Description:		Case ID Number:	
Location:			
Date of Evaluation:			
Evaluator(s):			
			•
Category	Key Question	Guidance	Score
Life safety	Is there a life safety risk?	no risk = 0. Some risk = 5. High risk = 9.	
Flood risk	Are residential dwellings, commercial buildings, and/or roads currently at an increased risk of flooding from storms up to and including the 100-yr storm?	Flood damage unlikely = 0. Flood damage is a possibility = 4. Flood damage likely = 7.	
Erosion risk	Are erosion protected structures at risk of damage by erosion from storms up to and including the 100-yr storm?	Erosion damage unlikely = 0. Erosion damage is a possibility = 3. Erosion damage likely = 6.	
Economic impact	Would improvements likely enhance property values and/or provide an economic benefit to the City?	No economic benefit = 0. Slight benefit = 1. Moderate benefit = 2. Significant benefit = 3.	
Accessible to the public	Is the area accessible to the public?	No public access = 0. Limited public access = 1. Full public access = 2.	
Impact on water quality and the environment	Would improvements likely create a healthier aquatic environment? Would improvements likely benefit the riparian/lacustrine habitat?	No benefit = 0. Some benefit = 1. Significant benefit = 2.	
Aesthetic impact	Would improvements likely create a more pleasant area for human enjoyment and appreciation?	No = 0. Yes = 1.	
Maximum score = 30 Δ high	ner score means that improvements are a higher priority for the C	itv. Overall Score =	

18 Oct 2016

Notes: