintain the wildlife. Skorborg has assured us that they do not plan to clear out any more of that land than is absolutely necessary for their development. In addition, we request that the city not request the clearing of any portions of that land (no clearing of trees or the ground level) unless absolutely needed.

Regarding the valley storage – Our concerns are that large trees and brush will be cleared out to create the necessary valley storage. We have received assurances from Adam with Skorburg that they will work with us to determine a size and location to minimize the need to remove trees and brush.

In particular, we request that the city allows flexibility to configure the valley storage to address our 2 primary concerns with the storage areas. We request that the valley storage:

- a) Be located as far away from the creek as possible (within engineering restrictions.)
- b) Is allowed to be deeper than 2' to minimize the surface area and thus minimize the needed tree and brush removal.
- 3) We have had concern over the quality of the housing product being built. The latest information is that the development will have alleys with rear-entry garages and that the townhomes would be a high quality product with high-end finishes, architectural details, and a mix of masonry covering at least 90% of the evelations. We feel that these minimum requirements are satisfactory and will result in a development that will be a good neighbor to us.

I support the development as detailed in this letter. If any of these major points change or go away, our support will be withdrawn from this proposed development.

Sincerely,	300 Preston Creek Dr.
Signature: Ronald R. Haugen Ronald R. Haugen (Oct 11, 2014)	
Email: ronbev3@att.net	Address
Other Comments:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·