

Brandon Opiela

To: william wines
Subject: RE: Proposed ordinances along Highway 121/Tollway

From: william wines
Sent: Wednesday, March 26, 2014 8:49 PM
To: Brandon Opiela
Subject: Re: Proposed ordinances along Highway 121/Tollway

My property is 33 acres with one-half mile of Tollway frontage between Stacy Road and Waters.

As we discussed, I am very much in favor of moving development in the REC area to a more standard type development as indicated in the proposed new ordinances involved in the meeting at 6 PM on Thursday, March 27, 2014. The "urban" development required in the past in certain areas has led to decreased development in those areas and has been one of the major reasons why there is so little development directly on the Highway 121/Tollway. The lack of development in nearby areas decreases the demand for commercial and employment based property on the Tollway.

Thanks for your help in my trying to understand these proposed changes.

Bill Wines

Brandon Opiela

To: Patricia M. Patterson
Subject: RE: I support the changes in housing ordinances to be discussed tonight.

From: Patricia M. Patterson
Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2014 9:37 AM
To: Brandon Opiela
Subject: I support the changes in housing ordinances to be discussed tonight.

I am the managing venturer of the Stacy Joint Venturer, which owns 30 acres at the northeast corner of Stacy Road and SH121 (Sam Rayburn) in McKinney. I understand that you are considering broadening the housing ordinances that affect the land north and east of my property, among others.

I support these changes enthusiastically. You are doing a good thing. The acreage zoned for housing in my area has not developed because of the present "urban" restrictions on housing development. Broadening these ordinances will give developers the opportunity to build what they think will sell.

Thank you for your attention.

Patricia Patterson
Patterson Investments, Inc