

CITY OF McKINNEY, TEXAS

Agenda

Capital Improvements Advisory Committee

Tuesday, September 8, 2020

5:30 PM

City Hall Council Chambers 222 N. Tennessee Street McKinney, Texas 75069

UNDER IT'S AUTHORITY AS THE CITY OF McKINNEY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS ADVISORY COMMITTEE PER RESOLUTION NO. 95 02 11 (R) AS AMENDED BY RESOLUTION NO. 2019 06 074 (R)

WATCH THE BROADCAST LIVE

This meeting will be broadcast live on cable television and through the City's website. Members of the public who wish to watch this meeting may watch the live broadcast on:

Spectrum Channel 16; AT&T U-Verse Channel 99; and online at http://mckinney.legistar.com

Please note that a quorum of the Planning and Zoning Commission may not all be present at the same location. Some members may instead participate in this meeting from one or more remote locations in an effort to contain the spread of COVID-19. In addition, there may be occasions when the audio and/or video transmission may not be clear or may be interrupted. In those instances, the meeting will continue so long as a quorum is still present.

PURSUANT TO TEXAS GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 551.002, A QUORUM OF THE CITY COUNCIL MAY BE PRESENT. NO CITY COUNCIL ACTION WILL BE TAKEN.

CALL TO ORDER

PUBLIC COMMENTS ON AGENDA ITEMS (For Non-Public Hearing Agenda Items)

Pursuant to Section 551.007 of the Texas Government Code, speakers wishing to address the Planning and Zoning Commission regarding any non-public hearing item on this agenda shall have a time limit of three (3) minutes per speaker, per agenda item. The Chairman may reduce the speaker time limit uniformly to accommodate the number of speakers or improve meeting efficiency.

Public Comments regarding agenda items, including Public Hearings, may be submitted online to the Planning and Zoning Commission at http://www.mckinneytexas.org/CitizenComments.

To be included in the meeting record, online submittals regarding agenda items must clearly state that they should be included in the meeting record and must be received between the time of this posting and 4:00 p.m. on Tuesday, September 8, 2020.

CONSENT ITEMS

This portion of the agenda consists of non-controversial or housekeeping items required by law. Items may be considered individually by the Board or Commission member making such request prior to a motion and vote on the Consent Items.

20-0767 Minutes of the McKinney Capital Improvements Advisory

Committee Meeting of July 28, 2020

Attachments: Minutes

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

REGULAR AGENDA

19-0010M5 2019 Impact Fee Update - Information Series Presentation #2

Attachments: Presentation

Impact Fees-FAQs

2019 Land Use Assumptions Report

McKinney RIF - DRAFT Report
McKinney UIF - DRAFT Report

BOARD OR COMMISSIONER COMMENTS

Board or Commission Comments relating to items of public interest: Announcements regarding local or regional civic and charitable events, staff recognition, commendation of citizens, upcoming meetings, informational update on projects, awards, acknowledgement of meeting attendees, birthdays, requests for items to be placed on upcoming agendas, and condolences.

ADJOURN

Posted in accordance with the Texas Government Code, Chapter 551, on the 3rd day of September, 2020 at or before 5:00 p.m.

Empress Drane
City Secretary

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, it is the policy of the City of McKinney to offer its public programs, services, and meetings in a manner that is readily accessible to everyone, including individuals with disabilities. If you are a person with a disability and require information or materials in an appropriate alternative format; or if you require any other accommodation, please contact the ADA Coordinator at least 48 hours in advance of the event. Phone 972-547-2694 or email contact-adacompliance@mckinneytexas.org. Advance notification within this guideline will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility. ADA grievances may also be directed to the ADA Coordinator or filed online at http://www.mckinneytexas.org/ada.





Code Sections:

Title: Minutes of the McKinney Capital Improvements Advisory Committee Meeting of July 28, 2020

Minutes of the McKinney Capital Improvements Advisory Committee Meeting of July 28, 2020

SUPPORTING MATERIALS:

<u>Minutes</u>

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION

JULY 28, 2020

The Planning and Zoning Commission, along with Dr. Randall Hickman, met under its authority as the City of McKinney Capital Improvements Advisory Committee per resolution No. 95-02-11 (R) as amended by Resolution No. 2019-06-074 (R) in the Council Chambers, 222 N. Tennessee Street, McKinney, Texas, on Tuesday, July 14, 2020 at 5:30 p.m.

City Council Present: Charlie Philips

Committee Members Present: Chairman Bill Cox, Vice-Chairman Brian Mantzey, Hamilton Doak, Christopher Haeckler, Deanna Kuykendall, Cam McCall, and Bry Taylor.

Committee Member Absent: Dr. Randall Hickman

Staff Present: Director of Planning Jennifer Arnold, Assistant Director of Planning Mark Doty, Planning Manager Aaron Bloxham, Planner I Mitch Corona, and Administrative Assistant Terri Ramey

There were four guests present.

Chairman Cox called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. after determining a quorum was present.

Chairman Cox called for public comments on non-public hearing agenda items.

There were none.

The Committee unanimously approved the motion by Committee Member Hamilton, seconded by Committee Member Taylor, to approve the following Consent item, with a vote of 7-0-0.

20-0638 Minutes of the McKinney Capital Improvements Advisory Committee Meeting of July 14, 2020.

END OF CONSENT AGENDA

Chairman Cox continued the meeting with the Regular Agenda Items and Public Hearings on the agenda.

19-0010M3 2019 Impact Fee Update - Information Series Presentation #1. Ms. Jennifer Arnold, Director of Planning for the City of McKinney, introduced the item and gave a brief overview. Mr. Aaron Bloxham, Planning

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION MINUTES TUESDAY, JULY 28, 2020 PAGE 2

Manager for the City of McKinney, gave a presentation of the 2019 Impact Fee Update and discussed the process, capital improvement project funding, neighboring city fee comparisons, and the remaining timeline for the project. No action was taken on this item.

END OF REGULAR AGENDA ITEM AND PUBLIC HEARING ITEMS

On a motion by Committee Member Doak, seconded by Committee Member McCall, the Committee unanimously voted to adjourn the meeting, with a vote of 7-0-0. There being no further business, Chairman Cox declared the meeting adjourned at 7:20 p.m.

BILL COX Chairman

19-0010M5



Code Sections:

Title: 2019 Impact Fee Update - Information Series Presentation #2

2019 Impact Fee Update - Information Series Presentation #2

COUNCIL GOAL: Operational Excellence

(2B: Balance Available Resources to Accommodate the Growth and

Maintenance Needs of the City)

MEETING DATE: September 8, 2020

DEPARTMENT: Development Services - Planning Department

CONTACT: Jennifer Arnold, AICP, Director of Planning

Aaron Bloxham, Planning Manager Mitchell Corona, CNU-A, Planner I

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

• Receive an informational presentation on the 2019 Impact Fee Update.

ITEM SUMMARY:

• Staff is presenting part 2 of a 3-part information series on the 2019 Impact Fee Update process. This presentation will include the underlying methodology and approach for establishing new fees and the anticipated remaining schedule for the update process.

Status of the 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update:

- Kimley-Horn and Associates, serving as consulting transportation engineers on this project, has completed a preliminary draft of the Capital Improvements Plan for Roadway Impact Fees (more commonly referred to as the Roadway Improvements Plan or RIP). The draft RIP identifies the transportation infrastructure needed to accommodate projected growth over the next ten years and the probable costs associated with each infrastructure project. Only projects listed in the RIP are eligible for the assessment of impact fees.
- Kimley-Horn has also completed a draft Roadway Impact Fee Update Report, which
 includes the draft RIP as well as considerable technical analyses, a detailed discussion
 of the computation of the maximum calculated impact fees, and a presentation of the
 data that establishes the maximum assessable roadway fee. Once finalized, this report
 will become a supporting document for the updated Roadway Impact Fee Ordinance.
- The maximum assessable fees included in the Roadway Impact Fee Update Report establish the maximum roadway impact fees per service unit and service area allowed by state law. This serves as a useful tool when City Council begins to consider the actual

fee amounts to charge and who should bear the burden of paying for necessary roadway infrastructure.

Status of the Utility (Water and Wastewater) Impact Fee Update:

- On a parallel track, the consulting utility engineers (Birkhoff, Hendricks & Carter, LLP)
 have completed preliminary drafts of the Capital Improvements Plans for Water and
 Wastewater (more commonly referred to as the Water Improvements Plan and
 Wastewater Improvements Plan). The draft Plans identify the utility infrastructure needed
 to accommodate projected growth for the next ten years, the probable costs associated
 with each infrastructure project, and the existing oversized facilities for which excess
 capacity is available.
- The utility consultant team has also completed a draft Water and Wastewater Impact Fee Update Report, which includes the draft Utility Improvements Plans as well as considerable technical analyses, a detailed discussion of the computation of the maximum calculated impact fees, and a presentation of the data that establishes the maximum assessable water and wastewater fees. Once finalized, this report will become a supporting document for the updated Utility Impact Fee Ordinance.
- The maximum assessable fees included in the Utility Impact Fee Report establish the
 maximum utility impacts fees allowed by state law. This serves as a useful tool when City
 Council begins to consider the actual fee amounts to charge and who should bear the
 burden of paying for necessary utility infrastructure.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

- Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code mandates that impact fees be reviewed and updated at least every 5 years.
- Updating Impact Fees involves 3 parts (as required by state law):

Land Use Assumptions

The Land Use Assumptions contain growth projections on which an Impact Fee Ordinance is based. Projections for residential units and square footage of non-residential uses determine the infrastructure needed over the next ten years.

The updated Land Use Assumptions were considered by Capital Improvements Advisory Committee on August 27, 2019 and were approved by the City Council at the September 17, 2019 meeting.

Capital Improvements Planning

Capital Improvement Plans for Impact Fees identify the infrastructure that will need to be constructed or expanded to accommodate the additional demand generated by development over the next ten years.

Staff has posted the draft Capital Improvements Plans on the City of McKinney's website.

• Fee Setting / Adopting the Amended Ordinance

The final phase of the process includes the majority of policy discussion as the City

Council considers the actual fee amounts to charge, the phase-in of any fee increases, improvements to the administration of the Ordinances, and how credits should be calculated.

SUPPORTING MATERIALS:

Presentation
Impact Fees-FAQs
2019 Land Use Assumptions Report
McKinney RIF - DRAFT Report
McKinney UIF - DRAFT Report

2019 Impact Fee Update

19-0010M5


September 8, 2020

Introduction & Overview

- The purpose of today's presentation
 - Present the impact fee methods and options
 - Receive direction on setting the Impact Fee
- General outline of the presentation
 - Brief Recap
 - Roadway Impact Fee Considerations/Options
 - Utility Impact Fee Considerations/Options
 - Summary & Discussion




Impact Fee Update Process





CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLANNING

WHAT NEEDS TO BE BUILT? & WHAT ARE THE COSTS OF GROWTH?



ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT PLAN COST

\$564M



CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLANNING

WHAT NEEDS TO BE BUILT? & WHAT ARE THE COSTS OF GROWTH?



Roadway Improvement Plan (RIP) Cost

Total cost of roadway improvements to be built (10yrs)

Recoverable Cost

10-year RIP Cost attributable to growth



Adjusted Recoverable Cost

Public funding needed to construct roadway projects identified in the engineer's assessment; or maximum recoverable cost, whichever is less.



Current Rates

Current (2013) collection rate or maximum assessable fee (2019) – whichever is less



Construction Cost Increase

18% increase in current (2013) fees or maximum assessable fee (2019) – whichever is less. Based on national average CCI.

Collection Option	Description	Anticipated 10-Year Collection*	Other Source of Funding
1. Maintain Current Collection Rate	Current collection rates utilized by the City.	\$77,982,259	\$84,667,741
2. Construction Cost Increase (2013-2019)	Increased collection rate (18%) with increases in national average construction cost.	\$90,116,325	\$72,533,675
3. Adjusted Recoverable Cost	Public funding needed to construct roadway projects identified in the engineer's assessment; or maximum recoverable cost, whichever is less.	\$162,650,000	\$0
-4. Collect 2019 Max Recoverable Cost	Charge maximum fee per service area for each land use. (100% of max. fee)	\$302,369,338	\$0


^{*}collection rates are estimates and may vary depending on implementation of impact fees.

Utility Impact Fee Options




CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLANNING

WHAT NEEDS TO BE BUILT? & WHAT ARE THE COSTS OF GROWTH?



Water Impact Fee CIP



Wastewater Impact Fee CIP



2019 Water Impact Fee Comparison				
	2013	2019		
Water Impact Fee (3/4" Meter)	\$1,294.70	\$1,754.00		

2019 Wastewater Impact Fee Comparison				
	2013	2019		
Wastewater Impact Fee (3/4" Meter)	\$162.14	\$2,899.00		
McKinney CIP Cost	\$162.14	\$388		
NTMWD CIP Cost	\$0	\$2,511		

^{*2019} Wastewater Fee includes the NTWMD facility expansion costs.



Next Presentation

- Show specifics for staff recommendations
- Potential impact fee rates
- Sample impact fee calculations
- Sister City Comparison

Next Steps

Fee Setting Meetings:

September

CIAC Info Series #3

October

CC Info Series #3

Public Hearing and Adoption Process

October/November



IMPACT FEES Frequently Asked Questions

August 2019

The information provided herein has been adapted from Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code and serves as a guide to answering general questions about Impact Fees in the City of McKinney. For more detailed information about the general application of Impact Fees please refer to Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code which can be accessed through the Texas Legislative Council online at https://statutes.capitol.texas.gov/Docs/LG/htm/LG.395.htm

What are Impact Fees?

- Impact Fees are authorized under Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code.
- Texas Local Government Code defines impact fees as "a charge or assessment imposed by a political subdivision against new development in order to generate revenue for funding or recouping the costs of capital improvements or facility expansions necessitated by and attributable to the new development."
- As it relates to Impact Fees, "new development" shall mean the subdivision of land; the construction, reconstruction, redevelopment, conversion, structural, alteration, relocation, or enlargement of any structure; or any use or expansion of the use of land; any of which increases the number of service units.
- Impact Fees do not include:
 - Dedication of land for public parks or payment in lieu of the dedication to serve park needs;
 - Dedication of rights-of-way or easements or construction or dedication of on-site or off-site water distribution, wastewater collection or drainage facilities, or streets, sidewalks, or curbs if the dedication or construction is required by a valid ordinance and is necessitated by and attributable to the new development;
 - Lot or acreage fees to be placed in trust funds for the purpose of reimbursing developers for oversizing or constructing water mains, sewer mains or lines; or
 - Other pro rata fees for reimbursement of water or sewer mains or lines extended by the political subdivision.

Who is authorized to enact or impose Impact Fees?

- Political subdivisions may enact or impose impact fees only by complying with Chapter 395 of the Local Government Code.
- Impact fees may not be enacted or imposed in the extraterritorial jurisdiction (ETJ) for roadway facilities.
- Political subdivisions may enact or impose impact fees within their corporate boundaries or extraterritorial jurisdiction (ETJ) for utility facilities.

What are Roadway Impact Fees?

 A Roadway Impact Fee is a charge imposed against new development to pay for the off-site construction or expansion of roadway facilities that are necessitated by and benefit the new development. These roadway facilities may be adjacent to the new development or within the same service area as the new development.

- Roadway Impact Fees are assessed in proportion to the anticipated traffic generated on roadway facilities by the new development in the service area.
 In other words, impact fees are assessed as a proportion of the development's impact on the system.
- As it relates to Impact Fees, "roadway facilities" shall mean arterial or collector streets or roads that have been designated on an officially adopted roadway plan of the political subdivision, together with all necessary appurtenances. The term includes the political subdivision's share of costs for roadways and associated improvements designated on the federal or Texas highway system, including local matching funds and costs related to utility line relocation and the establishment of curbs, gutters, sidewalks, drainage appurtenances, and rights-of-way.

What are Utility Impact Fees?

- A Utility Impact Fee is a charge imposed against new development in order to generate revenue for funding or recouping the costs of capital improvements or facility expansions necessitated by and attributable to the new development.
- Utility impact fees help pay the cost of building or expanding facilities in order to provide the adequate service that a new development requires.

Who pays Impact Fees?

- Any developer who is seeking a building permit for a new development (residential or commercial) that will generate additional use of roadway and/or utility resources will be responsible for paying Roadway Impact Fees.
- As it pertains to Impact Fees, "developer" shall mean any person, company, agency, or entity that is undertaking a project. This includes non-profit entities, churches, and individuals subdividing land for family members as well as those whose primary business is developing land or constructing homes or businesses for profit.
- Political subdivisions and other governmental entities must pay impact fees imposed under Chapter 395 of the Local Government Code; however, school districts are not required to pay impact fees imposed under Chapter 395 of the Local Government Code unless the Board of Trustees of the school district consents to the payment of the fees by entering a contract with the political subdivision that imposes the fees.

When must Impact Fees be paid?

 For residential and non-residential developments, a building permit will not be issued until any and all impact fees have been paid.

What is a "Service Area" for Roadway Impact Fees?

- A service area, or roadway benefit area, refers to the area within the corporate boundaries of the political subdivision that will be served by the capital improvements specified in the Roadway Improvements Plan.
- For roadway facilities, a service area is limited to an area within the corporate boundaries of the political subdivision and shall not exceed six miles.
- For the facilitation of Roadway Impact Fees, the City of McKinney is divided into 13 service areas.
- Roadway Impact Fees collected in a Service Area may only be expended in that service area.

What is a "Service Area" for Utility Impact Fees?

- A service area, or water benefit area, refers to the area within the corporate boundaries or extraterritorial jurisdiction of the political subdivision that will be served by the capital improvements specified in the Capital Improvements Plan.
- For storm water, drainage, and flood control facilities, the service area may include all or part of the land within the political subdivision or its extraterritorial jurisdiction, but shall not exceed the area actually served by the storm water, drainage, and flood control facilities designated in the Capital Improvements Plan and shall not extend across watershed boundaries.
- For the facilitation of Utility Impact Fees, the City of McKinney identifies its corporate boundaries and extraterritorial jurisdiction as one service area.

What is the general process for updating Impact Fees?

- Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code mandates that impact fees be reviewed and updated at least every 5 years.
- The update of impact fees for Roadway and Utility facilities are determined using Land Use Assumptions and the Capital Improvements Plan.
- As mandated by State Law, the updating of impact fees involves three components:
 - 1. Land Use Assumptions
 - 2. Capital Improvement Planning
 - 3. Fee Setting/Adopting the Ordinance
 - With the final phase of the process, the majority of policy discussion will occur as the City Council considers actual fee amounts to charge, the phase-in of any fee increases, and how credits are calculated.

What are Land Use Assumptions?

- Land Use Assumptions are required under Chapter 395 of the Local Government Code and project growth over a 10-year period. They project population growth by using the number of housing units and non-residential growth by using building square footage and then spatially allocating this growth to designated service areas.
- These projections are used in the Capital Improvements Plan to determine the necessary expansions needed to accommodate the increased impacts on infrastructure resulting from new development.
- Land Use Assumptions are adopted by the City Council following a public hearing.

What is a Capital Improvements Plan?

- A Capital Improvements Plan is required under Chapter 395 of the Local Government Code and must identify the capital improvements or facility expansions for which impact fees may be assessed.
- A capital improvement is defined as water supply, treatment, and distribution facilities; wastewater collection and treatment facilities; storm water, drainage, and flood control facilities; and roadway facilities with a life expectancy of three or more years.
- The Capital Improvements Plan for Roadway Impact Fees (known as the Roadway Improvements Plan) identifies and estimates the cost of all roadway improvements projected for each Service Area for a ten-year period.
- The Capital Improvements Plan for Utility Impact Fees identifies and estimates the cost of all water and wastewater infrastructure improvements projected for a ten-year period.
- The CIP for Roadway Impact Fees is developed by transportation planning professionals. The CIP for Utility Impact Fees is developed by engineering professionals.
- The Capital Improvements Plans are adopted by the City Council following a public hearing.

What is a "Service Unit"?

- A service unit is a standardized measure of consumption, use, generation, or discharge attributable to an individual unit of development.
- A service unit is calculated in accordance with generally accepted engineering and/or planning standards and is based on historical data and trends applicable to the political subdivision in which the individual unit of development is located during the previous ten years.

City of McKinney

- For roadway impact fees, the basic service unit is a vehicle mile of travel during the afternoon peak hour.
- For utility impact fees, the basic service unit is a ¾-inch water meter typically used for a single family detached dwelling.

What are Impact Fee Credits?

- Any construction of, contributions to, or dedications of off-site roadway facilities agreed to or required by a political subdivision as a condition of development approval shall be credited against roadway facilities impact fees otherwise due from the development.
- Any construction or dedication of a water or wastewater facility that includes lines which are greater than twelve inches (12") in diameter and similar lines which serve solely the new development and which are on the Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan shall be credited against utility impact fees otherwise due from the development.

LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS 2018-2019



Unique by nature.

CONTENTS



01

/ Purpose and Overview

05

/ Ultimate Projections

02

/ Study Process

06

/10-Year Growth Assumptions

03

/ Service Area Maps

07

/ Appendix

04

1

/ Baseline Data

PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW

To accurately determine the costs associated with providing infrastructure services to new and existing development, a study must be conducted to determine the type, amount, and location of existing development and expected growth. This study is called the Land Use Assumptions (LUA), and is the first step in the impact fee update process. Impact fees are levied against new development to pay for the off-site construction or expansion of infrastructure that is necessitated by the additional impact caused by the new development.

As defined by Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code, impact fees are "a charge or assessment imposed by a political subdivision against new development in order to generate revenue for funding or recouping the costs of capital improvements or facility expansions necessitated by and attributable to the new development", and that "a political subdivision imposing an impact fee shall update the land use assumptions and capital improvements plan at least every five years".



STUDY PROCESS

This report documents the practical approach that was taken to determine Land Use Assumptions. The residential and non-residential growth projections formulated in this report were performed using reasonable and generally accepted forecasting and planning principles. The following data and procedures were used in developing this report:

Study Data

- Existing land uses and non-residential square footages (source: Collin Central Appraisal District).
- Existing zoning map and development regulations (source: City of McKinney).
- ONE McKinney 2040 Comprehensive Plan - Future Land Use Plan (source: City of McKinney).
- Historical population information (source: City of McKinney, U.S. Census Bureau).
- Texas Population Projections 2010 to 2050 (source: Texas Demographic Center)
- Proposals for residential and nonresidential developments that have been approved by the City but not yet constructed (source: City of McKinney).

Primary Steps

- 1. Update service area boundaries in accordance with State Law requirements.
- Determine baseline conditions for 2019 population and non-residential square footage
- 3. Project the ultimate buildout population and non-residential square footage.
- 4. Project population and non-residential square footage growth for the next ten years.



SERVICE AREA MAPS

What is a Service Area?

As defined by Local Government Code Chapter 395, a "service area" may include all or part of the land within the political subdivision or its ETJ to be served by the capital improvements or facilities expansions specified in the Capital Improvements Plan, except roadway facilities and storm water, drainage, and flood control facilities.

For roadway facilities, a service area is limited to an area within the corporate boundaries of the political subdivision and shall not exceed 6 miles. Roadway service area boundaries generally follow existing and future major thoroughfares. Also, roadway service areas represent areas of similar traffic generation characteristics and help to maintain efficiencies in accounting and administration of roadway impact fees.


Exhibit "A" shows the 2019 Roadway Service Area Map. The 2019 Roadway Service Area Map includes the same 13 Service Areas that the City

of McKinney recognized during the 2012-2013 Impact Fee Update. Slight changes have been made to align service area boundaries with newly constructed roadways and the Master Thoroughfare Plan that was adopted in 2018 as part of the ONE McKinney 2040 Comprehensive Plan.

Exhibit "B" shows the 2019 Utility Service Area Map. Minor changes have been made to reflect changes in the ETJ boundary that have taken effect since the last impact fee update that was completed in 2012-2013.

For the purpose of further analysis and geographic specificity, sub-service areas were created to assist with the classification of existing population and non-residential square footages, and distribution of future projections. The subservice areas are smaller boundary entities that nest within their larger service area counterparts.

"Roadway service areas represent areas of similar traffic generation characteristics"








EXHIBIT B: UTILITY SERVICE AREA

BASELINE DATA



Population

The baseline population in McKinney (including ETJ) as of January 1, 2019 has been estimated at 193,012.

Table 1 shows the population history for McKinney and its ETJ from the 2010 U.S. Census through the estimate for January 1, 2019. Using the official 2010 U.S. Census population as starting point, City Planning Staff estimated a population figure each year based on an analysis of building permit data for the previous years as well as on commonly accepted assumptions for occupancy rates and household sizes.

Table 1 illustrates that McKinney has been experiencing continual residential growth over the last nine years. This general trend of population growth is expected to continue throughout the ten year forecast of this study.

McKinney's population estimate was spatially distributed among the thirteen roadway service areas. To do this, City building permit data was used in conjunction with data from the 2010 Census to determine the location of McKinney's population. This permit data was subsequently converted into population using a "persons per dwelling unit figure" for single-family and multi-family housing types that is annually updated. For single-family, the average household size used is 3.08*. For multi-family, the average household size used is 2.17*.

YEAR	POPULATION	% GROWTH
2010	135,038	-
2011	137,406	1.8%
2012	141,330	2.9%
2013	145,511	3.0%
2014	153,807	5.7%
2015	159,100	3.4%
2016	166,569	4.7%
2017	174,141	4.5%
2018	184,420	5.9%
2019	193,012	4.7%

TABLE 1: POPULATION HISTORY

"McKinney has been experiencing continual residential growth over the last nine years"

Non-Residential Square Footages

It is also necessary to establish a baseline figure for the square footage of non-residential uses currently in McKinney. For roadway impact fees, building square footage is the most common independent variable for the estimation of non-residential vehicle trips generated in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual. Building square footage is closely tied to trip generation and is known at the time of assessment for an impact fee for a proposed development.

The building square footages were categorized into three commonly used land use classifications. Each classification has unique trip making characteristics.

Basic: Higher impact land uses that generate goods and services that are typically used and sold outside of McKinney, such as manufacturing, construction, transportation, warehousing, and other industrial uses.

Service: Land uses that provide personal and professional services, such as government facilities, schools, medical offices, and other professional offices.

Retail: Land uses where the retail sale of goods primarily serves households, and whose location choice is oriented towards a local market. Examples include restaurants, grocery, and clothing stores.

Baseline square footage of Basic, Service, and Retail uses are determined using data from Collin County Appraisal District (CAD). Collin CAD provides land use and square footage data for all existing non-residential uses within McKinney and its ETJ. Using this data, a summary table of all non-residential use categories within each service area was created. These figures act as the baseline conditions for non-residential square footages.

SERVICE	RESIDENTIAL		NON-RESIDENTIAL SQUARE FEET			
AREA	Population	Dwelling Units	Basic	Service	Retail	
Α	306	115	23,500	0	81,515	
В	2,834	973	0	0	16,699	
С	8,429	2,959	227,746	632,125	278,982	
D	11,213	3,343	0	3,104,234	1,255,451	
E	3,905	1,204	3,624,114	478,284	1,754,956	
F	1,485	556	212,216	27,295	263,232	
G	50,272),272 17,987	899,720	1,889,230	2,428,620	
Н	29,944	12,197	581,141	1,933,505	2,627,061	
I	39,502	13,959	352,879	2,397,595	1,433,682	
J	24,011	10,072	1,649,518	2,754,401	3,513,500	
K	20,558	7,651	5,125,000	2,871,086	2,325,009	
L	182	75	561,885	499,422	82,826	
М	370	164	66,320	14,572	0	
TOTAL	193,012	71,255	13,324,039	16,601,750	16,061,533	

TABLE 2: BASELINE CONDITIONS

ULTIMATE BUILDOUT PROJECTIONS



Overview

An ultimate buildout projection is needed to determine the potential for additional growth that is available in the undeveloped areas of the city and ETJ. The ultimate buildout projection is broken into the same sub-categories as the baseline data (population, dwelling units, basic, service, and retail). The baseline data was used as the developed areas, and the undeveloped areas were broken into the two following categories:

Zoning Applications

Staff analyzed the zoning districts for all parcels within city limits that were considered undeveloped*. Base zoning districts were given an associated land use category (single-family, multi-family, basic, service, or retail). The Planned Development (PD) districts were reviewed and assigned one or more land use categories. In instances where multiple land uses existed in one zoning, the anticipated acreage of the different uses were applied. The land use acreages for each of these zonings were then multiplied by standard metrics from nearby existing conditions to determine the extent of additional growth that is possible. The standard metrics includes an average persons per acre for single-family and multifamily developments, as well as a typical floor-area-ratio (FAR) for non-residential uses. The districts from the ONE McKinney 2040 Comprehensive Plan's Preferred Scenario were utilized to derive a geographic and market specific approach in determining the existing condition metrics.

Future Land Use Plan Applications

Undeveloped areas located within the ETJ but outside the city limits are not subject to the City's zoning regulations. The Future Land Use Plan (FLUP) will be used to consider an appropriate land use at the time of development in the future. The ultimate buildout projection for the area within the ETJ but outside of the current city limits is calculated based on an analysis of the FLUP. Additionally, areas within city limits that are zoned "AG - Agricultural District" are anticipated to rezone and develop in the future and therefore will also adhere to FLUP designated land uses. The areas where the FLUP is applied were categorized by the Placetypes outlined in the ONE McKinney 2040 Comprehensive Plan. The Placetype acreages were multiplied using a calculator that determines anticipated population and square footages for non-residential uses. Since Placetypes are not land-use-specific, the calculator applies anticipated percentages to determine the associated acreage of a Placetype that will fall into one of the land use categories (residential, basic, service, retail).

SERVICE	RESID	ENTIAL	NON-RESIDENTIAL SQUARE FEET		
AREA	Population	Dwelling Units	Basic	Service	Retail
Α	46,188	17,743	9,419,802	12,772,114	4,609,915
В	25,032	8,233	51,707	245,645	369,503
С	57,987	19,538	227,746	2,158,784	4,397,073
D	66,423	23,628	917,483	8,690,336	8,077,829
E	32,533	11,449	11,542,472	3,242,892	6,352,282
F	13,811	4,668	998,038	908,095	1,841,789
G	52,046	18,687	1,157,424	2,184,560	4,653,668
Н	39,582	16,242	641,152	7,115,049	4,131,473
I	46,593	16,581	808,120	3,663,775	4,102,982
J	28,292	11,771	2,180,080	6,881,683	5,658,617
K	24,126	9,048	7,823,668	4,434,426	2,966,485
L	300	114	9,239,373	3,235,736	420,080
М	957	362	4,152,840	1,076,720	229,602
TOTAL	433,869	158,064	49,159,907	56,609,815	47,811,298

TABLE 3: BUILDOUT CONDITIONS

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{Existing} \\ \text{Population} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \text{Zoning} \\ \text{Applications} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \text{FLUP} \\ \text{Applications} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Ultimate} \\ \text{Buildout} \end{bmatrix}$$

"An ultimate buildout projection is needed to determine the potential for additional growth"

10-YEAR GROWTH ASSUMPTIONS

This study considers the years 2019-2029. Acknowledging that the parameters of the study (city limits, Master Thoroughfare Plan, Comprehensive Plan, zoning maps, existing development, etc.) are changing constantly, this study is based on conditions as they were on January 1, 2019.

Population Projections

The following methods were used in projecting the population of McKinney in 2029. An explanation of why these methods were chosen follows their description.

Gompertz Method

The Gompertz growth curve is an extrapolation method that generally fits the growth pattern of McKinney over the last few years. It assumes that, during the total growth period of a geographic area, the growth is slow in the beginning, then increases exponentially for a period of time, and then tapers off as the population approaches an upper growth limit. Using the ultimate population (433,869) from the ultimate buildout projections as the upper growth limit, a Gompertz curve has been plotted and used in part to project the population in 2029.

Ratio Method

Projections for larger geographic areas (i.e. counties or regions) are more reliable than projections for smaller areas (i.e. cities) since a larger population base is less likely to exhibit short term variations. For this reason, the ratio

method has also been utilized. This method operates under the assumptions that if a relationship between a city's population and its larger geographic area has a generally fixed ratio, the population of the city can be related and projected based on the population projection of the larger area. Eight variations of the ratio method were tested for their ability to project McKinney's population over the next ten years. From these methods, the two best performing were chosen, McKinney's share of Collin County's growth, and Collin County's population rate of change.

The Texas Demographic Center's Population Projections Program produces projections for the state, and all counties in the state by age, sex and race/ethnicity. These projections contain the anticipated population for Collin County for every year from 2010 to 2050. Using the ratio methods described above, and for the purposes of the Land Use Assumptions, McKinney's population was projected out to 2029.




EXHIBIT C: PROJECTED POPULATION GROWTH

Similar to previous iterations of Land Use Assumptions, in projecting the population for McKinney it is assumed that using a combination of the Ratio and Gompertz method will perform best. The Ratio projection methods are a simplified extension of existing or predicted population trends. Gompertz is a logarithmic curve that recalculates new projections as new data points (updated yearly populations) are added. The combination of these methods help to provide a balanced approach for population projections.

In deriving the 2029 population, a weighted average was used between the three population projections (Collin County's Growth Share, Collin County's Population Rate of Change, and Gompertz) for the two methods. The average divides the weight of the projections by method, allotting 50% of the weight to the Ratio Method, and 50% to Gompertz. From this average, a population of 262,084 was calculated for McKinney in 2029; a growth of 69,073 from the 2019 population of 193,012.*

Once the population was projected for the ten year window, distribution was completed using the spatial data generated during the buildout potential calculation. The existing level of developed area in a sub-service area was calculated as well as the sub-service area's remaining growth potential. Then, using common Planning practices the sub-service area's buildout percent was structured to reflect conditions that area likely to exist in 2029. These incremental percentage increases generate additional population, and are influenced by the sub-service areas buildout potential and location.



10-YEAR GROWTH ASSUMPTIONS

Non-Residential Projections

To forecast the amount of growth in Basic, Service, and Retail land use categories over the ten year period of the study, a combination of methods were utilized. The previous ten years of non-residential square footages were analyzed on a service area basis to identify existing trends. The most consistent and noticeable trend were the land use categories relationship's with population. By analyzing the amount of Basic, Service, and Retail square feet per person for the last ten years, the following trends were identified:

Basic: It is assumed that as McKinney's population increases, the amount of Basic square footage per person will decrease. While total square feet of Basic has increased, the general trend for the past ten years showed a decrease in square feet per person. An average of the ten year median, and a ten year trend were used to determine a square foot per person growth over the next ten years. An additional 4,230,559 square feet of Basic is expected by 2029.

Service: It is assumed that as McKinney's population increases, the amount of Service square footage per person will remain the same. The general trend for the past five years showed a slight decrease in square feet per person. A five year median was used to determine a square

foot per person growth over the next ten years. An additional 6,160,065 square feet of Service is expected by 2029.

Retail: It is assumed that as McKinney's population increases, the amount of Retail square footage per person will slightly increase. The general trend for the past ten years showed a general increase in square feet per person. An average of the ten year median, and a ten year trend were used to determine a square foot per person growth over the next ten years. An additional 6,136,024 square feet of Retail is expected by 2029.

Once the square footages were projected for the ten year window, distribution was completed using the spatial data generated during the buildout determination process. The existing level of developed area in a sub-service area was calculated as well as the sub-service area's remaining non-residential growth potential. Then, using common Planning practices the subservice area's buildout percent was structured to reflect conditions that area likely to exist in 2029. These changes in percent generate additional square footages for the three land uses, and are influenced by the sub-service areas buildout potential.





SERVICE	RESID	ENTIAL	NON-RESIDENTIAL SQUARE FEET			
AREA	Population	Dwelling Units	Basic	Service	Retail	
Α	0	0	0	0	0	
В	21,871	6,959	10,071	207,903	86,236	
С	6,945	2,312	0	726,068	438,993	
D	17,370	6,277	59,635	700,061	830,401	
E	2,506	873	2,482,408	199,736	725,194	
F	713	118	19,891	71,783	212,738	
G	1,130	435	30,630	69,408	719,260	
Н	8,439	3,495	14,932	1,806,746	787,669	
I	4,218	1,608	112,104	252,841	1,199,668	
J	4,112	1,390	227,006	1,733,118	774,630	
K	1,700	639	504,952	247,260	331,012	
L	0	0	597,354	113,513	16,437	
М	69	23	171,577	31,628	13,786	
TOTAL	69,073	24,128	4,230,559	6,160,065	6,136,024	


TABLE 4: PROJECTED GROWTH


SERVICE	RESID	ENTIAL	NON-RESIDENTIAL SQUARE FEET			
AREA	Population	Dwelling Units	Basic	Service	Retail	
Α	306	115	23,500	0	81,515	
В	24,705	7,932	10,071	207,903	102,935	
С	15,374	5,271	227,746	1,358,193	717,975	
D	28,583	9,620	59,635	3,804,295	2,085,852	
E	6,411	2,077	6,106,522	678,020	2,480,149	
F	2,198	674	232,107	99,078	475,970	
G	51,402	18,422	930,350	1,958,638	3,147,880	
Н	38,383	15,692	596,073	3,740,251	3,414,730	
I	43,720	15,567	464,983	2,650,436	2,633,350	
J	28,123	11,462	1,876,524	4,487,519	4,288,131	
K	22,258	8,290	5,629,951	3,118,347	2,656,021	
L	182	75	1,159,239	612,935	99,263	
М	439	187	237,897	46,200	13,786	
TOTAL	262,084	95,383	17,554,598	22,761,815	22,197,558	


TABLE 5: 2029 BASELINE CONDITIONS

















2018-2019 ROADWAY IMPACT FEE UPDATE



February 2020

Prepared for the City of McKinney

Prepared by:

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

801 Cherry Street, Unit 11, Suite 1300

Fort Worth, TX 76102

Phone 817 335 6511

TBPE Firm Registration Number: F-928

Project Number: 061269201

© Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.





Table of Contents

EXECU	ITIVE SUMMARY	
l.	INTRODUCTION	11
II.	LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS A. Purpose and Overview B. Roadway Impact Fee Service Areas C. Land Use Assumptions Summary	13
III.	ROADWAY IMPACT FEE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS PLAN	17
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	METHODOLOGY FOR ROADWAY IMPACT FEES A. Service Areas	27 30 30 31 32 33 34 34
V.	ROADWAY IMPACT FEE CALCULATION	48 50 51 55
VI.	SAMPLE CALCULATIONS	71
VII.	ADOPTION AND ADMINISTRATION OF ROADWAY IMPACT FEES A. Adoption Process	72
VIII.	CONCLUSION	73
IX.	APPENDICES A. Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections B. Roadway Impact Fee RIP Service Units of Supply C. Existing Roadway Facilities Inventory D. Plan for Awarding the Roadway Impact Fee Credit Summary E. Plan for Awarding the Roadway Impact Fee Credit Supporting Exhibits	75 75 75 75





LIST OF EXHIBITS

1	Service Areas	15
2	10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan	26
HS ⁻	T OF TABLES	
1	Residential and Non-Residential Projections for the City of McKinney	16
2	10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan	10
_	Service Area A	10
	Service Area B	
	Service Area C	
	Service Area D	
	Service Area E	
	Service Area F	
	Service Area G	
	Service Area H	
	Service Area I	
	Service Area J	
	Service Area K	
	Service Area L	
	Service Area M	
3A	Service Volumes for Proposed Facilities	
3B	Service Volumes for Existing Facilities	
4	Construction Cost Pay Items	
5	10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections	
J	Service Area A	35
	Service Area B	
	Service Area C	
	Service Area D	
	Service Area E	
	Service Area F	
	Service Area G	
	Service Area H	
	Service Area I	
	Service Area J	
	Service Area K	
	Service Area L	
	Service Area M	
6	Maximum Trip Lengths by Service Area	
7	Transportation Demand Factor Calculations	
,	Service Area C Examples	16
	Service Area I Examples	
8.	10-Year Growth Projections	
o. 9	Maximum Assessable Roadway Impact Fee Calculation	
7	maximum zəscəsabic noadway impaci i ee calculation	





10	Maximum Assessable Roadway Impact Fee	54
11	Land Use/Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUV	
		57
	Service Area B	58
		59
	Service Area D	59
		61
		62
	Service Area G	63
	Service Area H	64
	Service Area I	65
		66
	Service Area K	67
	Service Area L	68
		69
12	Land Use Descriptions	







EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

Impact Fees are a mechanism for funding the public infrastructure necessitated by new development. Across the country, they are used to fund police and fire facilities, parks, schools, roads, and utilities. In Texas, the legislature has allowed their use for water, wastewater, roadway, and drainage facilities. In 2003, the City began exploring Water, Wastewater, and Roadway Impact Fees as a funding tool for infrastructure needs as a result of significant growth in the City of McKinney.

In the most basic terms, impact fees are meant to recover the incremental cost of the impact of each new unit of development creating new infrastructure needs. In the case of Roadway Impact Fees, the infrastructure need is the increased capacity on arterial and thoroughfare roadways that serve the overall transportation system. The purpose of the 2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update is to identify the fee per unit of new development necessary to fund these improvements in accordance with the enabling legislation, Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code.

Impact Fees are a mathematical calculation that determines a maximum impact fee that would be equivalent for growth paying for growth. The Maximum Roadway Impact Fee per Service Unit for Roadway Facilities is considered an appropriate measure of the impacts generated by a new unit of development on the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan. An impact fee program is anticipated to be designed so that it is predictable for both the development community and City.

An impact fee program is transparent. This report describes in detail how the fee is calculated and how the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee (CIAC) monitors the Impact Fee program. An impact fee program is flexible in that funds can be used on priority projects and not just on projects adjacent to a specific development. An impact fee program is consistent with other City goals and objectives for growth. For example, the actual collection rate set by Council may be determined to be less than the Maximum Roadway Impact Fee to achieve and be in alignment with other City goals and objectives for growth.





Impact Fee Basics

Roadway Impact Fees are determined by several key variables, each described below in greater detail.

Impact Fee Study

The 2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update was completed to determine the maximum impact fee per unit of new development chargeable as allowed by the state law. This determination is not a recommendation; the actual fee amount ultimately assessed is at the discretion of the McKinney City Council, so long as it does not exceed the maximum assessable fee allowed by law. The study looks at a period of 10 years to project new growth and corresponding capacity needs, as required by state law. The study and corresponding maximum fees must be restudied at least every five years. However, the study can be updated at any time to accommodate significant changes in any of the key variables of the impact fee equation.

Service Areas

A Service Area is a geographic area within which a unique maximum impact fee is determined. All fees collected within the Service Area must be spent on eligible improvements within the same Service Area. For Roadway Impact Fees, the Service Area may not exceed 6 miles. In McKinney, this results in the creation of thirteen (13) separate Service Areas. A map of the Service Areas can be found on Page 15. It is to be noted that Service Area A and F were assumed to be no fee Service Areas.

In defining the Service Area boundaries, the project team considered the corporate boundary, required size limit, adjacent land uses, highway facilities, and topography. The Service Areas used in the 2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update are approximately the same as in the previous impact fee update with some minor modifications to accommodate recent annexations and roadway realignments. Since each Service Area has a unique maximum impact fee, the per-unit maximum fee for an identical land use will vary from one Service Area to the next.





Land Use Assumptions

The Impact Fee determination is required to be based on the projected growth and corresponding capacity needs in a 10-year window. This study considers the years 2019-2029. Acknowledging that the parameters of the study (city limits, Master Thoroughfare Plan, Comprehensive Plan, zoning maps, existing development, etc.) are changing constantly, this study is based on conditions as they were in January 2019.

One of the key elements in the determination of the impact fee is the amount of new development anticipated over 10 years. The residential and non-residential growth projections were performed using reasonable and generally accepted forecasting and planning principles by City of McKinney staff in a separate report titled 2019 Land Use Assumptions.

Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan

The Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan (RIF RIP) is distinct and separate from the City's traditional Capital Improvements Program (CIP). The RIF RIP is a list of projects eligible for funding through impact fees. The City's Master Thoroughfare Plan is the ultimate plan for the infrastructure within the City Limits. Only capacity improvements still needed to build out the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan to accommodate the expected growth within the next 10-years are included in the RIF RIP. Capacity improvements may include the addition of lanes, intersection improvements, or the extension of a new road. Resurfacing or other maintenance activities do not qualify as capacity improvements under impact fee law in Texas and cannot be funded with Roadway Impact Fees.

The cost of the RIF RIP is one of the fundamental factors in the calculation of the per-unit maximum impact fee. The RIF RIP's cost was calculated through systematic evaluation of each eligible project. In determining project limits, the team identified roadway segments with uniform need. For Example, Hardin Boulevard has a portion with one third of a six-lane divided facility built north of Wilmeth Road, while approximately 3,590' south of the future Bloomdale Road extension, Wilmeth Road has two thirds of a six-lane divided facility built. These were split as two separate projects based on uniform need. Developing unit costs based on input from local staff, uniform costs were determined for the major items of work, additional construction items, and project delivery costs. Section III provides a listing of the 10-Year RIF RIP by service area in Tables 2.A – 2.M and a city-wide map of the RIF RIP in Exhibit 2.





Finally, detailed cost projections by project can be found in Appendix A. It should be noted that these cost projections are based on conceptual level planning and are subject to refinement upon final design. Only those projects listed in the RIF RIP are eligible to utilize impact fee funds.

Only the costs associated with providing the additional capacity at an anticipated level of service D necessitated by 10 years of growth can be used to calculate the maximum impact fee. To calculate the maximum impact fee, the total cost of the RIF RIP at build-out was reduced to account for (1) the portion of new capacity that will address existing needs, and (2) the portion of new capacity that will not be necessitated until beyond the 10-year growth window. A ratio that compares 10 years' demand for capacity to the net supply of capacity (total new capacity in the RIF RIP minus existing needs) can be calculated. That ratio, which may not exceed 100%, is then applied to the cost of the net capacity supplied. The result is a determination of the costs attributable to the next 10 years' growth, which is then used to calculate the maximum impact fee in accordance with state law. The result is known as the recoverable cost of the RIF RIP. The projected recoverable cost to construct infrastructure needed through 2029 by service area are:

Service Area	Recoverable Cost of RIP and Financing \$0
В	\$10,479,185
C	\$43,752,749
D	\$141,971,941
E	\$39,294,334
F	\$0
G	\$8,942,328
Н	\$11,297,580
T I	\$23,188,757
J	\$8,633,827
K	\$10,208,369
L	\$3,868,782
M	\$731,486





A portion of the remainder can be assessed as the planning window extends beyond 2029 and as the impact fees are updated in the future.

Service Unit

The "service unit" is a measure of consumption or use of the capital facilities by new development. In other words, it is the unit of measure used in the 2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update to quantify the supply and demand for roads in the City. For transportation purposes, the service unit is defined as a vehicle-mile. A vehicle-mile is the capacity consumed in a single lane in the PM peak hour by a vehicle making a trip one mile in length. The PM Peak is used as the basis for transportation planning and the estimation of trips caused by new development.

For each type of development, the City of McKinney uses the Land Use/Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET) to determine the number of service units. Based on the City's 10-year growth projections and associated demand (consumption) values the vehicle-miles of demand in each service area are:

Service	Total Vehicle-Miles of
Area	Demand over Ten Years
А	0
В	9,578
C	15,582
D	41,299
E	17,845
F	0
G	7,742
Н	31,324
1	18,332
J	24,864
K	8,530
L	1,893
M	304





Impact Fee Calculation

In the simplest terms, the maximum impact fee allowable by law is calculated by dividing the recoverable cost of the RIF RIP by the number of new service units of development. In accordance with state law, both the cost of the RIF RIP and the number of new service units of development used in the equation are based on the growth and corresponding capacity needs projected to occur within a 10-year window. This calculation is performed for each service area individually; each service area has a stand-alone RIF RIP and 10-year growth projection.

In practice, there are many factors that complicate this calculation. The maximum impact fee allowable by law for each service area is calculated in Table 10. A detailed discussion of the calculation is found on Page 48, and is walked through in Table 9.

Collection and Use of Roadway Impact Fees

Roadway Impact Fees are assessed when a final plat is recorded. The assessment defines the impact of each unit at the time of platting, according to land use, and may not exceed the maximum impact fee allowed by law. An existing plat would be assessed at the adoption of the ordinance and would be exempt from impact fees for one year. Roadway Impact Fees are collected when a building permit is issued. Therefore, funds are not collected until development-impacts are introduced to the transportation system. Funds collected within a service area can be used only within the same service area. Finally, fees must be utilized within 10 years of collection in the designated service area or must be refunded with interest. Fees should be utilized in a first in, first out basis.

Adoption Process

Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code stipulates a specific process for the adoption of Roadway Impact Fees. A Capital Improvements Advisory Committee (CIAC) is required to review the Land Use Assumptions and RIF RIP used in calculating the maximum fee, and to provide the Committee's findings for consideration by the City Council. The CIAC also reviews the Roadway Impact Fee ordinance and provides its findings to the City Council. The composition of the CIAC (Planning and Zoning Commission in McKinney) is required to have one representative of the building and development communities. The City Council then conducts a public hearing on the Land Use Assumptions, RIF RIP, and Impact Fee Ordinance. A public hearing is required for the 2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update.





Following policy adoption, the CIAC is tasked with advising the City Council of the need to update the Land Use Assumptions or the RIF RIP at any time within five years of adoption. Finally, the CIAC oversees the proper administration of the Impact Fee, once in place, and advises the Council as necessary.

2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Results

Below is the comparison of the maximum assessable impact fee per service unit (Vehicle-Mile) for the 2012-2013 and 2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Updates:

Service	2018–2019 Maximum Fee Per Service	2012–2013 Maximum Fee Per Service
Area	Unit (Per Vehicle-Mile)	Unit (Per Vehicle-Mile)
А	\$0	\$0
В	\$1,094	\$861
С	\$2,808	\$1,500
D	\$3,438	\$1,711
E	\$2,202	\$2,082
F	\$0	\$0
G	\$1,155	\$635
Н	\$361	\$393
I	\$1,265	\$755
J	\$347	\$824
K	\$1,197	\$1,182
L	\$2,044	\$1,320
М	\$2,406	\$0





I. INTRODUCTION

Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code describes the procedure political subdivisions must follow to create and implement impact fees. Chapter 395 defines an Impact Fee as "a charge or assessment imposed by a political subdivision against new development in order to generate revenue for funding or recouping the costs of roadway improvements or facility expansions necessitated by and attributable to the new development."

The City has retained Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. to provide professional transportation engineering services for the update of their Rodway Impact Fees. This report includes details of the Roadway Impact Fee calculation methodology in accordance with Chapter 395, the applicable Land Use Assumptions, development of the RIF RIP, and the Land Use Equivalency Table.

This report references two of the basic inputs to the Roadway Impact Fee:

- 1) Land Use Assumptions (Pg. 13)
- 2) Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan (RIF RIP) (Pg. 17)

Information from these Land Use Assumptions and RIF RIP are used extensively throughout the remainder of the report.

There is a detailed discussion of the methodology for the computation of impact fees. This discussion is broken into three components:

- 1) Methodology for Roadway Impact Fees (Pg. 27)
- 2) Roadway Impact Fee Calculation (Pg. 48)
- 3) Plan for the Roadway Impact Fee Credit (Pg. 50)





The components of the Methodology for Roadway Impact Fees include development of:

- Service Areas (Pg. 27)
- Service Units (Pg. 27)
- Cost Per Service Unit (Pg. 30)
- RIF RIP Costing Methodology (Pg. 30)
- Summary of RIF RIP Costs (Pg. 34)
- Service Unit Calculation (Pg. 42)

The Roadway Impact Fee is then calculated as:

- Maximum Assessable Impact Fee Per Service Unit (Pg. 48)
- Service Unit Demand Per Unit of Development (Pg. 55)

The final section of the report is the Conclusion, which presents the findings of the updated analysis and summarizes the report.





II. LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS

A. Purpose and Overview

In order to assess an impact fee, Land Use Assumptions must be developed to provide the basis for residential and non-residential growth projections within a political subdivision. As defined by Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code, these assumptions include a description of changes in land uses, densities, and population in the service area. The land use assumptions used in this report were developed by City of McKinney staff and are presented in a separate report titled 2019 Land Use Assumptions. These land use assumptions were adopted by City Council on September 17, 2019.

The residential and non-residential estimates and projections were compiled in accordance with the following categories:

Units: Number of dwelling units.

Population: Number of people, based on person per dwelling unit factor.

Employment: Square feet of building area based on three (3) different classifications. Each classification has unique trip making characteristics.

<u>Retail</u>: Land use activities which provide for the retail sale of goods which primarily serve households and whose location choice is oriented toward the household sector, such as grocery stores and restaurants.

<u>Service</u>: Land use activities which provide personal and professional services, such as government and other professional offices.

<u>Basic</u>: Land use activities that produce goods and services such as those which are exported outside of the local economy, such as manufacturing, construction, transportation, wholesale, trade, warehousing, and other industrial uses.







The above categories are used in the development of the assumptions for impact fees; however, expanded classifications used in the assessment of impact fees are found in the Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (Pg. 57 - 69).

B. Roadway Impact Fee Service Areas

The geographic boundaries of the proposed impact fee service areas for transportation facilities are shown in Exhibit 1. The City of McKinney is currently divided into thirteen (13) service areas, each based upon a six (6) mile limit as required by Chapter 395. For roadway facilities, the service areas are limited to those areas within the current corporate limits. Therefore, areas within the extraterritorial jurisdiction (ETJ) are excluded from this study.

At locations where service area boundaries follow a thoroughfare facility, the proposed boundary is intended to follow the centerline of the roadway. In cases where a service area boundary follows the City Limits, only those portions of the facility within the City Limits are included in the service area.









C. Land Use Assumptions Summary

Table 1 summarizes the residential and non-residential 10-year growth projections within the City of McKinney for 2019 and 2029. The previously referenced *2019 Land Use Assumptions* is the source for this data.

Table 1. Residential and Non-Residential Projections for the City of McKinney

	Service		Residential		Non-Residential Square Feet		
	Area	Year	Population	Dwelling Units	Basic	Service	Retail
	А	2019	306	115	23,500	0	81,515
	A	2029	306	115	23,500	0	81,515
	В	2019	2,834	973	0	0	16,699
	В	2029	24,705	7,932	10,071	207,903	102,935
	С	2019	8,429	2,959	227,746	632,125	278,982
	C	2029	15,374	5,271	227,746	1,358,193	717,975
	D	2019	11,213	3,343	0	3,104,234	1,255,451
	D	2029	28,583	9,620	59,635	3,804,295	2,085,852
	E	2019	3,905	1,204	3,624,114	478,284	1,754,956
	L	2029	6,411	2,077	6,106,522	678,020	2,480,149
	F	2019	1,485	556	212,216	27,295	263,232
		2029	2,198	674	232,107	99,078	475,970
	G	2019	50,272	17,987	899,720	1,889,230	2,428,620
		2029	51,402	18,422	930,350	1,958,638	3,147,880
	Н	2019	29,944	12,197	581,141	1,933,505	2,627,061
		2029	38,383	15,692	596,073	3,740,251	3,414,730
		2019	39,502	13,959	352,879	2,397,595	1,433,682
		2029	43,720	15,567	464,983	2,650,436	2,633,350
	J	2019	24,011	10,072	1,649,518	2,754,401	3,513,500
		2029	28,123	11,462	1,876,524	4,487,519	4,288,131
	K	2019	20,558	7,651	5,125,000	2,871,086	2,325,009
	K	2029	22,258	8,290	5,629,951	3,118,347	2,656,021
	L	2019	182	75	561,885	499,422	82,826
	L	2029	182	75	1,159,239	612,935	99,263
	M	2019	370	164	66,320	14,572	0
		2029	439	187	237,897	46,200	13,786
	Sub-Total – 202	`	69,073	24,129	4,230,560	6,160,065	6,136,024





III. ROADWAY IMPACT FEE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS PLAN

The City has identified the transportation projects needed to accommodate the projected growth within the City. The City's Master Thoroughfare Plan is the ultimate plan for the infrastructure within the City Limits. Only capacity improvements still needed to build out the City's Master Thoroughfare Plan to accommodate the expected growth within the next 10-years are included in the RIF RIP. The RIF RIP consists of 4 categories of projects. They are as follows:

- New Any future roadway identified by the City to be included in the RIP.
- Widening Existing roadways not currently built to the ultimate classification in the Master Thoroughfare Plan and must be completely reconstructed.
- Partial Widening Existing roadways that only have a portion of the ultimate classification cross section to be built.
- Previously Completed Completed projects with excess capacity available to serve new growth that the City contributed to financially.

The RIF RIP includes roadway facilities as well as major intersection improvements. All the roadway facilities identified are included in the currently adopted Master Thoroughfare Plan.

Major intersection improvements were based on direction from City of McKinney staff. Improvements were categorized as follows:

- Signal New signal.
- Interchange Signals Assumes new signals at each frontage road intersection of the interchange.
- Signal Mod Signal modification to an existing signal due to construction of a new roadway approach or a future signal modification identified by the City.
- Intersection Improvement Assumes addition of turn lanes.
- Roundabout Roundabout construction.
- Under Construction Intersection with ongoing improvements identified by the City.





All intersection improvement recommendations are recommended to undergo a design level evaluation before implementation to ensure the most appropriate improvements are made. In the case where a design level evaluation determines improvements contrary to the RIF RIP, such as turn lane improvements in place of a signal, the RIF RIP cost allocated to the intersection may still be applied to the alternate improvements. The intersection improvement costs were based on standard costs for each improvement and no engineering was done when assuming these costs, unless cost projections were provided by the City.

The proposed RIF RIP is listed in Tables 2.A – 2.M and mapped in Exhibit 2 (Individual SA RIP Maps in Appendix). The tables show the length of each project as well as the facility's Master Thoroughfare Plan classification. The RIF RIP was developed in conjunction with input from City of McKinney staff and represents projects that will be needed to accommodate the growth projected in the 2019 Land Use Assumptions.

Table 2.A. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area A

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	A-1, C-1	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (1)	635' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO CR 168	0.63	50%
A	A-2, D-1	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (3)	CR 168 TO 1,560' E OF CR 168	0.30	50%
	A-3	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (1)	2,635' N OF UNNAMED 5 TO UNNAMED 5	0.50	50%

Note: The 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP is not in a prioritized order.

Table 2.B. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area B

Servic Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	B-1, D-2	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (4)	1,050' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO 1,200' E OF HARDIN BLVD	0.43	50%
	B-2	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (1)	CR 204 TO CR 206	0.08	50%
В	B-3	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (2)	1,670' N OF OLYMPIC TO 730' S OF OLYMPIC	0.45	50%
ь	B-4	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (3)	1,940' N OF UNNAMED 5 TO UNNAMED 5	0.37	100%
	1	Inter-	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & OLYMPIC		50%
	3	section	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & UNNAMED 5		50%





Table 2.C. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area C

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	A-1, C-1	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (1)	635' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO CR 168	0.63	50%
	C-2	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (2)	RIDGE RD TO 1,505' E OF RIDGE RD	0.29	50%
	C-3	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (1)	695' W OF CUSTER RD TO CUSTER RD	0.13	50%
	C-4	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (2)	CUSTER RD TO 1,225' E OF CUSTER RD	0.23	100%
	C-5	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (3)	1,225' E OF CUSTER RD TO 2,070' E OF CUSTER RD	0.16	50%
	C-6	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (4)	840' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 2,905' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	0.39	50%
	C-7	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (5)	1,985' W OF RIDGE RD TO 1,230' E OF RIDGE RD	0.61	50%
	C-8	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (1)	CUSTER RD TO 1,310' E OF CUSTER RD	0.25	100%
	C-9	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (2)	1,310' E OF CUSTER RD TO 2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR	0.40	50%
	C-10	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (3)	2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	1.00	100%
	C-11	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (4)	3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 695' W OF RIDGE RD	0.26	50%
	C-12	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (5)	695' W OF RIDGE RD TO RIDGE RD	0.13	100%
	C-13	P6D(2/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (6)	3,400' W OF LAKE FOREST DR TO LAKE FOREST DR	0.64	50%
	C-14	G4D	WILMETH RD (1)	3,725' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	0.86	100%
	C-15	G4D	WILMETH RD (2)	815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 995' W OF RIDGE RD	0.63	50%
	C-16	G4D(1/2)	WILMETH RD (3)	RIDGE RD TO 585' E OF RIDGE RD	0.11	100%
	C-17	G4D(1/2)	WILMETH RD (4)	1,095' E OF RIDGE RD TO 1,365' E OF RIDGE RD	0.05	100%
	C-18	P6D	CUSTER RD (1)	1,855' N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO LAUD HOWELL PKWY	0.35	50%
	C-19	P6D	CUSTER RD (2)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,775 N OF BLOOMDALE RD	0.53	100%
	C-20	P6D	CUSTER RD (3)	2,655 N OF BLOOMDALE RD TO 375' N OF WILMETH RD	1.19	50%
	C-21	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (2)	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,280' S OF UNNAMED 5	0.24	100%
C	C-22	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (3)	1,570' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 280' S OF WILMETH RD	1.51	100%
	C-23	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (4)	280' S OF WILMETH RD TO 1,195' S OF WILMETH RD	0.17	50%
	C-24	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (5)	1,195' S OF WILMETH RD TO 5,555' S OF WILMETH RD	0.83	100%
	C-25	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (6)	580' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO US HIGHWAY 380	0.11	100%
	C-26	M6D	RIDGE RD (1)	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,485' S OF UNNAMED 5	0.28	50%
	C-27	M6D	RIDGE RD (2)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BAXTER WELL RD	0.59	100%
	C-28	M6D	RIDGE RD (3)	BAXTER WELL RD TO 2,160' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	0.83	50%
	C-29	M6D	RIDGE RD (4)	1,590' N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD	0.30	50%
	C-30	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (5)	WILMETH RD TO 2,280' S OF WILMETH RD	0.43	100%
	C-31	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (6)	1,860' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO 1,390' N OF US HIGHWAY 380	0.09	50%
	C-32	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (7)	775' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO US HIGHWAY 380	0.15	100%
	C-33	M6D(1/3)	LAKE FOREST DR (2)	BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	1.02	50%
	C-34, D-22	M6D(1/3)	LAKE FOREST DR (3)	WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380	0.98	50%
	2		Signal	RIDGE RD & UNNAMED 5		25%
	4		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & CUSTER RD		75%
	5		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & RIDGE RD		50%
	12		Intersection Improvement	CUSTER RD & BLOOMDALE RD		50%
	13		Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & STONEBRIDGE DR		100%
	14	tio	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & RIDGE RD		50%
	15	rsec	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & LAKE FOREST DR		25%
	23	Intersection	Signal	STONEBRIDGE DR & WILMETH RD		100%
	24	-	Signal	WILMETH RD & RIDGEKNOLL AVE		100%
	25		Roundabout	LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD		50%
	33		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR		50%
	34		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN		50%
	35		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY		50%





Table 2.D. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area D

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	A-2, D-1	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (3)	CR 168 TO 1,560' E OF CR 168	0.30	50%
	B-1, D-2	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (4)	1,050' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO 1,200' E OF HARDIN BLVD	0.43	50%
	D-3	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (6)	LAKE FOREST DR TO 1860' E OF HARDIN BLVD	2.65	100%
	D-4	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (7)	4,170' N OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 240' N OF TRINITY	0.74	100%
	D-5	G6D(1/3)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (8)	240' N OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO US HIGHWAY 75	0.38	100%
	D-6	G4D	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (1)	HARDIN BLVD TO 1,910' E OF HARDIN BLVD	0.36	100%
	D-7	G4D	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (2)	1,910' E OF HARDIN BLVD TO 2,675' E OF HARDIN BLVD	0.14	50%
	D-8	G4D	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (3)	COMMUNITY AVE TO 2,200' W OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	0.34	50%
	D-9	G4D	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (4)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,200' W OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	0.42	100%
	D-10	M6D(1/3)	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (5)	4,275' N OF WESTON RD TO LAUD HOWELL PKWY	1.05	100%
	D-11	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (7)	1,820' W OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO TAYLOR-BURK DR	0.34	50%
	D-12	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (8)	1,485' E OF CR 1006 TO 1,215' W OF CR 1007	0.29	100%
	D-13	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (9)	1,225' W OF CR 1007 TO CR 1007	0.23	50%
	D-14	P6D(2/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (10)	CR 1007 TO HARDIN BLVD	0.37	100%
	D-15	P6D(1/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (11)	HARDIN BLVD TO COMMUNITY AVE	0.49	100%
	D-16	G4D	WILMETH RD (5)	LAKE FOREST DR TO UNNAMED A	0.90	50%
	D-17	G4D	WILMETH RD (6)	UNNAMED A TO 825' E OF UNNAMED A	0.16	100%
	D-18	G4D(1/2)	WILMETH RD (7)	825' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO 1,380' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR	0.11	100%
	D-19	G4D	WILMETH RD (8)	1,380' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO HARDIN BLVD	0.42	50%
	D-20	M6D(1/3)	WILMETH RD (9)	HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75	1.16	100%
	D-21	G6D	LAKE FOREST DR (1)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 3,200' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	0.61	50%
	C-34, D-22	· · · · · · ·	LAKE FOREST DR (3)	WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380	0.98	50%
	D-23	G4D	UNNAMED A (1)	5,785' N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,710' S OF LAUD	1.61	100%
	D-24	G4D	UNNAMED A (2)	2,710' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BLOOMDALE RD	0.49	50%
	D-25	G4D	UNNAMED A (3)	1,105' N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD	0.21	50%
D	D-26	M4D	UNNAMED A (4)	WILMETH RD TO HARDIN BLVD	0.75	100%
D	D-27	M4D	TAYLOR-BURK DR (1)	HARDIN BLVD TO SKYLINE DR	0.28	100%
	D-28 D-29	G6D G6D	HARDIN BLVD (4)	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,550' S OF UNNAMED 5 1,545' S OF UNNAMED 5 TO TRINITY FALLS PKWY	0.29 1.38	50% 100%
	D-29 D-30	G6D G6D	HARDIN BLVD (5)		0.34	50%
	D-30 D-31	G6D G6D	HARDIN BLVD (6) HARDIN BLVD (7)	TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,815' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY 1,815' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,190' S OF BLOOMDALE	0.34	100%
	D-31 D-32	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (8)	1,190' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO 3,590' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	0.30	100%
	D-32 D-33	G6D(2/3)	HARDIN BLVD (9)	3,590' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	0.43	50%
	D-33 D-34	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (10)	WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380	1.23	100%
	D-35	G4D	COMMUNITY AVE (1)	TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,275' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY	0.24	100%
	D-36	G4D(1/2)	COMMUNITY AVE (2)	1,275' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO BLOOMDALE RD	0.50	100%
	D-37	M4U(1/2)	COMMUNITY AVE (3)	BLOOMDALE RD TO 2,305' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	0.44	100%
	3		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & UNNAMED 5		50%
	6		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & LAKE FOREST DR		50%
	7		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & UNNAMED A		100%
	8		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & HARDIN BLVD		100%
	9		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & TRINITY FALLS PKWY		75%
	10		Roundabout	TRINITY FALLS PKWY & COMMUNITY AVE		50%
	11	ou	Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & LAUD HOWELL PKWY		50%
	16	ecti	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & UNNAMED A		25%
	17	Intersection	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & HARDIN BLVD		100%
	18	Int	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & COMMUNITY AVE		100%
	19		Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & BLOOMDALE RD		50%
	25		Roundabout	LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD		50%
	26		Roundabout	WILMETH RD & UNNAMED A		75%
	27		Roundabout	HARDIN BLVD & WILMETH RD		75%
	32		Roundabout	HARDIN BLVD & TAYLOR-BURK DR		100%
	36		Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD		50%





Table 2.E. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area E

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	E-1	P6D(1/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (12)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO REDBUD BLVD	0.48	100%
	E-2	P6D(2/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (13)	REDBUD BLVD TO AIRPORT DR	0.51	100%
	E-3	M6D(1/3)	WILMETH RD (10)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO 2,570' E OF REDBUD BLVD	0.78	100%
	E-4	M6D(2/3)	WILMETH RD (11)	2,570' E OF REDBUD BLVD TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	0.41	100%
	E-5	M6D	WILMETH RD (12)	STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 2,100' E OF SH 5	0.40	100%
	E-6	M6D	WILMETH RD (13)	2,100' E OF SH 5 TO 980' W OF AIRPORT DR	0.25	100%
	E-7	M6D	WILMETH RD (14)	980' W OF AIRPORT DR TO 235' E OF AIRPORT DR	0.23	50%
	E-8	M6D	WILMETH RD (15)	1,150' W OF FM 2933 TO 400' E OF FM 2933	0.29	50%
	E-9	M4D	REDBUD BLVD (1)	BLOOMDALE RD TO 2,930' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	0.55	100%
	E-10	M4D(1/2)	REDBUD BLVD (2)	2,930' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	0.31	100%
	E-11	M6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (9)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO 2,620' S OF US HIGHWAY 75	0.50	50%
	E-12	M6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (10)	2,620' S OF US HIGHWAY 75 TO BLOOMDALE RD	0.31	100%
	E-13	P6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (11)	BLOOMDALE RD TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	0.16	100%
	E-14	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (1)	4,700' N OF AIRPORT DR TO 3,995' N OF AIRPORT DR	0.13	50%
	E-15	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (2)	1,915' N OF AIRPORT DR TO US HIGHWAY 380	2.27	100%
E	E-16	P6D	AIRPORT DR (1)	STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 4,070 S OF STATE HIGHWAY 5	0.77	100%
	E-17	P6D	AIRPORT DR (2)	WILMETH RD TO WOODLAWN RD (N)	0.53	100%
	E-18	P6D	AIRPORT DR (3)	WOODLAWN RD (N) TO WOODLAWN RD (S)	0.29	100%
	E-19	P6D	AIRPORT DR (4)	WOODLAWN RD (S) TO US HIGHWAY 380	0.73	100%
	E-20	P6D(1/3)	UNNAMED C (1)	410' E OF STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 3,010' E OF STATE HIGHWAY 5	0.49	100%
	E-21	P6D	UNNAMED C (2)	WILMETH RD TO 2,615' S OF WILMETH RD	0.50	50%
	11		Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & LAUD HOWELL PKWY		50%
	19		Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & BLOOMDALE RD		50%
	20		Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & REDBUD BLVD		100%
	21	ion	Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & BLOOMDALE RD		100%
	22	ect	Signal Mod	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & LAUD HOWELL PKWY		100%
	28	Intersection	Signal	WILMETH RD & REDBUD BLVD		100%
	29	Int	Signal Mod	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & WILMETH RD		100%
	30] [Signal	AIRPORT DR & WILMETH RD		50%
	31] [Signal	UNNAMED C & WILMETH RD		50%
	41		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR		50%

Table 2.F. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area F

No Impact Fee Eligible Roadway Projects





Table 2.G. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area G

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	G-1	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (1)	COIT RD TO 500' W OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY	0.90	100%
	G-2	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (2)	500' W OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY TO 325' E OF FORKHORN DR	0.33	50%
	G-3	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (3)	325' E OF FORKHORN DR TO 935' W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR	0.28	50%
	G-4	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (4)	935' W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR TO CUSTER RD	0.51	100%
	G-5	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (5)	CUSTER RD TO 410' E OF DANBURY RD	0.49	100%
	G-6	M6D(1/6)	VIRGINIA PKWY (6)	410' E OF DANBURY RD TO VIRGINIA PARKLANDS BLVD	0.39	100%
	G-7	M6D(1/3)	WESTRIDGE BLVD (1)	COIT RD TO 1,635' E OF COIT RD	0.31	50%
	G-8	M6D(1/3)	WESTRIDGE BLVD (2)	1,635' E OF COIT RD TO 2,720' E OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY	1.22	100%
	G-9	M6D(1/3)	WESTRIDGE BLVD (3)	2,720' E OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY TO CUSTER RD	0.50	50%
	H-1, G-10	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	2.05	50%
	G-11	M6D(1/3)	COIT RD (1)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 2,610' S OF VIRGINIA PKWY	1.53	50%
	G-12	M6D(1/3)	COIT RD (2)	WESTRIDGE BLVD TO 2,595' S OF WESTRIDGE BLVD	0.49	50%
	G-13	M6D(1/3)	INDEPENDENCE PKWY (1)	2,380' S OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO 4,465' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	0.39	100%
	G-14	M6D(1/3)	INDEPENDENCE PKWY (2)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO 2,690' S OF WESTRIDGE BLVD	1.52	100%
G	G-15	P6D	CUSTER RD (4)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO WESTRIDGE BLVD	2.03	100%
G	G-16	P6D	CUSTER RD (5)	WESTRIDGE BLVD TO ELDORADO PKWY	1.02	100%
	G-17, I-8	G4D	RIDGE RD (8)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO CREEKSIDE DR	1.30	50%
	33		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR		50%
	34		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN		50%
	37		Signal	STONEBRIDGE DR & LACIMA DR		100%
	38		Signal	RIDGE RD & HABERSHAM WAY		50%
	43	п	Signal	INDEPENDENCE PKWY & VIRGINIA PKWY		50%
	44	Intersection	Under Construction	VIRGINIA PKWY & RIDGE RD		50%
	47	rsec	Signa1	INDEPENDENCE PKWY & WESTRIDGE BLVD		100%
	48	nte	Under Construction	CUSTER RD & WESTRIDGE BLVD		75%
	49	I	Roundabout	STONEBRIDGE DR & GLEN OAKS DR		100%
	50		Roundabout	RIDGE RD & GLEN OAKS DR		50%
	55		Signal	STONEBRIDGE DR & ALMA DR		100%
	56		Signal	RIDGE RD & RUSH CREEK RD		50%
	57		Signal	RIDGE RD & BERKSHIRE RD		50%

Table 2.H. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area H

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	H-1, G-10	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	2.05	50%
	H-2	M4D(1/2)	SILVERADO TRL (1)	CUSTER RD TO BURNETT DR	0.37	100%
	H-3	M4D	SILVERADO TRL (2)	ALMA DR TO ALFALFA DR	0.22	100%
	H-4	P6D(1/3)	STACY RD (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	2.03	100%
	H-5	P6D	CUSTER RD (6)	ELDORADO PKWY TO STONEBRIDGE DR	0.39	100%
	H-6	G4D	ALMA DR (1)	805' S OF BEAVER CREEK DR TO SILVERADO TRL	0.37	100%
	H-7	M6D(1/3)	ALMA DR (2)	SILVERADO TRL TO STACY RD	0.50	100%
H	H-8, I-9	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (9)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY TO STACY RD	0.69	50%
	H-9	M6D(1/3)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (1)	RIDGE RD TO STACY RD	0.77	100%
	65		Signal	CUSTER RD & SILVERADO TRL		50%
	66	ion	Signal	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY & SILVERADO TRL		100%
	71	Intersection	Signal	STACY RD & MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY		100%
	75	ers	Signal Signal	CUSTER RD & PARADISE DR		50%
	76	Ini	Signal	STACY RD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		50%
	77		Signal	ALMA DR & HENNEMAN WAY		100%





Table 2.I. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area I

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	I-1	M6D	VIRGINIA PKWY (7)	1035' E OF RIDGE RD TO 1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD	1.43	100%
	I-2	M6D	VIRGINIA PKWY (8)	1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO HARDIN BLVD	0.26	100%
	I-3	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (2)	RIDGE RD TO HARDIN BLVD	2.07	100%
	I-4	M6D(1/3)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (2)	RIDGE RD TO HARDIN BLVD	1.80	100%
	I-5	G4D	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (2)	LAKE FOREST DR TO COTTONWOOD CREEK	0.31	100%
	I-6	G4D(1/2)	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (3)	COTTONWOOD CREEK TO 1110' E OF TINA DR	0.55	100%
	I-7	G4D	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (4)	1110' E OF TINA TO HARDIN BLVD	0.19	100%
	G-17, I-8	G4D	RIDGE RD (8)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO CREEKSIDE DR	1.30	50%
	H-8, I-9	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (9)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY TO STACY RD	0.69	50%
	I-10, J-6	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (11)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO VIRGINIA PKWY	1.58	50%
	I-11, J-7	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (12)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	2.64	50%
	35		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY		50%
	36		Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD		25%
I	38		Signal	RIDGE RD & HABERSHAM WAY		50%
	44		Under Construction	VIRGINIA PKWY & RIDGE RD		50%
	45		Signal	VIRGINIA PKWY & JOPLIN DR		100%
	46		Signal	VIRGINIA PKWY & VILLAGE DR		100%
	50	ion	Roundabout	RIDGE RD & GLEN OAKS DR		50%
	51	Intersection	Roundabout	LAKE FOREST DR & GLEN OAKS DR		100%
	56	ters	Signal	RIDGE RD & RUSH CREEK RD		50%
	57	In	Signal	RIDGE RD & BERKSHIRE RD		50%
	58		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & MAVERICK TRL		50%
	59		Signal	ELDORADO PKWY & WOODSON DR		100%
	60		Signal	ELDORADO PKWY & HIGHLANDS DR		100%
	72		Signal	LAKE FOREST DR & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		100%
	73		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		50%
	76		Signal	STACY RD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		50%

Table 2.J. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area J

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	J-1	M4D	WHITE AVE (1)	HARDIN BLVD TO BOIS D'ARC RD	0.17	100%
	J-2	M4D	WHITE AVE (2)	BOIS D'ARC RD TO COMMUNITY AVE	0.93	100%
	J-3	M6D	VIRGINIA PKWY (9)	HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75	1.60	100%
	J-4	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (3)	710' E OF US HIGHWAY 75 TO 1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY	1.08	100%
	J-5	M3U	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (5)	2,100' E OF HARDIN BLVD TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	0.33	100%
	I-10, J-6	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (11)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO VIRGINIA PKWY	1.58	50%
	I-11, J-7	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (12)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	2.64	50%
	J-8	M4D	MEDICAL CENTER DR	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 1,685' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	0.32	100%
	J-9, K-7	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)	640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO STATE	0.47	50%
J	J-10, K-8	M4D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	0.67	50%
J	J-11	M4D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (6)	3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 4,520' S OF STATE	0.19	50%
	36		Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD		25%
	39		Signal	WHITE AVE & JORDAN RD		100%
	58	u	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & MAVERICK TRL		50%
	61	tio	Intersection Improvements	ELDORADO PKWY & CRAIG DR		100%
	62	rsec	Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & STEWART RD		50%
	67	Intersection	Signal	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		100%
	68	1	Signal	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY & CRAIG DR		100%
	69		Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & ENTERPRISE DR		50%
	73		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		50%





Table 2.K. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area K

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	K-1	2UO	VIRGINIA STREET	225' W OF AIRPORT DR TO AIRPORT DR	0.04	100%
	K-2	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (4)	1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	0.40	100%
	K-3	P6D(1/3)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (1)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO AIRPORT DR	1.46	100%
	K-4, L-1	P6D(1/3)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (2)	AIRPORT DR TO 980' E OF AIRPORT DR	0.19	50%
	K-5, L-2	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (3)	975' E OF AIRPORT DR TO 510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD $/\mathrm{FM}$ 546 (N)	0.60	50%
	K-6	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (3)	INDUSTRIAL BLVD TO 640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD	0.40	100%
	J-9, K-7	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)	640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO STATE	0.47	50%
K	J-10, K-8	M4D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	0.67	50%
	K-9, L-6	P6D(1/3)	AIRPORT DR (5)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 470 N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM $$	2.63	50%
	K-10, L-7	P6D	AIRPORT DR (6)	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO HARRY	0.09	50%
	40		Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & SMITH ST		100%
	41		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR		50%
	52	ion	Roundabout	WILSON CREEK PKWY & PARK VIEW AVE		100%
	53	ect	Signal	WILSON CREEK PKWY & COLLEGE ST		100%
	54	Intersection	Signal	AIRPORT DR & ELM ST		50%
	62	In	Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & STEWART RD		50%
	63		Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 & AIRPORT DR		75%
	69		Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & ENTERPRISE DR		50%

Table 2.L. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area L

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	K-4, L-1	P6D(1/3)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (2)	AIRPORT DR TO 980' E OF AIRPORT DR	0.19	50%
	K-5, L-2	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (3)	975' E OF AIRPORT DR TO 510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	0.60	50%
	L-3	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (1)	510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 1,335' E OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	0.35	100%
	L-4	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (2)	3,480' W OF UNNAMED D TO UNNAMED D	0.66	100%
	L-5	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (1)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) TO 1,865' E OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	0.35	100%
	K-9, L-6	P6D(1/3)	AIRPORT DR (5)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM $$546$$	2.63	50%
L	K-10, L-7	P6D	AIRPORT DR (6)	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	0.09	50%
	L-8	P6D	UNNAMED C (3)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 2,435' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	0.46	100%
	L-9, M-5	P6D	UNNAMED C (4)	2,525' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 1,230' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	0.25	50%
	L-10, M-6	P6D	UNNAMED C (5)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 705' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	0.87	50%
	41		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR		50%
	42	u	Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & UNNAMED C		50%
	54	Stio	Signal	AIRPORT DR & ELM ST		50%
	63	rrse	Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 & AIRPORT DR		75%
	70	Intersection	Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)		100%
	74		Signal	UNNAMED C & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)		50%






Table 2.M. 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan – Service Area M

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area
	M-1	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (2)	110' E OF UNNAMED C TO 300' E OF UNNAMED C	0.04	50%
	M-2	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 2,050' N OF 546 (N) (3) 1,700' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 2,050' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		0.07	50%
	M-3	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 405' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 675' 546 (N) (4) HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		0.05	50%
M	M-4 P6D		P6D HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (3) UNNAMED C TO 695' E OF UNNAMED C		0.13	100%
	L-9, M-5 P6D		UNNAMED C (4)	2,525' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 1,230' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		50%
	L-10, M-6	P6D	UNNAMED C (5)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 705' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	0.87	50%
	64	Inter-	Signal	UNNAMED C & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)		50%
	74	section	Signal	UNNAMED C & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)		50%

Note: The 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP is not in a prioritized order.









IV. METHODOLOGY FOR ROADWAY IMPACT FEES

A. Service Areas

The thirteen (13) service areas used in the 2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update are shown in the previously referenced Exhibit 1. These service areas cover the entire corporate boundary of the City of McKinney. Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code specifies that "the service area is limited to an area within the corporate boundaries of the political subdivision and shall not exceed six (6) miles." The service areas in the 2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update are approximately the same as in the previous impact fee update (adopted in 2013) with some minor modifications to accommodate recent annexations and the realignment of roadways that were formerly used as boundaries.

B. Service Units

The "service unit" is a measure of consumption or use of the capital facilities by new development. In other words, it is the unit of measure used in the 2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update to quantify the supply and demand for roads in the City. For transportation purposes, the service unit is defined as a vehicle-mile. Below is the definition for vehicle-mile.

<u>Vehicle-Mile</u>: The capacity consumed in a single lane in the PM peak hour by a vehicle making a trip one mile in length. The PM Peak is used as the basis for transportation planning and the estimation of trips caused by new development.

<u>Total Vehicle-Miles of Supply</u>: Based on the total length (miles), number of lanes, and capacity (vehicles per hour) provided by the Master Thoroughfare Plan (see Appendix B).

<u>Total Vehicle-Miles of Demand</u>: Based on the 10-year growth projections (Pg. 47). The demand is equal to PM Trip Rate (trips) * Trip Length (miles).

The capacity values used in the 2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update are based upon generally accepted thoroughfare capacity criteria. Tables 3A and 3B show the service volumes as a function of the facility classification and type.





For "Partial Widening" projects, no existing volume was assumed and only the additional capacity of the new lanes were assumed for the calculation of impact fees, unless a previous contribution from the City existed. If this was the case, the existing volume was accounted for along with the ultimate cross section.







Table 3A. Service Volumes for Proposed Facilities

(used in Appendix B – Roadway Impact Fee RIP Service Units of Supply)

Proposed Cross Section	Facility Classification	Median Configuration	Hourly Vehicle-Mile Capacity per Lane-Mile of Roadway Facility
G6D	Greenway Arterial	Divided	700
P6D	Principal Arterial	Divided	780
M6D	Major Arterial	Divided	700
G4D	Greenway Arterial	Divided	700
M4D	Minor Arterial	Divided	700
M4U	Minor Arterial	Undivided	525
M3U	Minor Arterial	Undivided	550
2UO	Town Thoroughfare	Undivided	500

Table 3B. Service Volumes for Existing Facilities

(used in Appendix C – Existing Roadway Facilities Inventory)

Roadway		Hourly Vehicle-Mile
	Description	Capacity per Lane-Mile of
Туре		Roadway Facility
2UG	Rural Two-lane Undivided Cross-Section	150
	(i.e., gravel, dirt, etc.)	100
2U	Two-lane Undivided	475
2UO	Two-lane Undivided One-way	475
3U	Three-lane Undivided (TWLTL)	525
4U	Four-lane Undivided	525
4D	Four-lane Divided	700
5U	Five-lane Undivided (TWLTL)	625
5D	Five-lane Divided	700
6D	Six-lane Divided	780





C. Cost Per Service Unit

A fundamental step in the impact fee process is to establish the cost for each service unit. In the case of the Roadway Impact Fee, this is the cost for each vehicle-mile of travel. Thus, it is the cost to construct a roadway (lane-mile) needed to accommodate a vehicle-mile of travel. The cost per service unit is calculated for each service area based on the roadway projects within that service area.

The second component of the cost per service unit is the determination of the number of service units in each service area. This number is the measure of the growth in transportation demand that is projected to occur in the ten-year period. Chapter 395 requires that Impact Fees be assessed only to pay for growth projected to occur in the city limits within the next ten-years. As noted earlier, the units of demand are vehicle-miles of travel.

D. Roadway Impact Fee RIP Costing Methodology

All of the project costs for a facility which serves the overall transportation system are eligible to be included in the RIF RIP. Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code specifies that the allowable costs are "...including and limited to the:

- 1. Construction contract price;
- Surveying and engineering fees;
- 3. Land acquisition costs, including land purchases, court awards and costs, attorney's fees, and expert witness fees; and
- 4. Fees actually paid or contracted to be paid to an independent qualified engineer or financial consultant preparing or updating the Roadway Improvements Plan who is not an employee of the political subdivision."

The engineer's opinion of the probable costs of the projects in the RIF RIP is based, in part, on the calculation of a unit cost of construction. This means that a cost per linear foot of roadway is calculated based on an average price for the various components of roadway construction. This allows the probable cost to be determined by the type of facility being constructed, the number of lanes, and the length of the project. The cost for location specific items such as bridges, drainage structures, railroad crossings, or any other special






components are added to each project, as appropriate. In addition, projects in which the City has contributed a portion, or all, of the project costs have been included in the RIP as lump sum projects. For future projects on the state highway system, a separate costing methodology was utilized that incorporated design criteria and unit prices unique to state highway projects. Based upon discussions with City of McKinney staff, it was determined that on average, 50% of TxDOT projects would be funded by the City. The following is a detailed description of the costing worksheet/ methodology for the Roadway Impact Fee RIP.

1. Overview of Roadway Impact Fee RIP Costing Worksheets

For each project a specific costing worksheet was developed (see Appendix A). Each worksheet contains project information, construction pay items, construction component allowances, and a summary of costs and allowances. An example of the costing sheets can be



specific project.

31





2. Project Information

In order to correctly estimate the cost of a roadway project, several attributes are first identified:

- <u>Project Number</u> Identifies which Service Area the project is in with a corresponding number. The corresponding number does not represent any prioritizations and is used only to identify projects. For example, Project E-7 is in Service Area E and is the 7th project on the list.
- Name A unique identifier for each project.
- Limits Represents the beginning and ending location for each project.
- Impact Fee Class The costing class to be used in the analysis. The impact fee class provides the width and depth for the various elements in the facility cross section. The construction costs are variable, based on the proposed Master Thoroughfare Plan classification of the roadway. Additional classifications are utilized in cases where a portion of the facility currently exists, and the road is only to be widened. The following notations are used for these projects:
 - o "(1/6)" for future six-lane facilities where one additional lane is needed;
 - o "(1/3)" for future six-lane facilities where two additional lanes are needed;
 - o "(1/2)" for facilities where half the facility still needs to be constructed;
 - o "(2/3)" for future six-lane facilities where four additional lanes are needed.
- <u>Thoroughfare Class</u> The ultimate classification according to the Master Thoroughfare Plan.
- <u>Description</u> Describes the type of project identified. Includes; New, Widening, Partial Widening, and Previously Completed. Other specialized situations are noted such as previous City contributions.
- <u>Length (ft)</u> The distance measured in feet that is used to cost out the project.
- <u>Service Area(s)</u> Represents the service area where the project is located. Multiple service areas will be listed if the project lies along a service area boundary.





3. Construction Cost Pay Items

A typical roadway project consists of a number of costs, including the following: planning, survey, design engineering, permitting, right-of way acquisition, construction, and inspection. While the construction cost component of a project may actually consist of approximately 100 various pay items, a simplified approach was used for developing the conceptual level project costs. The pay items for both City and TxDOT roads are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Construction Cost Pay Items

City Pay Items	TxDOT Pay Items
Unclassified Street Excavation	Unclassified Street Excavation
Lime Treated Subgrade	Lime Treated Subgrade
Concrete Pavement	HMAC Underlayment
Top Soil	Concrete Pavement
Curb and Gutter	 Top Soil
Turn Lanes and Median Openings	Curb and Gutter
Moisture Conditioning	 Turn Lanes and Median Openings

4. Construction Component Allowances

A percentage of the paving construction cost is allotted for various major construction component allowances, as appropriate. These allowances include traffic control, pavement markings, drainage, water/sewer adjustments, turf/erosion control, and illumination. If the project type is "New," traffic control was set to 1% and water/sewer adjustments were set to 0%. If the project is a "Partial Widening" drainage, water/sewer adjustments, and illumination were set to 0%. It is to be noted that this percentage is taken from the roadway construction cost.

An allotment of 5% was given for mobilization and site preparation based on the paving and allowance subtotal. In addition, contingency of 15% was given based on the construction cost total.





Lump sum dollar allowances are provided for special drainage structures and railroad crossings. The dollar amount for the drainage structure is based on project type and crossing length and has a minimum amount of \$250,000. An allotment of \$500,000 for railroad crossings were assumed.

5. RIP Allowances

To determine the total Impact Fee project cost, 20% of the construction with contingency cost is added for engineering, surveying, and testing. An additional allowance is given for right-of-way (ROW)/easement acquisition. New projects assumed a 35% allotment, Widening projects assumed a 20% allotment, and Partial Widenings assumed none. Additionally, City project cost contributions from 2008-2012 and from 2012-2019 were included, if applicable.

6. Impact Fee Project Cost

The Impact Fee Project Cost Total is then the Roadway Construction Items, Major Construction Component Allowances, and RIP Allowances. Based upon discussions with City of McKinney staff, TxDOT projects were included with a projected contribution of fifty percent (50%) of the total project. In addition, some projects have been included based on cost estimates from the City.

E. Summary of Roadway Impact Fee RIP Costs

Tables 5.A – 5.M are the 10-Year RIF RIP project lists for each service area with planning level project costs. Individual project cost worksheets can be seen in Appendix A, Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections. It should be noted that these tables reflect only conceptual-level opinions or assumptions regarding the portions of future project costs that are potentially recoverable through impact fees. Actual project costs are likely to change with time and are dependent on market and economic conditions that cannot be predicted.

The RIF RIP establishes the list of projects for which Impact Fees may be utilized. Projects not included in the RIF RIP are not eligible to receive impact fee funding. The cost projections utilized in this study should not be utilized for the City's construction CIP.

34





Table 5.A – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area A

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area	Total Project Cost	Cost in Service Area
	A-1, C-1	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (1)	635' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO CR 168	0.63	50%	\$ 7,715,900	\$ 3,857,950
	A-2, D-1	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (3)	CR 168 TO 1,560' E OF CR 168	0.30	50%	\$ 7,517,500	\$ 3,758,750
A	A-3	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (1)	2,635' N OF UNNAMED 5 TO UNNAMED 5	0.50	50%	\$ 4,679,450	\$ 2,339,725
				Servi	ce Area	Project	Cost Subtotal	\$ 9,956,425
				Roadway Impact Fee Life	date Co	st (Per S	ervice Area)	\$ 11.692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA A \$ 9,968,

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

Table 5.B – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area B

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area	Total Project Cost	Cost in Service Area
	B-1, D-2	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (4)	1,050' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO 1,200' E OF HARDIN BLVD	0.43	50%	\$ 4,904,200	\$ 2,452,100
	B-2	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (1)	CR 204 TO CR 206	0.08	50%	\$ 877,800	\$ 438,900
	B-3	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (2)	1,670' N OF OLYMPIC TO 730' S OF OLYMPIC	0.45	50%	\$ 4,837,000	\$ 2,418,500
- D	B-4	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (3)	1,940' N OF UNNAMED 5 TO UNNAMED 5	0.37	100%	\$ 4,090,450	\$ 4,090,450
В	1	Inter-	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & OLYMPIC		50%	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
	3	section	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & UNNAMED 5		50%	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
				Servi	ce Area	Project	Cost Subtotal	\$ 9,699,950
				Roadway Impact Fee Up	pdate Co	st (Per S	Service Area)	\$ 11,692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA B \$ 9,711,642

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.







Table 5.C – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area C

Area	Service	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length	% In Service	Tot	tal Project	Cos	t in Service
A-1, C-1	Area	110ј. п	Ciass	Roadway	Limits	(mi)	4		Cost		Area
C-3		A-1, C-1	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (1)	635' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO CR 168	0.63	50%	\$	7,715,900	\$	3,857,950
C-4		C-2	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (2)	RIDGE RD TO 1,505' E OF RIDGE RD	0.29	50%	\$	3,137,400	\$	1,568,700
C-5		C-3	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (1)	695' W OF CUSTER RD TO CUSTER RD	0.13	50%	\$	665,000	\$	332,500
C-6 GGD		C-4	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (2)	CUSTER RD TO 1,225' E OF CUSTER RD	0.23	100%	\$	1,171,800	\$	1,171,800
C-7		C-5	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (3)	1,225' E OF CUSTER RD TO 2,070' E OF CUSTER RD	0.16	50%	S	808,500	\$	404,250
C-8		C-6	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (4)	840' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 2,905' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	0.39	50%	\$	2,859,500	\$	1,429,750
C-9		C-7	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (5)	1,985' W OF RIDGE RD TO 1,230' E OF RIDGE RD	0.61	50%	\$	3,073,000	\$	1,536,500
C-10		C-8	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (1)	CUSTER RD TO 1,310' E OF CUSTER RD	0.25	100%	\$	3,179,400	\$	3,179,400
C-11		C-9	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (2)	1,310' E OF CUSTER RD TO 2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR	0.40	50%	\$	4,838,400	\$	2,419,200
C-12		C-10	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (3)	2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	1.00	100%	\$	13,314,000	\$	13,314,000
C-13		C-11	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (4)	3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 695' W OF RIDGE RD	0.26	50%	\$	3,292,800	\$	1,646,400
C-14 G4D WILMETH RD (1) 3,725' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR 0.86 100%		C-12	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (5)	695' W OF RIDGE RD TO RIDGÉ RD	0.13	100%	\$	1,453,200	\$	1,453,200
C-15		C-13	P6D(2/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (6)	3,400' W OF LAKE FOREST DR TO LAKE FOREST DR	0.64	50%	\$	4,650,800	\$	2,325,400
C-16 GAD(1/2) WILMETH RD (3) RIDGE RD TO 58SE OF RIPGE RD 0.11 100%		C-14	G4D	WILMETH RD (1)	3,725' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	0.86	100%	\$	8,845,850	\$	8,845,850
C-17 G4D(1/2) WILMETH RD (4) 1,095 E OF RIDGE RD TO 1,365° E OF RIDGE RD 0,05 100%		C-15	G4D	WILMETH RD (2)	815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 995' W OF RIDGE RD	0.63	50%	\$	6,200,600	\$	3,100,300
C-18		C-16	G4D(1/2)	WILMETH RD (3)	RIDGE RD TO 585' E OF RIDGE RD	0.11	100%	\$	1,593,000	\$	1,593,000
C-19		C-17	G4D(1/2)	WILMETH RD (4)	1,095' E OF RIDGE RD TO 1,365' E OF RIDGE RD	0.05	100%	\$	708,000	\$	708,000
C-20		C-18	P6D	CUSTER RD (1)	1,855' N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO LAUD HOWELL PKWY	0.35	50%	\$	1,834,000	\$	917,000
C-21 G4D STONEBRIDGE DR (2) UNNAMED 5 TO 1,280 S OF UNNAMED 5 0.24 100%		C-19	P6D	CUSTER RD (2)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,775 N OF BLOOMDALE RD	0.53	100%	\$	2,869,825	\$	2,869,825
C-22 G4D STONEBRIDGE DR (3) 1,570° S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 280° S OF WILMETH RD 1.51 100%		C-20	P6D	CUSTER RD (3)	2,655 N OF BLOOMDALE RD TO 375' N OF WILMETH RD	1.19	50%	\$	8,071,300	\$	4,035,650
C-23		C-21	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (2)	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,280' S OF UNNAMED 5	0.24	100%	\$	2,763,650	\$	2,763,650
C - 24		C-22	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (3)	1,570' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 280' S OF WILMETH RD	1.51	100%	\$	14,194,900	\$	14,194,900
C C-25 G4D STONEBRIDGE DR (6) 580' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO US HIGHWAY 380 0.11 100% C-26 M6D RIDGE RD (1) UNNAMED 5 TO 1,485' S OF UNNAMED 5 0.28 50% C-27 M6D RIDGE RD (2) LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BAXTER WELL RD 0.59 100% C-28 M6D RIDGE RD (3) BAXTER WELL RD TO 2,160' S OF BLOOMDALE RD 0.83 50% C-29 M6D RIDGE RD (4) 1,590' N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD 0.30 50% C-30 M6D(1/3) RIDGE RD (6) 1,860' N OF US HIGHWAY 380' TO 1390' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 0.09 50% C-31 M6D(1/3) RIDGE RD (6) 1,860' N OF US HIGHWAY 380' TO 1390' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 0.15 100% C-31 M6D(1/3) RIDGE RD (7) 775' N OF US HIGHWAY 380' TO 1390' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 0.15 100% C-32 M6D(1/3) LAKE FOREST DR (2) BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD 1.02 50% C-34, D-22 MGD(1/3) LAKE FOREST DR (3) WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380 0.98 50% 2		C-23	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (4)	280' S OF WILMETH RD TO 1,195' S OF WILMETH RD	0.17	50%	\$	2,117,300	\$	1,058,650
C-26 M6D		C-24	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (5)	1,195' S OF WILMETH RD TO 5,555' S OF WILMETH RD	0.83	100%	\$	8,232,050	\$	8,232,050
C-27 M6D RIDGE RD (2) LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BAXTER WELL RD 0.59 100%	C	C-25	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (6)	580' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO US HIGHWAY 380	0.11	100%	\$	1,030,750	\$	1,030,750
C-28 M6D RIDGE RD (3) BAXTER WELL RD TO 2,160' S OF BLOOMDALE RD 0.83 50%		C-26	M6D	RIDGE RD (1)	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,485' S OF UNNAMED 5	0.28	50%	\$	3,095,400	\$	1,547,700
C-29 M6D		C-27	M6D	RIDGE RD (2)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BAXTER WELL RD	0.59	100%	\$	7,267,950	\$	7,267,950
C-30 M6D(1/3) RIDGE RD (5) WILMETH RD TO 2,280 S OF WILMETH RD 0.43 100%		C-28	M6D	RIDGE RD (3)	BAXTER WELL RD TO 2,160' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	0.83	50%	\$	9,144,800	\$	4,572,400
C-31 M6D(1/3) RIDGE RD (6) 1,860° N OF US HIGHWAY 380 0.09 50%		C-29	M6D	RIDGE RD (4)	1,590' N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD	0.30	50%	\$	3,756,200	\$	1,878,100
C-32 M6D(1/3) RIDGE RD (7) 775 N OF US HIGHWAY 380 0.15 100%		C-30	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (5)	WILMETH RD TO 2,280' S OF WILMETH RD	0.43	100%	\$	7,411,200	\$	7,411,200
C-33 M6D(1/3) LAKE FOREST DR (2) BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD 1.02 50% C-34, D-22 M6D(1/3) LAKE FOREST DR (3) WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380 0.98 50% 2 Signal RIDGE RD & UNNAMED 5 2.5% 4 Signal LAUD HOWELL PKWY & CUSTER RD 75% 5 Signal LAUD HOWELL PKWY & RIDGE RD 50% 12 Intersection Improvement CUSTER RD & BLOOMDALE RD 50% 13 Signal BLOOMDALE RD & STONEBRIDGE DR 100% 14 Signal BLOOMDALE RD & RIDGE RD 50% 23 Signal BLOOMDALE RD & RIDGE RD 50% 23 Signal BLOOMDALE RD & LAKE FOREST DR 25% 24 Signal Signal BLOOMDALE RD & LAKE FOREST DR 100% 25 Roundabout LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD 100% 25 Roundabout LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD 50% 33 Signal Mod US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR 50% 34 Signal		C-31	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (6)	1,860' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO 1,390' N OF US HIGHWAY 380	0.09	50%	\$	1,455,000	\$	727,500
C-34, D-22 M6D(1/3) LAKE FOREST DR (3) WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380 0.98 50% 2 Signal RIDGE RD & UNNAMED 5 25% 4 Signal LAUD HOWELL PKWY & CUSTER RD 75% 5 Signal LAUD HOWELL PKWY & RIDGE RD 50% 12 Intersection Improvement CUSTER RD & BLOOMDALE RD 50% 13 Signal BLOOMDALE RD & STONEBRIDGE DR 100% 14 Signal BLOOMDALE RD & RIDGE RD 50% 15 Intersection Signal BLOOMDALE RD & RIDGE RD 25% 23 Signal SIGNERIDGE DR 100% 24 Signal SIGNERIDGE DR & WILMETH RD 100% 25 ROUNDADE RD & VILMETH RD 50% 33 Signal Mod US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR 50% 34 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY 50			M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (7)			100%	\$	2,857,200	\$	2,857,200
2 Signal RIDGE RD & UNNAMED 5 25% 4 Signal LAUD HOWELL PKWY & CUSTER RD 75% 5 Signal LAUD HOWELL PKWY & RIDGE RD 50% 12 Intersection Improvement CUSTER RD & BLOOMDALE RD 50% 13 Signal BLOOMDALE RD & STONEBRIDGE DR 100% 14 Signal BLOOMDALE RD & RIDGE RD 50% 15 Intersection Signal BLOOMDALE RD & RIDGE RD 25% 23 Signal STONEBRIDGE DR & WILMETH RD 100% 24 Signal WILMETH RD & RIDGEKNOLL AVE 100% 25 Roundabout LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD 50% 33 Signal Mod US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR 50% 34 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN 50% 35 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY 50%		C-33	M6D(1/3)	LAKE FOREST DR (2)		1.02	50%	\$	5,008,981	\$	2,504,491
A Signal LAUD HOWELL PKWY & CUSTER RD 75%		,	M6D(1/3)	LAKE FOREST DR (3)		0.98		\$	2,350,800	\$	1,175,400
5 Signal LAUD HOWELL PKWY & RIDGE RD 50% 12 Intersection Improvement CUSTER RD & BLOOMDALE RD 50% 13 Signal BLOOMDALE RD & STONEBRIDGE DR 100% 14 Signal BLOOMDALE RD & STONEBRIDGE DR 50% 15 Intersection Signal BLOOMDALE RD & RIDGE RD 50% 23 Signal BLOOMDALE RD & STONEBRIDGE RD 25% 23 Signal STONEBRIDGE DR & WILMETH RD 100% 24 Signal WILMETH RD & RIDGEKNOLL AVE 100% 25 Roundabout LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD 50% 33 Signal Mod US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR 50% 34 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN 50% 35 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY 50%		_		Signal			25%	\$	300,000	\$	75,000
12				Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & CUSTER RD		75%	\$	300,000	\$	225,000
13				Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & RIDGE RD		50%	\$	300,000	\$	150,000
Signal BLOOMDALE RD & RIDGE RD 50%				Intersection Improvement			50%	\$	150,000	\$	75,000
15 Intersection Signal BLOOMDALE RD & LAKE FOREST DR 25% 23 Signal STONEBRIDGE DR & WILMETH RD 100% 24 Signal WILMETH RD & RIDGEKNOLL AVE 100% 25 Roundabout LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD 50% 33 Signal Mod US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR 50% 34 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN 50% 35 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY 50%				Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & STONEBRIDGE DR		100%	\$	300,000	\$	300,000
23 Signal STONEBRIDGE DR & WILMETH RD 100% 24 Signal WILMETH RD & RIDGEKNOLL AVE 100% 25 Roundabout LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD 50% 33 Signal Mod US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR 50% 34 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN 50% 35 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY 50%								\$	300,000	\$	150,000
24 Signal WILMETH RD & RIDGEKNOLL AVE 100% 25 Roundabout LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD 50% 33 Signal Mod US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR 50% 34 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN 50% 35 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY 50%			Intersection					\$	300,000	\$	75,000
25 Roundabout LAKE FÖREST DR & WILMETH RD 50% 33 Signal Mod US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR 50% 34 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & FÖREST RIDGE LN 50% 35 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY 50%								\$	300,000	\$	300,000
33 Signal Mod US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR 50% 34 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN 50% 35 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY 50%								\$	300,000	\$	300,000
34 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN 50% 35 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY 50%								\$	1,830,000	\$	915,000
35 Signal US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY 50%								\$	150,000	\$	75,000
								\$	300,000	\$	150,000
		35		Signal				\$	300,000		150,000
Service Area Project											16,870,616
Roadway Impact Fee Update Cost (Per					Roadway Impact Fee U	pdate Co	st (Pers	Serv	ice Area)	\$	11,692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA C \$ 116,882,308

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.





Table 5.D – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area D

Service					T	% In	T-4-1 Di4	Cost in Service
Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	Service Area	Cost	Area
	A-2, D-1	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (3)	CR 168 TO 1,560' E OF CR 168	0.30	50%	\$ 7,517,500	\$ 3,758,750
	B-1, D-2	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (4)	1,050' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO 1,200' E OF HARDIN BLVD	0.43	50%	\$ 4,904,200	\$ 2,452,100
	D-3	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (6)	LAKE FOREST DR TO 1860' E OF HARDIN BLVD	2.65	100%	\$ 39,497,100	\$ 39,497,100
	D-4	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (7)	4,170' N OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 240' N OF TRINITY FALLS	0.74	100%	\$ 8,774,550	\$ 8,774,550
	D-5	G6D(1/3)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (8)	240' N OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO US HIGHWAY 75	0.38	100%	\$ 2,220,027	\$ 2,220,027
	D-6	G4D	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (1)	HARDIN BLVD TO 1,910' E OF HARDIN BLVD	0.36	100%	\$ 3,243,800	\$ 3,243,800
	D-7	G4D	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (2)	1,910' E OF HARDIN BLVD TO 2,675' E OF HARDIN BLVD	0.14	50%	\$ 1,300,600	\$ 650,300
	D-8	G4D	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (3)	COMMUNITY AVE TO 2,200' W OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	0.34	50%	\$ 5,976,800	\$ 2,988,400
	D-9	G4D	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (4)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,200' W OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	0.42	100%	\$ 8,418,050	\$ 8,418,050
	D-10	M6D(1/3)	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (5)	4,275' N OF WESTON RD TO LAUD HOWELL PKWY	1.05	100%	\$ 7,622,400	\$ 7,622,400
	D-11	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (7)	1,820' W OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO TAYLOR-BURK DR	0.34	50%	\$ 3,799,600	\$ 1,899,800
	D-12	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (8)	1,485' E OF CR 1006 TO 1,215' W OF CR 1007	0.29	100%	\$ 3,206,000	\$ 3,206,000
	D-13	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (9)	1,225' W OF CR 1007 TO CR 1007	0.23	50%	\$ 3,001,600	\$ 1,500,800
	D-14	P6D(2/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (10)	CR 1007 TO HARDIN BLVD	0.37	100%	\$ 5,885,810	\$ 5,885,810
	D-15	P6D(1/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (11)	HARDIN BLVD TO COMMUNITY AVE	0.49	100%	\$ 5,165,390	\$ 5,165,390
	D-16	G4D	WILMETH RD (5)	LAKE FOREST DR TO UNNAMED A	0.90	50%	\$ 10,991,050	\$ 5,495,525
1 .	D-17	G4D	WILMETH RD (6)	UNNAMED A TO 825' E OF UNNAMED A	0.16	100%	\$ 1,401,400	\$ 1,401,400
	D-18	G4D(1/2)	WILMETH RD (7)	825' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO 1,380' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR	0.11	100%	\$ 411,600	\$ 411,600
1 .	D-19	G4D	WILMETH RD (8)	1,380' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO HARDIN BLVD	0.42	50%	\$ 3,803,800	\$ 1,901,900
	D-20	M6D(1/3)	WILMETH RD (9)	HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75	1.16	100%	\$ 2,799,600	\$ 2,799,600
	D-21	G6D	LAKE FOREST DR (1)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 3,200'S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	0.61	50%	\$ 6,448,400	\$ 3,224,200
	C-34, D-22	M6D(1/3)	LAKE FOREST DR (3)	WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380	0.98	50%	\$ 2,350,800	\$ 1,175,400
	D-23	G4D	UNNAMED A (1)	5,785' N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,710' S OF LAUD HOWELL	1.61	100%	\$ 17,435,950	\$ 17,435,950
	D-24	G4D	UNNAMED A (2)	2,710' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BLOOMDALE RD	0.49	50%	\$ 4,598,850	\$ 2,299,425
	D-25	G4D	UNNAMED A (3)	1,105' N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD	0.21	50%	\$ 1,876,000	\$ 938,000
	D-26	M4D	UNNAMED A (4)	WILMETH RD TO HARDIN BLVD	0.75	100%	\$ 5,969,050	\$ 5,969,050
	D-27	M4D	TAYLOR-BURK DR (1)	HARDIN BLVD TO SKYLINE DR	0.28	100%	\$ 2,038,250	\$ 2,038,250
D	D-28	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (4)	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,550' S OF UNNAMED 5	0.29	50%	\$ 3,267,400	\$ 1,633,700
	D-29	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (5)	1,545' S OF UNNAMED 5 TO TRINITY FALLS PKWY	1.38	100%	\$ 21,290,800	\$ 21,290,800
1	D-30	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (6)	TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,815' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY	0.34	50% 100%	\$ 4,102,000 \$ 4,538,400	\$ 2,051,000
	D-31	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (7)	1,815' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,190' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	0.36	100%	, , , , , , , ,	\$ 4,538,400 \$ 1,070,400
	D-32 D-33	G6D(1/3) G6D(2/3)	HARDIN BLVD (8) HARDIN BLVD (9)	1,190' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO 3,590' S OF BLOOMDALE RD 3,590' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	0.45	50%	\$ 1,070,400 \$ 2,249,800	\$ 1,070,400 \$ 1,124,900
	D-33 D-34	G6D(2/3) G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (9)	WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380	1.23	100%	\$ 2,249,800	\$ 2,904,000
1	D-34 D-35	G6D(1/3) G4D	COMMUNITY AVE (1)	TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,275' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY	0.24	100%	\$ 2,364,000	\$ 2,164,400
	D-35 D-36	G4D(1/2)	COMMUNITY AVE (1)	1,275' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO BLOOMDALE RD	0.24	100%	\$ 1,960,000	\$ 1,960,000
1	D-30 D-37	M4U(1/2)	COMMUNITY AVE (2)	BLOOMDALE RD TO 2,305' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	0.30	100%	\$ 1,174,600	\$ 1,174,600
1	3	14140(1/2)	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & UNNAMED 5	0.44	50%	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
1	6		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & LAKE FOREST DR		50%	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
	7		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & UNNAMED A		100%	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
	8		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & HARDIN BLVD		100%	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
	9		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & TRINITY FALLS PKWY		75%	\$ 300,000	\$ 225,000
	10		Roundabout	TRINITY FALLS PKWY & COMMUNITY AVE		50%	\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000
	11		Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & LAUD HOWELL PKWY		50%	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000
	16		Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & UNNAMED A		25%	\$ 300,000	\$ 75,000
	17	Intersection	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & HARDIN BLVD		100%	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
	18		Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & COMMUNITY AVE		100%	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
	19		Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & BLOOMDALE RD		50%	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000
1 1	25		Roundabout	LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD		50%	\$ 1,830,000	\$ 915,000
	26		Roundabout	WILMETH RD & UNNAMED A		75%	\$ 200,000	\$ 150,000
	27		Roundabout	HARDIN BLVD & WILMETH RD		75%	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,575,000
	32		Roundabout	HARDIN BLVD & TAYLOR-BURK DR		100%	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
	36		Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD		50%	\$ 780,682	\$ 390,341
				Servi	ce Area	Project (Cost Subtotal	\$ 189,016,118
				Roadway Impact Fee U _I	date Co	st (Per S	Service Area)	\$ 11,692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA D \$ 189,027,810

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.





Table 5.E – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area E

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area	Total Project Cost	in Service Area
	E-1	P6D(1/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (12)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO REDBUD BLVD	0.48	100%	\$ 955,200	\$ 955,200
	E-2	P6D(2/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (13)	REDBUD BLVD TO AIRPORT DR	0.51	100%	\$ 3,361,400	\$ 3,361,400
	E-3	M6D(1/3)	WILMETH RD (10)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO 2,570' E OF REDBUD BLVD	0.78	100%	\$ 1,935,600	\$ 1,935,600
	E-4	M6D(2/3)	WILMETH RD (11)	2,570' E OF REDBUD BLVD TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	0.41	100%	\$ 2,608,200	\$ 2,608,200
	E-5	M6D	WILMETH RD (12)	STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 2,100' E OF SH 5	0.40	100%	\$ 5,068,500	\$ 5,068,500
	E-6	M6D	WILMETH RD (13)	2,100' E OF SH 5 TO 980' W OF AIRPORT DR	0.25	100%	\$ 2,804,200	\$ 2,804,200
	E-7	M6D	WILMETH RD (14)	980' W OF AIRPORT DR TO 235' E OF AIRPORT DR	0.23	50%	\$ 3,417,400	\$ 1,708,700
	E-8	M6D	WILMETH RD (15)	1,150' W OF FM 2933 TO 400' E OF FM 2933	0.29	50%	\$ 3,229,800	\$ 1,614,900
	E-9	M4D	REDBUD BLVD (1)	BLOOMDALE RD TO 2,930' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	0.55	100%	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000
	E-10	M4D(1/2)	REDBUD BLVD (2)	2,930' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	0.31	100%	\$ 852,600	\$ 852,600
	E-11	M6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (9)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO 2,620' S OF US HIGHWAY 75	0.50	50%	\$ 3,909,500	\$ 1,954,750
	E-12	M6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (10)	2,620' S OF US HIGHWAY 75 TO BLOOMDALE RD	0.31	100%	\$ 1,876,700	\$ 1,876,700
	E-13	P6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (11)	BLOOMDALE RD TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	0.16	100%	\$ 861,000	\$ 861,000
	E-14	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (1)	4,700' N OF AIRPORT DR TO 3,995' N OF AIRPORT DR	0.13	50%	\$ 695,100	\$ 347,550
	E-15	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (2)	1,915' N OF AIRPORT DR TO US HIGHWAY 380	2.27	100%	\$ 13,994,400	\$ 13,994,400
	E-16	P6D	AIRPORT DR (1)	STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 4,070 S OF STATE HIGHWAY 5	0.77	100%	\$ 9,379,050	\$ 9,379,050
E	E-17	P6D	AIRPORT DR (2)	WILMETH RD TO WOODLAWN RD (N)	0.53	100%	\$ 6,582,850	\$ 6,582,850
	E-18	P6D	AIRPORT DR (3)	WOODLAWN RD (N) TO WOODLAWN RD (S)	0.29	100%	\$ 3,648,400	\$ 3,648,400
	E-19	P6D	AIRPORT DR (4)	WOODLAWN RD (S) TO US HIGHWAY 380	0.73	100%	\$ 8,952,800	\$ 8,952,800
	E-20	P6D(1/3)	UNNAMED C (1)	410' E OF STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 3,010' E OF STATE HIGHWAY 5	0.49	100%	\$ 986,400	\$ 986,400
	E-21	P6D	UNNAMED C (2)	WILMETH RD TO 2,615' S OF WILMETH RD	0.50	50%	\$ 2,806,300	\$ 1,403,150
	11		Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & LAUD HOWELL PKWY		50%	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000
	19		Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & BLOOMDALE RD		50%	\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000
	20		Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & REDBUD BLVD		100%	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
	21		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & BLOOMDALE RD		100%	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
	22	Intersection	Signal Mod	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & LAUD HOWELL PKWY		100%	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
	28	Intersection	Signal	WILMETH RD & REDBUD BLVD		100%	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
	29		Signal Mod	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & WILMETH RD		100%	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000
	30		Signal	AIRPORT DR & WILMETH RD		50%	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
	31		Signal	UNNAMED C & WILMETH RD		50%	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
	41		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR		50%	\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000
				Servi Roadway Impact Fee U			Cost Subtotal Service Area)	 73,721,350 11,692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA E \$ 73,733,042

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

Table 5.F – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area F

	Service Area	Proj. #	Class		Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area	Total Project Cost	Cost in Service Area
4						No Impact Fee Eligible Roadway Projects				
	F			_		110 Impact ree Engale Roddwdy 110jecus				
									Cost Subtotal	
						Roadway Impact Fee Up	pdate Co	st (Per S	Service Area)	\$ 11,692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA F \$ 11,692

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.





Table 5.G – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area G

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area	Total Pr Cos		Cost in Service Area
	G-1	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (1)	COIT RD TO 500' W OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY	0.90	100%	\$ 2,15	8,800	\$ 2,158,800
	G-2	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (2)	500' W OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY TO 325' E OF FORKHORN DR	0.33	50%		4,400	\$ 397,200
	G-3	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (3)	325' E OF FORKHORN DR TO 935' W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR	0.28	50%		3,196	\$ 456,598
	G-4	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (4)	935' W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR TO CUSTER RD	0.51	100%	\$ 1,66	,	\$ 1,661,863
	G-5	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (5)	CUSTER RD TO 410' E OF DANBURY RD	0.49	100%		2,000	\$ 1,182,000
	G-6	M6D(1/6)	VIRGINIA PKWY (6)	410' E OF DANBURY RD TO VIRGINIA PARKLANDS BLVD	0.39	100%	\$ 39	2,400	\$ 392,400
	G-7	M6D(1/3)	WESTRIDGE BLVD (1)	COIT RD TO 1,635' E OF COIT RD	0.31	50%	\$ 74	5,200	\$ 372,600
	G-8	M6D(1/3)	WESTRIDGE BLVD (2)	1,635' E OF COIT RD TO 2,720' E OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY	1.22	100%	\$ 2,93	1,600	\$ 2,931,600
	G-9	M6D(1/3)	WESTRIDGE BLVD (3)	2,720' E OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY TO CUSTER RD	0.50	50%	\$ 1,19	2,800	\$ 596,400
	H-1, G-10	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	2.05	50%	\$ 5,20	2,000	\$ 2,601,000
	G-11	M6D(1/3)	COIT RD (1)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 2,610' S OF VIRGINIA PKWY	1.53	50%	\$ 3,68	1,600	\$ 1,840,800
	G-12	M6D(1/3)	COIT RD (2)	WESTRIDGE BLVD TO 2,595' S OF WESTRIDGE BLVD	0.49	50%	\$ 1,18	4,400	\$ 592,200
	G-13	M6D(1/3)	INDEPENDENCE PKWY (1)	2,380' S OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO 4,465' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	0.39	100%	\$ 95	1,600	\$ 951,600
	G-14	M6D(1/3)	INDEPENDENCE PKWY (2)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO 2,690' S OF WESTRIDGE BLVD	1.52	100%	\$ 3,66	6,000	\$ 3,666,000
	G-15	P6D	CUSTER RD (4)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO WESTRIDGE BLVD	2.03	100%	\$ 3,26	0,945	\$ 3,260,945
	G-16	P6D	CUSTER RD (5)	WESTRIDGE BLVD TO ELDORADO PKWY	1.02	100%	\$ 1,47	6,398	\$ 1,476,398
G	G-17, I-8	G4D	RIDGE RD (8)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO CREEKSIDE DR	1.30	50%	\$ 55	0,813	\$ 275,407
	33		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR		50%	\$ 15	0,000	\$ 75,000
	34		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN		50%	\$ 30	0,000	\$ 150,000
	37		Signal	STONEBRIDGE DR & LACIMA DR		100%	\$ 30	0,000	\$ 300,000
	38		Signal	RIDGE RD & HABERSHAM WAY		50%	\$ 34	3,000	\$ 171,500
	43		Signal	INDEPENDENCE PKWY & VIRGINIA PKWY		50%	\$ 8	0,000	\$ 40,000
	44		Under Construction	VIRGINIA PKWY & RIDGE RD		50%	\$ 39	0,341	\$ 195,171
	47	Intersection	Signal	INDEPENDENCE PKWY & WESTRIDGE BLVD		100%	\$ 30	0,000	\$ 300,000
	48		Under Construction	CUSTER RD & WESTRIDGE BLVD		75%	\$ 39	0,341	\$ 292,756
	49		Roundabout	STONEBRIDGE DR & GLEN OAKS DR		100%	\$ 1,95	0,000	\$ 1,950,000
	50		Roundabout	RIDGE RD & GLEN OAKS DR		50%	\$ 2,64	0,000	\$ 1,320,000
	55		Signal	STONEBRIDGE DR & ALMA DR		100%	\$ 30	0,000	\$ 300,000
1 1	56		Signal	RIDGE RD & RUSH CREEK RD		50%	\$ 30	0,000	\$ 150,000
	57		Signal	RIDGE RD & BERKSHIRE RD		50%	\$ 30	0,000	\$ 150,000
				Servi	ce Area	Project	Cost Sub	total	\$ 30,208,237
				Roadway Impact Fee U	pdate Co	st (Per S	Service A	rea)	\$ 11,692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA G \$ 30,219,929

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

Table 5.H – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area H

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area	Total Proje Cost	ct (Cost in Service Area
	H-1, G-10	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	2.05	50%	\$ 5,202,0	00 \$	2,601,000
	H-2	M4D(1/2)	SILVERADO TRL (1)	CUSTER RD TO BURNETT DR	0.37	100%	\$ 2,746,2	93 \$	2,746,293
	H-3	M4D	SILVERADO TRL (2)	ALMA DR TO ALFALFA DR	0.22	100%	\$ 141,0	38 \$	141,088
4	H-4	P6D(1/3)	STACY RD (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	2.03	100%	\$ 4,479,9	91 \$	4,479,991
	H-5	P6D	CUSTER RD (6)	ELDORADO PKWY TO STONEBRIDGE DR	0.39	100%	\$ 622,7	25 \$	622,725
	H-6	G4D	ALMA DR (1)	805' S OF BEAVER CREEK DR TO SILVERADO TRL	0.37	100%	\$ 239,8	50 \$	\$ 239,850
4	H-7	M6D(1/3)	ALMA DR (2)	SILVERADO TRL TO STACY RD	0.50	100%	\$ 1,522,1)2 \$	1,522,102
н	H-8, I-9	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (9)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY TO STACY RD	0.69	50%	\$ 1,662,0	00 \$	831,000
n	H-9	M6D(1/3)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (1)	RIDGE RD TO STACY RD	0.77	100%	\$ 1,857,6	00 \$	1,857,600
	65		Signal	CUSTER RD & SILVERADO TRL		50%	\$ 300,0	00 \$	150,000
	66		Signal	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY & SILVERADO TRL		100%	\$ 300,0	00 \$	300,000
	71	Intersection	Signal	STACY RD & MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY		100%	\$ 300,0	00 \$	300,000
	75	mersection	Signal	CUSTER RD & PARADISE DR		50%	\$ 300,0	00 \$	150,000
	76		Signal	STACY RD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		50%	\$ 300,0	00 \$	5 150,000
	77		Signal	ALMA DR & HENNEMAN WAY		100%	\$ 300,0	00 \$	300,000
				Servi	ce Area	Project	Cost Subtot	al \$	16,391,649
				Roadway Impact Fee U	odate Co	st (Per S	Service Are	a) 5	11,692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA H \$ 16,403,341

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.





Table 5.I – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area I

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area	Tot	al Project Cost	Cost in Service Area
	I-1	M6D	VIRGINIA PKWY (7)	1035' E OF RIDGE RD TO 1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD	1.43	100%	\$	2,567,378	\$ 2,567,378
	I-2	M6D	VIRGINIA PKWY (8)	1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO HARDIN BLVD	0.26	100%	\$	531,979	\$ 531,979
	I-3	G6D(1/3)							\$ 4,857,600
	I-4	M6D(1/3)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (2)	RIDGE RD TO HARDIN BLVD	1.80	100%	\$	4,338,000	\$ 4,338,000
	I-5	G4D	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (2)	LAKE FOREST DR TO COTTONWOOD CREEK	0.31	100%	\$	1,777,105	\$ 1,777,105
	I-6	G4D(1/2)	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (3)	COTTONWOOD CREEK TO 1110' E OF TINA DR	0.55	100%	\$	3,221,002	\$ 3,221,002
	I-7	G4D	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (4)	1110' E OF TINA TO HARDIN BLVD	0.19	100%	\$	1,101,893	\$ 1,101,893
	G-17, I-8	G4D	RIDGE RD (8)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO CREEKSIDE DR	1.30	50%	\$	550,813	\$ 275,407
	H-8, I-9	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (9)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY TO STACY RD	0.69	50%	\$	1,662,000	\$ 831,000
	I-10, J-6	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (11)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO VIRGINIA PKWY	1.58	50%	\$:	13,584,097	\$ 6,792,049
	I-11, J-7	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (12)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	2.64	50%	\$	6,208,800	\$ 3,104,400
	35		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY		50%	\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
	36		Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD		25%	\$	780,682	\$ 195,171
	38		Signal	RIDGE RD & HABERSHAM WAY		50%	\$	343,000	\$ 171,500
I	44		Under Construction	VIRGINIA PKWY & RIDGE RD		50%	\$	390,341	\$ 195,171
	45		Signal	VIRGINIA PKWY & JOPLIN DR		100%	\$	300,000	\$ 300,000
	46		Signal	VIRGINIA PKWY & VILLAGE DR		100%	\$	300,000	\$ 300,000
	50		Roundabout	RIDGE RD & GLEN OAKS DR		50%	\$	2,640,000	\$ 1,320,000
	51	Intersection	Roundabout	LAKE FOREST DR & GLEN OAKS DR		100%	\$	1,900,000	\$ 1,900,000
	56	mersection	Signal	RIDGE RD & RUSH CREEK RD		50%	\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
	57		Signal	RIDGE RD & BERKSHIRE RD		50%	\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
	58		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & MAVERICK TRL		50%	\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
	59		Signal	ELDORADO PKWY & WOODSON DR		100%	\$	300,000	\$ 300,000
	60		Signal	ELDORADO PKWY & HIGHLANDS DR		100%	\$	300,000	\$ 300,000
	72		Signal	LAKE FOREST DR & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		100%	\$	300,000	\$ 300,000
	73		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		50%	\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
	76		Signal	STACY RD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		50%	\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
		<u> </u>						Subtotal	\$ 35,579,653
				Roadway Impact Fee U	pdate Co	ost (Per S	Servi	ice Area)	\$ 11,692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA I \$ 35,591,345

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

Table 5.J – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area J

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area		al Project Cost	Cost in Service Area
	J-1	M4D	WHITE AVE (1)	HARDIN BLVD TO BOIS D'ARC RD	0.17	100%	\$	981,328	\$ 981,328
	J-2	M4D	WHITE AVE (2)	BOIS D'ARC RD TO COMMUNITY AVE	0.93	100%	\$	213,991	\$ 213,991
	J-3	M6D	VIRGINIA PKWY (9)	HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75	1.60	100%		3,267,873	
	J-4	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (3)	710' E OF US HIGHWAY 75 TO 1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY	1.08	100%	\$.	4,047,600	\$ 4,047,600
	J-5	M3U	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (5)	2,100' E OF HARDIN BLVD TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	0.33	100%	\$	1,953,000	\$ 1,953,000
	I-10, J-6	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (11)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO VIRGINIA PKWY	1.58	50%	\$ 1	3,584,097	\$ 6,792,049
4	I-11, J-7	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (12)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	2.64	50%	\$	6,208,800	\$ 3,104,400
	J-8	M4D	MEDICAL CENTER DR	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 1,685' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	0.32	100%	\$	2,240,000	\$ 2,240,000
	J-9, K-7	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)	640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO STATE HIGHWAY	0.47	50%	\$.	4,647,300	\$ 2,323,650
4	J-10, K-8	M4D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	0.67	50%	\$	2,223,200	\$ 1,111,600
т.	J-11	M4D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (6)	3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 4,520' S OF STATE HIGHWAY	0.19	50%	\$	627,900	\$ 313,950
,	36		Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD		25%	\$	780,682	\$ 195,171
	39	[Signal	WHITE AVE & JORDAN RD		100%	\$	300,000	\$ 300,000
	58	[Signal	HARDIN BLVD & MAVERICK TRL		50%	\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
	61	[Intersection Improvements	ELDORADO PKWY & CRAIG DR		100%	\$	150,000	\$ 150,000
	62	Intersection	Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & STEWART RD		50%	\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
	67	. [Signal	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		100%	\$	300,000	\$ 300,000
	68		Signal	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY & CRAIG DR		100%	\$	300,000	\$ 300,000
	69		Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & ENTERPRISE DR		50%	\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
	73		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		50%	\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
				Servi	ce Area	Project	Cost	Subtotal	\$ 28,194,611
				Roadway Impact Fee Up	pdate Co	st (Per S	Servi	ce Area)	\$ 11,692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA J \$ 28,206,303

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.





Table 5.K – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area K

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area	Tot	al Project Cost		in Service Area	
	K-1	2UO	VIRGINIA STREET	225' W OF AIRPORT DR TO AIRPORT DR	0.04	100%	\$	779,650	\$	779,650	
	K-2	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (4)	1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	0.40	100%	\$	1,699,200	\$	1,699,200	
	K-3	P6D(1/3)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (1)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO AIRPORT DR	1.46	100%	\$	1,839,600	\$	1,839,600	
	K-4, L-1	P6D(1/3)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (2)	AIRPORT DR TO 980' E OF AIRPORT DR	0.19	50%	\$	234,600	\$	117,300	
	K-5, L-2	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (3)	975' E OF AIRPORT DR TO 510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	0.60	50%	\$	3,267,400	\$	1,633,700	
	K-6	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (3)	INDUSTRIAL BLVD TO 640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	0.40	100%	\$	2,064,300	\$	2,064,300	
	J-9, K-7	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)	640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO STATE HIGHWAY 121	0.47	50%	\$	4,647,300	\$	2,323,650	
	J-10, K-8	M4D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	0.67	50%	\$	2,223,200	\$	1,111,600	
K	K-9, L-6	P6D(1/3)	AIRPORT DR (5)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	2.63	50%	\$	6,322,800	\$	3,161,400	
	K-10, L-7	P6D	AIRPORT DR (6)	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	0.09	50%	\$	2,925,200	\$	1,462,600	
	40		Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & SMITH ST		100%	\$	300,000	\$	300,000	
	41		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR		50%	\$	150,000	\$	75,000	
	52		Roundabout	WILSON CREEK PKWY & PARK VIEW AVE		100%	\$	1,820,000	\$	1,820,000	
	53	Intersection	Signal	WILSON CREEK PKWY & COLLEGE ST		100%	\$	300,000	\$	300,000	
	54	mersection	Signal	AIRPORT DR & ELM ST		50%	\$	300,000	\$	150,000	
	62		Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & STEWART RD		50%	\$	300,000	\$	150,000	
	63		Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 & AIRPORT DR		75%	\$	300,000	\$	225,000	
	69		Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & ENTERPRISE DR		50%	\$	300,000	\$	150,000	
	Service Area Project Cost Subtotal										
				Roadway Impact Fee Up	date Co	st (Per S	ervi	ice Area)	\$	11,692	

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA K \$ 19,374,692

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

Table 5.L – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area L

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area		al Project Cost		in Service Area
	K-4, L-1	P6D(1/3)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (2)	AIRPORT DR TO 980' E OF AIRPORT DR	0.19	50%	\$	234,600	\$	117,300
	K-5, L-2	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (3)	975' E OF AIRPORT DR TO 510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	0.60	50%	\$	3,267,400	\$	1,633,700
	L-3	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (1)	510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 1,335' E OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	0.35	100%		2,153,725		2,153,725
	L-4	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (2)	3,480' W OF UNNAMED D TO UNNAMED D	0.66	100%	\$	3,843,225	\$	3,843,225
	L-5	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (1)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) TO 1,865' E OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	0.35	100%	\$	2,166,900	\$	2,166,900
	K-9, L-6	P6D(1/3)	AIRPORT DR (5)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	2.63	50%	\$	6,322,800	\$	3,161,400
	K-10, L-7	P6D	AIRPORT DR (6)	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	0.09	50%	\$	2,925,200	\$	1,462,600
	L-8	P6D	UNNAMED C (3)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 2,435' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	0.46	100%	\$	5,807,850	\$	5,807,850
L	L-9, M-5	P6D	UNNAMED C (4)	2,525' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 1,230' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	0.25	50%	\$	2,830,300	\$	1,415,150
	L-10, M-6	P6D	UNNAMED C (5)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 705' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	0.87	50%	\$ 1	0,002,150	\$	5,001,075
	41		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR		50%	\$	150,000	\$	75,000
	42		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & UNNAMED C		50%	\$	000,000	\$	150,000
	54		Signal	AIRPORT DR & ELM ST		50%	\$	000,000	\$	150,000
	63	Intersection	Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 & AIRPORT DR		75%	\$	300,000	\$	225,000
	70		Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)		100%	\$	300,000	\$	300,000
	74		Signal		50%	\$	300,000	\$	150,000	
				Servio	ce Area	Project (Cost	Subtotal	\$ 2	27,812,925
				Roadway Impact Fee Up	date Co	st (Per S	Servi	ce Area)	\$	11,692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA L \$ 27,824,617

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.





Table 5.M – 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Projections – Service Area M

Service Area	Proj. #	Class	Roadway	Limits	Length (mi)	% In Service Area	Total Project Cost	Cost in Service Area
	M-1	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (2)	110' E OF UNNAMED C TO 300' E OF UNNAMED C	0.04	50%	\$ 196,075	\$ 98,038
	M-2	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (3)	1,700' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 2,050' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	0.07	50%	\$ 361,150	\$ 180,575
	M-3	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (4)	405' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 675' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	0.05	50%	\$ 279,000	\$ 139,500
	M-4	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (3)	UNNAMED C TO 695' E OF UNNAMED C	0.13	100%	\$ 719,975	\$ 719,975
M	L-9, M-5	P6D	UNNAMED C (4)	2,525' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 1,230' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	0.25	50%	2830300	\$ 1,415,150
	L-10, M-6	P6D	UNNAMED C (5)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 705' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	0.87	50%	\$ 10,002,150	\$ 5,001,075
	64	Inter-	Signal	UNNAMED C & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)		50%	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
	74	section	Signal	UNNAMED C & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)		50%	\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
				Servi	ce Area	Project (Cost Subtotal	\$ 7,854,313
				Roadway Impact Fee Up	date Co	st (Per S	Service Area)	\$ 11,692

Total Cost in SERVICE AREA M \$ 7,866,00

- a. These planning level cost projections have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Projects within the City of McKinney.
- b. These planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

F. Service Unit Calculation

The basic service unit for the computation of McKinney's RIF is the vehicle-mile of travel during the afternoon peak-hour (as explained on Pg. 27). To determine the cost per service unit, it is necessary to project the growth in vehicle-miles of travel for the service areas for the ten-year period.

The growth in vehicle-miles from 2019 to 2029 is based upon projected changes in residential units and non-residential growth for the period. To determine this growth, estimates of residential units, basic, service, and retail square footages for 2019 were made by the City, along with growth projections for each of these demographic statistics through 2029. The 2019 Land Use Assumptions details the growth estimates used for impact fee determination.

The population and non-residential square footage statistics in the Land Use Assumptions provides the "independent variables" that are used to calculate the existing (2019) and projected (2029) transportation service units used to establish the roadway impact fee maximum rates within each service area. The roadway demand service units (vehicle-miles) for each service area are the sum of the vehicle-miles "generated" by each category of land use in the service area.





For the purposes of impact fees, all developed and developable land is categorized as either residential or non-residential. For residential land uses, the existing and projected number of dwelling units are estimated. The number of dwelling units in each service area is multiplied by a *transportation demand factor* (discussed in more detail below) to compute the vehicle-miles of travel that occur during the afternoon peak hour. This factor indicates the average amount of demand created by the residential land uses in the service area.

For non-residential land uses, the process is similar. The Land Use Assumptions section of this report provides the existing and projected number of building square footages for three (3) categories of non-residential uses – basic, service, and retail. These categories correspond to an aggregation of other specific land use categories based on the North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS).

Building square footage is the most common independent variable for the estimation of non-residential trips in the *Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual*, 10th *Edition*. This characteristic is more appropriate than the number of employees, because building square footage is tied more closely to trip generation and is known at the time of application for any development that would require the assessment of an impact fee.

The existing and projected land use assumptions for the dwelling units and the square footage of basic, service, and retail land uses provide the basis for the projected increase in vehicle-miles of travel. As noted earlier, a *transportation demand factor* is applied to these values and then summed to calculate the total peak hour vehicle-miles of demand for each service area.

The transportation demand factors are aggregate rates derived from two sources – the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition and information from the National Household Travel Survey (NHTS) performed by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).

The ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition provides the number of trips that are produced or attracted to the land use for each dwelling unit, square foot of building, or other corresponding unit. For the retail category of land uses, the rate is adjusted to account for the fact that a percentage of retail trips are made by people who would otherwise be





traveling past that particular establishment anyway, such as a trip between work and home. These trips are called pass-by trips, and since the travel demand is accounted for in the land use calculations relative to the primary trip, it is necessary to discount the retail trip generation rates to avoid double counting trips.

The next component of the *transportation demand factor* accounts for the length of each trip. The average trip length for each category is based on the region-wide travel characteristics survey conducted by NHTS and other generally accepted planning principles.

The computation of the transportation demand factor is based on the following equation:

$$TDF = T * (1 - P_b) * L_{Max}$$
Where... $L_{Max} = min (L * OD or SA_L)$

Variables:

TDF = Transportation Demand Factor,

T = Trip Rate (peak hour trips / unit),

P_b = Pass-By Discount (% of trips),

= Average Trip Length (miles),

 L_{Max} = Maximum Trip Length (miles),

OD = Origin-Destination Reduction (50%); and

SA_L = Max Service Area Trip Length (see Table 6)

The maximum trip length, for land uses which are characterized by longer average trip lengths (primarily residential uses), has been limited to a length based on the nature of the roadway network within the service area, along with consideration of the existing City boundaries. Although Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code allows for a service area diameter of six (6) miles, the City of McKinney has set service area boundaries in anticipation of the ultimate corporate limits. Therefore, the individual service areas have different trip characteristics. In order to account for these differences, the values shown in Table 6 were used for calculation of the *transportation demand factor* for each service area.





Table 6. Maximum Trip Lengths by Service Area

Service Area	Max. Trip Length (mi)	Service Area	Max. Trip Length (mi)
А	0.50	Н	4.65
В	1.30	I	6.00
С	4.00	J	6.00
D	6.00	K	5.30
E	4.80	L	3.50
F	0.00	M	1.50
G	6.00		

The adjustment made to the average trip length statistic in the computation of the maximum trip length is the origin-destination reduction. This adjustment is made because the RIF is charged to both the origin and destination end of the trip. For example, impact fee methodology will account for a trip from home to work within McKinney to both residential and non-residential land uses. To avoid counting these trips twice as both residential and non-residential trips, a 50% origin-destination (OD) reduction factor is applied. Therefore, only half of the trip length is assessed to each land use, and the total trip is only counted once.





Tables 7A and 7B show the derivation of the *Transportation Demand Factor* for the residential land uses and the three (3) non-residential land use categories in Service Areas C and I. The values utilized for all variables shown in the *transportation demand factor* equation are also shown in the table.

Table 7.A. Transportation Demand Factor Calculations – SA C Examples

Variable	Single Family	Basic	Service	Retail
T	0.99	0.63	1.15	3.81
Pb	0%	0%	0%	34%
L _{Max} *	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.80
TDF	3.96	2.52	4.60	7.03

Table 7.B. Transportation Demand Factor Calculations – SA I Examples

Variable	Single Family	Basic	Service	Retail
T	0.99	0.63	1.15	3.81
P _b	0%	0%	0%	34%
L _{Max} *	4.90	5.01	6.00	2.80
TDF	4.85	3.16	6.90	7.03

The application of the demographic projections and the *transportation demand factors* are presented in the 10-Year Growth Projections in Table 8. This table shows the total vehiclemiles by service area for the years 2019 and 2029. These estimates and projections lead to the Vehicle-Miles of Travel for the 10-year period.





Table 8. 10-Year Growth Projections

Year 2019

SERVICE	RESIDE	ENTIAL VEHICL	E-MILES	S		SQUARE FEET	1	TRANS	. DEMAND FA	ACTOR ⁵	NON-	RESIDENTIA	L VEHICLE-N	IILES ⁹	TOTAL
AREA	POPULATION ¹	DWELLING UNITS ¹	TDF ²	VEHICLE MILES ³	BASIC	SERVICE	RETAIL	BASIC ⁶	SERVICE ⁷	RETAIL ⁸	BASIC	SERVICE	RETAIL	TOTAL	VEHICLE MILES ¹⁰
Α	306	115	0.50	58	23,500	0	81,515	0.32	0.58	1.26	8	0	103	111	169
В	2,834	973	1.29	1,255	0	0	16,699	0.82	1.50	3.26	0	0	54	54	1,309
С	8,429	2,959	3.96	11,718	227,746	632,125	278,982	2.52	4.60	7.03	574	2,908	1,961	5,443	17,161
D	11,213	3,343	4.85	16,214	0	3,104,234	1,255,451	3.16	6.90	7.03	0	21,419	8,826	30,245	46,459
Е	3,905	1,204	4.75	5,719	3,624,114	478,284	1,754,956	3.02	5.52	7.03	10,945	2,640	12,337	25,922	31,641
F	1,485	556	0.00	0	212,216	27,295	263,232	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0
G	50,272	17,987	4.85	87,237	899,720	1,889,230	2,428,620	3.16	6.90	7.03	2,843	13,036	17,073	32,952	120,189
Н	29,944	12,197	4.60	56,106	581,141	1,933,505	2,627,061	2.93	5.35	7.03	1,703	10,344	18,468	30,515	86,621
ı	39,502	13,959	4.85	67,701	352,879	2,397,595	1,433,682	3.16	6.90	7.03	1,115	16,543	10,079	27,737	95,438
J	24,011	10,072	4.85	48,849	1,649,518	2,754,401	3,513,500	3.16	6.90	7.03	5,212	19,005	24,700	48,917	97,766
K	20,558	7,651	4.85	37,107	5,125,000	2,871,086	2,325,009	3.16	6.10	7.03	16,195	17,514	16,345	50,054	87,161
L	182	75	3.47	260	561,885	499,422	82,826	2.21	4.03	7.03	1,242	2,013	582	3,837	4,097
M	370	164	1.49	244	66,320	14,572	0	0.95	1.73	3.77	63	25	0	88	332
Totals	193,011	71,255	<u> </u>	332,468	13,324,039	16,601,749	16,061,533				39,900	105.447	110,528	255,875	588,343

Year 2029

SERVICE	RESIDE	NTIAL VEHICL	E-MILES	3		SQUARE FEET		TRANS	. DEMAND F	ACTOR ⁵	NON-	RESIDENTIA	L VEHICLE-N	IILES ⁹	TOTAL
AREA	POPULATION ¹	DWELLING UNITS ¹	TDF ²	VEHICLE MILES ³	BASIC	SERVICE	RETAIL	BASIC ⁶	SERVICE ⁷	RETAIL ⁸	BASIC	SERVICE	RETAIL	TOTAL	VEHICLE MILES ¹⁰
Α	306	115	0.50	58	23,500	0	81,515	0.32	0.58	1.26	8	0	103	111	169
В	24,705	7,932	1.29	10,232	10,071	207,903	102,935	0.82	1.50	3.26	8	312	336	656	10,888
С	15,374	5,271	3.96	20,873	227,746	1,358,193	717,975	2.52	4.60	7.03	574	6,248	5,047	11,869	32,742
D	28,583	9,620	4.85	46,657	59,635	3,804,295	2,085,852	3.16	6.90	7.03	188	26,250	14,664	41,102	87,759
Е	6,411	2,077	4.75	9,866	6,106,522	678,020	2,480,150	3.02	5.52	7.03	18,442	3,743	17,435	39,620	49,486
F	2,198	674	0.00	0	232,107	99,078	475,970	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0	0
G	51,402	18,422	4.85	89,347	930,350	1,958,638	3,147,880	3.16	6.90	7.03	2,940	13,515	22,130	38,585	127,932
Н	38,383	15,692	4.60	72,183	596,073	3,740,251	3,414,730	2.93	5.35	7.03	1,746	20,010	24,006	45,762	117,945
-	43,720	15,567	4.85	75,500	464,983	2,650,436	2,633,350	3.16	6.90	7.03	1,469	18,288	18,512	38,269	113,769
J	28,123	11,462	4.85	55,591	1,876,524	4,487,519	4,288,130	3.16	6.90	7.03	5,930	30,964	30,146	67,040	122,631
K	22,258	8,290	4.85	40,207	5,629,952	3,118,346	2,656,021	3.16	6.10	7.03	17,791	19,022	18,672	55,485	95,692
L	182	75	3.47	260	1,159,239	612,935	99,263	2.21	4.03	7.03	2,562	2,470	698	5,730	5,990
M	439	187	1.49	279	237,897	46,200	13,786	0.95	1.73	3.77	226	80	52	358	637
Totals	262,084	95,384		421,053	17,554,599	22,761,814	22,197,557				51,884	140,902	151,801	344,587	765,640

VEHICLE-MILES OF INCREASE (2019 - 2029)11

SERVICE	\/EII MII EQ
AREA	VEH-MILES
Α	0
В	9,578
С	15,582
D	41,299
Е	17,845
F	0
G	7,742
Н	31,324
-	18,332
J	24,864
K	8,530
١	1,893
М	304
Total	177,293

Notes:

- ¹ From 2019 Land Use Assumptions Report
- ² Transportation Demand Factor for each Service Area (from LUVMET) using Single Family Detached Housing land use and trip generation rate
- ³ Calculated by multiplying TDF by the number of dwelling units
- ⁴ From 2019 Land Use Assumptions Report
- 5 Trip generation rate and Transportation Demand Factors from LUVMET for each land use
- $^{\rm 6}$ 'Basic' corresponds to General Light Industrial land use and $\it trip\ generation\ rate$
- ⁷ 'Service' corresponds to General Office land use and *trip generation rate*
- ⁸ 'Retail' corresponds to Shopping Center land use and *trip generation rate*
- ⁹ Calculated by multiplying Transportation Demand Factor by the number of thousand square feet for each land use
- ¹⁰ Residential plus non-residential vehicle-mile totals for each Service Area
- ¹¹ Total Vehicle-Miles (2019) subtracted from Total Vehicle Miles (2029)





V. ROADWAY IMPACT FEE CALCULATION

A. Maximum Assessable Impact Fee Per Service Unit

This section presents the maximum assessable impact fee rate calculated for each service area. The maximum assessable impact fee is the sum of the eligible RIF RIP costs for the service area divided by the growth in travel attributable to new development projected to occur within the 10-year period. A majority of the components of this calculation have been described and presented in previous sections of this report. The purpose of this section is to document the computation for each service area and to demonstrate that the guidelines provided by Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code have been addressed.

Table 9 illustrates the computation of the maximum assessable impact fee computed for each service area. Each row in the table is numbered to simplify explanation of the calculation.

Table 9. Maximum Assessable Roadway Impact Fee Computation

Line	Title	Description
	Total Vehicle-Miles of	The total number of vehicle-miles added to the service area based on
1	Capacity Added by the	the capacity, length, and number of lanes in each project (from
	RIF RIP	Appendix B – RIF RIP Units of Supply)

Each project identified in the RIP will add a certain amount of capacity to the City's roadway network based on its length and classification. This line displays the total amount added within each service area.

2	Total Vehicle-Miles of Existing Demand	A measure of the amount of traffic currently using the roadway facilities upon which capacity is being added. (from Appendix B –
	Lasting Demand	RIF RIP Units of Supply)

A number of facilities identified in the RIF RIP have traffic currently utilizing a portion of their existing capacity. This line displays the total amount of capacity along these facilities currently being used by existing traffic.

		<u></u>
	Total Vehicle-Miles of	Number of vehicle-miles of travel that are not accommodated by the
3	Existing Deficiencies	existing roadway system (from Appendix C – Existing Facilities
	Existing Deficiencies	Inventory)

In order to ensure that existing deficiencies on the City's roadway network are not recoverable through impact fees, this line is based on the entire roadway network within the service area. Any roadway within the service area that is deficient – even those not identified on the Impact Fee RIP – will have these additional trips removed from the calculation.





1	Net Amount of Vehicle-	A measurement of the amount of vehicle-miles added by the RIP that
4	Miles of Capacity Added	will not be utilized by existing demand (Line 1 – Line 2 – Line 3)

This calculation identifies the portion of the Impact Fee RIP (in vehicle-miles) that may be recoverable through the collection of impact fees.

5	Total Cost of the RIP within the Service Area	The total cost of the projects within each service area (from Table 5: 10-Year Roadway Impact Fee RIP with Conceptual Level Cost Opinions)
---	--------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

This line simply identifies the total cost of all of the projects identified in each service area.

6	Cost of Net Capacity Supplied	The total RIP cost (Line 5) prorated by the ratio of Net Capacity Added (Line 4) to Total Capacity Added (Line 1). [(Line 4 / Line 1) * (Line 5)]
---	----------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Using the ratio of vehicle-miles added by the Impact Fee RIP available to serve future growth to the total vehicle-miles added, the total cost of the Impact Fee RIP is reduced to the amount available for future growth (i.e. excluding existing usage and deficiencies).

7	,	Cost to Meet Existing	The difference between the Total Cost of the RIP (Line 5) and the
/		Needs and Usage	Cost of the Net Capacity supplied (Line 6). (Line 5 – Line 6)

This line is provided for information purposes only – it is to present the portion of the total cost of the Impact Fee RIP that is required to meet existing demand.

	Total Vehicle-Miles of	Based upon the growth projection provided in the Land Use
8	New Demand over Ten	Assumptions, an estimate of the number of new vehicle-miles within
	Years	the service area over the next ten years. (from Table 8)

This line presents the amount of growth (in vehicle-miles) projected to occur within each service area over the next ten years.

Q	Percent of Capacity Added Attributable to	The result of dividing Total Vehicle-Miles of New Demand (Line 8) by the Net Amount of Capacity Added (Line 4), limited to 100% (Line
	New Growth	10). This calculation is required by Chapter 395 to ensure capacity
10	Chapter 395 Check	added is attributable to new growth.

In order to ensure that the vehicle-miles added by the RIF RIP do not exceed the amount needed to accommodate growth beyond the ten-year window, a comparison of the two values is performed. If the amount of vehicle-miles added by the RIF RIP exceeds the growth projected to occur in the next ten years, the RIF RIP cost is reduced accordingly.

-	J	Cost of Capacity Added	The result of multiplying the Cost of Net Capacity Added (Line 6) by
	11	Attributable to New	the Percent of Capacity Added Attributable to New Growth, limited
		Growth	to 100% (Line 9).

This value is the total Impact Fee RIP project costs (excluding financial costs) that may be recovered through impact fees. This line is determined considering the limitations to impact fees required by the Texas legislature.





B. Plan for the Roadway Impact Fee Credit

Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code requires the RIF RIP to contain specific enumeration of a plan for awarding the impact fee credit. Section 395.014 of the Code requires:

- (A) a credit for the portion of ad valorem tax and utility service revenues generated by new service units during the program period that is used for the payment of improvements, including the payment of debt, that are included in the capital improvements plan; or
- (B) In the alternative, a credit equal to 50 percent of the total projected cost of implementing the Capital Improvements Plan..."

The plan following 395.014(T)(A) is summarized, as prepared by NewGen Strategies & Solutions, Inc., in Appendix D and E, Plan for Awarding the Roadway Impact Fee Credit. The following table summarizes the portions of Table 9 that utilize this credit calculation.

Table 9 (Cont'd). Maximum Assessable Roadway Impact Fee Computation

Line	Title	Description						
12	Financing Costs	(from Appendix D – Plan for Awarding the Roadway Impact Fee Credit)						
13	Existing Fund Balance	(from Appendix D – Plan for Awarding the Roadway Impact Fee Credit)						
14	Interest Earnings	(from Appendix D – Plan for Awarding the Roadway Impact Fee Credit)						
15	Cost of the RIP and Financing Attributable to New Growth	The sum of the Cost of Capacity Added Attributable to New Growth, Financing Costs, and Interest Earnings. (Line 11 + Line 12 + Line 13+Line 14)						
16	Pre-Credit Maximum Fee Per Service Unit	Found by dividing the Cost of the RIP and Financing Attributable to New Growth (Line 15) by the Total Vehicle-Miles of New Demand Over Ten Years (Line 8). (Line 15 / Line 8)						
17	Credit for Ad Valorem Taxes	A credit for the portion of ad valorem taxes projected to be generated by the new service units, as per Section 395.014 of the Local Government Code. (from Appendix D – Plan for Awarding the Roadway Impact Fee Credit)						
18	Recoverable Cost of RIP and Financing	The difference between the Cost of the RIP and Financing Attributable to New Growth (Line 15) and the Credit for Ad Valorem Taxes (Line 17). (Line 15 + Line 17)						
19	Maximum Assessable Fee Per Service Unit	Found by dividing the Recoverable Cost of the RIP and Financing (Line 18) by the Total Vehicle-Miles of New Demand Over Ten Years (Line 8). (Line 18 / Line 8)						





C. Financial Component of Impact Fee Determination

The impact fee determination method employed by NewGen Strategies and Solutions, LLC is developed through a financial based model, which fully recognizes the requirements of Chapter 395, including the recognition of cash and/or debt financing, interest earnings, fund balances, and applicable credits associated with the use of ad valorem taxes. In developing the components of the financial model several assumptions must be made, including the following:

- Financing
 - Method of financing (i.e. cash or debt financing)
 - The level of financing (e.g. 100% debt)
 - Cost of financing
 - Debt repayment structure
- Timing and Level of Expenditures and Revenues
- Interest Earnings
- Annual Vehicle Mile Growth
- Portion of Ad Valorem Tax Revenue Used to Fund Impact Fee Roadway Improvements

The assumptions employed in the maximum assessable impact fee determination provide a reasonable basis for forecasting; however, it must be emphasized that these assumptions may not necessarily reflect actual future conditions. To address this, Chapter 395 requires the monitoring of impact fees through the Capital Improvements Advisory Committee and allows for the option to update or revise impact fees to reflect the actual implementation of the impact fee program.

Once the cost of capacity added that is attributable to growth (Table 10 - line 11) is determined, it must then be decided how the cost will be financed: cash and/or debt. For any previously funded projects, whether partially funded or in full, actual costs of capital have been included. Based on discussions with City staff, unless specific funding has already been determined, it is assumed that the City will debt finance 50% of the future project costs, and the remaining 50% with cash.





For debt financing, the cost of financing is based on the City staff estimates of future debt costs for bonds issued with 20-year terms, as shown in Appendix E. Debt service payments for each future debt issue are assumed to remain constant over the issue's term.

Currently, the exact timing and annual level of cash capital expenditures over the forecast period is indeterminate; therefore, it is assumed that capital expenditures will occur in equal amounts over the 10-year program period. It is also assumed that for debt-financed capital projects, the City will expend debt proceeds over a 3-year timeframe. For the calculation of the maximum assessable impact fee, debt is assumed to be issued in equal amounts for each year. In order to recognize the full amount of debt to be issued for the cost of capacity added that is attributable to growth during the 10-year period, a portion of years 8, 9, and 10 are assumed to be spent in the final 3 years.

Because debt is issued over 20-year terms and impact fees developed herein are to be charged over a 10-year period, sufficient fund balance must be generated to meet the future debt service obligations. Fund balances were identified for each service area as a potential source for the current Impact Fee CIP. Because of the generation of the fund balance, excess monies will be available for interest earnings.

Chapter 395 states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are to be held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore, in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund only impact fee eligible improvements, interest earnings are credited against the costs recoverable through impact fees. It should be noted that Chapter 395 does not require the upfront recognition of interest earnings in the impact fee determination; however, in an effort to acknowledge the time value of the impact fee payers' monies, interest earnings have been credited. Interest is assumed to be earned at an annual rate of 2% per City staff.

As with the timing and level of the capital expenditures over the 10-year forecast, the timing and annual level of vehicle mile growth over the 10-year program period is indeterminate at the present time. As such, it is assumed that vehicle mile growth will be consistent over the 10-year forecast.





Chapter 395 requires a plan for awarding either a credit for the portion of ad valorem tax and/or utility service revenues generated by new vehicle miles during the program period that are used for payment of improvements that are included in the Roadway Impact Fee CIP. As an alternative, a credit equal to 50% of the total cost of implementing the Roadway Impact Fee CIP may be used. The City has elected to pursue the determination of a credit for the portion of ad valorem tax revenues generated by new vehicle miles during the program period that are used for payment of improvements that are included in the Roadway Impact Fee CIP. It should be noted that the credit is not a determination to recognize the total ad valorem tax revenue generated by new vehicle miles, but is only a credit for the portion of ad valorem tax revenue that is used for payment of improvements that are included in the Roadway Impact Fee CIP. Theoretically, the credit determination could be zero (\$0) if the City does not utilize any of the new vehicle mile ad valorem tax revenue to fund improvements that are included in the Roadway Impact Fee CIP. However, to be conservative and recognize potential cash flow issues that can occur with the funding of major capital improvement projects, it is assumed that the debt-funded projects (50% of the improvement costs included in the Roadway Impact Fee CIP but not otherwise funded) could potentially be funded by ad valorem tax revenue.

Since payments made through ad valorem tax revenue will consist of not only the revenue generated by new vehicle miles in the defined service area, but also existing property owners throughout the City, the portion attributable to the new vehicle miles in the defined service area must be isolated, as illustrated in the credit calculation in Appendix E.





Table 10. Maximum Assessable Roadway Impact Fee

	SERVICE AREA:	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	M
1	TOTAL VEH-MI OF CAPACITY ADDED BY THE RIP (FROM RIP UNITS OF SUPPLY, APPENDIX B)	2,653	3,570	43,092	59,041	36,038	0	29,072	19,076	22,925	12,550	10,297	14,785	3,565
2	TOTAL VEH-MI OF EXISTING DEMAND (FROM RIP UNITS OF SUPPLY, APPENDIX B)	0	27	2,321	1,923	3,602	0	10,520	7,085	6,301	7,106	3,061	2	0
3	TOTAL VEH-MI OF EXISTING DEFICIENCIES (FROM EXISTING FACILITIES INVENTORY, APPENDIX C)	0	0	55	663	427	0	0	113	507	1,163	1,972	302	0
4	NET AMOUNT OF VEH-MI OF CAPACITY ADDED (LINE 1 - LINE 2 - LINE 3)	2,653	3,543	40,716	56,455	32,009	0	18,552	11,878	16,117	4,281	5,264	14,481	3,565
5	TOTAL COST OF THE RIP WITHIN SERVICE AREA (FROM TABLE 4)	\$ 9,968,117	\$ 9,711,642	\$ 116,882,308 \$	189,027,810	\$ 73,733,042	\$ 11,692	\$ 30,219,929	\$ 16,403,341	\$ 35,591,345	\$ 28,206,303	\$ 19,374,692	\$ 27,824,617	\$ 7,866,005
6	COST OF NET CAPACITY SUPPLIED (LINE 4 / LINE 1) * (LINE 5)	\$ 9,968,117	\$ 9,638,193	\$ 110,437,669 \$	180,748,378	\$ 65,489,787	\$ -	\$ 19,284,539	\$ 10,213,823	\$ 25,021,841	\$ 9,621,608	\$ 9,904,669	\$ 27,252,504	\$ 7,866,005
7	COST TO MEET EXISTING NEEDS AND USAGE (LINE 5 - LINE 6)	\$ -	\$ 73,449	\$ 6,444,639 \$	8,279,432	\$ 8,243,255	\$ 11,692	\$ 10,935,390	\$ 6,189,518	\$ 10,569,504	\$ 18,584,695	\$ 9,470,023	\$ 572,113	\$ -
8	TOTAL VEH-MI OF NEW DEMAND OVER TEN YEARS (FROM TABLE 8 and Land Use Assumptions)	0	9,578	15,582	41,299	17,845	0	7,742	31,324	18,332	24,864	8,530	1,893	304
9	PERCENT OF CAPACITY ADDED ATTRIBUTABLE TO GROWTH (LINE 8 / LINE 4)	0.0%	270.3%	38.2%	73.1%	55.7%	0.0%	41.7%	263.7%	113.7%	580.7%	162.0%	13.0%	8.5%
10	IF LINE 8 > LINE 4, REDUCE LINE 9 TO 100%, OTHERWISE NO CHANGE	0.0%	100.0%	38.2%	73.1%	55.7%	0.0%	41.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	13.0%	8.5%
11	COST OF CAPACITY ADDED ATTRIBUTABLE TO GROWTH (LINE 6 * LINE 10)	\$ -	\$ 9,638,193	\$ 42,187,190 \$	132,127,064	\$ 36,477,811	\$ -	\$ 8,041,653	\$ 10,213,823	\$ 25,021,841	\$ 9,621,608	\$ 9,904,669	\$ 3,542,826	\$ 668,610
12	FINANCING COSTS (FROM APPENDIX D)	\$ -	\$ 2,272,855	\$ 9,640,068 \$	32,193,283	\$ 8,560,746	\$ -	\$ 2,552,974	\$ 2,942,747	\$ 7,106,451	\$ 2,915,731	\$ 2,590,329	\$ 829,021	\$ 157,670
13	EXISTING FUND BALANCE (FROM APPENDIX D)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,784,813) \$	(131,981)	\$ (129,689)	\$ -	\$ (448,086)	\$ (293,933)	\$ (5,189,258)	\$ (2,179,356)	\$ (779,111)	\$ (5,532)	\$ -
14	INTEREST EARNINGS (FROM APPENDIX D)	\$ -	\$ (1,349,526)	\$ (5,688,458) \$	(17,212,335)	\$ (5,036,323)	\$ -	\$ (1,137,019)	\$ (1,231,934)	\$ (3,301,274)	\$ (1,467,153)	\$ (1,427,017)	\$ (491,577)	\$ (94,613)
15	COST OF RIP AND FINANCING ATTRIBUTABLE TO GROWTH (LINE 11 + LINE 12 + LINE 13 + LINE 14)	\$ -	\$ 10,561,522	\$ 44,353,987 \$	146,976,031	\$ 39,872,546	\$ -	\$ 9,009,522	\$ 11,630,704	\$ 23,637,760	\$ 8,890,830	\$ 10,288,870	\$ 3,874,737	\$ 731,667
16	PRE-CREDIT MAX FEE PER SERVICE UNIT (\$ PER VEH-MI) (LINE 15 / LINE 8)	\$ -	\$ 1,103	\$ 2,846 \$	3,559	\$ 2,234	\$ -	\$ 1,164	\$ 371	\$ 1,289	\$ 358	\$ 1,206	\$ 2,047	\$ 2,407
17	CREDIT FOR AD VALOREM TAXES (FROM APPENDIX D)	\$ -	\$ (82,337)	\$ (601,239) \$	(5,004,090)	\$ (578,212)	\$ -	\$ (67,194)	\$ (333,123)	\$ (449,003)	\$ (257,003)	\$ (80,502)	\$ (5,956)	\$ (181)
18	RECOVERABLE COST OF RIP AND FINANCING (LINE 15 + LINE 17)	\$ -	\$ 10,479,185	\$ 43,752,749 \$	141,971,941	\$ 39,294,334	\$ -	\$ 8,942,328	\$ 11,297,580	\$ 23,188,757	\$ 8,633,827	\$ 10,208,369	\$ 3,868,782	\$ 731,486
19	MAX ASSESSABLE FEE PER SERVICE UNIT (\$ PER VEH-MI) (LINE 18 / LINE 8)	\$ -	\$1,094	\$2,808	\$3,438	\$2,202	\$ -	\$1,155	\$361	\$1,265	\$347	\$1,197	\$2,044	\$2,406

54





D. Service Unit Demand Per Unit of Development

The Roadway Impact Fee is determined by multiplying the impact fee rate by the number of service units projected for the proposed development. For this purpose, the City utilizes the Land Use/Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET), presented in Table 11. This table lists the predominant land uses that may occur within the City of McKinney. For each land use, the development unit that defines the development's magnitude with respect to transportation demand is shown. Although every possible use cannot be anticipated, the majority of local uses are found in this table. If the exact use is not listed, one similar in trip-making characteristics can serve as a reasonable proxy. The individual land uses are grouped into categories, such as residential, office, commercial, industrial, and institutional.

The trip rates presented for each land use is a fundamental component of the LUVMET. The trip rate is the average number of trips generated during the afternoon peak hour by each land use per development unit. The next column in Table 11, if applicable to the land use, presents the number of trips to and from certain land uses reduced by pass-by trips, as previously discussed.

The definitive source of the trip generation and pass-by statistics is the *ITE Trip Generation Manual*, 10th Edition, the latest edition. This manual utilizes trip generation studies for a variety of land uses throughout the United States, and is the standard used by traffic engineers and transportation planners for traffic impact analysis, site design, and transportation planning.

To convert vehicle trips to vehicle-miles, it is necessary to multiply trips by trip length. The trip length values are based on land use, as explained in Chapter IV, section F – Service Unit Calculation.





The remaining column in the LUVMET shows the vehicle-miles per development unit. This number is the product of the trip rate and the maximum trip length. This number, previously referred to as the *Transportation Demand Factor*, is used in the impact fee to compute the number of service units attributed to each land use category. The number of service units is multiplied by the impact fee rate (established by City ordinance) in order to determine the impact fee for a development.

E. Land Use Descriptions

In the process of assessing and collecting roadway impact fees within the City of McKinney, there are instances in which questions have arisen as to the appropriate application of the Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET) when the type of land use may seem to fit into multiple categories. Table 12 provides the City with a more detailed description of the various land uses for the *ITE Trip Generation Manual*, 10th Edition for a reference.

The LUVMET represents the travel demand factors for the most common utilized trip characteristics; however, the formula for the travel demand factor outlined on page 44 could be utilized for new land uses based upon the trip generation rates in a Traffic Impact Analysis that has been approved by the City of McKinney and follows best practices for trip generation as outlined by the ITE Trip Generation Handbook.

For "Flex Space" and similar types of developments which may provide more than one of the land uses provided in these tables, it is recommended that the City request that each land use on site be broken out and individual square footages be provided.





Table 11.A. Service Area A - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Land Use Category	ITE Land Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)	Pass- by Rate	Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - A	Veh-Mi Per Dev- Unit SA - A
PORT AND TERMINAL										0.50	
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	0.50	0.94
INDUSTRIAL		,,,,,,,									
General Light Industrial	110	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	0.50	0.32
Industrial Park	130	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	0.50	0.20
Warehousing	150	1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	0.50	0.10
Mini-Warehouse RESIDENTIAL	151	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	50%	5.01	0.30	0.09
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	0.50	0.50
Residentail Condominium / Townhome	220	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	0.50	0.28
Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit	0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	0.50	0.22
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	0.50	0.18
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing	231 240	Dwelling Unit Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79 9.79	50%	4.90 4.90	0.50	0.18
Senior Adult Housing	252	Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.26	9.79	50%	4.90	0.50	0.13
Congregate Care Facility	253	Dwelling Unit	0.18			0.18	9.79	50%	4.90	0.50	0.09
Assisted Living	254	Beds	0.26			0.26	9.79	▶ 50%	4.90	0.50	0.13
LODGING											
Hotel	310	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	0.50	0.30
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities RECREATIONAL	320	Rooms	0.38			0.38	6.43	50%	3.22	0.50	0.19
Golf Course	430	Holes	2.91			2.91	7.86	50%	3.93	0.50	1.46
Miniature Golf Course	431	Holes	0.33		-	0.33	7.86	50%	3.93	0.50	0.17
Golf Driving Range	432	Driving Positions	1.25			1.25	7.86	50%	3.93	0.50	0.63
Multiplex Movie Theater	445	Screens	13.73			13.73	15.77	50%	7.89	0.50	6.87
Ice Skating Rink	465	1,000 SF GFA	1.33			1.33	7.86	50%	3.93	0.50	0.67
Racquet / Tennis Club	491	Courts	3.82			3.82	7.86	50%	3.93	0.50	1.91
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities INSTITUTIONAL	495	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.86	50%	3.93	0.50	1.16
Primary/Middle School (1-8)	522	Students	0.17			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	0.50	0.09
High School (9-12)	530	Students	0.14			0.14	3.49	50%	1.75	0.50	0.07
Junior / Community College	540	Students	0.11			0.11	10.44	50%	5.22	0.50	0.06
University / College	550	Students	0.15			0.15	10.44	50%	5.22	0.50	0.08
Church Day Care Center	560 565	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	0.49	44%	В	0.49 6.23	8.31 3.49	50%	4.16 1.75	0.50	0.25 3.12
MEDICAL	303	1,000 SI GI A	11.12	44 /0	В	0.23	3.49	3070	1.75	0.50	3.12
Hospital	610	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	0.50	0.95
Nursing Home	620	Beds	0.22			0.22	9.85	50%	4.93	0.50	0.11
Clinic	630	1,000 SF GFA	3.28			3.28	9.85	50%	4.93	0.50	1.64
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	640	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	0.50	1.24
OFFICE General Office Building	710	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	0.50	0.58
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	1,000 SF GFA	0.60			0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	0.50	0.30
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	0.50	0.86
Medical / Dental Office	720	1,000 SF GFA	3.46			3.46	9.85	50%	4.93	0.50	1.73
Office Park	750	1,000 SF GFA	1.07			1.07	14.65	50%	7.33	0.50	0.54
COMMERCIAL Automobile Related											
New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	0.50	0.97
Automobile Parts Sales	843	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	0.50	1.40
Tire Store	848	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	0.50	1.44
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	0.50	1.46
Automobile Care Center Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	942 945	1,000 SF GLA Vehicle Fueling Positions	3.11 13.99	40% 56%	B A	1.87 6.16	4.45 1.20	50%	2.23 0.60	0.50	0.94 3.08
Self-Service Car Wash	947	Stalls	5.54	40%	B	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.50	1.66
Dining											
Sit-Down Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	44%	A	4.37	5.64	50%	2.82	0.50	2.19
High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	932	1,000 SF GFA	9.77	43%	A	5.57	5.64	50%	2.82	0.50	2.79
Fast Food Restaurant	934	1,000 SF GFA	32.67	50%	A	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	0.50	8.17
Coffee / Donut Shop Other Retail	937	1,000 SF GFA	43.38	70%	В	13.01	5.64	30%	2.82	0.50	6.51
Free-Standing Retail Store	815	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	30%	С	3.38	5.60	50%	2.80	0.50	1.69
Garden Center (Nursery)	817	1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30%	В	4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	0.50	2.43
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	0.50	1.26
Supermarket	850	1,000 SF GFA	9.24	36%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	0.50	2.96
Home Improvement Superstore	862	1,000 SF GFA	2.33	42%	A	1.35	5.60	50%	2.80	0.50	0.68
Toy / Children's Superstore Pharmacy / Drugstore	864 881	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	5.00 10.29	30% 49%	B A	3.50 5.25	5.60 5.60	50%	2.80	0.50	1.75 2.63
SERVICES	001	1,000 DF GFA	10.23	77/0	11	2.23	5.00	50/0	2.00	0.50	2.03
Walk-In Bank	911	1,000 SF GFA	12.13	40%	В	7.28	4.45	50%	2.23	0.50	3.64
Drive-In Bank	912	Drive-in Lanes	27.15	35%	A	17.65	4.45	50%	2.23	0.50	8.83
	918	1,000 SF GFA	1.45	30%	В	1.02	6.41	50%	3.21	0.50	0.51

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.B. Service Area B - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Land Use Category	ITE Land Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)		Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - B	Veh-Mi Per Dev- Unit SA - B
PORT AND TERMINAL			-							1.30	
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	1.30	2.43
INDUSTRIAL		·									
General Light Industrial	110	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	1.30	0.82
Industrial Park	130	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	1.30	0.52
Warehousing Mini-Warehouse	150 151	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	1.30	0.25
RESIDENTIAL	131	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	3070	5.01	1.30	0.22
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	1.30	1.29
Residentail Condominium / Townhome	220	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	1.30	0.73
Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit	0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	1.30	0.57
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	1.30	0.47
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing	231 240	Dwelling Unit Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79 9.79	50%	4.90 4.90	1.30	0.47
Senior Adult Housing	252	Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.26	9.79	50%	4.90	1.30	0.34
Congregate Care Facility	253	Dwelling Unit	0.18			0.18	9.79	50%	4.90	1.30	0.23
Assisted Living	254	Beds	0.26			0.26	9.79	▶ 50%	4.90	1.30	0.34
LODGING											
Hotel March Cohort a deire Essibility	310	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	1.30	0.78
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities RECREATIONAL	320	Rooms	0.38			0.38	6.43	50%	3.22	1.30	0.49
Golf Course	430	Holes	2.91			2.91	7.86	50%	3.93	1.30	3.78
Miniature Golf Course	431	Holes	0.33			0.33	7.86	50%	3.93	1.30	0.43
Golf Driving Range	432	Driving Positions	1.25			1.25	7.86	50%	3.93	1.30	1.63
Multiplex Movie Theater	445	Screens	13.73			13.73	15.77	50%	7.89	1.30	17.85
Ice Skating Rink	465	1,000 SF GFA	1.33			1.33	7.86	50%	3.93	1.30	1.73
Racquet / Tennis Club Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	491 495	Courts 1,000 SF GFA	3.82 2.31			3.82 2.31	7.86 7.86	50%	3.93 3.93	1.30	4.97 3.00
INSTITUTIONAL	493	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.80	30%	3.93	1.30	3.00
Primary/Middle School (1-8)	522	Students	0.17			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	1.30	0.22
High School (9-12)	530	Students	0.14			0.14	3.49	50%	1.75	1.30	0.18
Junior / Community College	540	Students	0.11			0.11	10.44	50%	5.22	1.30	0.14
University / College	550	Students	0.15			0.15	10.44	50%	5.22	1.30	0.20
Church Day Care Center	560 565	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	0.49	44%	В	0.49 6.23	8.31 3.49	50%	4.16 1.75	1.30	0.64
MEDICAL	363	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	Б	0.23	3.49	30%	1./3	1.30	8.10
Hospital	610	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	1.30	2.46
Nursing Home	620	Beds	0.22			0.22	9.85	50%	4.93	1.30	0.29
Clinic	630	1,000 SF GFA	3.28			3.28	9.85	50%	4.93	1.30	4.26
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	640	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	1.30	3.21
OFFICE	710	1,000 SE CEA	1.15			1.15	14.65	E00/	7.22	1.20	1.50
General Office Building Corporate Headquarters Building	710	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	0.60			1.15 0.60	14.65 14.65	50%	7.33 7.33	1.30	1.50 0.78
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	1.30	2.22
Medical / Dental Office	720	1,000 SF GFA	3.46			3.46	9.85	50%	4.93	1.30	4.50
Office Park	750	1,000 SF GFA	1.07			1.07	14.65	50%	7.33	1.30	1.39
COMMERCIAL											
Automobile Related New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	1.30	2.52
Automobile Parts Sales	843	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	1.30	3.64
Tire Store	848	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	1.30	3.73
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	1.30	3.78
Automobile Care Center	942	1,000 SF GLA	3.11	40%	В	1.87	4.45	50%	2.23	1.30	2.43
Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	945	Vehicle Fueling Positions	13.99	56%	A	6.16	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	3.70
Self-Service Car Wash Dining	947	Stalls	5.54	40%	В	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	1.99
Sit-Down Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	44%	A	4.37	5.64	50%	2.82	1.30	5.68
High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	932	1,000 SF GFA	9.77	43%	A	5.57	5.64	50%	2.82	1.30	7.24
Fast Food Restaurant	934	1,000 SF GFA	32.67	50%	A	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	1.30	21.24
Coffee / Donut Shop	937	1,000 SF GFA	43.38	70%	В	13.01	5.64	50%	2.82	1.30	16.91
Other Retail	015	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	30%	С	3.38	5.60	50%	2.80	1.30	4.39
Free-Standing Retail Store Garden Center (Nursery)	815 817	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30%	В	3.38 4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	1.30	6.32
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	1.30	3.26
Supermarket	850	1,000 SF GFA	9.24	36%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	1.30	7.68
Home Improvement Superstore	862	1,000 SF GFA	2.33	42%	A	1.35	5.60	50%	2.80	1.30	1.76
Toy / Children's Superstore	864	1,000 SF GFA	5.00	30%	В	3.50	5.60	50%	2.80	1.30	4.55
Pharmacy / Drugstore	881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	1.30	6.83
SERVICES Walk-In Bank	911	1,000 SF GFA	12.13	40%	В	7.28	4.45	50%	2.23	1.30	9.46
Drive-In Bank	911	Drive-in Lanes	27.15	35%	A	17.65	4.45	50%	2.23	1.30	22.95
Personal Service	918	1,000 SF GFA	1.45	30%	В	1.02	6.41	50%	3.21	1.30	1.33
	710	1,00051 0171	1.70	2370	ر	1.02	U.T1	20/0	J.21	1.50	1.55

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.C. Service Area C - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Self-Service Car Wash 947 Dining		Rate (PM)	by Rate	Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - C	Veh-Mi Per Dev- Unit SA - C
INDUSTRIAL General Light Industrial 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110										
General Light Industrial 110 Industrial Park 130 Warehouse 150 Mini-Warehouse 150 Mini-Warehouse 151 RRS IDENTIAL	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	4.00	7.48
Industrial Park										
Warehousing	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	4.00	2.52
Mini-Warehouse 151	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	4.00	1.60
RESIDENTIAL Single-Family Detached Housing 210	1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	4.00	0.76
Single-Family Detached Housing 210 Residential Condominium/ Townhome 220 Apartment / Multifamily 221 Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) 222 Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial 231 Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing 240 Senior Adult Housing 252 Congregate Care Facility 253 Assisted Living 254 LODGING	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	30%	3.01	4.00	0.68
Residental Condominium / Townhome 220	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	4.00	3.96
Apartment / Multifamily 221 Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) 222 Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) 223 Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing 240 Senior Adult Housing 252 Congregate Care Facility 253 Assisted Living 254 LODGING Hotel 310 Motel / Other Lodging Facilities 320 RECREATIONAL	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	4.00	2.24
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial 231 Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing 240 Senior Adult Housing 252 Congregate Care Facility 253 Assisted Living 254 LODGING	Dwelling Unit	0.44		4	0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	4.00	1.76
Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing 240	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.00	1.44
Senior Adult Housing	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.00	1.44
Congregate Care Facility 253 Assisted Living 254 LODGING Hotel 310 Motel / Other Lodging Facilities 320 RECREATIONAL 100 Golf Course 430 Miniature Golf Course 431 Golf Driving Range 432 Multiplex Movie Theater 445 Lee Skating Rink 445 Racquet / Tennis Club 491 Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities 495 INSTITUTIONAL 100 Primary/Middle School (1-8) 522 High School (9-12) 530 Junior / Community College 540 University / College 550 Church 560 Day Care Center 565 MEDICAL 100 Hospital 610 Nursing Home 620 Clinic 630 Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic 640 OFFICE General Office Building 714 Single Tenant Office Building 715 Medical / Dental Office 720 Office Park 750 COMMERCIAL 100 Automobile Related New and Used Car Sales 843 Automobile Parts Sales 843 Tire Store 848 Quick Lubrication Vchicle Shop 941 Automobile Care Center 942 Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market 945 Veter 947 Dining Sit-Down Restaurant 931 High Tumover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 932 Fast Food Restaurant 934 Fees-Standing Retail Store 815 Garden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.46	9.79	50%	4.90	4.00	1.84
Assisted Living	Dwelling Unit	0.26			0.26	9.79 9.79	50%	4.90	4.00	0.72
Hotel	Dwelling Unit Beds	0.18			0.18	9.79	50% 50%	4.90 4.90	4.00	1.04
Hotel Motel / Other Lodging Facilities 320	Dens	0.20			0.20	7.17	JU70	7.70	7.00	1.04
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities 320	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.93
Golf Course	Rooms	0.38			0.38	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.22
Miniature Golf Course 431 Golf Driving Range 432 Multiplex Movie Theater 445 Ice Skating Rink 465 Racquet / Tennis Club 491 Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities 495 INSTITUTIONAL Primary/Middle School (1-8) 522 High School (9-12) 530 Junior / Community College 540 University / College 550 Church 560 Day Care Center 565 MEDICAL										
Colf Driving Range	Holes	2.91			2.91	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	11.44
Multiplex Movie Theater	Holes	0.33			0.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	1.30
Ice Skating Rink	Driving Positions	1.25			1.25	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	4.91
Racquet / Tennis Club	Screens	13.73			13.73	15.77	50%	7.89	4.00	54.92
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	1,000 SF GFA	1.33	****************		1,33	7.86	50%	3.93 3.93	3.93	5.23
Primary/Middle School (1-8) 522 Primary/Middle School (1-8) 522 High School (9-12) 530 Junior / Community College 540 University / College 550 Church 560 Day Care Center 565 MEDICAL	Courts 1,000 SF GFA	3.82 2.31			3.82 2.31	7.86 7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	15.01 9.08
Primary/Middle School (1-8) 522 High School (9-12) 530 Junior / Community College 540 University / College 550 Church 560 Day Care Center 565 MEDICAL 620 Hospital 610 Nursing Home 620 Clinic 630 Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic 640 OFFICE 640 General Office Building 710 Corporate Headquarters Building 714 Sing & Tenant Office Building 715 Medical / Dental Office 720 Office Park 750 COMMERCIAL 750 Automobile Related New and Used Car Sales 843 Tire Store 848 Automobile Parts Sales 843 Tire Store 941 Automobile Care Center 942 Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market 945 Veter Self-Service Car Wash 947 Dining Sit-Down Restaurant 931 High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 932 Fast Food Restaurant 934 Carden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Garden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.80	3070	3.73	3.73	9.06
High School (9-12)	Students	0.17			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.30
Junior / Community College	Students	0.14	>		0.14	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.25
Church	Students	0.11			0.11	10.44	50%	5.22	4.00	0.44
Day Care Center	Students	0.15			0.15	10.44	50%	5.22	4.00	0.60
Hospital	1,000 SF GFA	0.49			0.49	8.31	50%	4.16	4.00	1.96
Hospital	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	В	6.23	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	10.90
Nursing Home										
Clinic	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	4.00	7.56
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	Beds 1,000 SF GFA	0.22 3.28			0.22 3.28	9.85 9.85	50%	4.93 4.93	4.00	0.88
OFFICE 710 Ceneral Office Building 714 Single Tenant Office Building 715 Medical / Dental Office 720 Office Park 750 COMMERCIAL Automobile Related New and Used Car Sales 840 Automobile Parts Sales 843 Tire Store 848 Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop 941 Automobile Care Center 942 Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market 945 Self-Service Car Wash 947 Dining 947 Sit-Down Restaurant 931 High Tumover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 932 Fast Food Restaurant 934 Coffee / Donut Shop 937 Other Retail 815 Garden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	4.00	9.88
Ceneral Office Building	1,000 51 6111	J.J.J	5070		2.17	7.00	5070	,5		7.00
Corporate Headquariers Building	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	4.00	4.60
Medical / Dental Office 720 Office Park 750 COMMERCIAL Automobile Related New and Used Car Sales 840 Automobile Parts Sales 843 Tire Store 848 Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop 941 Automobile Care Center 942 Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market 945 Vel Self-Service Car Wash 947 Dining Sit-Down Restaurant 931 High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 932 Fast Food Restaurant 934 Coffee / Donut Shop 937 Other Retail Free-Standing Retail Store 815 Garden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	1,000 SF GFA	0.60			0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	4.00	2.40
Office Park	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	4.00	6.84
COMMERCIAL Automobile Related 840 New and Used Car Sales 840 Automobile Parts Sales 848 Tire Store 848 Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop 941 Automobile Care Center 942 Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market 945 Self-Service Car Wash 947 Dining Sit-Down Restaurant 931 High Tumover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 932 Fast Food Restaurant 934 Coffee / Donut Shop 937 Other Retail 815 Garden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	1,000 SF GFA	3.46			3.46	9.85	50%	4.93	4.00	13.84
Automobile Related New and Used Car Sales 840 Automobile Parts Sales 843 Automobile Parts Sales 843 Tire Store 848 Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop 941 Automobile Care Center 942 Casoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market 945 Verice Self-Service Car Wash 947 Dining Sit-Down Restaurant 931 High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 932 Fast Food Restaurant 934 Coffee / Donut Shop 937 Other Retail Free-Standing Retail Store 815 Carden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	1,000 SF GFA	1.07			1.07	14.65	50%	7.33	4.00	4.28
New and Used Car Sales										
Automobile Parts Sales	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	5.43
Tire Store	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.24
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop 941 Automobile Care Center 942 Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market 945 Self-Service Car Wash 947 Dining 931 Sit-Down Restaurant 931 High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 932 Fast Food Restaurant 934 Coffee / Donut Shop 937 Other Retail 815 Garden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	2.23	6.40
Automobile Care Center 942	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.49
Self-Service Car Wash 947	1,000 SF GLA	3.11	40%	В	1.87	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	4.17
Dining 931 931	hicle Fueling Positions	13.99	56%	A	6.16	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	3.70
Sit-Down Restaurant 931 High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 932 Fast Food Restaurant 934 Coffee / Donut Shop 937 Other Retail Free-Standing Retail Store 815 Garden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	Stalls	5.54	40%	В	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	1.99
High Tumover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 932 Fast Food Restaurant 934 Coffee / Donut Shop 937 Other Retail Free-Standing Retail Store 815 Garden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850										
Fast Food Restaurant 934 Coffee Donut Shop 937 Other Retail	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	44%	A	4.37	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	12.32
Coffee / Donut Shop 937	1,000 SF GFA	9.77	43%	A	5.57	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	15.71
Other Retail 815 Free-Standing Retail Store 815 Garden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	32.67 43.38	50%	A B	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	46.08
Free-Standing Retail Store 815 Garden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	1,000 SI GFA	45.38	70%	D	13.01	5.64	JU%	2.82	2.82	36.69
Carden Center (Nursery) 817 Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	30%	C	3.38	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.46
Shopping Center 820 Supermarket 850	1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30%	В	4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	13.61
Supermarket 850	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	7.03
	1,000 SF GFA	9.24	36%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	16.55
Home Improvement Superstore 862	1,000 SF GFA	2.33	42%	A	1.35	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	3.78
Toy / Children's Superstore 864	1,000 SF GFA	5.00	30%	В	3.50	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.80
Pharmacy / Drugstore 881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	14.70
SERVICES	1 000 GE	10:0	40		7.00	,	50···	2.55	2.55	10.50
Walk-In Bank 911	1,000 SF GFA	12.13 27.15	40%	B	7.28 17.65	4.45 4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	16.23
Drive-In Bank 912	Drive-in Lanes 1,000 SF GFA	1.45	35%	A B	1.02	6.41	50%	3.21	3.21	39.36 3.27

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.D. Service Area D - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Table 11.D. Service Area	D - LC	iliu USC / VC	HICIC.	IVIII	C LY	urvar	ciicy i	anic	(LU	V IVIL I	<u>/</u>
Land Use Category	ITE Land Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)	Pass- by Rate	Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - D	Veh-Mi Per Dev Unit SA - D
PORT AND TERMINAL										6.00	
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	9.37
INDUSTRIAL		·									
General Light Industrial	110	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	3.16
Industrial Park	130	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	2.00
Warehousing	150	1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	0.95
Mini-Warehouse RESIDENTIAL	151	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	0.85
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	4.85
Residentail Condominium / Townhome	220	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.74
Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit	0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.16
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	1.76
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial	231	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	1.76
Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing	240 252	Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.46	9.79 9.79	50% 50%	4.90 4.90	4.90 4.90	2,25 1.27
Senior Adult Housing Congregate Care Facility	253	Dwelling Unit Dwelling Unit	0.28			0.28	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	0.88
Assisted Living	254	Beds	0.26			0.26	9.79	≥ 50%	4.90	4.90	1.27
LODGING											
Hotel	310	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.93
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities	320	Rooms	0.38			0.38	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.22
RECREATIONAL	100	***						50			
Golf Course	430	Holes	2.91 0.33			2.91	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	11.44
Miniature Golf Course Golf Driving Range	431 432	Holes Driving Positions	1.25			0.33	7.86 7.86	50% 50%	3.93 3.93	3.93 3.93	1.30 4.91
Multiplex Movie Theater	445	Screens	13.73			13.73	15.77	50%	7.89	6.00	82.38
Ice Skating Rink	465	1,000 SF GFA	1.33			1.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	5.23
Racquet / Tennis Club	491	Courts	3.82			3.82	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	15.01
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	495	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	9.08
INSTITUTIONAL)			
Primary/Middle School (1-8)	522	Students	0.17			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.30
High School (9-12) Junior / Community College	530 540	Students Students	0.14 0.11			0.14	3.49 10.44	50% 50%	1.75 5.22	1.75 5.22	0.25
University / College	550	Students	0.11			0.11	10.44	50%	5.22	5.22	0.78
Church	560	1,000 SF GFA	0.49			0.49	8.31	50%	4.16	4.16	2.04
Day Care Center	565	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	В	6.23	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	10.90
MEDICAL											
Hospital	610	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	9.32
Nursing Home	620	Beds	0.22			0.22	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	1.08
Clinic Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	630 640	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	3.28	30%	В	3.28 2.47	9.85 9.85	50%	4.93 4.93	4.93 4.93	16.17 12.18
OFFICE	040	1,000 SF GFA	3.33	3070	Б	2.47	7.63	3070	4.93	4.93	12.10
General Office Building	710	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	6.90
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	1,000 SF GFA	0.60	***************************************		0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	3.60
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	10.26
Medical / Dental Office	720	1,000 SF GFA	3.46			3.46	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	17.06
Office Park	750	1,000 SF GFA	1.07			1.07	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	6.42
COMMERCIAL Automobile Related											
New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	5.43
Automobile Parts Sales	843	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.24
Tire Store	848	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	2.23	6.40
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.49
Automobile Care Center	942	1,000 SF GLA	3.11	40%	В	1.87	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	4.17
Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	945 947	Vehicle Fueling Positions	13.99	56%	A	6.16	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	3.70
Self-Service Car Wash Dining	947	Stalls	5.54	40%	В	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	1.99
Sit-Down Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	44%	A	4.37	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	12.32
High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	932	1,000 SF GFA	9.77	43%	A	5.57	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	15.71
Fast Food Restaurant	934	1,000 SF GFA	32.67	50%	A	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	46.08
Coffee / Donut Shop	937	1,000 SF GFA	43.38	70%	В	13.01	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	36.69
Other Retail	017	1,000 GE CEA	4.00	2001		2.20	5.00	F00/	200	1 200	C 15
Free-Standing Retail Store Garden Center (Nursery)	815 817	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	4.83 6.94	30%	C B	3.38 4.86	5.60 5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.46 13.61
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	7.03
Supermarket	850	1,000 SF GFA	9.24	36%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	16.55
Home Improvement Superstore	862	1,000 SF GFA	2.33	42%	A	1.35	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	3.78
Toy / Children's Superstore	864	1,000 SF GFA	5.00	30%	В	3.50	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.80
Pharmacy / Drugstore	881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	14.70
SERVICES				L.,				L			
Walk-In Bank	911	1,000 SF GFA	12.13	40%	В	7.28	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	16.23
Drive-In Bank	912	Drive-in Lanes	27.15	35%	A	17.65	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	39.36
Personal Service	918	1,000 SF GFA	1.45	30%	В	1.02	6.41	50%	3.21	3.21	3.27

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.E. Service Area E - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Land Use Category	ITE Land Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)	Pass- by Rate	Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - E	Veh-Mi Per Dev- Unit SA - E
PORT AND TERMINAL											
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	4.80	8.98
NDUSTRIAL											
General Light Industrial	110	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	4.80	3.02
Industrial Park Warehousing	130 150	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	4.80	1.92 0.91
Mini-Warehouse	151	1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.17	10.02	50%	5.01	4.80	0.82
RESIDENTIAL	151	1,000 51 6111	0.17			0.17	10.02	5070	5.01	1.00	0.02
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	4.80	4.75
Residentail Condominium / Townhome	220	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	4.80	2.69
Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit	0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	4.80	2.11
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.80	1.73
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing	231 240	Dwelling Unit Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79 9.79	50%	4.90 4.90	4.80 4.80	1.73 2.21
Senior Adult Housing	252	Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.26	9.79	50%	4.90	4.80	1.25
Congregate Care Facility	253	Dwelling Unit	0.18			0.18	9.79	50%	4.90	4.80	0.86
Assisted Living	254	Beds	0.26			0.26	9.79	▶ 50%	4.90	4.80	1.25
LODGING											
Hotel	310	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.93
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities	320	Rooms	0.38			0.38	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.22
RECREATIONAL	420	11-1	201			2.01	7.06	FO0/	2.02	2.02	11.44
Golf Course Miniature Golf Course	430	Holes Holes	2.91 0.33		-	2.91 0.33	7.86 7.86	50%	3.93 3.93	3.93 3.93	11.44
Golf Driving Range	432	Driving Positions	1.25			1.25	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	4.91
Multiplex Movie Theater	445	Screens	13.73		1	13.73	15.77	50%	7.89	4.80	65.90
Ice Skating Rink	465	1,000 SF GFA	1.33			1.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	5.23
Racquet / Tennis Club	491	Courts	3.82			3.82	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	15.01
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	495	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	9.08
NSTITUTIONAL TO A LOCAL TO A LOCA	522	9. 1	0.15			0.15	2.40				0.20
Primary/Middle School (1-8) High School (9-12)	522 530	Students Students	0.17 0.14			0.17	3.49 3.49	50%	1.75 1.75	1.75 1.75	0.30
Junior / Community College	540	Students	0.14			0.14	10.44	50%	5.22	4.80	0.23
University / College	550	Students	0.15			0.15	10.44	50%	5.22	4.80	0.72
Church	560	1,000 SF GFA	0.49			0.49	8.31	50%	4.16	4.16	2.04
Day Care Center	565	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	В	6.23	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	10.90
MEDICAL		\									
Hospital	610	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	4.80	9.07
Nursing Home Clinic	620	Beds 1,000 SF GFA	0.22 3.28			0.22 3.28	9.85 9.85	50%	4.93 4.93	4.80	1.06 15.74
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	640	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	4.80	11.86
OFFICE	0.0	1,000 51 6111	5.55	3070		2	7.00	5070	,5	1.00	11.00
General Office Building	710	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	4.80	5.52
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	1,000 SF GFA	0.60			0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	4.80	2.88
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	4.80	8.21
Medical / Dental Office	720	1,000 SF GFA	3.46			3.46	9.85	50%	4.93	4.80	16.61
Office Park COMMERCIAL	750	1,000 SF GFA	1.07			1.07	14.65	50%	7.33	4.80	5.14
Automobile Related						***************************************					
New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	5.43
Automobile Parts Sales	843	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.24
Tire Store	848	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	2.23	6.40
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.49
Automobile Care Center Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	942	1,000 SF GLA	3.11	40%	В	1.87	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	4.17
Self-Service Car Wash	945 947	Vehicle Fueling Positions Stalls	13.99 5.54	56% 40%	A B	6.16 3.32	1.20 1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	3.70 1.99
Dining	- · · ·	Scans	5.54			0.02	1.20	2070	0.00	0.00	,,
Sit-Down Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	44%	A	4.37	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	12.32
High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	932	1,000 SF GFA	9.77	43%	A	5.57	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	15.71
Fast Food Restaurant	934	1,000 SF GFA	32.67	50%	A	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	46.08
Coffee / Donut Shop	937	1,000 SF GFA	43.38	70%	В	13.01	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	36.69
Other Retail Free-Standing Retail Store	Q15	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	300/	С	3 20	5.60	500/	2 90	2 90	0.46
Garden Center (Nursery)	815 817	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30% 30%	В	3.38 4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.46 13.61
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	7.03
Supermarket	850	1,000 SF GFA	9.24	36%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	16.55
Home Improvement Superstore	862	1,000 SF GFA	2.33	42%	A	1.35	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	3.78
Toy / Children's Superstore	864	1,000 SF GFA	5.00	30%	В	3.50	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.80
Pharmacy / Drugstore	881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	14.70
	1	1	I	Ī							
SERVICES Will I D. 1	011	1 000 07 07 4	10.10	4001	P	7.00	4	E001	0.00	0.00	
Walk-In Bank Drive-In Bank	911 912	1,000 SF GFA Drive-in Lanes	12.13 27.15	40% 35%	B A	7.28 17.65	4.45 4.45	50% 50%	2.23 2.23	2.23	16.23 39.36

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.F. Service Area F - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Table 11.F. Service Area	г - La	ilu use / vei	iicie-	IVIII	z Equ	ilvait	zncy ra	שוטג	(LU V	IVILI)
Land Use Category	ITE Land Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)	Pass- by Rate	Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - F	Veh-Mi Per Dev- Unit SA - F
										0.00	
PORT AND TERMINAL											
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	0.00	0.00
INDUSTRIAL											
General Light Industrial	110	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	0.00	0.00
Industrial Park	130	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	0.00	0.00
Warehousing	150	1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	0.00	0.00
Mini-Warehouse	151	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	50%	5.01	0.00	0.00
RESIDENTIAL											
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	0.00	0.00
Residentail Condominium / Townhome	220	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	0.00	0.00
Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit	0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	0.00	0.00
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	0.00	0.00
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial	231	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	0.00	0.00
Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing	240	Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.46	9.79	50%	4.90	0.00	0.00
Senior Adult Housing	252	Dwelling Unit	0.26			0.26	9.79	50%	4.90	0.00	0.00
Congregate Care Facility	253	Dwelling Unit	0.18			0.18	9.79	50%	4.90	0.00	0.00
Assisted Living	254	Beds	0.26			0.26	9.79	▶ 50%	4.90	0.00	0.00
LODGING	210	D-	0.00			0.00	6.42	E00/	2.22	0.00	0.00
Hotel	310	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	0.00	0.00
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities	320	Rooms	0.38			0.38	6.43	50%	3.22	0.00	0.00
RECREATIONAL	120	11 1	201			2.01	7.06	500/	2.02	0.00	0.00
Golf Course	430 431	Holes	2.91 0.33		-	2.91 0.33	7.86 7.86	50%	3.93 3.93	0.00	0.00
Miniature Golf Course		Holes								0.00	0.00
Golf Driving Range Multiplex Movie Theater	432 445	Driving Positions Screens	1.25	-		1.25	7.86 15.77	50%	3.93 7.89	0.00	0.00
Ice Skating Rink	465	1,000 SF GFA	1.33			1.33	7.86	50%	3.93	0.00	0.00
Racquet / Tennis Club	491	Courts	3.82	****************	4	3.82	7.86	50%	3.93	0.00	0.00
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	495	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.86	50%	3.93	0.00	0.00
INSTITUTIONAL	493	1,000 SI GPA	2.31			2.31	7.80	3070	3.93	0.00	0.00
Primary/Middle School (1-8)	522	Students	0.17			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	0.00	0.00
High School (9-12)	530	Students	0.14			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	0.00	0.00
Junior / Community College	540	Students	0.14			0.14	10.44	50%	5.22	0.00	0.00
University / College	550	Students	0.15			0.15	10.44	50%	5.22	0.00	0.00
Church	560	1,000 SF GFA	0.49		-	0.49	8.31	50%	4.16	0.00	0.00
Day Care Center	565	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	В	6.23	3.49	50%	1.75	0.00	0.00
MEDICAL		1,00000					 				0.00
Hospital	610	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	0.00	0.00
Nursing Home	620	Beds	0.22			0.22	9.85	50%	4.93	0.00	0.00
Clinic	630	1,000 SF GFA	3.28			3.28	9.85	50%	4.93	0.00	0.00
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	640	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	0.00	0.00
OFFICE							1			$\overline{}$	
General Office Building	710	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	0.00	0.00
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	1,000 SF GFA	0.60		***************************************	0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	0.00	0.00
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	0.00	0.00
Medical / Dental Office	720	1,000 SF GFA	3.46			3.46	9.85	50%	4.93	0.00	0.00
Office Park	750	1,000 SF GFA	1.07			1.07	14.65	50%	7.33	0.00	0.00
COMMERCIAL											
Automobile Related											
New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	0.00	0.00
Automobile Parts Sales	843	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	0.00	0.00
Tire Store	848	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	0.00	0.00
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	0.00	0.00
Automobile Care Center	942	1,000 SF GLA	3.11	40%	В	1.87	4.45	50%	2.23	0.00	0.00
Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	945	Vehicle Fueling Positions	13.99	56%	A	6.16	1.20	50%	0.60	0.00	0.00
Self-Service Car Wash	947	Stalls	5.54	40%	В	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.00	0.00
Dining											
Sit-Down Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	44%	A	4.37	5.64	50%	2.82	0.00	0.00
High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	932	1,000 SF GFA	9.77	43%	A	5.57	5.64	50%	2.82	0.00	0.00
Fast Food Restaurant	934	1,000 SF GFA	32.67	50%	A	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	0.00	0.00
Coffee / Donut Shop	937	1,000 SF GFA	43.38	70%	В	13.01	5.64	50%	2.82	0.00	0.00
Other Retail	017	1,000 65 654	4.00	2001		2.20	5.50	5001	200	0.00	0.00
Free-Standing Retail Store	815	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	30%	C	3.38	5.60	50%	2.80	0.00	0.00
Garden Center (Nursery)	817	1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30%	В	4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	0.00	0.00
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	0.00	0.00
Supermarket	850	1,000 SF GFA	9.24	36%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	0.00	0.00
Home Improvement Superstore	862	1,000 SF GFA	2.33	42%	A	1.35	5.60	50%	2.80	0.00	0.00
Toy / Children's Superstore	864	1,000 SF GFA	5.00	30%	В	3.50	5.60	50%	2.80	0.00	0.00
Pharmacy / Drugstore	881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	0.00	0.00
SERVICES	L		10.12	40%	В	7.28	4.45	50%	2.23	0.00	0.00
					· · · · ·	/ /X		20196	113		0.00
Walk-In Bank	911	1,000 SF GFA	12.13								
Waik-In Bank Drive-In Bank Personal Service	911 912 918	1,000 SF GFA Drive-in Lanes 1,000 SF GFA	27.15 1.45	35% 30%	A B	17.65 1.02	4.45 6.41	50%	2.23	0.00	0.00

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.G. Service Area G - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Table 11.G. Service Area	U - LC	IIIU USE / VE	HILLIE	IVIII	C LY	<u>uivai</u>	CIICY I	anit	LU	V IVIL I	<i>)</i>
Land Use Category	ITE Land Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)	Pass- by Rate	Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - G	Veh-Mi Per Dev- Unit SA - G
										6.00	
PORT AND TERMINAL											
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	9.37
INDUSTRIAL											
General Light Industrial	110	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	3.16
Industrial Park	130	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	2.00
Warehousing	150	1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	0.95
Mini-Warehouse	151	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	0.85
RESIDENTIAL											
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	4.85
Residentail Condominium / Townhome	220	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.74
Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit	0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.16
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise) Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial	222	Dwelling Unit Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79 9.79	50%	4.90 4.90	4.90 4.90	1.76
Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing	240	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.25
Senior Adult Housing	252	Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.46	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	1.27
Congregate Care Facility	253	Dwelling Unit	0.18			0.18	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	0.88
Assisted Living	254	Beds	0.16			0.16	9.79	▶ 50%	4.90	4.90	1.27
LODGING	2,74	Dous	0.20			0.20	7.17	50/0	7.70	7.70	1.21
Hotel	310	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.93
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities	320	Rooms	0.38			0.38	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.22
RECREATIONAL	520	ACOIII)	0.50			5.50	0.75	20/0	بقيقة ب	J.22	1.22
Golf Course	430	Holes	2.91			2.91	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	11.44
Miniature Golf Course	431	Holes	0.33			0.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	1.30
Golf Driving Range	432	Driving Positions	1.25			1.25	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	4.91
Multiplex Movie Theater	445	Screens	13.73			13.73	15.77	50%	7.89	6.00	82.38
Ice Skating Rink	465	1,000 SF GFA	1.33			1.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	5.23
Racquet / Tennis Club	491	Courts	3.82			3.82	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	15.01
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	495	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	9.08
INSTITUTIONAL											
Primary/Middle School (1-8)	522	Students	0.17			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.30
High School (9-12)	530	Students	0.14			0.14	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.25
Junior / Community College	540	Students	0.11			0.11	10.44	50%	5.22	5.22	0.57
University / College	550	Students	0.15			0.15	10.44	50%	5.22	5.22	0.78
Church	560	1,000 SF GFA	0.49			0.49	8.31	50%	4.16	4.16	2.04
Day Care Center	565	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	В	6.23	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	10.90
MEDICAL											
Hospital	610	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	9.32
Nursing Home	620	Beds	0.22			0.22	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	1.08
Clinic	630	1,000 SF GFA	3.28			3.28	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	16.17
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	640	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	12.18
OFFICE											
General Office Building	710	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	6.90
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	1,000 SF GFA	0.60			0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	3.60
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	10.26
Medical / Dental Office	720	1,000 SF GFA	3.46			3.46	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	17.06
Office Park	750	1,000 SF GFA	1.07			1.07	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	6.42
COMMERCIAL		~									
Automobile Related											
New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	5.43
Automobile Parts Sales	843	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.24
Tire Store	848	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	2.23	6.40
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.49
Automobile Care Center	942	1,000 SF GLA	3.11	40%	В	1.87	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	4.17
Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	945	Vehicle Fueling Positions	13.99	56%	A	6.16	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	3.70
Self-Service Car Wash	947	Stalls	5.54	40%	В	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	1.99
Dining Sit Down Postowent	021	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	4.40/		1 27	5.64	500/	202	2 02	12.22
Sit-Down Restaurant High Turnover (Sit Down) Pastaurant	931 932	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	9.77	44%	A	4.37 5.57	5.64 5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	12.32
High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant Fast Food Restaurant	934	1,000 SF GFA	32.67	50%	A A	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	15.71 46.08
Coffee / Donut Shop	934	1,000 SF GFA	43.38	70%	B	13.01	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	36.69
Other Retail	231	1,000 SF GFA	73.30	7070	В	13.01	5.04	JU70	4.04	4.04	30.09
Free-Standing Retail Store	815	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	30%	С	3.38	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.46
Garden Center (Nursery)	817	1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30%	В	4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	13.61
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	7.03
Supermarket	850	1,000 SF GEA	9.24	36%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	16.55
Home Improvement Superstore	862	1,000 SF GFA	2.33	42%	A	1.35	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	3.78
Toy / Children's Superstore	864	1,000 SF GFA	5.00	30%	В	3.50	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.80
Pharmacy / Drugstore	881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	14.70
SERVICES		,									
Walk-In Bank	911	1,000 SF GFA	12.13	40%	В	7.28	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	16.23
Drive-In Bank	912	Drive-in Lanes	27.15	35%	A	17.65	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	39.36
Personal Service	918	1,000 SF GFA	1.45	30%	В	1.02	6.41	50%	3.21	3.21	3.27
11		-,		2370		Page by D		2370			

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.H. Service Area H - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Table 11.H. Service Area	П - La	iliu use / ve	HICIE.	IVIII	e Equ	uivai	ency i	anie	(LU)	/ IVIL I)
Land Use Category	ITE Land Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)	Pass- by Rate	Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - H	Veh-Mi Per Dev- Unit SA - H
										4.65	
PORT AND TERMINAL											
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	4.65	8.70
INDUSTRIAL											
General Light Industrial	110	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	4.65	2.93
Industrial Park	130	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	4.65	1.86
Warehousing	150	1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	4.65	0.88
Mini-Warehouse	151	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	50%	5.01	4.65	0.79
RESIDENTIAL		***************************************									000000000000000000000000000000000000000
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	4.65	4.60
Residentail Condominium / Townhome	220	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	4.65	2.60
Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit	0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	4.65	2.05
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.65	1.67
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial	231	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.65	1.67
Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing	240	Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.46	9.79	50%	4.90	4.65	2,14
Senior Adult Housing	252	Dwelling Unit	0.26			0.26	9.79	50%	4.90	4.65	1.21
Congregate Care Facility	253	Dwelling Unit	0.18			0.18	9.79	50%	4.90	4.65	0.84
Assisted Living	254	Beds	0.26			0.26	9.79	▶ 50%	4.90	4.65	1.21
LODGING	210	D	0.00			0.00	6.12	E00/	2.22	2.22	1.02
Hotel	310	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.93
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities	320	Rooms	0.38			0.38	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.22
RECREATIONAL	420	11.1	201			2.01	7.06	500/	2.02	2.02	11 44
Golf Course Miniature Golf Course	430 431	Holes	2.91 0.33			2.91	7.86	50%	3.93 3.93	3.93	11.44
		Holes				0.33	7.86			3.93	
Golf Driving Range	432 445	Driving Positions Screens	1.25			1.25	7.86 15.77	50%	3.93 7.89	3.93 4.65	4.91 63.84
Multiplex Movie Theater Ice Skating Rink	465	1,000 SF GFA	1.33			1.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	5.23
Racquet / Tennis Club	491	Courts	3.82			3.82	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	15.01
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	495	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	9.08
INSTITUTIONAL	493	1,000 SI GI A	2.31			2.31	7.80	3070	3.73	3.73	2.00
Primary/Middle School (1-8)	522	Students	0.17			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.30
High School (9-12)	530	Students	0.14			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.25
Junior / Community College	540	Students	0.14			0.14	10.44	50%	5.22	4.65	0.23
University / College	550	Students	0.15			0.15	10.44	50%	5.22	4.65	0.70
Church	560	1,000 SF GFA	0.49			0.49	8.31	50%	4.16	4.16	2.04
Day Care Center	565	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	В	6.23	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	10.90
MEDICAL		1,000.00					,				
Hospital	610	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	4.65	8.79
Nursing Home	620	Beds	0.22			0.22	9.85	50%	4.93	4.65	1.02
Clinic	630	1,000 SF GFA	3.28			3.28	9.85	50%	4.93	4.65	15.25
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	640	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	4.65	11.49
OFFICE											
General Office Building	710	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	4.65	5.35
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	1,000 SF GFA	0.60			0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	4.65	2.79
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	4.65	7.95
Medical / Dental Office	720	1,000 SF GFA	3.46			3.46	9.85	50%	4.93	4.65	16.09
Office Park	750	1,000 SF GFA	1.07			1.07	14.65	50%	7.33	4.65	4.98
COMMERCIAL											
Automobile Related											
New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	5.43
Automobile Parts Sales	843	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.24
Tire Store	848	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	2.23	6.40
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.49
Automobile Care Center	942	1,000 SF GLA	3.11	40%	В	1.87	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	4.17
Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	945	Vehicle Fueling Positions	13.99	56%	A	6.16	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	3.70
Self-Service Car Wash	947	Stalls	5.54	40%	В	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	1.99
Dining											
Sit-Down Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	44%	A	4.37	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	12.32
High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	932	1,000 SF GFA	9.77	43%	A	5.57	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	15.71
Fast Food Restaurant	934	1,000 SF GFA	32.67	50%	A	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	46.08
Coffee / Donut Shop	937	1,000 SF GFA	43.38	70%	В	13.01	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	36.69
Other Retail	017	1,000 05 054	4.00	2001		2.20	5.00	5001	200	200	0.15
Free-Standing Retail Store	815	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	30%	C	3.38	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.46
Garden Center (Nursery)	817	1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30%	В	4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	13.61
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	7.03
Supermarket	850	1,000 SF GFA	9.24	36%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	16.55
Home Improvement Superstore	862	1,000 SF GFA	2.33	42%	A	1.35	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	3.78
Toy / Children's Superstore	864	1,000 SF GFA	5.00	30%	В	3.50	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.80
Pharmacy / Drugstore	881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	14.70
SERVICES	011	1 000 CE CE 4	12.12	400/	P	7.00	1.15	500/	2.22	2.22	16.00
Walk-In Bank Drive-In Bank	911 912	1,000 SF GFA Drive-in Lanes	12.13 27.15	40% 35%	B A	7.28 17.65	4.45 4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	16.23 39.36
Personal Service	918	1,000 SF GFA	1.45	30%	В	1.02	6.41	50%	3.21	3.21	3.27

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.I. Service Area I - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Table 11.1. Service Area	I - Lai	id use / veri	iicie-i	VIIIE	: Equ	ivait	псу та	DIE	(LU V	IVILI	
Land Use Category	ITE Land Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)	Pass- by Rate	Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - I	Veh-Mi Per Dev- Unit SA - I
										6.00	
PORT AND TERMINAL											
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	9.37
INDUSTRIAL											
General Light Industrial	110	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	3.16
Industrial Park	130	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	2.00
Warehousing	150	1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	0.95
Mini-Warehouse	151	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	0.85
RESIDENTIAL											
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	4.85
Residentail Condominium / Townhome	220	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.74
Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit	0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.16
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	1.76
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial	231	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	1.76
Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing	240	Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.46	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.25
Senior Adult Housing	252	Dwelling Unit	0.26			0.26	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	1.27
Congregate Care Facility	253	Dwelling Unit	0.18			0.18	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	0.88
Assisted Living	254	Beds	0.26		 	0.26	9.79	▶ 50%	4.90	4.90	1.27
LODGING	210	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.42	500/	2 22	2 22	1.02
Hotel	310		0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.93
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities	320	Rooms	0.38			0.38	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.22
RECREATIONAL	420		201			201	706	500/	2.02	2.02	
Golf Course	430	Holes	2.91			2.91	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	11.44
Miniature Golf Course	431	Holes	0.33			0.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	1.30
Golf Driving Range	432 445	Driving Positions	1.25			1.25	7.86 15.77	50%	3.93 7.89	3.93 6.00	4.91 82.38
Multiplex Movie Theater	465	Screens 1,000 SF GFA	13.73			13.73		50%			
Ice Skating Rink			1.33			1,33	7.86		3.93	3.93	5.23
Racquet / Tennis Club	491	Courts	3.82			3.82	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	15.01
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	495	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	9.08
INSTITUTIONAL	522	6. 1	0.15			0.15	2.40	500/			
Primary/Middle School (1-8)	522	Students	0.17			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.30
High School (9-12)	530 540	Students	0.14			0.14	3.49	50%	1.75 5.22	1.75 5.22	0.25
Junior / Community College	550	Students Students	0.11			0.11	10.44	50%	5.22	5.22	0.37
University / College Church	560	1,000 SF GFA	0.49			0.13	8.31	50%	4.16	4.16	2.04
Day Care Center	565	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	В	6.23	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	10.90
MEDICAL	303	1,000 SI GFA	11.12	4470	ь	0.23	3.49	3070	1.75	1.75	10.50
Hospital	610	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	9.32
Nursing Home	620		0.22			0.22	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	1.08
Clinic	630	Beds 1,000 SF GFA	3.28			3.28	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	16.17
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	640	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	12.18
OFFICE	040	1,000 SI GI A	3.33	3070	ь	2.47	9.63	3070	4.73	4.73	12.10
General Office Building	710	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	6.90
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	1,000 SF GFA	0.60			0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	3.60
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	10.26
Medical / Dental Office	720	1,000 SF GFA	3.46			3.46	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	17.06
Office Park	750	1,000 SF GFA	1.07			1.07	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	6.42
COMMERCIAL	,50	1,000 51 0171	1.07			1.07	1	20/0	,	5.00	0.72
Automobile Related											
New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	5.43
Automobile Parts Sales	843	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.24
Tire Store	848	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	2.23	6.40
Ouick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.49
Automobile Care Center	942	1,000 SF GLA	3.11	40%	В	1.87	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	4.17
Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	945	Vehicle Fueling Positions	13.99	56%	A	6.16	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	3.70
Self-Service Car Wash	947	Stalls	5.54	40%	В	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	1.99
Dining											
Sit-Down Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	44%	A	4.37	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	12.32
High Tumover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	932	1,000 SF GFA	9.77	43%	A	5.57	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	15.71
Fast Food Restaurant	934	1,000 SF GFA	32.67	50%	A	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	46.08
Coffee / Donut Shop	937	1,000 SF GFA	43.38	70%	В	13.01	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	36.69
Other Retail											
Free-Standing Retail Store	815	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	30%	С	3.38	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.46
Garden Center (Nursery)	817	1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30%	В	4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	13.61
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	7.03
Supermarket	850	1,000 SF GFA	9.24	36%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	16.55
Home Improvement Superstore	862	1,000 SF GFA	2.33	42%	A	1.35	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	3.78
Toy / Children's Superstore	864	1,000 SF GFA	5.00	30%	В	3.50	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.80
Pharmacy / Drugstore	881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	14.70
SERVICES											
Walk-In Bank	911	1,000 SF GFA	12.13	40%	В	7.28	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	16.23
Drive-In Bank	912	Drive-in Lanes	27.15	35%	A	17.65	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	39.36
Personal Service	918	1,000 SF GFA	1.45	30%	В	1.02	6.41	50%	3.21	3.21	3.27
11		-,				Page by D		2370			

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.J. Service Area J - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Table 11.J. Service Area	J - La	ila ose / vei	iicie-	IVIII	Equ	iivait	ally la	inie	(LU V	IVIL I	
Land Use Category	ITE Land Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)	Pass- by Rate	Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - J	Veh-Mi Per Dev- Unit SA - J
										6.00	
PORT AND TERMINAL											
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	9.37
INDUSTRIAL											
General Light Industrial	110	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	3.16
Industrial Park	130	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	2.00
Warehousing	150	1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	0.95
Mini-Warehouse	151	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	0.85
RESIDENTIAL											
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	4.85
Residentail Condominium / Townhome	220	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.74
Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit	0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.16
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	1.76
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial	231	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	1.76 2.25
Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing	240 252	Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.46	9.79 9.79	50%	4.90 4.90	4.90 4.90	1.27
Senior Adult Housing		Dwelling Unit									
Congregate Care Facility Assisted Living	253 254	Dwelling Unit Beds	0.18 0.26			0.18	9.79 9.79	50% 50%	4.90 4.90	4.90 4.90	0.88
	234	beus	0.20			0.20	9.19	JU%	4.90	4.90	1.27
LODGING Hotel	310	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.93
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities	320	Rooms	0.80			0.80	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.93
RECREATIONAL	320	ROUIIS	0.30			0.36	0.43	JU70	3.44	3.44	1.22
Golf Course	430	Holes	2.91			2.91	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	11.44
Miniature Golf Course	431	Holes	0.33			0.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	1.30
Golf Driving Range	431	Driving Positions	1.25			1.25	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	4.91
Multiplex Movie Theater	445	Screens	13.73			13.73	15.77	50%	7.89	6.00	82.38
Ice Skating Rink	465	1,000 SF GFA	1.33			1.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	5.23
Racquet / Tennis Club	491	Courts	3.82			3.82	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	15.01
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	495	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	9.08
INSTITUTIONAL	7/3	1,000 DI GI A	2.31			2.31	7.00	5070	3.73	3.73	7.00
Primary/Middle School (1-8)	522	Students	0.17			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.30
High School (9-12)	530	Students	0.14			0.14	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.25
Junior / Community College	540	Students	0.11			0.11	10.44	50%	5.22	5.22	0.57
University / College	550	Students	0.15			0.15	10.44	50%	5.22	5.22	0.78
Church	560	1,000 SF GFA	0.49			0.49	8.31	50%	4.16	4.16	2.04
Day Care Center	565	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	В	6.23	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	10.90
MEDICAL		7.4.4.4									
Hospital	610	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	9.32
Nursing Home	620	Beds	0.22			0.22	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	1.08
Clinic	630	1,000 SF GFA	3.28			3.28	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	16.17
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	640	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	12.18
OFFICE											
General Office Building	710	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	6.90
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	1,000 SF GFA	0.60			0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	3.60
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	10.26
Medical / Dental Office	720	1,000 SF GFA	3.46			3.46	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	17.06
Office Park	750	1,000 SF GFA	1.07			1.07	14.65	50%	7.33	6.00	6.42
COMMERCIAL		_									
Automobile Related											
New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	5.43
Automobile Parts Sales	843	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.24
Tire Store	848	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	2.23	6.40
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.49
Automobile Care Center	942	1,000 SF GLA	3.11	40%	В	1.87	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	4.17
Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	945	Vehicle Fueling Positions	13.99	56%	A	6.16	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	3.70
Self-Service Car Wash	947	Stalls	5.54	40%	В	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	1.99
Dining											***************************************
Sit-Down Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	44%	A	4.37	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	12.32
High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	932	1,000 SF GFA	9.77	43%	A	5.57	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	15.71
Fast Food Restaurant	934	1,000 SF GFA	32.67	50%	A	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	46.08
Coffee / Donut Shop	937	1,000 SF GFA	43.38	70%	В	13.01	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	36.69
Other Retail											
Free-Standing Retail Store	815	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	30%	C	3.38	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.46
Garden Center (Nursery)	817	1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30%	В	4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	13.61
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	7.03
Supermarket	850	1,000 SF GFA	9.24	36%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	16.55
Home Improvement Superstore	862	1,000 SF GFA	2.33	42%	A	1.35	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	3.78
Toy / Children's Superstore	864	1,000 SF GFA	5.00	30%	В	3.50	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.80
Pharmacy / Drugstore	881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	14.70
SERVICES					L_						
Walk-In Bank	911	1,000 SF GFA	12.13	40%	В	7.28	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	16.23
Drive-In Bank	912	Drive-in Lanes	27.15	35%	A	17.65	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	39.36
Personal Service	918	1,000 SF GFA	1.45	30%	В	1.02	6.41	50%	3.21	3.21	3.27
				**		Doce by D					

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.K. Service Area K - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Land Use Category	ITE Land Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)		Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - K	Veh-Mi Per Dev- Unit SA - K
PORT AND TERMINAL										5.30	
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	9.37
INDUSTRIAL		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,									
General Light Industrial	110	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	3.16
Industrial Park	130	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	2.00
Warehousing Mini-Warehouse	150 151	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	5.01	0.95
RESIDENTIAL	131	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	3070	5.01	5.01	0.63
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Dwelling Unit	0.99	***************************************		0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	4.85
Residentail Condominium / Townhome	220	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.74
Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit	0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	2.16
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	1.76
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing	231 240	Dwelling Unit Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79 9.79	50%	4.90 4.90	4.90 4.90	1.76 2.25
Senior Adult Housing	252	Dwelling Unit	0.26			0.26	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	1.27
Congregate Care Facility	253	Dwelling Unit	0.18			0.18	9.79	50%	4.90	4.90	0.88
Assisted Living	254	Beds	0.26		<i>y</i>	0.26	9.79	▶ 50%	4.90	4.90	1.27
LODGING											
Hotel	310	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.93
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities	320	Rooms	0.38			0.38	6.43	50%	3.22	3.22	1.22
RECREATIONAL Golf Course	430	Holes	2.91			2.91	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	11.44
Miniature Golf Course	431	Holes	0.33			0.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	1.30
Golf Driving Range	432	Driving Positions	1.25	***************************************		1.25	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	4.91
Multiplex Movie Theater	445	Screens	13.73			13.73	15.77	50%	7.89	5.30	72.77
Ice Skating Rink	465	1,000 SF GFA	1.33			1.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	5.23
Racquet / Tennis Club	491	Courts	3.82			3.82	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	15.01
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	495	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.86	50%	3.93	3.93	9.08
INSTITUTIONAL Primary/Middle School (1-8)	522	Students	0.17			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.30
High School (9-12)	530	Students	0.14			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	0.30
Junior / Community College	540	Students	0.11			0.11	10.44	50%	5.22	5.22	0.57
University / College	550	Students	0.15			0.15	10.44	50%	5.22	5.22	0.78
Church	560	1,000 SF GFA	0.49			0.49	8.31	50%	4.16	4.16	2.04
Day Care Center	565	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	В	6.23	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	10.90
MEDICAL	510	D .	1.00	***************************************		1.00	0.05	500/	4.02	4.00	0.22
Hospital Nursing Home	610	Beds Beds	1.89 0.22			1.89 0.22	9.85 9.85	50%	4.93 4.93	4.93 4.93	9.32
Clinic	630	1,000 SF GFA	3.28			3.28	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	16.17
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	640	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	4.93	12.18
OFFICE		1									
General Office Building	710	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	5.30	6.10
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	1,000 SF GFA	0.60			0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	5.30	3.18
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	5.30	9.06
Medical / Dental Office Office Park	720 750	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	3.46 1.07			3.46 1.07	9.85 14.65	50%	4.93 7.33	4.93 5.30	17.06 5.67
COMMERCIAL	750	1,000 51 6111	1.07			1.07	11.00	5070	7.55	5.50	5.07
Automobile Related				***************************************							
New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	5.43
Automobile Parts Sales	843	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.24
Tire Store Ouick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	848	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	2.23	6.40
Quien Euroneuton vennete bilop	941 942	Servicing Positions	4.85 3.11	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.49
Automobile Care Center Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	942	1,000 SF GLA Vehicle Fueling Positions	13.99	56%	B A	1.87 6.16	4.45 1.20	50%	2.23 0.60	2.23 0.60	4.17 3.70
Self-Service Car Wash	947	Stalls	5.54	40%	В	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	1.99
Dining											
Sit-Down Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	44%	A	4.37	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	12.32
High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	932	1,000 SF GFA	9.77	43%	A	5.57	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	15.71
Fast Food Restaurant	934 937	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	32.67 43.38	50%	A B	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	46.08
Coffee / Donut Shop Other Retail	95/	1,000 SF OFA	43.38	70%	D	13.01	5.64	JU%	2.02	2.02	36.69
Free-Standing Retail Store	815	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	30%	С	3.38	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.46
Garden Center (Nursery)	817	1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30%	В	4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	13.61
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	7.03
Supermarket	850	1,000 SF GFA	9.24	36%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	16.55
Home Improvement Superstore	862	1,000 SF GFA	2.33	42%	A	1.35	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	3.78
Toy / Children's Superstore	864	1,000 SF GFA	5.00	30%	В	3.50	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.80
Pharmacy / Drugstore SERVICES	881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	14.70
Walk-In Bank	911	1,000 SF GFA	12.13	40%	В	7.28	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	16.23
Drive-In Bank	912	Drive-in Lanes	27.15	35%	A	17.65	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	39.36
1.1		1,000 SF GFA	1.45	30%	В	1.02	6.41	50%	3.21	3.21	3.27

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.L. Service Area L - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Land Use Category	ITELand Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)		Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - L	Veh-Mi Per Dev- Unit SA - L
PORT AND TERMINAL										3.50	·
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	3.50	6.55
INDUSTRIAL											
General Light Industrial Industrial Park	110 130	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	3.50 3.50	2.21
Warehousing	150	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	3.50	0.67
Mini-Warehouse	151	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	50%	5.01	3.50	0.60
RESIDENTIAL											
Single-Family Detached Housing	210 220	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79 9.79	50%	4.90 4.90	3.50	3.47 1.96
Residentail Condominium / Townhome Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit Dwelling Unit	0.56 0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	3.50	1.54
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	3.50	1.26
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial	231	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	3.50	1.26
Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing Senior Adult Housing	240 252	Dwelling Unit Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.46	9.79 9.79	50%	4.90 4.90	3.50 3.50	1,61 0.91
Congregate Care Facility	253	Dwelling Unit	0.18			0.18	9.79	50%	4.90	3.50	0.63
Assisted Living	254	Beds	0.26			0.26	9.79	▶ 50%	4.90	3.50	0.91
LODGING	2::		0.55			0 -0		FC	2	2	1.00
Hotel Motel / Other Lodging Facilities	310 320	Rooms Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22 3.22	3.22 3.22	1.93
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities RECREATIONAL	320	KOUIIS	0.58			0.58	0.43	JU70	3.44	3.44	1.22
Golf Course	430	Holes	2.91			2.91	7.86	50%	3.93	3.50	10.19
Miniature Golf Course	431	Holes	0.33			0.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.50	1.16
Golf Driving Range Multiplex Movie Theater	432 445	Driving Positions Screens	1.25			1.25	7.86 15.77	50%	3.93 7.89	3.50 3.50	4.38
Ice Skating Rink	465	1,000 SF GFA	1.33			1.33	7.86	50%	3.93	3.50	4.66
Racquet / Tennis Club	491	Courts	3.82			3.82	7.86	50%	3.93	3.50	13.37
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	495	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.86	50%	3.93	3.50	8.09
INSTITUTIONAL	522	G. I	0.17			0.17	2.40	500/	175	1.76	0.20
Primary/Middle School (1-8) High School (9-12)	522 530	Students Students	0.17 0.14			0.17	3.49 3.49	50%	1.75 1.75	1.75 1.75	0.30
Junior / Community College	540	Students	0.11			0.11	10.44	50%	5.22	3.50	0.39
University / College	550	Students	0.15			0.15	10.44	50%	5.22	3.50	0.53
Church	560	1,000 SF GFA	0.49	440/	D	0.49	8.31	50%	4.16	3.50	1.72
Day Care Center MEDICAL	565	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	В	6.23	3.49	50%	1.75	1.75	10.90
Hospital	610	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	3.50	6.62
Nursing Home	620	Beds	0.22			0.22	9.85	50%	4.93	3.50	0.77
Clinic	630	1,000 SF GFA	3.28	200/	D.	3.28	9.85	50%	4.93	3.50	11.48
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic OFFICE	640	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	3.50	8.65
General Office Building	710	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	3.50	4.03
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	1,000 SF GFA	0.60			0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	3.50	2.10
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	3.50	5.99
Medical / Dental Office Office Park	720 750	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	3.46 1.07			3.46 1.07	9.85 14.65	50%	4.93 7.33	3.50 3.50	12.11 3.75
COMMERCIAL	750	1,000 51 6171	1.07			1.07	14.00	5070	7.55	3.50	3.73
Automobile Related											
New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	5.43
Automobile Parts Sales Tire Store	843 848	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	4.91 3.98	43% 28%	A A	2.80	4.45 4.45	50% 0.50	2.23	2.23	6.24
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	B	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	6.49
Automobile Care Center	942	1,000 SF GLA	3.11	40%	В	1.87	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	4.17
Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	945	Vehicle Fueling Positions	13.99	56%	A	6.16	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	3.70
Self-Service Car Wash Dining	947	Stalls	5.54	40%	В	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	1.99
Sit-Down Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA	7.80	44%	A	4.37	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	12.32
High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	932	1,000 SF GFA	9.77	43%	A	5.57	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	15.71
Fast Food Restaurant	934	1,000 SF GFA	32.67	50%	A	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	46.08
Coffee / Donut Shop Other Retail	937	1,000 SF GFA	43.38	70%	В	13.01	5.64	50%	2.82	2.82	36.69
Free-Standing Retail Store	815	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	30%	С	3.38	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	9.46
Garden Center (Nursery)	817	1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30%	В	4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	13.61
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	7.03
Supermarket Home Improvement Superstore	850	1,000 SF GFA	9.24	36% 42%	A	5.91	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	16.55
Toy / Children's Superstore	862 864	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	2.33 5.00	30%	A B	1.35 3.50	5.60 5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	3.78 9.80
Pharmacy / Drugstore	881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	2.80	14.70
SERVICES											
Walk-In Bank	911	1,000 SF GFA	12.13	40%	В	7.28	4.45	50%	2.23	2.23	16.23
Drive-In Bank Personal Service	912 918	Drive-in Lanes 1,000 SF GFA	27.15	35%	A B	17.65	4.45 6.41	50%	2.23 3.21	2.23	39.36
1 CISOHAI SCIVIC	710	1,000 51° GFA	1.45	JU70	D	1.02	0.41	JU%	3.∠1	3.21	3.27

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 11.M. Service Area M - Land Use / Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table (LUVMET)

Table 11.IVI. Service Area	IVI - Lo	and use / ve	HILLIE	-IVII	Гесц	uiva	lency i	abi			Veh-Mi
Land Use Category	ITE Land Use Code	Development Unit	Trip Gen Rate (PM)	Pass- by Rate	Pass-by Source	Trip Rate	Trip Length (mi)	Adj. For O-D	Adj. Trip Length (mi)	Max Trip Length (mi) SA - M	Per Dev- Unit SA - M
PORT AND TERMINAL										1.50	
Truck Terminal	030	1,000 SF GFA	1.87			1.87	10.02	50%	5.01	1.50	2.81
INDUSTRIAL		-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,							7		
General Light Industrial	110	1,000 SF GFA	0.63			0.63	10.02	50%	5.01	1.50	0.95
Industrial Park	130	1,000 SF GFA	0.40			0.40	10.02	50%	5.01	1.50	0.60
Warehousing	150	1,000 SF GFA	0.19			0.19	10.02	50%	5.01	1.50	0.29
Mini-Warehouse RESIDENTIAL	151	1,000 SF GFA	0.17			0.17	10.02	50%	5.01	1.50	0.26
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Dwelling Unit	0.99			0.99	9.79	50%	4.90	1.50	1.49
Residentail Condominium / Townhome	220	Dwelling Unit	0.56			0.56	9.79	50%	4.90	1.50	0.84
Apartment / Multifamily	221	Dwelling Unit	0.44			0.44	9.79	50%	4.90	1.50	0.66
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79	50%	4.90	1.50	0.54
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial	231 240	Dwelling Unit	0.36			0.36	9.79 9.79	50%	4.90 4.90	1.50	0.54
Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing Senior Adult Housing	252	Dwelling Unit Dwelling Unit	0.46			0.46	9.79	50%	4.90	1.50 1.50	0.69
Congregate Care Facility	253	Dwelling Unit	0.18			0.18	9.79	50%	4.90	1.50	0.27
Assisted Living	254	Beds	0.26			0.26	9.79	▶ 50%	4.90	1.50	0.39
LODGING											
Hotel	310	Rooms	0.60			0.60	6.43	50%	3.22	1.50	0.90
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities	320	Rooms	0.38			0.38	6.43	50%	3.22	1.50	0.57
RECREATIONAL	420	TT 1	1 200			201	7.00	5001	200	1.50	4.07
Golf Course Miniature Golf Course	430	Holes Holes	2.91 0.33			2.91 0.33	7.86 7.86	50%	3.93 3.93	1.50	4.37 0.50
Golf Driving Range	432	Driving Positions	1.25			1.25	7.86	50%	3.93	1.50	1.88
Multiplex Movie Theater	445	Screens	13.73		_	13.73	15.77	50%	7.89	1.50	20.60
Ice Skating Rink	465	1,000 SF GFA	1.33			1.33	7.86	50%	3.93	1.50	2.00
Racquet / Tennis Club	491	Courts	3.82			3.82	7.86	50%	3.93	1.50	5.73
Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilities	495	1,000 SF GFA	2.31			2.31	7.86	50%	3.93	1.50	3.47
INSTITUTIONAL)			
Primary/Middle School (1-8)	522	Students	0.17			0.17	3.49	50%	1.75	1.50	0.26
High School (9-12) Junior / Community College	530 540	Students Students	0.14			0.14	3.49 10.44	50%	1.75 5.22	1.50 1.50	0.21
University / College	550	Students	0.11			0.11	10.44	50%	5.22	1.50	0.17
Church	560	1,000 SF GFA	0.49			0.49	8.31	50%	4.16	1.50	0.74
Day Care Center	565	1,000 SF GFA	11.12	44%	В	6.23	3.49	50%	1.75	1.50	9.35
MEDICAL											
Hospital	610	Beds	1.89			1.89	9.85	50%	4.93	1.50	2.84
Nursing Home	620	Beds	0.22			0.22	9.85	50%	4.93	1.50	0.33
Clinic	630	1,000 SF GFA	3.28	200/	D	3.28	9.85	50%	4.93	1.50	4.92
Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic OFFICE	640	1,000 SF GFA	3.53	30%	В	2.47	9.85	50%	4.93	1.50	3.71
General Office Building	710	1,000 SF GFA	1.15			1.15	14.65	50%	7.33	1.50	1.73
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	1,000 SF GFA	0.60			0.60	14.65	50%	7.33	1.50	0.90
Single Tenant Office Building	715	1,000 SF GFA	1.71			1.71	14.65	50%	7.33	1.50	2.57
Medical / Dental Office	720	1,000 SF GFA	3.46			3.46	9.85	50%	4.93	1.50	5.19
Office Park	750	1,000 SF GFA	1.07			1.07	14.65	50%	7.33	1.50	1.61
COMMERCIAL											
Automobile Related New and Used Car Sales	840	1,000 SF GFA	2.43	20%	В	1.94	5.60	50%	2.80	1.50	2.91
Automobile Parts Sales	843	1,000 SF GFA	4.91	43%	A	2.80	4.45	50%	2.23	1.50	4.20
Tire Store	848	1,000 SF GFA	3.98	28%	A	2.87	4.45	0.50	2.23	1.50	4.31
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Servicing Positions	4.85	40%	В	2.91	4.45	50%	2.23	1.50	4.37
Automobile Care Center	942	1,000 SF GLA	3.11	40%	В	1.87	4.45	50%	2.23	1.50	2.81
Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	945	Vehicle Fueling Positions	13.99	56%	A	6.16	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	3.70
Self-Service Car Wash	947	Stalls	5.54	40%	В	3.32	1.20	50%	0.60	0.60	1.99
Dining Sit-Down Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA	7 90	4.40/		1 27	5.64	50%	202	1.50	656
Sit-Down Restaurant High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	931	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	7.80 9.77	44%	A A	4.37 5.57	5.64 5.64	50%	2.82	1.50	6.56 8.36
Fast Food Restaurant	934	1,000 SF GFA	32.67	50%	A	16.34	5.64	50%	2.82	1.50	24.51
Coffee / Donut Shop	937	1,000 SF GFA	43.38	70%	В	13.01	5.64	50%	2.82	1.50	19.52
Other Retail											
Free-Standing Retail Store	815	1,000 SF GFA	4.83	30%	С	3.38	5.60	50%	2.80	1.50	5.07
Garden Center (Nursery)	817	1,000 SF GFA	6.94	30%	В	4.86	5.60	50%	2.80	1.50	7.29
Shopping Center	820	1,000 SF GLA	3.81	34%	A	2.51	5.60	50%	2.80	1.50	3.77
Supermarket Home Improvement Superstore	850 862	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	9.24 2.33	36% 42%	A A	5.91 1.35	5.60 5.60	50%	2.80	1.50 1.50	8.87 2.03
Home Improvement Superstore Toy / Children's Superstore	864	1,000 SF GFA 1,000 SF GFA	5.00	30%	B	3.50	5.60	50%	2.80	1.50	5.25
Pharmacy / Drugstore	881	1,000 SF GFA	10.29	49%	A	5.25	5.60	50%	2.80	1.50	7.88
SERVICES											
Walk-In Bank	911	1,000 SF GFA	12.13	40%	В	7.28	4.45	50%	2.23	1.50	10.92
Drive-In Bank	912	Drive-in Lanes 1,000 SF GFA	27.15	35%	A	17.65	4.45	50%	2.23	1.50	26.48

A: ITE Trip Generation Handbook 3rd Edition (September 2017)

B: Estimated by Kimley-Horn based on ITE rates for similar categories C: ITE rate adjusted upward by KHA based on logical relationship to other categories





Table 12 - Land Use Descriptions

Land Use Category	ITELand Use Code	Land Use Description
PORT AND TERMINAL		
Truck Terminal	030	Point of good transfer between trucks or between trucks and rail
INDUSTRIAL General Light Industrial	110	Purchasia and sticking about the account of studies to triple the special format to 500 and are
Industrial Park	130	Emphasis on activities other than manufacturing; typically employing fewer than 500 workers Area containing a number of industries or related facilities
Warehousing	150	Devoted to storage of materials but may included office and maintenance areas
Mini-Warehouse	151	Facilities with a number of units rented to others for the storage of goods
RESIDENTIAL	210	
Single-Family Detached Housing	210	Single-family detached homes on individual lots
Residential Condominiumn / Townhome Apartment / Multifamily	220	Single-family ownership units that have at least one other single-family owned unit within the same building At least 3 rental dwelling units and between three and ten levels (floors) per building
Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)	222	At least 3 rental dwelling units and more than ten levels (floors) per building
Mid-Rise Residential with 1st Floor Commercial	231	Mixed-use multifamily housing buildings that have between three and 10 levels (floors) and include retail space on the first level
Mobile Home Park / Manufactured Housing	240	Consist of manufactured homes that are sited and installed on permanent foundations
Senior Adult Housing Congregate Care Facility	252 253	Independent living developments without centralized dining or on-site medical facilities Independent living developments with centralized amenities such as dining, housekeeping, transportation and organized social/recreational activities
Assisted Living	254	Interpendent it wing developments ware centualized aniented as usual as utiling, noisexecting, chargost anion and unganized social recteational activities. Residential settings that provide either routine general protective oversight or assistance with activities.
LODGING		
Hotel	310	Lodging facilities that typically have on-site restaurants, lounges, meeting and/or banquet rooms, or other retails hops and services
Motel / Other Lodging Facilities	320	Lodging facilities that may have small on-site restaurant or buffet area but little or no meeting space
RECREATIONAL Golf Course	430	May include municipal courses and private country clubs; may have driving ranges, pro shops, and restaurant/banquet facilities
Miniature Golf Course	431	way include initiate, parcourses and privace country claus, may have unity tanges, pro snops, and testinating original catalines. One or more individual putting courses, category should not be used when part of a larger entertainment center (with batting cages, video game centers, etc)
Golf Driving Range	432	Facilities with driving tees for practice; may provide individual or group lessons; may have prop shop and/or refreshment facilities
Multiplex Movie Theater	445	Movie theater with audience seating, minimum of ten screens, lobby, and refreshment area.
Ice Skating Rink	465 491	Rinks for ice skating and related sports; may contain spectator areas and refreshment facilities
Racquet / Tennis Club Health / Recreational Clubs and Facilites	491	Indoor or outdoor facilities specifically designed for playing tennis Privately owned facilities that primarily focus on individual fitness or training, can include facilities such as YMCA's
INSTITUTIONAL	493	rivately owned tachness that plintarily tocus of individual finitess of training, can include facinities such as Torca's
Primary / Middle School (1-8)	522	Serves students who have not yet entered high school
High School (9-12)	530	Serves students who have completed middle or junior high school
Junior / Community College	540	Two-year junior, community, or technical colleges
University / College	550 560	Four-year universities or colleges that may or may not offer graduate programs
Church Day Care Center	565	Churches and houses of worship Generally includes facilities for care of pre-school aged children, generally includes classrooms, offices, eating areas, and playgrounds
MEDICAL		The state of the s
Hospital	610	Medical and surgical facilities with overnight accommodations
Nursing Home	620	Rest and convalescent homes with residents who do little or no driving
Clinic Animal Hospital / Veterinary Clinic	630 640	Facilities with limited diagnostic and outpatient care Facility that specializes in the medical care and treatment of animals
OFFICE	040	Facinity that specializes in the medical care and treatment of annuals
General Office Building	710	Office buildings which house multiple tenants
Corporate Headquarters Building	714	Office building housing corporate headquarters of a single company or organization
Single Tenant Office Building	715	Single tenant office buildings other than corporate headquarters
Medical / Dental Office Office Park	720 750	Multi-tenant building with offices for physicians and/or dentists Office buildings (typically low-rise) in a campus setting and served by a common roadway system
COMMERCIAL	130	отпессования приману в 9-180/и и сиприз золице ини зольки од и солиции поможер у ублиц
Automobile Related		
New and Used Car Sales	840	Car dealerships, typically with automobile servicing and part sales
Automobile Parts Sales Tire Store	843 848	Retail sale of auto parts but no on-site vehicle repair
Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop	941	Primary business is sales and installation of tires; usually do not have large storage or warehouse area Primary business is to perform oil changes and fluid/filter changes with other repair services not provided
Automobile Care Center	942	Automobile repair and servicing including stereo installations and upholstering
Gasoline / Service Station w/ Convenience Market	945	Gasoline sales with convenience store where the primary business is gasoline sales
Self-Service Car Wash	947	Has stalls for driver to park and wash the vehicle
Dining Sit-Down Restaurant	931	Restaurants with turnover rates of one hour or longer, typically require reservations
High Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant	931	Restaurants with turnover rates of one nour or longer; typically require reservations Restaurants with turnover rates less than one hour; typically includes moderately-priced chain restaurants
Fast Food Restaurant	934	High-turnover fast food restaurant for carry-out and eat-in customers with a drive-thru window
Coffee / Donut Shop	937	Coffee and Donut restaurants with drive-through windows, hold long store hours and have limited indoor seating
Other Retail	015	
Free-Standing Retail Store Garden Center (Nursery)	815 817	Category includes free-standing stores with off-street parking; typically offer a variety of products and services with long store hours Building with a ward of planting or lands can a stock; may have office storage, chinning or amenbayes facilities
Shopping Center	820	Building with a yard of planting or landscape stock; may have office, storage, shipping or greenhouse facilities Integrated group of commercial establishments; planning, owned, and managed as a unit
Supermarket	850	Primary bus mess is sale of groceries, food, and household cleaning items; may include photo, pharmacy, video rental, and/or ATM
Home Improvement Superstore	862	W arehouse-type facilities offering a large variety of products and services including lumber, tool, paint, lighting, and fixtures, among other items.
Toy / Children's Superstore	864	Businesses specializing in child-oriented merchandise
Pharmacy / Drugstore	881	Facilities that primarily sell prescription and non-prescription drugs with and without drive-through windows
SERVICES Walk-In Bank	911	Bank without drive-thru lanes
Drive-In Bank	912	Bank with drive-thru lanes
Pers onal Service	918	Facilities that specialize in personal service such as hair salons





VI. SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

The following section details two (2) examples of maximum assessable Roadway Impact Fee calculations.

Example 1: Development Type - One (1) Unit of Single-Family Housing in Service Area C

	Determine Development Unit and Vehicle-Miles Per Development Unit
Step	From Table 11 [Land Use – Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table]
1	Development Type: 1 Dwelling Unit of Single-Family Detached Housing
'	Number of Development Units: 1 Dwelling Unit
	Veh-Mi Per Development Unit: 3.96
Ston	Determine Maximum Assessable Impact Fee Per Service Unit (Vehicle-Mile)
Step 2	From Table 10, Line 19 [Maximum Assessable Fee Per Service Unit]
	Service Area C: \$2,808
	Determine Maximum Assessable Impact Fee
	Impact Fee = # of Development Units * Veh-Mi Per Dev Unit * Max. Fee Per Service
Step	Unit
3	Impact Fee = 1 * 3.96 * \$2,808
	1111pact 1 cc = 1 3.70 \$2,000
	Maximum Assessable Impact Fee = \$11,120

Example 2: Development Type – 50,000 Square Foot Shopping Center in Service Area I

	Determine Development Unit and Vehicle-Miles Per Development Unit
Step	From Table 11 [Land Use - Vehicle-Mile Equivalency Table]
1	Development Type: 50,000 square feet of Home Improvement Store
	Development Unit: 50 thousand square feet of Gross Floor Area
	Veh-Mi Per Development Unit: 7.03
Ston	Determine Maximum Assessable Impact Fee Per Service Unit (Vehicle-Mile)
Step 2	From Table 10, Line 19 [Maximum Assessable Fee Per Service Unit]
	Service Area I: \$1,265
	Determine Maximum Assessable Impact Fee
	Impact Fee = # of Development Units * Veh-Mi Per Dev Unit * Max. Fee Per Service
Step	Unit
3	Impact Foo. FO * 7.02 * \$1.245
	Impact Fee = 50 * 7.03 * \$1,265
	Maximum Assessable Impact Fee = \$444,648





VII. ADOPTION AND ADMINISTRATION OF ROADWAY IMPACT FEES

A. Adoption Process

Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code stipulates a specific process for the adoption of Roadway Impact Fees. A Capital Improvements Advisory Committee (CIAC) is required to review the Land Use Assumptions and Roadway Impact Fees RIP used in calculating the maximum fee, and to provide the Committee's findings for consideration by the City Council. This CIAC also reviews the calculation and resulting maximum fees and provides its findings to the City Council. The composition of the CIAC (Planning and Zoning Commission in McKinney) is required to have one representative of the building and development communities. The City Council then conducts a public hearing on the Land Use Assumptions, RIF RIP, and Impact Fee Ordinance. A public hearing is required for the 2018-2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update.

Following policy adoption, the CIAC is tasked with advising the City Council of the need to update the Land Use Assumptions or the Roadway Impact Fees RIP at any time within five years of adoption. Finally, the CIAC oversees the proper administration of the Impact Fee, once in place, and advises the Council as necessary.

B. Collection and Use of Transportation Impact Fees

Roadway Impact Fees are assessed when a final plat is recorded. The assessment defines the impact of each unit at the time of platting, according to land use, and may not exceed the maximum impact fee allowed by law. Roadway Impact Fees are collected when a building permit is issued. Therefore, funds are not collected until development-impacts are introduced to the transportation system. Funds collected within a service area can be used only within the same service area. Finally, fees must be utilized within 10 years of collection, or must be refunded with interest.





VIII. CONCLUSION

The City of McKinney has established a process to implement the assessment and collection of Roadway Impact Fees through the adoption of an impact fee ordinance that is consistent with Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code.

This report establishes the maximum allowable Roadway Impact Fee that could be assessed by the City of McKinney within each of the thirteen (13) service areas. The maximum assessable roadway impact fees calculated in this report are presented below.

Service	2019-2020 Maximum Fee Per Service	2012–2013 Maximum Fee Per Service
Area	Unit (Per Vehicle-Mile)	Unit (Per Vehicle-Mile)
А	\$0	\$0
В	\$1,094	\$1,861
С	\$2,808	\$1,500
D	\$3,438	\$1,711
E	\$2,202	\$2,082
F	\$0	\$0
G	\$1,155	\$635
Н	\$361	\$393
I	\$1,265	\$755
J	\$347	\$824
K	\$1,197	\$1,182
L	\$2,044	\$1,320
M	\$2,406	\$0

This document serves as a guide to the assessment of Roadway Impact Fees pertaining to future development, and the City's need for roadway improvements to accommodate that growth. Following the public hearing process, the City Council may establish an impact fee amount to be assesses (if any), up to the calculated maximum and establish the Roadway Impact Fee Ordinance accordingly.





In conclusion, it is our opinion that the data and methodology used in this analysis are appropriate and consistent with Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code. Furthermore, the Land Use Assumptions and the proposed Roadway Impact Fee Roadway Improvements Plan are appropriately incorporated into the development of the maximum assessable Roadway Impact Fee.







IX. APPENDICES


- A. Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections
 - SERVICE AREA A
 - SERVICE AREA B
 - SERVICE AREA C
 - SERVICE AREA D
 - SERVICE AREA E
 - SERVICE AREA G
 - SERVICE AREA H
 - SERVICE AREA I
 - CEDITIOE ADEA
 - SERVICE AREA J
 - SERVICE AREA K SERVICE AREA L
 - SERVICE AREA M
- B. Roadway Impact Fee RIP Service Units of Supply
- C. Existing Roadway Facilities Inventory
- D. Plan for Awarding the Roadway Impact Fee Credit Summary FROM NEWGEN STRATEGIES & SOLUTIONS, LLC
- E. Plan for Awarding the Roadway Impact Fee Credit Supporting Exhibits

FROM NEWGEN STRATEGIES & SOLUTIONS, LLC





Appendix A – Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections




City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area A

	Costing					Percent in	(Cost in
#	Class	Project	Limits	1	Total Cost	Service Area	Ser	vice Area
A-1, C-1	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (1)	635' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO CR 168	\$	7,715,900	50%	\$	3,857,950
A-2, D-1	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (3)	CR 168 TO 1,560' E OF CR 168	\$	7,517,500	50%	\$	3,758,750
A-3	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (1)	2,635' N OF UNNAMED 5 TO UNNAMED 5	\$	4,679,450	50%	\$	2,339,725
				\$	19.912.850		\$	9.956.425

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. A-1, C-1

Name: UNNAMED 5 (1) This project consists of the

Limits: 635' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO CR 168 construction of a new six-lane divided

Impact Fee Class: M6D major arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial

Length (If): 3,315 Service Area(s): A and C

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection				
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation	36,833	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 368,333
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	28,730	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 201,110
309	9" Concrete Pavement	27,257	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 1,635,400
409	4" Topsoil	12,892	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 32,229
509	6" Curb & Gutter	13,260	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 66,300
609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	2,023	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 155,774
709	Moisture Conditioning	28,730	sy	\$ 8.00	\$ 229,840

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 2,688,987

Major Construction Component Allowances**:								
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost			
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	26,890			
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	80,670			
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	672,247			
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing		\$	250,000			
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	53,780			
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	161,339			
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Co	st Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,244,925			
		Paving and Allowa		\$	3,933,912			
		Mobilization:		\$	196,696			
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$	196,696			
	Construction Cost TOTAL:							
		Construction Contingency:			649,200			
	C	onstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	FINGENCY:	\$	4,978,000			

	Notes:	Allowance	i	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	4,978,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	995,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	1,742,300

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. A-2, D-1

Name: UNNAMED 5 (3) This project consists of the

Limits: CR 168 TO 1,560' E OF CR 168 construction of a new six-lane divided

Impact Fee Class: M6D major arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial Length (If): 1,560
Service Area(s): A and D

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection												
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Unit Price		Unit Price		Unit Price			Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation	17,333	су	\$	10.00	\$	173,333						
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	13,520	sy	\$	7.00	\$	94,640						
309	9" Concrete Pavement	12,827	sy	\$	60.00	\$	769,600						
409	4" Topsoil	6,067	sy	\$	2.50	\$	15,167						
509	6" Curb & Gutter	6,240	lf	\$	5.00	\$	31,200						
609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	952	sy	\$	77.00	\$	73,306						
709	Moisture Conditioning	13,520	sy	\$	8.00	\$	108,160						
	Poving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 4.205.400												

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 1,265,406

Major Construction Component Allo				
Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost
√ Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	12,654
√ Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	37,962
$\sqrt{}$ Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	316,351
√ Special Drainage Structures	Bridge		\$	2,100,000
Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-
Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-
√ Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	25,308
√ Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	75,924
Other:				
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction	n Cost Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	2,568,200
	Paving and Allowa			3,833,606
	Mobilization:		*	191,680
	Site Preparation: Construction C			191,680
	\$	4,217,000		
	Construction Contingency:			632,550
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	FINGENCY:	\$	4,850,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	nary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,850,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 970,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,697,500
·	\$ 7,517,500		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.


2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. A-3
Name:	STONEBRIDGE DR (1)		This project consists of the
Limits:	2,635' N OF UNNAMED 5 TO UNNAME	ED 5	construction of a new four-lane divided
Impact Fee Class:	G4D		greenway arterial. Based on the existing
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		City Limits, the western half of the
Length (If):	2,635		roadway is not included in the Impact
Service Area(s):	A, Half		Fee RIP

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation		25,764	су	\$ 10.00	\$	257,644
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		19,323	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	135,263
307	8" Concrete Pavement		18,152	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	998,372
407	4" Topsoil		12,589	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	31,474
507	6" Curb & Gutter		10,540	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	52,700
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median O	penings	1,547	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	111,389
707	Moisture Conditioning		19,323	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	154,587
		P	aving Consti	ruction C	ost Subtotal:	\$	1,741,429
Majo	r Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%		17,414
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		52,243
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	\$	435,357
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	34,829
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	104,486
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	644,329
			_		nce Subtotal:	4	2,385,758
				lization:	5%		119,288
_			Site Prep				119,288
					ost TOTAL:		2,625,000
			uction Conti			\$	393,750
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	3,019,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumn Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:	Notes.	Allowalice	\$ 3,019,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 603,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,056,650
	\$ 4,679,450		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area B

#	Costing Class	Project	Limits	Т	otal Cost	Percent in Service Area	Cost in vice Area
B-1, D-2	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (4)	1,050' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO 1,200' E OF HARDIN BLVD	\$	4,904,200	50%	\$ 2,452,100
B-2	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (1)	CR 204 TO CR 206	\$	877,800	50%	\$ 438,900
B-3	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (2)	1,670' N OF OLYMPIC TO 730' S OF OLYMPIC	\$	4,837,000	50%	\$ 2,418,500
B-4	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (3)	1,940' N OF UNNAMED 5 TO UNNAMED 5	\$	4,090,450	100%	\$ 4,090,450
1	Inter-	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & OLYMPIC	\$	300,000	50%	\$ 150,000
3	section	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & UNNAMED 5	\$	300,000	50%	\$ 150,000
				\$	15,309,450		\$ 9,699,950

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No.

Name: UNNAMED 5 (4) 1,050' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO

This project consists of the construction of a new six-lane divided major arterial.

Limits: 1,200' E OF HARDIN BLVD

Impact Fee Class: M6D Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial Length (If): 2,250 Service Area(s): B and D

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection						
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Uni	t Price		Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation	25,000	су	\$	10.00	\$	250,000
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	19,500	sy	\$	7.00	\$	136,500
309	9" Concrete Pavement	18,500	sy	\$	60.00	\$	1,110,000
409	4" Topsoil	8,750	sy	\$	2.50	\$	21,875
509	6" Curb & Gutter	9,000	lf	\$	5.00	\$	45,000
609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	1,373	sy	\$	77.00	\$	105,729
709	Moisture Conditioning	19,500	sy	\$	8.00	\$	156,000
	Paving Construction Cost Subtotal:						

Major Construction Component Allowances**:									
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost				
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	18,251				
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	54,753				
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	456,276				
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-				
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	36,502				
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	109,506				
	Other:								
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Co	st Subtotal Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	675,289				
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	2,500,393				
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	125,020				
	\$	125,020							
	\$	2,751,000							
		Construction Contingency:			412,650				
	C	onstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	3,164,000				

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,164,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 632,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,107,400
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 4,904,200

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	B-2			
Name:	HARDIN BLVD (1)	This project consist	This project consists of the reconstruction of a two-				
Limits:	CR 204 TO CR 206	lane facility to a si	x-lane divided greer	nway arterial.			
Impact Fee Class:	G6D	Based on the existing City Limits, the eastern half of					
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial	the roadway is not	t included in the Imp	pact Fee RIP.			
Length (If):	435						
Service Area(s):	B, Half						

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Uni	it Price		Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation		5,317	су	\$	10.00	\$	53,167
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		3,480	sy	\$	7.00	\$	24,360
316	9" Concrete Pavement		3,287	sy	\$	60.00	\$	197,200
416	4" Topsoil		2,755	sy	\$	2.50	\$	6,888
	6" Curb & Gutter		1,740	If	\$	5.00	\$	8,700
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	penings	301	sy	\$	77.00	\$	23,148
716	Moisture Conditioning		3,480	sy	\$	8.00	\$	27,840
		P	aving Consti	ruction C	Cost S	Subtotal:	\$	341,302
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	es**:						
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	-	10,239
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	10,239
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem			25%	\$	85,325
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$	10,239
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$	10,239
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	6,826
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	20,478
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce S	Subtotal:	\$	153,586
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							\$	494,888
Mobilization: 5%						\$	24,744	
	Site Preparation: 5%						\$	24,744
Construction Cost TOTAL:							\$	545,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:		15%	\$	81,750
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						\$	627,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumn	nary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 627,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 125,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 125,400
·	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 877,800

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. B-3
Name:	HARDIN BLVD (2)		This project consists of the
Limits:	1,670' N OF OLYMPIC TO 730' S OF C	DLYMPIC	reconstruction of a two-lane facility to a
Impact Fee Class:	G6D		six-lane divided greenway arterial.
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		Based on the existing City Limits, the
Length (If):	2,400		eastern half of the roadway is not
Service Area(s):	B, Half		included in the Impact Fee RIP.

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
	Item Description	lion	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation		29,333	СУ	\$ 10.00	\$	293,333
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		19,200	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	134,400
316	9" Concrete Pavement		18,133	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,088,000
416	4" Topsoil		15,200	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	38,000
	6" Curb & Gutter		9,600	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	48,000
616	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	1,659	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	127,711
	Moisture Conditioning	Ü	19,200	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	153,600
		P	aving Constr	uction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,883,044
			J				. ,
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	s**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		56,491
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	56,491
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem		25%	\$	470,761
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	56,491
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		56,491
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	37,661
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	112,983
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	847,370
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							2,730,414
Mobilization: 5%							136,521
Site Preparation: 5%							136,521
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$	3,004,000
	Construction Contingency: 15%						450,600
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	3,455,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumn Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,455,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 691,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 691,000
	\$ 4,837,000		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. B-4

Name: HARDIN BLVD (3) This project consists of the

Limits: 1,940' N OF UNNAMED 5 TO UNNAMED 5 construction of a new six-lane divided

Impact Fee Class: G6D greenway arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 1,940
Service Area(s): B


Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation	23,711	су	\$	10.00	\$ 237,111
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	15,520	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 108,640
316	9" Concrete Pavement	14,658	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 879,467
416	4" Topsoil	12,287	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 30,717
516	6" Curb & Gutter	7,760	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 38,800
616	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	1,341	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 103,233
716	Moisture Conditioning	15,520	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 124,160

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 1,522,128

Major Construction Component Allowances**:								
Item Description		Item Cost						
√ Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	15,221				
√ Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	45,664				
√ Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	380,532				
Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-				
Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
$\sqrt{}$ Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	30,443				
√ Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	91,328				
Other:								
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction	n Cost Subtotal Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$	563,187				
	Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	2,085,315				
	Mobilization:	5%	\$	104,266				
	\$	104,266						
	\$	2,294,000						
	Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	344,100				
	\$	2,639,000						

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,639,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 527,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 923,650
·	Impact Fee Project (Cost TOTAL:	\$ 4,090,450

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area C

#	Costing Class	Project	Limits	Tota	I Cost	Percent in Service Area		Cost in vice Area
A-1, C-1	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (1)	635' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO CR 168	\$	7,715,900	50%	\$	3,857,950
C-2	M6D	UNNAMED 5 (2)	RIDGE RD TO 1,505' E OF RIDGE RD	\$	3,137,400	50%	\$	1,568,700
C-3	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (1)	695' W OF CUSTER RD TO CUSTER RD	\$	665,000	50%	\$	332,50
C-4	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (2)	CUSTER RD TO 1,225' E OF CUSTER RD	\$	1,171,800	100%	\$	1,171,800
C-5	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (3)	1,225' E OF CUSTER RD TO 2,070' E OF CUSTER RD	\$	808,500	50%	\$	404,250
C-6	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (4)	840' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 2,905' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	\$	2,859,500	50%	\$	1,429,750
C-7	G6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (5)	1,985' W OF RIDGE RD TO 1,230' E OF RIDGE RD	\$	3,073,000	50%	\$	1,536,500
C-8	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (1)	CUSTER RD TO 1,310' E OF CUSTER RD	\$	3,179,400	100%	\$	3,179,400
C-9	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (2)	1,310' E OF CUSTER RD TO 2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR	\$	4,838,400	50%	\$	2,419,200
C-10	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (3)	2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	\$ 1	3,314,000	100%	\$	13,314,00
C-11	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (4)	3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 695' W OF RIDGE RD	\$	3,292,800	50%	\$	1,646,400
C-12	P6D	BLOOMDALE RD (5)	695' W OF RIDGE RD TO RIDGE RD	\$	1,453,200	100%	\$	1,453,200
C-13	P6D(2/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (6)	3,400' W OF LAKE FOREST DR TO LAKE FOREST DR	\$	4,650,800	50%	\$	2,325,400
C-14	G4D	WILMETH RD (1)	3,725' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	\$	8,845,850	100%	\$	8,845,850
C-15	G4D	WILMETH RD (2)	815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 995' W OF RIDGE RD	\$	6,200,600	50%	\$	3,100,300
C-16	G4D(1/2)	WILMETH RD (3)	RIDGE RD TO 585' E OF RIDGE RD	\$	1,593,000	100%	\$	1,593,000
C-17	G4D(1/2)	WILMETH RD (4)	1,095' E OF RIDGE RD TO 1,365' E OF RIDGE RD	\$	708,000	100%	\$	708,000
C-18	P6D	CUSTER RD (1)	1,855' N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO LAUD HOWELL PKWY	\$	1,834,000	50%	\$	917,000
C-19	P6D	CUSTER RD (2)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,775 N OF BLOOMDALE RD		2.869.825	100%	\$	2,869,82
C-20	P6D	CUSTER RD (3)	2,655 N OF BLOOMDALE RD TO 375' N OF WILMETH RD	\$	8,071,300	50%	\$	4,035,650
C-21	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (2)	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,280' S OF UNNAMED 5		2,763,650	100%	\$	2,763,650
C-22	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (3)	1,570' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 280' S OF WILMETH RD	\$ 1	4,194,900	100%	\$	14,194,900
C-23	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (4)	280' S OF WILMETH RD TO 1,195' S OF WILMETH RD		2,117,300	50%	\$	1,058,650
C-24	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (5)	1,195' S OF WILMETH RD TO 5,555' S OF WILMETH RD		8,232,050	100%	\$	8,232,050
C-25	G4D	STONEBRIDGE DR (6)	580' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO US HIGHWAY 380		1,030,750	100%	\$	1,030,750
C-26	M6D	RIDGE RD (1)	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,485' S OF UNNAMED 5		3.095,400	50%	\$	1,547,700
C-27	M6D	RIDGE RD (2)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BAXTER WELL RD	\$	7,267,950	100%	\$	7,267,950
C-28	M6D	RIDGE RD (3)	BAXTER WELL RD TO 2,160'S OF BLOOMDALE RD		9,144,800	50%	\$	4,572,400
C-29	M6D	RIDGE RD (4)	1,590' N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD	_	3,756,200	50%	\$	1,878,100
C-30	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (5)	WILMETH RD TO 2,280' S OF WILMETH RD		7,411,200	100%	\$	7,411,200
C-31	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (6)	1,860' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO 1,390' N OF US HIGHWAY 380		1,455,000	50%	\$	727,500
C-32	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (7)	775' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO US HIGHWAY 380		2,857,200	100%	\$	2,857,200
C-33	M6D(1/3)	LAKE FOREST DR (2)	BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	T .	5,008,981	50%	\$	2,504,491
C-34, D-22	· · · · ·	LAKE FOREST DR (3)	WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380		2,350,800	50%	\$	1,175,400
2	(/	Signal	RIDGE RD & UNNAMED 5	\$	300,000	25%	\$	75,000
4	1	Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & CUSTER RD	\$	300,000	75%	\$	225,000
5	•	Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & RIDGE RD	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
12	1	Intersection Improvement	CUSTER RD & BLOOMDALE RD	\$	150,000	50%	\$	75,000
13	-			\$	300.000	100%	\$	
	5	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & STONEBRIDGE DR	\$		50%	-	300,000
14	- j	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & RIDGE RD	-	300,000		\$	150,000
15	ntersection	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & LAKE FOREST DR	\$	300,000	25%	\$	75,000
23	<u> </u>	Signal	STONEBRIDGE DR & WILMETH RD	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
24	4 ,	Signal	WILMETH RD & RIDGEKNOLL AVE	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
25	1	Roundabout	LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD		1,830,000	50%	\$	915,000
33		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR	\$	150,000	50%	\$	75,000
34		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
35		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. A-1, C-1

Name: UNNAMED 5 (1) This project consists of the

Limits: 635' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO CR 168 construction of a new six-lane divided

Impact Fee Class: M6D major arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial

Length (If): 3,315 Service Area(s): A and C

Roadway Construction Cost Projection							
Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost	
Unclassified Street Excavation	36,833	су	\$	10.00	\$	368,333	
Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	28,730	sy	\$	7.00	\$	201,110	
9" Concrete Pavement	27,257	sy	\$	60.00	\$	1,635,400	
4" Topsoil	12,892	sy	\$	2.50	\$	32,229	
6" Curb & Gutter	13,260	lf	\$	5.00	\$	66,300	
Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	2,023	sy	\$	77.00	\$	155,774	
Moisture Conditioning	28,730	sy	\$	8.00	\$	229,840	
	Item Description Unclassified Street Excavation Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12) 9" Concrete Pavement 4" Topsoil 6" Curb & Gutter Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings Moisture Conditioning	Item Description Quantity Unclassified Street Excavation 36,833 Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	Item DescriptionQuantityUnitUnclassified Street Excavation36,833cyLime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	Item Description Quantity Unit Unit Unclassified Street Excavation 36,833 cy \$ Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	Item Description Quantity Unit Unit Price Unclassified Street Excavation 36,833 cy \$ 10.00 Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	Item Description Quantity Unit Unit Price Unclassified Street Excavation 36,833 cy 10.00 \$ Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 2,688,987

Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost			
√ Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	26,890			
√ Pavement Markings/Market	ers	3%	\$	80,670			
√ Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	672,247			
√ Special Drainage Structure	Stream Crossing		\$	250,000			
Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
√ Establish Turf / Erosion Co	ontrol	2%	\$	53,780			
√ Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	161,339			
Other:							
**Allowances based on % of Paving Co	onstruction Cost Subtotal Allows	ance Subtotal:	\$	1,244,925			
	Paving and Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$	3,933,912			
	Mobilization	5%	\$	196,696			
	\$	196,696					
	\$	4,328,000					
	\$	649,200					
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	4,978,000			

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	4,978,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	995,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	1,742,300
·	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. C-2
Name:	UNNAMED 5 (2)		This project consists of the
Limits:	RIDGE RD TO 1,505' E OF RIDGE R	D	reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Impact Fee Class:	M6D		facility to a six-lane divided major
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		arterial. Based on the existing City
Length (If):	1,505		Limits, the northern half of the roadway
Service Area(s):	C, Half		is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.

Roa	ndway Construction Cost Project	ion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		16,722	су	\$ 10.00	\$	167,222
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		13,043	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	91,303
309	9" Concrete Pavement		12,374	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	742,467
409	4" Topsoil		5,853	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	14,632
509	6" Curb & Gutter		6,020	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	30,100
609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op-	enings	918	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	70,721
709	Moisture Conditioning		13,043	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	104,347
		Pa	aving Constr	uction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,220,792
Majo	or Construction Component Allowances						
		Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$		Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		36,624
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	36,624
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	\$	305,198
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%	\$	36,624
		Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	36,624
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	24,416
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	73,248
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost St	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	549,356
						\$	
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						1,770,148
	Mobilization: 5%					\$	88,507
	Site Preparation: 5%					\$	88,507
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						1,948,000
			uction Conti			_	292,200
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						2,241,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumn Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,241,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 448,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 448,200
	\$ 3,137,400		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-3			
Name:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (1) 695' W OF CUSTER RD TO		sts of the reconstructure of the six-lane divide				
Limits:	CUSTER RD	arterial. Based on the existing City Limits, the					
Impact Fee Class:	G6D	northern half of the	e roadway is not inc	luded in the			
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial	Impact Fee RIP.					
Length (If):	695						
Service Area(s):	C, Half						

Roa	adway Construction Cost Proje	ection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	t Price	Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation		4,247	су	\$	15.00	\$ 63,708
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		5,560	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 38,920
316	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		5,251	sy	\$	5.00	\$ 26,256
416	9" Concrete Pavement		5,251	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 315,067
516	4" Topsoil		4,402	sy	\$	4.00	\$ 17,607
616	6" Curb & Gutter		2,780	. If	\$	5.00	\$ 13,900
716	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	Openings	480	sy	\$	87.00	\$ 41,786
		_ [Paving Const	ruction (Cost S	ubtotal:	\$ 517,243
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand	ces**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$ 15,517
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$ 15,517
	Roadway Drainage					25%	\$ 129.311

√ Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$ 15,517
√ Roadway Drainage		25%	\$ 129,311
Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$ -
√ Water	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$ 15,517
√ Sewer	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$ 15,517
√ Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$ 10,345
√ Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$ 31,035
Other:			
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction (\$ 232,759		
	Paving and Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$ 750,003
	Mobilization:	5%	\$ 37,500
	\$ 37,500		
	\$ 826,000		
	Construction Contingency:	15%	\$ 123,900
	\$ 950.000		

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary					
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost	
Construction:		-	\$	950,000	
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	190,000	
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-	
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-	
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	190,000	
Impact Fee	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Service Area(s):

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. C-4
Name:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (2)		This project consists of the
Limits:	CUSTER RD TO 1,225' E OF CUSTER	RD	reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Impact Fee Class:	G6D		facility to a six-lane divided greenway
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		arterial.
Length (If):	1,225		

				`			
Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
116	6 Unclassified Street Excavation		7,486	су	\$ 15.00	\$	112,292
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		9,800	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	68,600
316	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		9,256	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	46,278
416	9" Concrete Pavement		9,256	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	555,333
516			7,758	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	31,033
	6" Curb & Gutter		4,900	If	\$ 5.00	\$	24,500
716	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	847	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	73,652
		Pa	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	911,688
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		27,351
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		27,351
	Roadway Drainage				25%		227,922
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	its		3%		27,351
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	its		3%		27,351
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%		18,234
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	54,701
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	ınce Subtotal:	\$	410,259
						\$	
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						1,321,947
Mobilization: 5%							66,097
	Site Preparation: 5%						66,097
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						1,455,000
			uction Conti				218,250
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						1,674,000

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,674,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 334,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 334,800

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. C-5
Name:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (3) 1,225' E OF CUSTER RD TO 2,070'		This project consists of the reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Limits: Impact Fee Class:	E OF CUSTER RD G6D		facility to a six-lane divided greenway arterial. Based on the existing City
Thoroughfare Class: Length (If): Service Area(s):	Greenway Arterial 845 C, Half		Limits, the northern half of the roadway is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation		5,164	су	\$ 15.00	\$	77,458
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		6,760	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	47,320
316	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		6,384	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	31,922
416	9" Concrete Pavement		6,384	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	383,067
			5,352	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	21,407
	6" Curb & Gutter		3,380	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	16,900
716	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op		584	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	50,805
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	628,878
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase 1	Traffic Control		3%		18,866
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		18,866
	Roadway Drainage				25%		157,220
ļ.,	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	ts		3%		18,866
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	ts		3%		18,866
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	-	12,578
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	37,733
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	282,995
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							911,874
Mobilization: 5%						\$	45,594
Site Preparation: 5%						_	45,594
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$	1,004,000
			uction Conti			_	150,600
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	1,155,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary	1		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,155,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 231,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 231,000
Impact Fee	\$ 808,500		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-6
Name:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (4) 840' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO	This project consist lane asphalt facility		
Limits:	2,905' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	arterial. Based on th	ne existing City Lin	nits, the
Impact Fee Class:	G6D	southern half of the	roadway is not inc	cluded in the
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial	Impact Fee RIP.		
Length (If):	2,065			
Service Area(s):	C, Half			

Roa	adway Construction Cost Proje	ection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
116	6 Unclassified Street Excavation			су	\$ 15.00	\$	189,292
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		16,520	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	115,640
316	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		15,602	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	78,011
416	9" Concrete Pavement		15,602	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	936,133
516	4" Topsoil		13,078	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	52,313
	6" Curb & Gutter		8,260	If	\$ 5.00		41,300
716	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median (1,427	sy	\$ 87.00		124,156
		P	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal	: \$	1,536,845
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
√.	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		46,105
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		46,105
	Roadway Drainage				25%	<mark>6</mark> \$	384,211
$\sqrt{}$	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge				\$	1,000,000
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		46,105
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	<mark>6</mark> \$	46,105
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				29	<mark>6</mark> \$	30,737
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		69	6 \$	92,211
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	ance Subtotal	: \$	1,691,580
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						: \$	3,228,425
Mobilization: 5%						6 \$	161,421
Site Preparation: 5%							161,421
					ost TOTAL	: \$	3,552,000
		Constr	ruction Conti	ngency:	15%	<mark>6</mark> \$	532,800
Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						: \$	4,085,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summar	y		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,085,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 817,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 817,000
Impact Fee	\$ 2,859,500		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. C-7
Name:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (5) 1,985' W OF RIDGE RD TO 1,230' E		This project consists of the reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Limits: Impact Fee Class:	OF RIDGE RD G6D		facility to a six-lane divided greenway arterial. Based on the existing City
Thoroughfare Class: Length (If):	Greenway Arterial 3,215		Limits, the northern half of the roadway is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.
Service Area(s):	C, Half		is not included in the impact rec itir.

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	ction						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Uni	it Price		Item Cost
116	116 Unclassified Street Excavation		19,647	су	\$	15.00	\$	294,708
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		25,720	sy	\$	7.00	\$	180,040
316	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		24,291	sy	\$	5.00	\$	121,456
416	9" Concrete Pavement		24,291	sy	\$	60.00	\$	1,457,467
	4" Topsoil		20,362	sy	\$	4.00	\$	81,447
	6" Curb & Gutter		12,860	If	\$	5.00	\$	64,300
716	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median O		2,222	sy	\$	87.00	\$	193,298
		P	Paving Const	ruction (Cost S	Subtotal:	\$	2,392,715
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance							
	Item Description	Notes		`	Allo	owance		Item Cost
√,	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$	71,781
√,	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%		71,781
	Roadway Drainage					25%	\$	598,179
,	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$	-
√,	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$	71,781
√,	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$	71,781
√,	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	47,854
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	143,563
	Other:	4						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce S	Subtotal:	\$	1,076,722
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							3,469,437	
Mobilization: 5%						\$	173,472	
Site Preparation: 5%							\$	173,472
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$	3,817,000	
			ruction Conti			15%	\$	572,550
Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						\$	4,390,000	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary	1			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	4,390,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	878,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	878,000
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				3,073,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. C-8
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (1)		This project consists of the
Limits:	CUSTER RD TO 1,310' E OF CUSTER	RD	reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		facility to a six-lane divided principal
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		arterial.
Length (If):	1,310		
Service Area(s):	C		

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		14,556	су	\$ 10.00	\$	145,556
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		11,353	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	79,473
313	9" Concrete Pavement		10,771	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	646,267
413	4" Topsoil		5,968	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	14,919
513	6" Curb & Gutter		5,240	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	26,200
613	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	799	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	61,558
713	Moisture Conditioning	•	11,353	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	90,827
		Pa	aving Constr	uction C	ost Subtotal:	\$	1,064,800
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	s**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		31,944
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		31,944
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	\$	266,200
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing				\$	250,000
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		31,944
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		31,944
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	21,296
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	63,888
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	729,160
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						\$	1,793,959
Mobilization: 5%							89,698
Site Preparation: 5%							89,698
Construction Cost TOTAL:							1,974,000
			uction Conti			<u> </u>	296,100
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	2,271,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	2,271,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	454,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	454,200
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				3,179,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. C-9
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (2)		This project consists of the
Limits:	1,310' E OF CUSTER RD TO 2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR		reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt facility to a six-lane divided principal
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		arterial. Based on the existing City
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		Limits, the southern half of the roadway
Length (If):	2,105		is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.
Service Area(s):	C, Half		

Roa	adway Construction Cost Proje	ection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		23,389	су	\$ 10.00	\$	233,889
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		18,243	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	127,703
313	9" Concrete Pavement		17,308	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,038,467
413	4" Topsoil		9,589	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	23,974
513	6" Curb & Gutter		8,420	If	\$ 5.00	\$	42,100
613	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median (Openings	1,285	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	98,916
713	Moisture Conditioning		18,243	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	145,947
		F	Paving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,710,995
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand	es**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	51,330
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	51,330
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem		25%	\$	427,749
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing				\$	250,000
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	51,330
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	51,330
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	34,220
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	102,660
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,019,948
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							2,730,942
Mobilization: 5%						\$	136,547
Site Preparation: 5%						\$	136,547
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$	3,005,000
		Consti	ruction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	450,750
	Co	nstruction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	3,456,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary	•			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	3,456,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	691,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	691,200
	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. C-10
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (3)	TI	his project consists of the
	2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO	re	econstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Limits:	3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	fa	cility to a six-lane divided principal
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		rterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

Length (If): 5,275 Service Area(s): C

Roa	adway Construction Cost Project	ction					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		58,611	су	\$ 10.00	\$	586,111
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		45,717	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	320,017
313	9" Concrete Pavement		43,372	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	2,602,333
413	4" Topsoil		24,031	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	60,076
513	6" Curb & Gutter		21,100	If	\$ 5.00	\$	105,500
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median C	penings	3,219	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	247,876
713	Moisture Conditioning		45,717	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	365,733
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	4,287,647
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		128,629
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		128,629
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%		1,071,912
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge				\$	1,300,000
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		128,629
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	-	128,629
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	-	85,753
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	257,259
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	3,229,441
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							7,517,088
Mobilization: 5%							375,854 375,854
	Site Preparation: 5%						
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	9,510,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	1,902,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	1,902,000
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				13,314,000

Construction Contingency:

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

15%

1,240,350

9,510,000

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-11
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (4)	This project consists of t	he reconstruc	tion of a two-
	3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO	lane asphalt facility to a s	six-lane divide	d principal
Limits:	695' W OF RIDGE RD	arterial. Based on the exi	sting City Lim	its, the
Impact Fee Class:	P6D	southern half of the road		
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial	Impact Fee RIP.		
Length (If):	1,365			
Service Area(s):	C, Half			

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Uni	t Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		15,167	су	\$	10.00	\$	151,667
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		11,830	sy	\$	7.00	\$	82,810
313	9" Concrete Pavement		11,223	sy	\$	60.00	\$	673,400
413	4" Topsoil		6,218	sy	\$	2.50	\$	15,546
513	6" Curb & Gutter		5,460	If	\$	5.00	65	27,300
613	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	833	sy	\$	77.00	\$	64,142
713	Moisture Conditioning		11,830	sy	\$	8.00	\$	94,640
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost S	ubtotal:	\$	1,109,505
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance:							
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$	33,285
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	33,285
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem			25%	\$	277,376
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing					\$	250,000
$\sqrt{}$	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts			3%	\$	33,285
$\sqrt{}$	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	nts			3%	\$	33,285
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	22,190
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	66,570
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce S	ubtotal:	\$	749,277
			7					
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							1,858,782	
Mobilization: 5%						\$	92,939	
Site Preparation: 5%						\$	92,939	
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$	2,045,000	
3.7						15%	\$	306,750
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					ENCY:	\$	2,352,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summar	y			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	2,352,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	470,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	470,400
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				3,292,800

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-12
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (5)	This project consists o	of the reconstru	ction of a two-
Limits:	695' W OF RIDGE RD TO RIDGE RI	Iane asphalt facility to	a six-lane divid	ed principal
Impact Fee Class:	P6D	arterial.		
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial			

Length (If): 695 Service Area(s): C

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		7,722	су	\$	10.00	\$ 77,222
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		6,023	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 42,163
313	9" Concrete Pavement		5,714	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 342,867
413	4" Topsoil		3,166	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 7,915
513	6" Curb & Gutter		2,780	If	\$	5.00	\$ 13,900
613	613 Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings			sy	\$	77.00	\$ 32,659
713	713 Moisture Conditioning			sy	\$	8.00	\$ 48,187
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost S	ubtotal:	\$ 564,913
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	es**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$ 16,947
	√ Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 16,947	
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem			25%	\$ 141,228

	Item Description	Notes	Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$ 16,947
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$ 16,947
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$ 141,228
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$ -
	Water	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$ 16,947
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$ 16,947
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$ 11,298
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$ 33,895
	Other:			
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal	nce Subtotal:	\$ 254,211
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 819,123
		Mobilization:	5%	\$ 40,956
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$ 40,956
		Construction C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 902,000
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$ 135,300
	Con	struction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	FINGENCY:	\$ 1,038,000

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,038,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 207,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 207,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No.	C-13
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (6) 3,400' W OF LAKE FOREST DR TO		This project consists of the construction of four additional construction of four additional construction of the construction	
Limits: Impact Fee Class: Thoroughfare Class:	LAKE FOREST DR P6D(2/3) Principal Arterial		lanes of the ultimate six-laprincipal arterial. Based of City Limits, the northern I	ane divided on the existing
Length (If): Service Area(s):	3,400 C, Half		roadway is not included i	

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection							
No.	Io. Item Description Quantity Unit Unit Price						Item Cost	
115	Unclassified Street Excavation		30,978	су	\$ 10.00	\$	309,778	
215	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		19,833	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	138,833	
315	9" Concrete Pavement		18,700	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,122,000	
415	4" Topsoil		11,522	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	28,806	
515	6" Curb & Gutter		10,200	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	51,000	
615	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median C	Openings	2,075	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	159,769	
		P	aving Constr	uction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,810,185	
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand							
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost	
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		54,306	
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	54,306	
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem		25%	\$	452,546	
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-	
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	54,306	
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	54,306	
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	36,204	
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	108,611	
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	814,583	
			_		nce Subtotal:	\$	2,624,769	
			Mobi	lization:	5%	\$	131,238	
			Site Prep			\$	131,238	
					ost TOTAL:		2,888,000	
			uction Conti				433,200	
	Co	nstruction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	3,322,000	

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,322,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 664,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 664,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. C-14

Name: WILMETH RD (1)

3,725' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO

This project consists of the construction of a new four-lane divided greenway

Limits: 815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR arterial.

Impact Fee Class: G4D

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 4,540
Service Area(s): C

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Uni	t Price	Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation	44,391	су	\$	10.00	\$ 443,911
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	33,293	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 233,053
307	8" Concrete Pavement	31,276	sy	\$	55.00	\$ 1,720,156
407	4" Topsoil	21,691	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 54,228
507	6" Curb & Gutter	18,160	If	\$	5.00	\$ 90,800
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	2,666	sy	\$	72.00	\$ 191,918
707	Moisture Conditioning	33,293	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 266,347

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 3,000,413

Maj	or Construction Component Allowand	ces**:				
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost	
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	30,004	
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	90,012	
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	750,103	
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing		\$	400,000	
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-	
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-	
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	60,008	
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	180,025	
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cos	Subtotal	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,510,153	
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	4,510,565	
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	225,528	
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$	225,528	
	Construction Cost TOTAL:					
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	744,300	
	Co	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	5,707,000	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summar	ту		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 5,707,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,141,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,997,450
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 8,845,850

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-15
Name:	WILMETH RD (2) 815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO	This project consist lane asphalt facility		
Limits:	995' W OF RIDGE RD	arterial. Based on th		•
Impact Fee Class:	G4D	southern half of the	roadway is not inc	cluded in the
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial	Impact Fee RIP for t		
Length (If):	3,340	the northern half of		
		the remaining 1,055		
Service Area(s):	C	roadway is not inclu	ided in the Impact	Fee RIP.

adway Construction Cost Project	tion					
Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
07 Unclassified Street Excavation			су	\$ 10.00	\$	326,578
Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		24,493	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	171,453
8" Concrete Pavement		23,009	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	1,265,489
4" Topsoil		15,958	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	39,894
6" Curb & Gutter		13,360	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	66,800
Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	1,961	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	141,191
Moisture Conditioning		24,493	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	195,947
	P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	2,207,352
	S**:					
Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	66,221
Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	66,221
Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	\$	551,838
Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing				\$	300,000
Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%	\$	66,221
Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%	\$	66,221
Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	44,147
Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	132,441
Other:						
wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost St	ubtotal		Allowa	ınce Subtotal:	\$	1,293,308
					\$	3,500,660
		Mobi	lization:	5%	\$	175,033
		Site Prep	aration:	5%	\$	175,033
		Construc	ction C	ost TOTAL:	\$	3,851,000
					\$	577,650
Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	4,429,000
	Item Description Unclassified Street Excavation Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12) 8" Concrete Pavement 4" Topsoil 6" Curb & Gutter Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op Moisture Conditioning or Construction Component Allowances Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers Roadway Drainage Special Drainage Structures Water Sewer Establish Turf / Erosion Control Illumination Other: wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost St	Unclassified Street Excavation Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12) 8" Concrete Pavement 4" Topsoil 6" Curb & Gutter Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings Moisture Conditioning Por Construction Component Allowances**: Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers Roadway Drainage Special Drainage Structures Water Sewer Establish Turf / Erosion Control Illumination Other: Wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Construction Phase Standard Internal Sy Stream Crossing Incidental Adjustment I	Item Description	Item Description	Item Description	Item Description

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,429,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 885,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 885,800
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 6,200,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No.	C-16
Name:	WILMETH RD (3)	This project is part of	of the Ridge Rd pr	oject from US
Limits:	RIDGE RD TO 585' E OF RIDGE RD	380 to Wilmeth Rd w	hich includes a r	oundabout at
Impact Fee Class:	G4D(1/2)	the Ridge Rd & Wilm	neth Rd intersecti	on. The City
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial	provided an overall	project cost estim	nate of
Length (If):	585	\$17,700,000. This pro		
Service Area(s):	С	construction of two		
		four-lane divided greaccounted for \$1,593	•	•

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution				\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$ 1,593,000
		Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 1,593,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No.	C-17	
Name:	WILMETH RD (4)	This project is part		, •	
	1,095' E OF RIDGE RD TO 1,365' E	380 to Wilmeth Rd v	vhich includes a r	oundabout at	
Limits:	OF RIDGE RD	the Ridge Rd & Wilmeth Rd intersection. The			
Impact Fee Class:	G4D(1/2)	provided an overall	project cost estim	nate of	
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial	\$17,700,000. This pr	•		
Length (If):	270	construction of two			
Service Area(s):	C, Half	four-lane divided gr			
		accounted for \$708,	000 of eligible fun	ids.	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution				\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$ 708,000
		Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 708,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. C-18
Name:	CUSTER RD (1)		This project consists of the
	1,855' N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY		reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Limits:	TO LAUD HOWELL PKWY		facility to a six-lane divided principal
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		arterial. Based on the existing City
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		Limits, the western half of the roadway
Length (If):	1,855		is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.
Service Area(s):	C, Half		is not majorate in my limpact to thin t

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		10,306	су	\$ 15.00	\$	154,583
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		16,077	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	112,537
313	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		15,252	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	76,261
413	9" Concrete Pavement		15,252	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	915,133
513	4" Topsoil		8,451	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	33,802
613	6" Curb & Gutter		7,420	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	37,100
713	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op		1,132	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	98,488
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,427,905
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	-	42,837
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	42,837
	Roadway Drainage				25%	\$	356,976
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
$\sqrt{}$	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	ts		3%		42,837
$\sqrt{}$	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	ts		3%		42,837
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	28,558
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	85,674
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	642,557
			<u> </u>			\$	
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						2,070,462
	Mobilization: 5% Site Preparation: 5%						103,523 103,523
	Site Preparation: 5%						
					ost TOTAL:		2,278,000
			uction Conti			-	341,700
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	2,620,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	2,620,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	524,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	524,000
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				1,834,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n: De	escription:	Project No.	C-19
Name:	CUSTER RD (2)		This project consi	sts of the
Limits:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,775 N OF	BLOOMDALE RD	construction of a	new
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		alignment of Custo	er Road to a
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		six-lane divided pr	rincipal
Length (If):	2,775		arterial.	•
Service Area(s):	C			

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		15,417	су	\$ 15.00	\$	231,250
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		24,050	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	168,350
313	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		22,817	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	114,083
413	9" Concrete Pavement		22,817	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,369,000
	4" Topsoil		12,642	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	50,567
	6" Curb & Gutter		11,100	If	\$ 5.00	\$	55,500
713	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op		1,693	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	147,334
		P	aving Consti	uction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	2,136,084
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%		21,361
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		64,083
	Roadway Drainage				25%	\$	534,021
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	42,722
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	128,165
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	790,351
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						2,926,435
	Mobilization: 5%						146,322
	Site Preparation: 5%						146,322
			Construc	ction C	ost TOTAL:	\$	3,220,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	483,000
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	3,703,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	3,703,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	740,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	1,296,050
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				2,869,825

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated:

12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-20		
Name:	CUSTER RD (3) 2,655 N OF BLOOMDALE RD TO	This project consist lane asphalt facility				
Limits:	375' N OF WILMETH RD	arterial. The City contributed approximately				
Impact Fee Class:	P6D	\$1,080,000 of eligib	le funds from '12-'1	l9. Based on		
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial	the existing City Li				
Length (If):	6,275	roadway is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.				
Service Area(s):	C. Half	readinay is not inc.				

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projec	tion							
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost		
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		34,861	су	\$ 15.00	\$	522,917		
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		54,383	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	380,683		
313	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		51,594	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	257,972		
413	9" Concrete Pavement		51,594	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	3,095,667		
513	4" Topsoil		28,586	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	114,344		
	6" Curb & Gutter		25,100	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	125,500		
713	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op		3,829	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	333,161		
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	4,830,245		
	r Construction Component Allowance								
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost		
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	-	144,907		
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	144,907		
$\sqrt{}$	Roadway Drainage				25%	\$	1,207,561		
$\sqrt{}$	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge				\$	1,500,000		
$\sqrt{}$	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	ts		3%	\$	144,907		
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	ts		3%	\$	144,907		
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	96,605		
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	289,815		
	Other:								
**Allov	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	3,673,610		
			7						
			_		nce Subtotal:	\$	8,503,855		
				lization:	5%	\$	425,193		
			Site Prep		5%	\$	425,193		
					ost TOTAL:	\$	9,355,000		
			uction Conti			\$	1,403,250		
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	10,759,000		

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary	1		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 10,759,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 2,151,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ 1,080,000
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 2,151,800
Impact Fee	\$ 8,071,300		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. C-21

Name: STONEBRIDGE DR (2) This project consists of the

Limits: UNNAMED 5 TO 1,280' S OF UNNAMED 5 construction of a new four-lane divided

Impact Fee Class: G4D greenway arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 1,280 Service Area(s): C

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection				
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation	12,516	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 125,156
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	9,387	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 65,707
307	8" Concrete Pavement	8,818	sy	\$ 55.00	\$ 484,978
407	4" Topsoil	6,116	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 15,289
507	6" Curb & Gutter	5,120	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 25,600
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	752	sy	\$ 72.00	\$ 54,109
707	Moisture Conditioning	9,387	sy	\$ 8.00	\$ 75,093

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 845,931

Major Construction Component Allo	wances**:		
Item Description	Notes	Allowance	Item Cost
√ Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$ 8,459
√ Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$ 25,378
√ Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$ 211,483
√ Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing		\$ 250,000
Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
√ Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$ 16,919
√ Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$ 50,756
Other:			
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction	n Cost Subtotal Allow	ance Subtotal:	\$ 562,995
	Paving and Allow	ance Subtotal:	\$ 1,408,926
	Mobilization	: 5%	\$ 70,446
	\$ 70,446		
	\$ 1,550,000		
	\$ 232,500		
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	ITINGENCY:	\$ 1,783,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	ary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	1,783,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	356,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	624,050
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				2,763,650

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

divided greenway arterial.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: C-22 Description: Project No. Name: STONEBRIDGE DR (3) This project consists of the 1,570' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY construction of a new four-lane Limits: TO 280' S OF WILMETH RD

Impact Fee Class: G4D

Thoroughfare Class: **Greenway Arterial**

Length (If): 7,995 С Service Area(s):

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection						
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Uni	it Price		Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation	78,173	су	\$	10.00	\$	781,733
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	58,630	sy	\$	7.00	\$	410,410
307	8" Concrete Pavement	55,077	sy	\$	55.00	\$	3,029,217
407	4" Topsoil	38,198	sy	\$	2.50	\$	95,496
507	6" Curb & Gutter	31,980	If	\$	5.00	\$	159,900
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	4,694	sy	\$	72.00	\$	337,970
707	Moisture Conditioning	58,630	sy	\$	8.00	\$	469,040
						_	E 000 =00

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 5,283,766

Major Construction Component Allo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost				
√ Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	52,838				
√ Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	158,513				
√ Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	1,320,942				
Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-				
Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
√ Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	105,675				
√ Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	317,026				
Other:								
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction	n Cost Subtotal Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$	1,954,994				
	Y The second sec							
	Paving and Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$	7,238,760				
	Mobilization:	5%	\$	361,938				
	\$	361,938						
	\$	7,963,000						
	Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	1,194,450				
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	9,158,000				

Impact Fee Project Cost Summar	у			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	9,158,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	1,831,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	3,205,300
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				14,194,900

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update updated: Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. C-23
Name:	STONEBRIDGE DR (4) 280' S OF WILMETH RD TO 1,195' S		This project consists of the construction of a new four-lane divided greenway
Limits:	OF WILMETH RD		arterial. Based on the existing City
Impact Fee Class:	G4D		Limits, the eastern half of the roadway
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.
Length (If):	915		
Service Area(s):	C, Half		

	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion						
	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Pr	rice		Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation		8,947	су	•	0.00	\$	89,467
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		6,710	sy	•	7.00	\$	46,970
307	8" Concrete Pavement		6,303	sy	T	5.00	\$	346,683
407	4" Topsoil		4,372	sy		2.50	\$	10,929
507	6" Curb & Gutter		3,660	If		5.00	\$	18,300
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	537	sy		2.00	\$	38,680
707	Moisture Conditioning		6,710	sy	\$	8.00	\$	53,680
		P	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subt	total:	\$	604,709
Majo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
	Item Description	Notes			Allowa	nce		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated				1%	\$	6,047
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	18,141
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem			25%	\$	151,177
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing					\$	250,000
	Water	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	12,094
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	36,283
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subt	total:	\$	473,742
			<u> </u>					
			Paving an		nce Subt	total:	\$	1,078,451
	Mobilization: 5%					\$	53,923	
			Site Prep	aration:		5%	\$	53,923
			Construc	ction C	ost TOT	ΓAL:	\$	1,187,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:		15%	\$	178,050
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					\$	1,366,000	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	1,366,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	273,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	478,100
	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			2,117,300

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

s of the
w four-lane
terial.
٧

Length (If): 4,360 Service Area(s): C

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation		42,631	су	\$	10.00	\$	426,311
207	207 Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)			sy	\$	7.00	\$	223,813
307	8" Concrete Pavement		30,036	sy	\$	55.00	\$	1,651,956
407	4" Topsoil		20,831	sy	\$	2.50	\$	52,078
507	6" Curb & Gutter		17,440	lf	\$	5.00	\$	87,200
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	2,560	sy	\$	72.00	\$	184,309
707	Moisture Conditioning		31,973	sy	\$	8.00	\$	255,787
		P	aving Constr	uction C	Cost Su	ıbtotal:	\$	2,881,454
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance							
	Item Description	Notes			Allov	vance		Item Cost
√.	Traffic Control	None Anticipated				1%		28,815
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	86,444
$\sqrt{}$	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem			25%	\$	720,363
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing					\$	250,000
	Water	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	57,629
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	172,887
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Su	ıbtotal:	\$	1,316,138
								4,197,591
				lization:		5%	\$	209,880
			Site Prep			5%	\$	209,880
			Construc					4,618,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:		15%	\$	692,700

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 5,311,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,062,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,858,850

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

5,311,000

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information:

Name:
STONEBRIDGE DR (6)
Limits:
580' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO US HIGHWAY 380
Impact Fee Class:
G4D

Description:
Project No.
C-25
This project consists of the construction of a new four-lane divided greenway arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 580 Service Area(s): C

Roa	dway Construction Cost Proje	ection						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	t Price		Item Cost
107	07 Unclassified Street Excavation			су	\$	10.00	\$	56,711
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		4,253	sy	\$	7.00	\$	29,773
307	8" Concrete Pavement		3,996	sy	\$	55.00	\$	219,756
407	4" Topsoil		2,771	sy	\$	2.50	\$	6,928
507	6" Curb & Gutter		2,320	lf	\$	5.00	\$	11,600
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	Openings	341	sy	\$	72.00	\$	24,518
707	707 Moisture Conditioning		4,253	sy	\$	8.00	\$	34,027
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost S	ubtotal:	\$	383,313
Majo	or Construction Component Allowan	ices**:						
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated				1%	\$	3,833
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	11,499
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem			25%	\$	95,828
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated				0%	\$	_ !
							_	

Special Dialitage Structures	None Anticipated		Ψ	_		
Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-		
Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-		
√ Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	7,666		
√ Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	22,999		
Other:						
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction	n Cost Subtotal Allow	ance Subtotal:	\$	141,826		
	Paving and Allow	ance Subtotal:	\$	525,138		
	Mobilization	5%	\$	26,257		
	Site Preparation	5%	\$	26,257		
	\$	578,000				
	Construction Contingency	: 15%	\$	86,700		
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	ITINGENCY:	\$	665,000		

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	nry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 665,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 133,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 232,750
·	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 1,030,750

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-26			
Name:	RIDGE RD (1) UNNAMED 5 TO 1,485' S OF	This project consist lane asphalt facility					
Limits: Impact Fee Class:	UNNAMED 5 M6D	arterial. Based on the existing City Limits, the western half of the roadway is not included in the					
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial	Impact Fee RIP.	roadway is not inc	luaea in the			
Length (If):	1,485	•					
Service Area(s):	C, Half						

_					· ·		
Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Pric	е	Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		16,500	су	\$ 10.0	00	\$ 165,000
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		12,870	sy	\$ 7.0	00	\$ 90,090
309	9" Concrete Pavement		12,210	sy	\$ 60.0	00	\$ 732,600
409	4" Topsoil		5,775	sy	\$ 2.5	50	\$ 14,438
509	6" Curb & Gutter		5,940	If	\$ 5.0	00	\$ 29,700
609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	906	sy	\$ 77.0	00	\$ 69,781
709	Moisture Conditioning		12,870	sy	\$ 8.0	00	\$ 102,960
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtot	al:	\$ 1,204,569
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance:	s**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowand	e	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$ 36,137
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$ 36,137
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25	5%	\$ 301,142
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$ -
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts			3%	\$ 36,137
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		(3%	\$ 36,137
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2	2%	\$ 24,091
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6	3%	\$ 72,274
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtot	al:	\$ 542,056
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						\$ 1,746,625
	Mobilization: 5%					\$ 87,331	
	Site Preparation: 5%					\$ 87,331	
					ost TOTA	L:	\$ 1,922,000
			uction Conti			5%	\$ 288,300
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENC	Y :	\$ 2,211,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,211,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 442,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 442,200
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 3,095,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-27
Name:	RIDGE RD (2)		This project cons	ists of the
Limits:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BAXT	ER WELL RD	construction of a	new six-lane
Impact Fee Class:	M6D		divided major arte	erial.
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial			

Length (If): 3,110
Service Area(s): C

	adway Construction Cost Proje	Ction					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		34,556	су	\$	10.00	\$ 345,556
209	209 Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		26,953	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 188,673
309	9" Concrete Pavement		25,571	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 1,534,267
409	4" Topsoil		12,094	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 30,236
509	6" Curb & Gutter		12,440	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 62,200
609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median (Openings	1,898	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 146,141
709	Moisture Conditioning		26,953	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 215,627
		P	aving Consti	uction C	ost S	ubtotal:	\$ 2,522,700
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand	ces**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated				1%	\$ 25,227
\checkmark	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$ 75,681
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem			25%	\$ 630,675
\checkmark	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing					\$ 250,000
	Water	None Anticipated				0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated				0%	\$ -
\checkmark	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$ 50,454
\checkmark	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$ 151,362
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cos	t Subtotal		Allowa	nce S	ubtotal:	\$ 1,183,399
			7				
			Paving and	d Allowa	nce S	ubtotal:	\$ 3,706,098
			Mobi	lization:		5%	\$ 185,305
			Site Prep	aration:		5%	\$ 185,305

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumn			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,689,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 937,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,641,150
,	Impact Fee Pro	ject Cost TOTAL:	\$ 7,267,950

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

Construction Cost TOTAL:

Construction Contingency:

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

4,077,000

4,689,000

611,550

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-28
Name:	RIDGE RD (3) BAXTER WELL RD TO 2,160' S OF	This project consists lane asphalt facility to		
Limits: Impact Fee Class: Thoroughfare Class: Length (If):	BLOOMDALE RD M6D Major Arterial 4,390	arterial. Based on the eastern half of the roa Impact Fee RIP for the the western half of the	existing City Limedway is not inclued 3,540' northern	nits, the uded in the section while
Service Area(s):	C, Half	the remaining 850' so	uthern section.	

Ro	adway Construction Cost Proje	ction					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		48,778	су	\$ 10.00	\$	487,778
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		38,047	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	266,327
309	9" Concrete Pavement		36,096	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	2,165,733
409	4" Topsoil		17,072	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	42,681
509	6" Curb & Gutter		17,560	If	\$ 5.00	\$	87,800
609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median (Openings	2,679	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	206,289
709	Moisture Conditioning		38,047	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	304,373
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	3,560,981
Maj	or Construction Component Allowand	es**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
V	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	106,829
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	106,829
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	\$	890,245
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	its		3%	\$	106,829
√	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	its		3%	\$	106,829
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%		71,220
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	213,659
	Other:	4					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$	1,602,441
			<u> </u>				
			_		nce Subtotal:		5,163,422
				lization:			258,171
			Site Prep			,	258,171
					ost TOTAL:		5,680,000
			ruction Conti			,	852,000
	C	onstruction Co	st TOTAL V	W CON	TINGENCY:	\$	6,532,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 6,532,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,306,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 1,306,400
	Impact Fee Project (Cost TOTAL:	\$ 9,144,800

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-29			
Name:	RIDGE RD (4)	Thi	This project consists of the				
	1,590' N OF WILMETH RD TO	rec	reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt				
Limits:	WILMETH RD	fac	facility to a six-lane divided major				
Impact Fee Class:	M6D	arte	erial. Based on the exis	ting City			
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		nits, the western half of	•			
Length (If):	1,590	is not included in the Impact Fe					
Service Area(s):	C, Half						

					_			
Roa	adway Construction Cost Project	ction						
	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Uni	t Price		Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		17,667	су	\$	10.00	\$	176,667
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		13,780	sy	\$	7.00	\$	96,460
309	9" Concrete Pavement		13,073	sy	\$	60.00	\$	784,400
409	4" Topsoil		6,183	sy	\$	2.50	\$	15,458
509	6" Curb & Gutter		6,360	If	\$	5.00	\$	31,800
609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median C	penings	970	sy	\$	77.00	\$	74,715
709	Moisture Conditioning		13,780	sy	\$	8.00	69	110,240
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost S	ubtotal:	\$	1,289,740
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	es**:						
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%		38,692
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	38,692
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sys	stem			25%	\$	322,435
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing					\$	250,000
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	ts			3%	\$	38,692
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	ts			3%	\$	38,692
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	25,795
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	77,384
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	nce S	ubtotal:	\$	830,383
			<u> </u>					
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							\$	2,120,123
	Mobilization: 5%					\$	106,006	
	Site Preparation: 5%				\$	106,006		
			Construc	ction C	ost T	OTAL:	\$	2,333,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:		15%	\$	349,950
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:							2,683,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,683,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 536,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 536,600
	\$ 3,756,200		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-30			
Name:	RIDGE RD (5) WILMETH RD TO 2,280' S OF	This project is part of the Ridge Rd project from 380 to Wilmeth Rd which includes a roundabout					
Limits:	WILMETH RD	the Ridge Rd & Wilmeth Rd intersection. The City					
Impact Fee Class: Thoroughfare Class:	M6D(1/3) Major Arterial	provided an overal \$17,700,000. This p	•				
Length (If):	2,280	\$17,700,000. This project accounted for \$6,372,000 eligible funds for four of the ultimate six lanes. This					
		project consists of through lanes of th					
Service Area(s):	С	arterial.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			

Roa	adway Construction Cost Proje	ction					
	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		7,093	су	\$ 10.00	\$	70,933
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		6,840	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	47,880
311	9" Concrete Pavement		6,333	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	380,000
411	4" Topsoil		2,027	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	5,067
511	6" Curb & Gutter		4,560	If	\$ 5.00	\$	22,800
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median (Openings	1,391	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	107,139
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	633,819
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand	es**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	19,015
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	19,015
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	12,676
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$	50,706
					nce Subtotal:		684,524
	Mobilization: 5%						34,226
	Site Preparation: 5%				-	34,226	
					ost TOTAL:	\$	753,000
		Consti	ruction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	112,950
	Co	nstruction Cos	st TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	866,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sum	mary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 866,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 173,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ 6,372,000
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
₩	\$ 7,411,200		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-31
Name:	RIDGE RD (6) 1,860' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO	This project is part of 380 to Wilmeth Rd w		
Limits:	1,390' N OF US HIGHWAY 380	the Ridge Rd & Wiln	neth Rd intersection	on. The City
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)	provided an overall		•
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial	\$17,700,000. This pr	• •	
Length (If):	470	eligible funds for for	ur of the ultimate s	ix lanes. This
		project consists of t		
Service Area(s):	C, Half	through lanes of the arterial.	e ultimate six-lane	divided major

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection							
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost	
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		1,462	су	\$ 10.00	\$	14,622	
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	1,410	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	9,870	
311	9" Concrete Pavement		1,306	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	78,333	
411	4" Topsoil		418	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	1,044	
511	6" Curb & Gutter		940	If	\$ 5.00	\$	4,700	
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	an Openings	287	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	22,086	
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	130,656	
Majo	or Construction Component Allowa	ances**:						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost	
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	3,920	
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	3,920	
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-	
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-	
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-	
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-	
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	2,613	
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-	
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction (Cost Subtotal		Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$	10,452	
			_		nce Subtotal:	\$	141,108	
			Mobi	lization:		\$	7,055	
			Site Prep	aration:	5%	\$	7,055	
					ost TOTAL:	\$	156,000	
			ruction Conti				23,400	
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	180,000	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	nary					
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost		
Construction:		-	\$	180,000		
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	36,000		
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-		
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	1,239,000		
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-		
▼ -	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:					

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated:

12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-32
Name:	RIDGE RD (7) 775' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO US	This project is part of the to Wilmeth Rd which include		
Limits:	HIGHWAY 380	Ridge Rd & Wilmeth Rd in	ntersection. The	City provided
Impact Fee Class: Thoroughfare Class:	M6D(1/3) Major Arterial	an overall project cost es project accounted for \$2,		
Length (If):	775	four of the ultimate six lar	nes. This projec	t consists of
		the construction of two acultimate six-lane divided		h lanes of the
Service Area(s):	C		,	

	adway Construction Cost Pro	jection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		2,411	су	\$ 10.00	\$	24,111
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		2,325	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	16,275
311	9" Concrete Pavement		2,153	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	129,167
411	4" Topsoil		689	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	1,722
511	6" Curb & Gutter		1,550	If	\$ 5.00	\$	7,750
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	n Openings	473	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	36,418
			Paving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	215,443
			-				
Majo	or Construction Component Allowa	nces**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	6,463
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	6,463
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	Future Bridge Widen	ning			\$	250,000
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	4,309
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction C	ost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	267,235
			_		nce Subtotal:	\$	482,678
	Mobilization: 5%						24,134
	Site Preparation: 5%				\$ \$	24,134	
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						531,000
		Con	struction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	79,650
		Construction C	ost TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	611,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ту		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 611,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 122,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ 2,124,000
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
•	Impact Fee Project (Cost TOTAL:	\$ 2,857,200

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-33
Name:	LAKE FOREST DR (2)	This project consists of	the construction	on of two
Limits:	BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	additional through lane	s within the exi	sting median
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)	of the ultimate six-lane	divided major a	rterial. The
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial	City contributed approx	cimately \$2,541,	781 of eligible
Length (If):	5,410	funds from '12-'19. Bas		_
		Limits, the eastern half	of the roadway	is not
Service Area(s):	C, Half	included in the Impact	Fee RIP.	

	adway Construction Cost Proj	ection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		16,831	су	\$ 10.00	\$	168,311
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		16,230	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	113,610
311	9" Concrete Pavement		15,028	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	901,667
	4" Topsoil		4,809	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	12,022
	6" Curb & Gutter		10,820	If	\$ 5.00	\$	54,100
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	Openings	3,302	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	254,220
		P	aving Constr	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,503,930
			_				
Majo	or Construction Component Allowa	nces**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	45,118
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	45,118
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	30,079
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Co	st Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	120,314
			_		nce Subtotal:		1,624,244
	Mobilization: 5%						81,212
	Site Preparation: 5%						81,212
			Construc	ction C	ost TOTAL:	\$	1,787,000
	Construction Contingency: 15%					\$	268,050
	С	onstruction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	2,056,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumi	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,056,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 411,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ 2,541,781
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ <u>-</u>
	\$ 5,008,981		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	C-34, D-22
Name:	LAKE FOREST DR (3)	This project consists of	f the constru	ction of two
Limits:	WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 386	o additional through lane	s within the	existing median
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)	of the ultimate six-lane	divided majo	or arterial.
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial			

Length (If): 5,155 Service Area(s): C and D

Ros	Roadway Construction Cost Projection								
	Item Description	jeotion	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost	
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		16,038	су	\$	10.00	\$	160,378	
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		15,465	sy	\$	7.00	\$	108,255	
311	9" Concrete Pavement		14,319	sy	\$	60.00	\$	859,167	
411	4" Topsoil		4,582	sy	\$	2.50	\$	11,456	
511	6" Curb & Gutter		10,310	lf	\$	5.00	\$	51,550	
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Mediar	n Openings	3,146	sy	\$	77.00	\$	242,237	
		Р	aving Const	ruction (Cost Su	ubtotal:	\$	1,433,042	
Majo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:								
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance		Item Cost	
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$	42,991	
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	42 991	

	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	42,991	
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	42,991	
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-	
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-	
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-	
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-	
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	28,661	
	Illumination	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-	
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal	wance Subtotal:	\$	114,643	
		Paving and Allo	wance Subtotal:	\$	1,547,686	
	Mobilization: 5%					
	\$	77,384				
		Construction	Cost TOTAL:	\$	1,703,000	


Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,959,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 391,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 2,350,800

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

1,959,000



City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area D

## Class Project Limits	Roauwa		rements - Service Area	ט	_				
A-2_D-1		Costing			_		Percent in	_	Cost in
B-1.D.2									
D-3 GBD LAUPHOWELE PROVY (0) LAUP FOREST DR TO 1896 E OF HARDIN BLVD \$ 38,497,100 190% \$ 3,9487,100 D-4 GBD LAUP HOWELE PROVY (8) 14,70 N OF TRINITY FALLS PROVY 20 OF TRINITY FALLS PROVY 20 (7,745,56) 100% \$ 2,220,027 D-5 GBD(15) LAUP HOWELE PROVY (8) 240 N OF TRINITY FALLS PROVY 20 (9,745,66) \$ 2,220,027 D-7 GBD TRINITY FALLS PROVY (2) 1917 E OF HARDIN BLVD TO 1,916 E OF HARDIN BLVD \$ 3,348,800 100% \$ 2,228,800 D-7 GBD TRINITY FALLS PROVY (2) 1917 E OF HARDIN BLVD TO 1,916 E OF HARDIN BLVD \$ 1,300,900 50% \$ 8,603,300 D-9 GBD TRINITY FALLS PROVY (4) LAUD HOWELE PROVY \$ 7,602,000 50% \$ 8,603,300 D-10 MOYAL TRINITY FALLS PROVY (4) LAUD HOWELL PROVY TO 2,200 W OF LAUD HOWELE PROVY \$ 7,224,00 100% \$ 7,622 D-11 MOYAL TRINITY FALLS PROVY (4) LAUD HOWELL PROVY \$ 7,224,00 100% \$ 7,622 D-14 MOYAL TRINITY FALLS PROVY (4) LAUD HOWELL PROVY \$ 7,002 8 1,002,000 D-15 MOYAL TRINITY FALLS PROVY (5) LAUD HOWELL PROVY \$ 7,002 8 1,002								_	
D-5 GROUP LAUD HOWELL PROVY (7) 4,17Y N OF TRINITY FALLS PROVY TO 24N NOT TRINITY FALLS PROVY (7) 5 2774.590 -6 GROUP LAUD HOWELL PROVY (7) 24N NOT TRINITY FALLS PROVY (7) 5 229.0027 -7 GAO									
D-5 GSD(1/S) LAUD HOWELL PRWY (8) 240 NOF TRINITY FALLS PRWY TO US NORWAY 75 \$ 2,20,0027 100% \$ 2,23,330 D-7 G40 TRINITY FALLS PRWY (2) 1,916 EO HARDIN BLVD TO 2,90 EO HARDIN BLVD \$ 3,838,90 50% \$ 693,800 D-8 G40 TRINITY FALLS PRWY (2) 1,916 EO HARDIN BLVD TO 2,90 EO HARDIN BLVD \$ 1,900,90 50% \$ 693,800 D-9 G40 TRINITY FALLS PRWY (4) LAUD HOWELL PRWY \$ 8,418,960 100% \$ 8,418,960 D-10 MBD(13) TRINITY FALLS PRWY (4) LAUD HOWELL PRWY \$ 8,418,960 100% \$ 7,872,200 D-11 PBD BLOOMDALE RD (7) 1,220 W OF TAYLOR RUBK DR TO TAYLOR BURK DR \$ 3,799,000 50% \$ 1,899,000 D-12 PBD BLOOMDALE RD (9) 1,222 W OF CR 1007 TO TAYLOR BURK DR \$ 3,899,000 50% \$ 1,500,000 D-14 PBO(2) BLOOMDALE RD (19) CR 1007 TO TAYLOR BURK DR \$ 3,001,600 50% \$ 1,500,000 D-14 PBO(2) BLOOMDALE RD (19) 1,222 W OF CR 1007 TO TAYLOR BURK DR \$ 3,001,600 50%									
D-B G4D TRINITY FALLS PROVY(1) HARDIN BLYD TO 19/1E OF HARDIN BLYD \$ 3,248,300 100% \$ 3,248,300 D-B G4D TRINITY FALLS PROVY(3) 1,316 E OF HARDIN BLYD TO 220 W OF LAUD HOWELL PROVY \$ 5,976,000 50% \$ 690,300 D-B G4D TRINITY FALLS PROVY(3) COMMAINITY AVE TO 2,200 W OF LAUD HOWELL PROVY \$ 5,976,800 50% \$ 2,988,400 D-10 MBD(1,3) TRINITY FALLS PROVY(3) 4,227 N OF WESTON ROT OLAUD HOWELL PROVY \$ 7,622,400 100% \$ 1,828,400 D-11 P80 BLOOMDALE RD (8) 1,428 W OF TAYLOR BURK RD TO TAYLOR BURK RD \$ 7,822,400 100% \$ 1,828,400 D-13 P80 BLOOMDALE RD (8) 1,458 E OF CR 1008 TO 1,215 W OF CR 1007 \$ 3,206,000 100% \$ 1,500,000 D-14 PROD BLOOMDALE RD (10) CR 1007 TO TO R 1007 \$ 3,006,000 100% \$ 1,500,000 D-14 PROD BLOOMDALE RD (10) CR 1007 TO R 1007 \$ 3,006,000 100% \$ 1,500,000 D-14 PROD BLOOMDALE RD (10) CR 1007 M 1000 \$ 1,500,000 \$ 1,500,00									
D-7 G4D TRINITY FAILS PROVY (2) 1.910 FO PHARDIN BLVD TO 2,675 E O FHARDIN BLVD \$ 1,300,600 50% \$ 680,300 D-8 G4D TRINITY FAILS PROVY (4) LAUD HOWELL PROVY TO 2,200 W OF LAUD HOWELL PROVY \$ 8,418,000 100% \$ 8,418,000 D-9 MBD(1/3) TRINITY FAILS PROVY (4) LAUD HOWELL PROVY TO 2,200 W OF LAUD HOWELL PROVY \$ 7,762,400 100% \$ 8,438,000 D-11 PBD BLOOMDALE RD (7) 1,820 W OF TAYLOR BURK OR TO TAYLOR BURK OR \$ 3,790,600 50% \$ 1,899,800 D-12 PBD BLOOMDALE RD (10) 1,825 E OF CR 100 RT O 125 W OF CR 1007 \$ 3,001,600 50% \$ 1,500,800 D-13 PBD BLOOMDALE RD (11) CR 100 TO TO REDO? \$ 5,685,810 100% \$ 5,685,810 D-15 FPQI(1/3) BLOOMDALE RD (11) HABRDIR BLVD TO COMMUNITY AVE \$ 5,165,300 100% \$ 5,165,300 D-16 G4D WILLMETH RD (16) LURIAGE FOREST DR (17) \$ 5,165,300 100% \$ 5,165,300 D-17 G4D WILLMETH RD (17) \$ 85 E O T TAYLOR BURK DR TO COMMUNITY AVE \$ 1,601,400 <					_				, -,-
D-B G4D TENITY FALLS PRWY (3) COMMUNITY AVET 02 2007 WO F LAUD HOWELL PRWY \$ 5976,800 \$ 90% \$ 2,288,800 D-9 G4D TENITY FALLS PRWY (6) LAUD HOWELL PRWY 00 F LAUD HOWELL PRWY \$ 762,2400 100% \$ 5,416,050 D-10 MSD(1/3) TENITY FALLS PRWY (6) 4,278 N OF WESTON RD TO LAUD HOWELL PRWY \$ 762,2400 100% \$ 7,622,400 D-12 P8D BLOOMDALE RD (8) 1,489 E OF CR 1006 TO 1,215 W OF CR 1007 \$ 3,000,000 100% \$ 3,206,000 D-13 P8D BLOOMDALE RD (10) CR 1007 TO CR 1007 \$ 3,001,600 \$ 50% \$ 1,500,800 D-14 P8D(23) BLOOMDALE RD (10) CR 1007 TO HARDIN BLUD \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 5,885,810 100% \$ 1,800									
D-9 GAD TENINTY FALLS PRWY (4) LAUD HOWELL PRWY TO 2,200° W OF LAUD HOWELL PRWY \$ 8,416,050 1099% \$ 7,822,400 D-10 MODI/SI TENINTY FALLS PRWY (9) 4,278 NO PRESSTON RD TO ALAD HOWELL PRWY \$ 7,762,400 1099% \$ 7,822,400 D-11 PRD BLOOMDALE RD (7) 1,820 W OF TAYLOR BURK DR TO TAYLOR BURK DR \$ 3,799,600 599% \$ 1,899,800 D-13 PRD BLOOMDALE RD (9) 1,485 EG PC R10 60 TO 12.519 W OF CR 1007 \$ 3,000,800 599% \$ 1,500,800 D-13 PRD BLOOMDALE RD (10) CR 1007 TO HARDIN BLVD \$ 5,886,910 1009% \$ 5,885,910 D-14 PRD(23) BLOOMDALE RD (11) HARDEN BLVD TO COMMUNITY AVE \$ 5,185,300 1009% \$ 5,885,510 D-16 GAD WILKETH RD (9) LAKE FORESTOR RT OLINAMED A \$ 1,401,400 1009% \$ 4,401,400 D-18 GAD (12) WILKETH RD (9) LASS EG TAYLOR-BURK DR TO ARROH BLVD \$ 1,401,400 1009% \$ 1,401,400 D-21 GAD GAD (12) WILKETH RD (9) HARDIN BLVD TO SOLD SIGNAMED A \$ 1,401					_				
D-10 MBD(1/3) TRINITY FALLS PKWY (5) 4.275 N OF WESTON RD TO LAUD HOWELL PKWY \$ 7.622.400 100% \$ 7.622.400 D-12 PBD B BLOOMDALE RD (7) 1.826 W OF TAYLOR-BURK RD TO TAYLOR-BURK DR TO TAYLOR-B									
D-11 PRD BLOOMDALE RD (7) 1.820 W OF TANLOR-BURK OR TO TAYLOR-BURK DR S 3.798.600 50% \$ 1,808.600 D-13 PRD BLOOMDALE RD (8) 1.485 E OF CR 1006 T 1.215 W OF CR 1007 \$ 3.206.000 100% \$ 3.206.000 D-13 PRD BLOOMDALE RD (9) 1.225 W OF CR 1007 T OC 1007 \$ 3.001.600 50% \$ 1,500.800 D-14 PRD BLOOMDALE RD (19) C 1.225 W OF CR 1007 T OC 1007 \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510 100% \$ 5.885.510									
D-12 PRD BLOOMALE RD (8) 1.485 E OF CR 1006 TO 1.215 W OF CR 1007 S 3.006.000 100% \$ 3.206.000		. ,							
D-13 PEPD BLOOMDALE RD (10) 1,225 W OF CR 1007 TO CR 1007 \$ 3,001,800 50% \$ \$ 1,500,800 D-14 PEDG203 BLOOMDALE RD (11) CR 1007 TO HARDIN BLVD \$ 5,888,810 100% \$ \$ 5,888,810 D-15 PEDD1100 BLOOMDALE RD (11) HARDIN BLVD TO COMMUNITY AVE \$ 5,169,300 100% \$ \$ 5,868,500 D-17 GaD WILLMETH BD (15) LAKE FOREST DR TO UNANMED A \$ 1,099,105 5% \$ 8,865,300 D-17 GAD WILLMETH BD (15) LANA ROBERT DR TO 1,000 T								,	
D-14 PBD(20) BLOOMDALE RD (10) CR 1007 TO HARDIN BLVD \$ 5,888,810 100% \$ 5,888,810 D-15 PBD110 BLOOMDALE RD (11) HARDIN BLVD TO COMMUNITY AVE \$ 5,169,380 100% \$ 5,888,810 100% \$ 5,888,810 D-16 G4D WILMETH RD (5) LAKE FOREST DR TO UNNAMED A \$ 10,991,050 50% \$ 4,898,525 D-17 G4D WILMETH RD (6) UNNAMISED A TO 250° ECF UNIVAMED A \$ 14,1600 100% \$ 141,600 D-19 G4D WILMETH RD (8) 1,380° EOF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO 1,380° EOF TAYLOR-BUR									
D-15 PBD(1/3) BLOOMDALE RD (11) HARDIN BLVD TO COMMAINTY AVE \$ 5,165,380 10916 \$ 5,165,380 D-16 GdD WILMETH RD (6) LAKE FOREST DR TO JUNNAMED A \$ 10991,005 50% \$ 5,486,530 D-17 GdD WILMETH RD (6) LINNAMED A TO 825 E OF LINNAMED A \$ 4,401,400 100% \$ \$ 4,414,00 D-19 GdD WILMETH RD (7) 825 E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO 1380 E OF JAYLOR-BURK DR \$ 4,401,400 100% \$ \$ 4,114,00 D-19 GdD WILMETH RD (8) 1,389 E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO 1380 E OF JAYLOR-BURK DR \$ 2,799,600 100% \$ \$ 1,005,800 D-21 G6D LAKE FOREST DR (1) LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 3,200 S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY \$ 6,446,400 50% \$ 3,224,200 C-54, D-22 MBD(1/3) LAKE FOREST DR (1) LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 3,200 S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY \$ 6,446,400 50% \$ 3,224,200 C-54, D-22 MBD LONGARD A (2) \$ 2,719 S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,710 S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY \$ 7,754,505 50% \$ 5,745,505 \$ 1,754,505 D-24 GdD UNNAMED A (2) \$ 1,105 NO F WILMENT HO TO									
D-16 GdD WILMETH RD (s) LAKE FORESTOR TO UNNAMED A \$ 10,991,050 50% \$ 5,489,525 D-17 GdD WILMETH RD (s) UNNAMED A TO 252 FG C FUNNAMED A \$ 1,401,400 100% \$ 1,401,400 D-18 G4D(1/2) WILMETH RD (r) 825° E O F TAYLOR-BURK DR TO 1,380° E O F TAYLOR-BURK DR \$ 411,600 100% \$ 411,600 D-19 G4D WILMETH RD (g) 1,380° E O F TAYLOR-BURK DR TO 1,380° E O F TAYLOR-BURK DR \$ 411,600 100% \$ 1,401,400 D-20 MED(1/3) WILLETH RD (g) 1,380° E O F TAYLOR-BURK DR TO 1,380° E O F TAYLOR-BURK DR \$ 3,803,000 50% \$ 1,201,900 D-21 G6D LAKE FOREST DR (1) LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 3,200° S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY \$ 6,448,400 50% \$ 3,224,200 D-23 G4D UNNAMED A (1) 5,785° N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,710° S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY \$ 6,448,400 50% \$ 1,754,305,900 D-23 G4D UNNAMED A (1) 5,785° N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 10 E OLOMBALE RD S \$ 1,7435,5900 100% \$ 1,7435,5900 D-26 G4D UNNAMED A (1) 5,745° N OF	-				_				
D-17 G4D WILMETH RD (6) UNNAMED A TO 825 E OF LINAMED A S 4,01,400 190% \$ 1,401,400 1-90								į	
D-19 G4D(1/2) WILMETH RD (7) 825 E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO 1,380°E OF JAYLOR-BURK DR S 411,600 100% \$ 411,600 D-20 MBD(1/3) WILMETH RD (8) 1,380°E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO HARDIN BLVD \$ 3,803,800 50% \$ 1,901,900 D-20 MBD(1/3) WILMETH RD (9) HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75 \$ 2,799,600 100% \$ 2,799,600 D-24 G6D LAKE FOREST DR (1) LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 3,200°S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY \$ 6,448,400 50% \$ 3,242,200 D-23 G4D UNNAMED A (1) 5,785 N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,710°S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY \$ 1,435,950 100% \$ 1,1435,950 D-24 G4D UNNAMED A (2) 2,710°S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,710°S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY \$ 1,498,950 100% \$ 1,1435,950 D-28 G4D UNNAMED A (3) 1,105°N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD \$ 1,876,000 50% \$ 398,000 D-26 G4D UNNAMED A (3) 1,105°N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD \$<					_				
D-19 GAD WILMETH RD (8) 1,330 E OF TANLOR-BURK DR TO HARDIN BLVD \$ 3,803,800 50% \$ 1,911,900 D-21 G6D LAKE FOREST DR (1) HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75 \$ 2,799,800 100% \$ 2,799,800 C-34, D-22 M6D(1/3) LAKE FOREST DR (3) WILMETH RD 109 HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 360 \$ 2,309,800 50% \$ 3,224,200 C-34, D-22 M6D(1/3) LAKE FOREST DR (3) WILMETH RD 10 US HIGHWAY 360 \$ 2,309,800 50% \$ 1775,400 D-24 G4D UNNAMED A (1) \$ 7,785 NOF LAUD HOWELL PRWY TO 2,170 S OF LAUD HOWELL PRWY TO 7,1745,956 100% \$ 1774,35,950 100% \$ 1774,35,950 100% \$ 1743,5950 50% \$ 2,299,425 50.299,425 50.299,425 50.299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50% \$ 2,299,425 50%						_			
D-20 M6D(1/3) WILMETH RD (9) HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75 \$ 2,799,600 100% \$ 2,799,600 D-21 G6D LAKE FOREST DR (1) LAUD HOWELL PRWY TO 3,200° S OF LAUD HOWELL PRWY \$ 6,448,400 50% \$ 3,224,200 C-34 D-23 G4D UNNAMED A (1) \$ 7,785,900 17,754,900 \$ 2,380,800 50% \$ 1,175,400 D-23 G4D UNNAMED A (2) \$ 2,710 S OF LAUD HOWELL PRWY TO ELOOMALE RD \$ 1,459,950 100% \$ 1,743,950 D-25 G4D UNNAMED A (2) \$ 2,710 S OF LAUD HOWELL PRWY TO BLOOMALE RD \$ 1,876,000 \$ 50% \$ 2,299,425 D-25 G4D UNNAMED A (4) WILMETH RD TO TO WILMETH RD \$ 1,876,000 50% \$ 338,000 D-27 M4D TAYLOR BURK DR (1) HARDIN BLVD TO SKYLINE DR \$ 2,038,250 100% \$ 2,038,250 D-28 G6D HARDIN BLVD (4) UNNAMED S TO 1,560° SO FUNNAMED S \$ 2,249,800 100% \$ 2,238,250 D-30 G6D HARDIN BLVD (5) 1,545° SO FUNNAMED S TO 1,560° SO FUNNAMED S \$ 2,249,800 100		, ,							
D-21 G6D LAKE FOREST DR (1) LAUD HOWELL PRWY TO 3:00'S OF LAUD HOWELL PRWY \$ 6.48,400 50% \$ 3.224:200 C-34, D-22 M6D(1/3) LAKE FOREST DR (3) WILMETT RD TO US HIGHWAY 380 \$ 2.350,800 50% \$ 1,175,400 D-24 G4D UINNAMED A (1) 5,785 N OF LAUD HOWELL PRWY TO BLOOMDALE RD \$ 4,598,850 50% \$ 2,299,425 D-24 G4D UINNAMED A (2) 2,710 S OF LAUD HOWELL PRWY TO BLOOMDALE RD \$ 4,598,850 50% \$ 2,299,425 D-26 G4D UINNAMED A (3) 1,105 N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD \$ 1,876,800 50% \$ 383,000 D-26 M4D UINNAMED A (3) WILMETH RD TO HARDIN BLVD \$ 5,969,050 100% \$ 5,969,050 D-27 M4D UNNAMED A (1) HARDIN BLVD (6) WILMETH RD TO HARDIN BLVD \$ 5,969,050 100% \$ 2,038,250 D-29 G6D HARDIN BLVD (4) UNNAMED STO TISSO'S OF UNNAMED STO TIS									
C34, D-22 MBD(1/3)									
D-23 G4D UNNAMED A (1) 5,785° N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,710° S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY \$ 17,435,950 100% \$ 17,435,950 D-24 G4D UNNAMED A (2) 2,710 S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BLOOMDALE RD \$ 1,676,000 50% \$ 2,299,425 D-25 G4D UNNAMED A (3) 1,165 NOF MULMETH RD TO WILMETH RD \$ 1,876,000 50% \$ 393,000 D-26 M4D UNNAMED A (4) WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD \$ 1,876,000 50% \$ 5,989,050 D-27 M4D TAYLOR-BURK DR (1) HARDIN BLVD (4) WILMETH RD TO THARDIN BLVD \$ 5,989,050 100% \$ 5,989,050 D-29 G6D HARDIN BLVD (4) UNNAMED \$ 10 1,580 S OF UNNAMED \$ 5 \$ 3,287,400 50% \$ 1,633,700 D-29 G6D HARDIN BLVD (5) 1,545'S OF UNNAMED \$ 10 1,580 S OF BLOOMDALE RD \$ 4,163,400 100% \$ 2,299,800 D-31 G6D HARDIN BLVD (7) 1,815'S OF STRINTY FALLS PKWY TO 1,590 S OF BLOOMDALE RD \$ 4,538,400 100% \$ 4,538,400 D-31 G6D JA HARDIN BLVD (7) 1,815'S OF STRINTY FALLS PKWY TO 1,590 S OF BLOOMDALE RD					_				
D-24 GAD UNNAMED A (2) 2,710° S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BLOOMDALE RD \$ 4,598,850 50% \$ 2,299,425 D-25 G4D UNNAMED A (3) 1,105° N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD TO \$ 1,876,000 50% \$ 383,000 D-27 MMD UNNAMED A (4) WILMETH RD TO HARDIN BLVD \$ 5,569,605 100% \$ 5,669,605 D-28 G6D HARDIN BLVD (4) HARDIN BLVD TO SKYLINE DR \$ 2,038,250 100% \$ 2,038,250 D-29 G6D HARDIN BLVD (5) 1,545° S OF UNNAMED 5 TO TRINITY FALLS PKWY \$ 21,290,800 100% \$ 21,290,800 D-30 G6D HARDIN BLVD (5) 1,545° S OF UNNAMED 5 TO TRINITY FALLS PKWY \$ 4,538,400 100% \$ 2,030,800 D-30 G6D HARDIN BLVD (7) 1,815° S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWYY \$ 1,020,000 50% \$ 2,051,000 D-31 G6D HARDIN BLVD (7) 1,815° S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWYY TO 1,195° S OF BLOOMDALE RD \$ 4,538,400 100% \$ 1,070,400 D-32 G6D(1/3) HARDIN BLVD (8) 1,196° S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO 3,590° S OF BLOOMDALE RD \$ 1,070,400 <t< td=""><td></td><td>- (/</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>11</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		- (/				11			
D-25 G4D UNNAMED A (3) 1,105° N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD \$ 1,876,000 50% \$ 938,000 D-26 M4D UNNAMED A (4) WILMETH RD TO HARDIN BLVD \$ 5,969,050 100% \$ 5,969,050 D-27 M4D TAYLOR-BURK DR (1) HARDIN BLVD TO SKYLINE DR \$ 2,038,250 100% \$ 2,038,250 D-28 G6D HARDIN BLVD (4) UNNAMED 5 TO 1550 S OF UNNAMED 5 \$ 3,267,400 50% \$ 1,183,700 D-29 G6D HARDIN BLVD (6) TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,190° S OF UNNAMED 5 \$ 4,520,000 50% \$ 2,290,800 D-31 G6D HARDIN BLVD (6) TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,190° S OF UNNAMED 5 \$ 4,520,000 50% \$ 2,208,800 D-31 G6D HARDIN BLVD (6) TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,190° S OF BLOOMDALE RD \$ 4,538,400 100% \$ 2,208,800 D-31 G6D HARDIN BLVD (10) 1,815° S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,190° S OF BLOOMDALE RD \$ 4,538,400 100% \$ 4,538,400 D-32 G6D(1/3) HARDIN BLVD (8) 1,190° S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO 3,590° S OF BLOOMDALE RD \$ 1,170,400									
D-26					_				
D-27 M4D								•	
D-28 G6D			` '						
D-29 G6D	D-28	G6D			_		50%	_	
D-30 G6D							100%		
D-32 G6D(1/3) HARDIN BLVD (8) 1,190'S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO 3,590'S OF BLOOMDALE RD DO 3,590'S OF RINITY FALLS PKWY SOLOHOLE RD SO							50%		
D-32 G6D(1/3) HARDIN BLVD (8) 1,190° S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO 3,590° S OF BLOOMDALE RD S 1,070,400 100% \$ 1,070,400	D-31	G6D	HARDIN BLVD (7)	1,815' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,190' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	\$	4,538,400	100%	\$	4,538,400
D-34 G6D(1/3)	D-32	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (8)		\$		100%	\$	1,070,400
D-34 G6D(1/3)	D-33	G6D(2/3)	HARDIN BLVD (9)	3,590' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	\$	2,249,800	50%	\$	1,124,900
D-36 G4D(1/2) COMMUNITY AVE (2) 1,275 S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO BLOOMDALE RD 1,960,000 100% 1,960,000	D-34	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (10)	WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380		2,904,000	100%	\$	2,904,000
D-37 M4U(1/2) COMMUNITY AVE (3) BLOOMDALE RD TO 2,305 \$ OF BLOOMDALE RD \$ 1,174,600 100% \$ 1,174,600 3	D-35	G4D	COMMUNITY AVE (1)	TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,275' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY	\$	2,164,400	100%	\$	2,164,400
Signal	D-36	G4D(1/2)	COMMUNITY AVE (2)	1,275' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO BLOOMDALE RD	\$	1,960,000	100%	\$	1,960,000
Signal	D-37	M4U(1/2)	COMMUNITY AVE (3)	BLOOMDALE RD TO 2,305' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	\$	1,174,600	100%	\$	1,174,600
Signal	3		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & UNNAMED 5	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
Signal	6		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & LAKE FOREST DR	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
Signal	7		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & UNNAMED A	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
Signal	8		Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & HARDIN BLVD	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
Roundabout TRINITY FALLS PKWY & COMMUNITY AVE \$ 200,000 50% \$ 100,000	9			HARDIN BLVD & TRINITY FALLS PKWY	\$	300,000	75%	\$	225,000
Interchange Signals							50%		
Signal BLOOMDALE RD & UNNAMED A \$ 300,000 25% \$ 75,000		Ē			_		50%		
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		ctio			_				
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		rse			_			_	
Interchange Signals		Inte			_			_	
25 Roundabout LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD \$ 1,830,000 50% \$ 915,000 26 Roundabout WILMETH RD & UNNAMED A \$ 200,000 75% \$ 150,000 27 Roundabout HARDIN BLVD & WILMETH RD \$ 2,100,000 75% \$ 1,575,000 32 Roundabout HARDIN BLVD & TAYLOR-BURK DR \$ 1,200,000 100% \$ 1,200,000 36 Under Construction US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD \$ 780,682 50% \$ 390,341								_	
26 Roundabout WILMETH RD & UNNAMED A \$ 200,000 75% \$ 150,000 27 Roundabout HARDIN BLVD & WILMETH RD \$ 2,100,000 75% \$ 1,575,000 32 Roundabout HARDIN BLVD & TAYLOR-BURK DR \$ 1,200,000 100% \$ 1,200,000 36 Under Construction US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD \$ 780,682 50% \$ 390,341									
27 Roundabout HARDIN BLVD & WILMETH RD \$ 2,100,000 75% \$ 1,575,000 32 Roundabout HARDIN BLVD & TAYLOR-BURK DR \$ 1,200,000 100% \$ 1,200,000 36 Under Construction US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD \$ 780,682 50% \$ 390,341					_				
32 Roundabout HARDIN BLVD & TAYLOR-BURK DR \$ 1,200,000 100% \$ 1,200,000 36 Under Construction US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD \$ 780,682 50% \$ 390,341								_	
36 Under Construction US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD \$ 780,682 50% \$ 390,341								_	
					_	.,=,		-	
	36		Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD	\$	780,682 225,290,659	50%	\$ \$	390,341 189,016,118

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. A-2, D-1

Name: UNNAMED 5 (3) This project consists of the

Limits: CR 168 TO 1,560' E OF CR 168 construction of a new six-lane divided

Impact Fee Class: M6D major arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial

Length (If): 1,560
Service Area(s): A and D

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection								
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost		
109	Unclassified Street Excavation	17,333	су	\$	10.00	\$	173,333		
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	13,520	sy	\$	7.00	\$	94,640		
309	9" Concrete Pavement	12,827	sy	\$	60.00	\$	769,600		
409	4" Topsoil	6,067	sy	\$	2.50	\$	15,167		
509	6" Curb & Gutter	6,240	If	\$	5.00	\$	31,200		
609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	952	sy	\$	77.00	\$	73,306		
709	Moisture Conditioning	13,520	sy	\$	8.00	\$	108,160		
Paving Construction Cost Subtotal:							1,265,406		

Major Construction Component Allowances**:									
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost				
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	12,654				
\checkmark	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	37,962				
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	316,351				
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge		\$	2,100,000				
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
\checkmark	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	25,308				
\checkmark	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	75,924				
	Other:								
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	2,568,200				
	\$	3,833,606							
	Mobilization: 5%								
	Site Preparation: 5%								

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,850,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 970,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,697,500

Construction Cost TOTAL:

Construction Contingency:

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

4,217,000

4,850,000

632,550

\$

15%

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. B-1, D-2

Name: UNNAMED 5 (4) 1,050' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO

This project consists of the construction of a new six-lane divided major arterial.

Limits: 1,200' E OF HARDIN BLVD

Impact Fee Class: M6D
Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial
Length (If): 2,250
Service Area(s): B and D

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation	25,000	су	\$ 10.00	\$	250,000
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	19,500	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	136,500
309	9" Concrete Pavement	18,500	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,110,000
409	4" Topsoil	8,750	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	21,875
509	6" Curb & Gutter	9,000	If	\$ 5.00	\$	45,000
609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	1,373	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	105,729
709	Moisture Conditioning	19,500	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	156,000
	-				_	4 005 404

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 1,825,104

Maj	or Construction Component Allowanc	es**:		
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$ 18,251
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$ 54,753
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$ 456,276
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$ 36,502
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$ 109,506
	Other:			
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 675,289
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 2,500,393
		Mobilization:	5%	\$ 125,020
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$ 125,020
	\$ 2,751,000			
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$ 412,650
	Co	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	FINGENCY:	\$ 3,164,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,164,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 632,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,107,400
	Impact Fee Project	Cost TOTAL:	\$ 4,904,200

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n: Descrip	otion:	Project No.	D-3
Name:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (6)		This project cons	ists of the
Limits:	LAKE FOREST DR TO 1860' E OF HARDIN	BLVD	construction of a	new six-lane
Impact Fee Class:	G6D		divided greenway	/ arterial.
T 1/ 01	0 4 4 1 1			•

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 13,995 Service Area(s): D

			_		_		_	
Roa	adway Construction Cost Project	tion						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Uni	it Price		Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation		171,050	су	\$	10.00	\$	1,710,500
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		111,960	sy	\$	7.00	\$	783,720
316			105,740	sy	\$	60.00	\$	6,344,400
416			88,635	sy	\$	2.50	\$	221,588
516	6" Curb & Gutter		55,980	lf	\$	5.00	\$	279,900
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	9,672	sy	\$	77.00	\$	744,715
716	Moisture Conditioning		111,960	sy	\$	8.00	\$	895,680
		P	Paving Consti	ruction (Cost S	ubtotal:	\$	10,980,503
<u>L</u>								
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance							
<u>L</u>	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance	L	Item Cost
V	Traffic Control	None Anticipated				1%		109,805
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%		329,415
$\sqrt{}$	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	/stem			25%	\$	2,745,126
$\sqrt{}$	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge		ŀ			\$	5,100,000
	Water	None Anticipated		ļ		0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated		ŀ		0%		-
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control			ļ		2%		219,610
$\sqrt{}$	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	n System	!		6%	\$	658,830
	Other:			'				
**Allo	owances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	ince S	Subtotal:	\$	9,162,786
			<u></u>				L	
			Paving and					20,143,289
				ilization:		5%		1,007,164
			Site Prep		_	5%		1,007,164
			Construc	ction C	ost T	OTAL:	\$	22,158,000
		Constr	ruction Conti	ngency:		15%	\$	3,323,700

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary	1		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 25,482,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 5,096,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 8,918,700
·	\$ 39,497,100		

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

25,482,000

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. D-4

Name:

LAUD HOWELL PKWY (7)

This project consists of the construction
4,170' N OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY

of a new six-lane divided greenway

4,170' N OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY of a new six-lane divided greenway
Limits: TO 240' N OF TRINITY FALLS arterial.

Impact Fee Class: G6D

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 3,930 Service Area(s): D

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Uni	t Price	Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation	48,033	су	\$	10.00	\$ 480,333
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	31,440	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 220,080
316	9" Concrete Pavement	29,693	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 1,781,600
416	4" Topsoil	24,890	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 62,225
516	6" Curb & Gutter	15,720	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 78,600
616	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	2,716	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 209,127
716	Moisture Conditioning	31,440	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 251,520

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 3,083,485

Majo	or Construction Component Allowar	ces**:					
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost		
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	30,835		
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	92,505		
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	770,871		
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing		\$	250,000		
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-		
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-		
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	61,670		
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	185,009		
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Co	st Subtotal Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	1,390,890		
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	4,474,375		
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	223,719		
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$	223,719		
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	738,300		
	С	onstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	5,661,000		

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ту		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 5,661,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,132,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,981,350
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 8,774,550

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	D-5
Name:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (8)		This project consi	sts of the
Limits:	240' N OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY 1	TO US HIGHWAY 75	construction of tw	o additional
Impact Fee Class:	G6D(1/3)		through lanes with	nin the
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		existing median of	
Length (If):	2,020		six-lane divided gr	
			arterial. The City of	ontributed
			approximately \$1,3	320,027 of
Service Area(s):	D		eligible funds fron	n '12-'19.

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection							
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost	
117	Unclassified Street Excavation		5,836	су	\$ 10.00	\$	58,356	
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		5,611	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	39,278	
317	9" Concrete Pavement		5,162	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	309,733	
	4" Topsoil		5,387	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	13,467	
	6" Curb & Gutter		4,040	If	\$ 5.00	\$	20,200	
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median (1,396	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	107,490	
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	548,524	
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand						li 0 1	
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost	
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		16,456	
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	16,456	
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-	
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-	
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-	
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-	
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	10,970	
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-	
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$	43,882	
					nce Subtotal:		592,405	
				lization:	- 7.0	\$	29,620	
			Site Prep			•	29,620	
					ost TOTAL:	\$	652,000	
			ruction Conti			\$	97,800	
	C	onstruction Co	st TOTAL V	V/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	750,000	

Impact Fee Project Cost Sum	mary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 750,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 150,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ 1,320,027
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	\$ 2,220,027		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information	1: Do	escription:	Project No.	D-6			
Name:	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (1)	This proje	ct consists of th	ne			
Limits: HARDIN BLVD TO 1,910' E OF I		NBLVD reconstru	reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt				
Impact Fee Class:	G4D	facility to	a four-lane divid	ded greenway			
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial	arterial.					

Length (If): 1,910 Service Area(s): D

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection							
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost	
107	Unclassified Street Excavation		18,676	су	\$ 10.00	\$	186,756	
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		14,007	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	98,047	
307	8" Concrete Pavement		13,158	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	723,678	
407	4" Topsoil		9,126	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	22,814	
507	6" Curb & Gutter		7,640	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	38,200	
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	1,121	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	80,741	
707	Moisture Conditioning		14,007	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	112,053	
		Pa	aving Constr	uction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,262,288	
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance							
		Notes			Allowance		Item Cost	
$\sqrt{}$		Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		37,869	
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	37,869	
	, ,	Standard Internal System 25%			\$	315,572		
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-	
	Water	Incidental Adjustments 3%			\$	37,869		
		Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%	\$	37,869	
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control	2%			\$	25,246		
	Illumination Standard Illumination System 6%				\$	75,737		
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost St	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	568,030	
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							1,830,318	
Mobilization: 5%					\$	91,516		
Site Preparation: 5%					\$	91,516		
Construction Cost TOTAL:						2,014,000		
Construction Contingency: 15%					_	302,100		
Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					\$	2,317,000		

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	2,317,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	463,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	463,400
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				3,243,800

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information:		Description:	Project No. D-7					
Name:	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (2) 1,910' E OF HARDIN BLVD TO	This project consists of the reconstruction of a two-lane asphale						
Limits: 2,675' E OF HARDIN BLVD Impact Fee Class: G4D			facility to a four-lane divided greenway arterial. Based on the existing City					
Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial Length (If): 765		Limits, the nothern half of the road is not included in the Impact Fee R						
Service Area(s):	D, Half		is not included in the impact i ee Kir.					

Roa	adway Construction Cost Proje	ction					
	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Uni	t Price	Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation	7,480	су	\$	10.00	\$ 74,800	
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		5,610	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 39,270
307	8" Concrete Pavement		5,270	sy	\$	55.00	\$ 289,850
407	4" Topsoil		3,655	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 9,138
507	6" Curb & Gutter		3,060	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 15,300
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median (Openings	449	sy	\$	72.00	\$ 32,339
707	Moisture Conditioning		5,610	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 44,880
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost S	ubtotal:	\$ 505,576
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand	es**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$ 15,167
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$ 15,167
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System				25%	\$ 126,394
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -	
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$ 15,167
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$ 15,167
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control 2%				2%	\$ 10,112	
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$ 30,335
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	ince S	ubtotal:	\$ 227,509
			*				
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						\$ 733,085	
	Mobilization: 5%					\$ 36,654	
Site Preparation: 5%					\$ 36,654		
Construction Cost TOTAL:					\$ 807,000		
Construction Contingency: 15%					15%	\$ 121,050	
Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:				\$ 929,000			

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 929,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 185,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 185,800
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 1,300,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. D-8
Name:	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (3)		This project consists of the construction
	COMMUNITY AVE TO 2,200' W OF		of a new four-lane divided greenway
Limits:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY		arterial. Based on the existing City
Impact Fee Class:	G4D		Limits, the nothern half of the roadway
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.
Length (If):	1,820		
Service Area(s):	D, Half		

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation		17,796	су	\$ 10.00	\$	177,956
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		13,347	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	93,427
307	8" Concrete Pavement		12,538	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	689,578
407	4" Topsoil		8,696	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	21,739
507	6" Curb & Gutter		7,280	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	36,400
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	1,069	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	76,936
707	Moisture Conditioning		13,347	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	106,773
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,202,809
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%	-	12,028
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers		4		3%	\$	36,084
$\sqrt{}$	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sys	stem		25%	\$	300,702
$\sqrt{}$	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge				\$	1,400,000
	Water	None Anticipated			0%		-
l ,	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%		24,056
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	72,169
	Other:	V					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	1,845,039
						\$	
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						3,047,848
	Mobilization: 5%						152,392
Site Preparation: 5%						_	152,392
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						3,353,000
		Constr	ruction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	502,950

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	3,856,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	771,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	1,349,600
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				5,976,800

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

3,856,000

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. D-9

Name: TRINITY FALLS PKWY (4) This project consists of the construction LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,200' W of a new four-lane divided greenway

Limits: OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY arterial.

Impact Fee Class: G4D

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 2,200 Service Area(s): D

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection						
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price			Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation	21,511	су	\$	10.00	\$	215,111
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	16,133	sy	\$	7.00	\$	112,933
307	8" Concrete Pavement	15,156	sy	\$	55.00	\$	833,556
407	4" Topsoil	10,511	sy	\$	2.50	\$	26,278
507	6" Curb & Gutter	8,800	If	\$	5.00	\$	44,000
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	1,292	sy	\$	72.00	\$	93,000
707	Moisture Conditioning	16,133	sy	\$	8.00	\$	129,067
						_	

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 1,453,944

Majo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost			
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	14,539			
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	43,618			
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	363,486			
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge		\$	2,300,000			
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	29,079			
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	87,237			
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cos	st Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	2,837,959			
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	4,291,904			
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	214,595			
	\$	214,595						
Construction Cost TOTAL:					4,722,000			
		Construction Contingency:			708,300			
	Co	onstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	5,431,000			

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	5,431,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	1,086,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	1,900,850
	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. D-10
Name: Limits: Impact Fee Class: Thoroughfare Class:	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (5) 4,275' N OF WESTON RD TO LAUD HOWELL PKWY M6D(1/3) Major Arterial	•	This section is currently under construction for a four-lane divided section and the City contributed approximately \$5,100,000 of eligible funds from '12-'19. This project consists
Length (If): Service Area(s):	5,530 D		of the construction of two additional through lanes within the existing median of the ultimate six-lane divided major arterial.

Ro	adway Construction Cost Proje	ection						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Uni	t Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		17,204	су	\$	10.00	\$	172,044
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		16,590	sy	\$	7.00	\$	116,130
311	9" Concrete Pavement		15,361	sy	\$	60.00	\$	921,667
411	4" Topsoil		4,916	sy	\$	2.50	\$	12,289
511	6" Curb & Gutter		11,060	If	\$	5.00	\$	55,300
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median		3,375	sy	\$	77.00	\$	259,859
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost S	ubtotal:	\$	1,537,289
Maj	or Construction Component Allowan							
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%		46,119
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	-	46,119
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	30,746
	Illumination	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cos	st Subtotal		Allowa	nce S	ubtotal:	\$	122,983
			Paving an		nce S		\$	1,660,272
Mobilization: 5%					\$	83,014		
Site Preparation: 5%						_	83,014	
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$	1,827,000	
			ruction Conti			15%	•	274,050
	Co	onstruction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TING	ENCY:	\$	2,102,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sun	nmary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,102,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 420,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ 5,100,000
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
*	\$ 7,622,400		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. D-11
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (7) 1,820' W OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO		This project consists of the reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Limits: Impact Fee Class:	TAYLOR-BURK DR P6D		facility to a six-lane divided principal arterial. Based on the existing City
Thoroughfare Class: Length (If):	Principal Arterial 1,820		Limits, the southern half of the roadway is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.
Service Area(s):	D, Half		

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		20,222	су	\$ 10.00	\$	202,222
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		15,773	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	110,413
313	9" Concrete Pavement		14,964	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	897,867
413	4" Topsoil		8,291	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	20,728
513	6" Curb & Gutter		7,280	If	\$ 5.00	\$	36,400
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median O	penings	1,111	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	85,523
713	Moisture Conditioning		15,773	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	126,187
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,479,340
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	44,380
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	44,380
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	\$	369,835
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
√.	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%	\$	44,380
$\sqrt{}$	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%	\$	44,380
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	29,587
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	88,760
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	665,703
			7				
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						\$	2,145,043
	Mobilization: 5%						107,252
Site Preparation: 5%						\$	107,252
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$	2,360,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	354,000
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						2,714,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summar	y			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	2,714,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	542,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	542,800
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				3,799,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. D-12
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (8)		This project consists of the
Limits:	1,485' E OF CR 1006 TO 1,215' W OF	CR 1007	reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		facility to a six-lane divided principal
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		arterial.
Length (If):	1,535		
Service Area(s):	D		

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		17,056	су	\$ 10.00	\$	170,556
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		13,303	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	93,123
313	9" Concrete Pavement		12,621	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	757,267
413	4" Topsoil		6,993	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	17,482
513	6" Curb & Gutter		6,140	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	30,700
613	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	penings	937	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	72,131
713	Moisture Conditioning		13,303	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	106,427
		Pa	aving Constr	uction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,247,685
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		37,431
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		37,431
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	\$	311,921
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		37,431
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%		37,431
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	24,954
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	74,861
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	561,458
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							1,809,143
Mobilization: 5%							90,457
Site Preparation: 5%							90,457
Construction Cost TOTAL:						1,991,000	
			uction Conti			-	298,650
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	2,290,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,290,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 458,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 458,000
·	\$ 3,206,000		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	D-13			
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (9)	This project consists of	f the reconstru	ction of a two-			
Limits:	1,225' W OF CR 1007 TO CR 1007	lane asphalt facility to a six-lane divided principa					
Impact Fee Class:	P6D	arterial. Based on the existing City Limits, the					
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial	northern half of the roa	dway is not inc	cluded in the			
Length (If):	1,225	Impact Fee RIP.					
Service Area(s):	D, Half						

Ros	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion				
	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
	Unclassified Street Excavation		13,611	СУ	\$ 10.00	\$ 136,111
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		10,617	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 74,317
313	9" Concrete Pavement		10,072	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 604,333
413	4" Topsoil		5,581	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 13,951
	6" Curb & Gutter		4,900	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 24,500
613	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	748	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 57,564
	Moisture Conditioning	Ü	10,617	sy	\$ 8.00	\$ 84,933
		P	aving Constr	uction C	ost Subtotal:	\$ 995,709
			· ·			,
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	s**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$ 29,871
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 29,871
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	\$ 248,927
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing				\$ 250,000
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$ 29,871
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$ 29,871
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 19,914
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$ 59,743
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 698,069
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						\$ 1,693,779
Mobilization: 5%						\$ 84,689
Site Preparation: 5%						\$ 84,689
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$ 1,864,000
			uction Conti			\$ 279,600
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	ΓINGENCY:	\$ 2,144,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,144,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 428,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 428,800
·	\$ 3,001,600		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	D-14			
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (10)	The City contributed ap	proximately \$2,9	962,610 of			
Limits:	CR 1007 TO HARDIN BLVD	eligible funds from '12-	'19 for two of the	e six lanes.			
Impact Fee Class:	P6D(2/3)	This project consists o	f the constructio	n of four			
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial	additional through lane	es of the ultimate	six-lane			
Length (If):	1,965	divided principal arteria					
Service Area(s):	D	divided principal diterial.					

Roa	adway Construction Cost Pro	jection				
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
115	Unclassified Street Excavation		17,903	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 179,033
215	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		11,463	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 80,238
315	9" Concrete Pavement		10,808	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 648,450
415	4" Topsoil		6,659	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 16,648
515	6" Curb & Gutter		5,895	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 29,475
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	n Openings	1,199	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 92,337
715	Moisture Conditioning		11,463	sy	\$ 8.00	\$ 91,700
		P	aving Consti	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$ 1,137,881
Majo	or Construction Component Allowa	inces**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
√	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$ 34,136
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 34,136
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem		25%	\$ 284,470
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$ 34,136
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$ 34,136
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 22,758
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	n System		6%	\$ 68,273
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction C	ost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 512,046
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						1,649,927
Mobilization: 5%					\$ 82,496	
Site Preparation: 5%						\$ 82,496
Construction Cost TOTAL:					\$ 1,815,000	
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$ 272,250
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 2,088,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,088,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 417,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ 2,962,610
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 417,600
	\$ 5,885,810		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	D-15
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (11)	The City contributed a	pproximately \$3	,887,390 of
Limits:	HARDIN BLVD TO COMMUNITY AV	Eeligible funds from '12	-'19 for four of t	he six lanes.
Impact Fee Class:	P6D(1/3)	This project consists of	of the constructi	on of two
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial	additional through land	es within the me	edian of the
Length (If):	2,580	ultimate six-lane divide		
Service Area(s):	D			

Roa	adway Construction Cost Project	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
114	Unclassified Street Excavation		8,027	су	\$ 10.00	\$	80,267
214	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		7,740	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	54,180
314	9" Concrete Pavement		7,167	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	430,000
414	4" Topsoil		2,293	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	5,733
514	6" Curb & Gutter		5,160	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	25,800
614	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	1,574	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	121,236
714	Moisture Conditioning		7,740	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	61,920
		P	aving Constr	uction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	779,136
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		23,374
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	23,374
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	15,583
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	62,331
						\$	
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						841,467
	Mobilization: 5%					\$	42,073
Site Preparation: 5%						\$ \$	42,073
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						926,000
			uction Conti			-	138,900
	Cons	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	1,065,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	nry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,065,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 213,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ 3,887,390
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
·	\$ 5,165,390		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	D-16
Name:	WILMETH RD (5)	This project consists of	the construction	on of a new
Limits:	LAKE FOREST DR TO UNNAMED A	four-lane divided green	way arterial. Ba	sed on the
Impact Fee Class:	G4D	existing City Limits, the	· ·	
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial	is not included in the In		•
Length (If):	4,755			
Service Area(s):	D, Half			

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation		46,493	су	\$ 10.00	\$	464,933
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		34,870	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	244,090
307	8" Concrete Pavement		32,757	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	1,801,617
407	4" Topsoil		22,718	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	56,796
507	6" Curb & Gutter		19,020	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	95,100
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	2,792	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	201,007
707	Moisture Conditioning		34,870	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	278,960
		P	aving Constr	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	3,142,503
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	s**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%	\$	31,425
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	94,275
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem		25%	\$	785,626
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge				\$	1,300,000
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	62,850
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	188,550
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	2,462,726
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						5,605,229
						\$	280,261
4	Site Preparation: 5%					\$	280,261
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						6,166,000
			uction Conti			\$	924,900
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	7,091,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	-	Allewence	Itam Caat
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 7,091,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,418,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 2,481,850
,	Impact Fee Pro	ject Cost TOTAL:	\$ 10,991,050

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Project Information:

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

D-17 Project No.

Name: WILMETH RD (6) This project consists of the

Limits: UNNAMED A TO 825' E OF UNNAMED A reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt

Impact Fee Class: G4D facility to a four-lane divided greenway Thoroughfare Class: **Greenway Arterial**

Description:

arterial.

825 Length (If): D Service Area(s):

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation		8,067	су	\$ 10.00	\$	80,667
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		6,050	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	42,350
307	8" Concrete Pavement		5,683	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	312,583
407	4" Topsoil		3,942	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	9,854
507	6" Curb & Gutter		3,300	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	16,500
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	484	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	34,875
707	Moisture Conditioning		6,050	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	48,400
		P	aving Constr	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	545,229
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	es**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	16,357
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	16,357
$\sqrt{}$	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem		25%	\$	136,307
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	16,357
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	16,357
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	10,905
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	32,714
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	245,353
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						790,582
	Mobilization: 5%					\$	39,529
	Site Preparation: 5%						39,529
					ost TOTAL:	\$	870,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	130,500

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	nary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,001,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 200,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 200,200
·	Impact Fee Pro	ject Cost TOTAL:	\$ 1,401,400

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

1,001,000

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	D-18
Name:	WILMETH RD (7)		This project consists of the	•
	825' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO		construction of two additio	nal lanes of
Limits:	1,380' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR		the ultimate four-lane divid	ed greenway
Impact Fee Class:	G4D(1/2)		arterial.	,
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial			

Length (If): 555 Service Area(s): D

Roa	adway Construction Cost Pro	jection					
	Item Description	n Description Quantity Unit			Unit Price		Item Cost
108	Unclassified Street Excavation		2,097	су	\$ 10.00	\$	20,967
208	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		2,035	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	14,245
308	8" Concrete Pavement		1,912	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	105,142
408	4" Topsoil		709	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	1,773
508	6" Curb & Gutter		1,110	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	5,550
608	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	n Openings	163	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	11,731
		Р	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	159,407
Majo	or Construction Component Allowa						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		4,782
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		4,782
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem		25%	\$	39,852
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		4,782
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	4,782
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	3,188
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	n System		6%	\$	9,564
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction C	ost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	71,733
							231,140
	****					\$	11,557
					\$	11,557	
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						255,000
			uction Conti			_	38,250
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	294,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 294,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 58,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 58,800
V	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 411,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information:		Description:	Project No. D-19
Name: WILMETH RD (8) 1,380' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO			This project consists of the reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Limits: Impact Fee Class:	HARDIN BLVD G4D		facility to a four-lane divided greenway arterial. Based on the existing City
Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial Length (If): 2,240			Limits, the northern half of the roadway
Service Area(s):	D, Half		is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.

	• •							
Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion						
	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Un	it Price		Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation		21,902	су	\$	10.00	\$	219,022
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		16,427	sy	\$	7.00	\$	114,987
307	8" Concrete Pavement		15,431	sy	\$	55.00	\$	848,711
	4" Topsoil		10,702	sy	\$	2.50	\$	26,756
507	6" Curb & Gutter		8,960	If	\$	5.00	\$	44,800
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median O	penings	1,315	sy	\$	72.00	\$	94,691
707	Moisture Conditioning		16,427	sy	\$	8.00	\$	131,413
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost S	Subtotal:	\$	1,480,380
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance							
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	owance		Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$	44,411
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers		4			3%		44,411
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem			25%	\$	370,095
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$	-
$\sqrt{}$	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts			3%	\$	44,411
$\sqrt{}$	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	nts			3%	\$	44,411
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control	l				2%	\$	29,608
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	88,823
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	ince S	Subtotal:	\$	666,171
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							2,146,551
	Mobilization: 5%						107,328	
					_	107,328		
			Construc				\$	2,362,000
			ruction Conti			15%		354,300
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						\$	2,717,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,717,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 543,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 543,400
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 3,803,800

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information:

Name:

Limits:

HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75
Impact Fee Class:

M6D(1/3)

Major Arterial

Length (If):

Description:

Project No.

D-20

This project consists of the construction of two additional through lanes within the existing median of the ultimate six-lane divided major arterial.

Length (If): 6,140 Service Area(s): D

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection						
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation	19,102	су	\$	10.00	\$	191,022
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	18,420	sy	\$	7.00	\$	128,940
311	9" Concrete Pavement	17,056	sy	\$	60.00	\$	1,023,333
411	4" Topsoil	5,458	sy	\$	2.50	\$	13,644
511	6" Curb & Gutter	12,280	If	\$	5.00	\$	61,400
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	3,747	sy	\$	77.00	\$	288,523
	Paying Construction Cost Subtotal: \$						

Majo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost			
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	51,206			
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	51,206			
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-			
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	34,137			
	Illumination	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost \$	Subtotal	ance Subtotal:	\$	136,549			
		Paving and Allowa		\$	1,843,412			
		Mobilization Site Preparation		\$	92,171			
	\$	92,171						
	\$	2,028,000						
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	304,200			
	Con	struction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	2 333 000			

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary	1			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	2,333,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	466,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-
	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			2,799,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. D-21
Name:	LAKE FOREST DR (1) LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 3,200' S		This project consists of the reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Limits: Impact Fee Class:	OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY G6D		facility to a six-lane divided greenway arterial. Based on the existing City
Thoroughfare Class: Length (If):	Greenway Arterial 3,200		Limits, the western half of the roadway is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.
Service Area(s):	D, Half		is not included in the impact rec itin.

Roa	adway Construction Cost Project	ction				
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation		39,111	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 391,111
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		25,600	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 179,200
316	9" Concrete Pavement		24,178	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 1,450,667
416	4" Topsoil		20,267	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 50,667
516	6" Curb & Gutter		12,800	If	\$ 5.00	\$ 64,000
616	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median O	penings	2,211	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 170,281
716	Moisture Conditioning		25,600	sy	\$ 8.00	\$ 204,800
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$ 2,510,726
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	es**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$ 75,322
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 75,322
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sys	stem		25%	\$ 627,681
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	ts		3%	\$ 75,322
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	ts		3%	\$ 75,322
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 50,215
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$ 150,644
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 1,129,827
			<u> </u>			
			Paving an	d Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 3,640,553
	Mobilization: 5%					182,028
	Site Preparation: 5%					\$ 182,028
					ost TOTAL:	\$ 4,005,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$ 600,750
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					\$ 4,606,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,606,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 921,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 921,200
	Impact Fee Project C	\$ 6,448,400	

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update updated: Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information: C-34, D-22 Description: Project No. Name: LAKE FOREST DR (3) This project consists of the construction of two Limits: WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380 additional through lanes within the existing median

Impact Fee Class: M6D(1/3) of the ultimate six-lane divided major arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial

Length (If): 5,155 Service Area(s): C and D

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation	16,038	су	\$	10.00	\$ 160,378
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	15,465	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 108,255
311	9" Concrete Pavement	14,319	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 859,167
411	4" Topsoil	4,582	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 11,456
511	6" Curb & Gutter	10,310	If	\$	5.00	\$ 51,550
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	3,146	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 242,237
	F	Paving Consti	ruction C	Cost Su	ubtotal:	\$ 1,433,042
		-				
Maio	or Construction Component Allowances**:					

Majo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost			
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	42,991			
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	42,991			
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-			
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	28,661			
	Illumination	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cos	Subtotal Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	114,643			
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,547,686			
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	77,384			
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$	77,384			
	\$	1,703,000						
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	255,450			
	Co	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	1,959,000			

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	1,959,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	391,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-
	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			2,350,800

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. D-23

Name: UNNAMED A (1) This project consists of the construction of a new four-lane divided greenway

Limits: TO 2,710' S OF LAUD HOWELL arterial.

Impact Fee Class: G4D

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 8,495 Service Area(s): D

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection						
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Uni	it Price		Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation	83,062	су	\$	10.00	\$	830,622
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	62,297	sy	\$	7.00	\$	436,077
307	8" Concrete Pavement	58,521	sy	\$	55.00	\$	3,218,661
407	4" Topsoil	40,587	sy	\$	2.50	\$	101,468
507	6" Curb & Gutter	33,980	If	\$	5.00	\$	169,900
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	4,988	sy	\$	72.00	\$	359,107
707	Moisture Conditioning	62,297	sy	\$	8.00	\$	498,373
						_	E 044 000

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 5,614,208

Majo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:								
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost				
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	56,142				
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	168,426				
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	1,403,552				
	Special Drainage Structures	Multiple Stream Crossings		\$	1,200,000				
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	112,284				
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	336,852				
	Other:								
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cos	t Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	3,277,257				
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	8,891,465				
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	444,573				
	Site Preparation: 5%				444,573				
	Construction Cost TOTAL:								
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	1,467,150				
	Co	onstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	11,249,000				

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	11,249,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	2,249,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	3,937,150
	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. D-24
Name:	UNNAMED A (2)		This project consists of the construction
	2,710' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY		of a new four-lane divided greenway
Limits:	TO BLOOMDALE RD		arterial. Based on the existing City
Impact Fee Class:	G4D		Limits, the eastern half of the roadway
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.
Length (If):	2,590		
Service Area(s):	D, Half		

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation		25,324	су	\$ 10.00	\$	253,244
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		18,993	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	132,953
307	8" Concrete Pavement		17,842	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	981,322
407	4" Topsoil		12,374	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	30,936
507	6" Curb & Gutter		10,360	If	\$ 5.00	\$	51,800
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	1,521	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	109,486
707	Moisture Conditioning		18,993	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	151,947
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,711,689
Majo	r Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%		17,117
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	51,351
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	\$	427,922
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%		34,234
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	102,701
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	ınce Subtotal:	\$	633,325
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						2,345,014
	Mobilization: 5%						117,251
	Site Preparation: 5%						117,251
			Construc	ction C	ost TOTAL:	\$	2,580,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	387,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summar	у		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,967,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 593,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,038,450
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 4,598,850

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

2,967,000

Name:

Limits:

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information: D-25 Description: Project No. UNNAMED A (3) This project consists of the 1,105' N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD reconstruction of a four-lane divided **Impact Fee Class:** G4D greenway arterial. Based on the existing Thoroughfare Class: **Greenway Arterial** City Limits, the western half of the Length (If): 1,105 roadway is not included in the Impact Service Area(s): D, Half Fee RIP.

				`				
Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost	
107	Unclassified Street Excavation		10,804	су	\$ 10.00	\$	108,044	
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		8,103	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	56,723	
307	8" Concrete Pavement		7,612	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	418,672	
407	4" Topsoil		5,279	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	13,199	
507	6" Curb & Gutter		4,420	If	\$ 5.00	\$	22,100	
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	649	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	46,711	
707	Moisture Conditioning		8,103	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	64,827	
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	730,277	
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance							
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost	
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		21,908	
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		21,908	
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	\$	182,569	
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-	
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	21,908	
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	21,908	
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	14,606	
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	43,817	
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	328,624	
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							
	Mobilization: 5%						52,945	
	Site Preparation: 5%							
					ost TOTAL:		1,165,000	
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	174,750	

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,340,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 268,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 268,000

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

1,340,000

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information:

Name:
UNNAMED A (4)
Limits:
UNNAMED A (4)
WILMETH RD TO HARDIN BLVD
Description:
Project No.

This project consists of the construction of a new four-lane divided minor arterial.

Impact Fee Class: M4D

Thoroughfare Class: Minor Arterial

Length (If): 3,940 Service Area(s): D

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection				
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
105	Unclassified Street Excavation	31,520	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 315,200
205	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	21,889	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 153,222
305	8" Concrete Pavement	20,138	sy	\$ 55.00	\$ 1,107,578
405	4" Topsoil	17,073	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 42,683
505	6" Curb & Gutter	15,760	If	\$ 5.00	\$ 78,800
605	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	2,313	sy	\$ 72.00	\$ 166,555
705	Moisture Conditioning	21,889	sy	\$ 8.00	\$ 175,111

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 2,039,149

Major Construction Component Allowances**:								
Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost				
√ Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	20,391				
√ Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	61,174				
√ Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	509,787				
√ Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing		\$	250,000				
Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
√ Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	40,783				
√ Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	122,349				
Other:								
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction	on Cost Subtotal Allows	ance Subtotal:	\$	1,004,485				
	Paving and Allows			3,043,634				
	Mobilization Site Preparation			152,182				
	\$	152,182						
	\$	3,348,000						
	Construction Contingency	15%	\$	502,200				
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	3,851,000				

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,851,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 770,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,347,850
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 5,969,050

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information:

Name:

TAYLOR-BURK DR (1)

HARDIN BLVD TO SKYLINE DR

Description:

Project No.

D-27

This project consists of the construction of a new four-lane divided minor arterial.

Impact Fee Class: M4D

Thoroughfare Class: Minor Arterial

Length (If): 1,465 Service Area(s): D

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection								
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost			
105	Unclassified Street Excavation	11,720	су	\$ 10.00	\$	117,200			
205	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	8,139	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	56,972			
305	8" Concrete Pavement	7,488	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	411,828			
405	4" Topsoil	6,348	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	15,871			
505	6" Curb & Gutter	5,860	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	29,300			
605	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	860	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	61,930			
705	Moisture Conditioning	8,139	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	65,111			

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 758,211

Majo				
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$ 7,582
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$ 22,746
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$ 189,553
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$ 15,164
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$ 45,493
	Other:			
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	Cost Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 280,538
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 1,038,750
		Mobilization:	5%	\$ 51,937
	\$ 51,937			
	\$ 1,143,000			
		Construction Contingency:		171,450
		Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	ΓINGENCY:	\$ 1,315,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,315,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 263,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 460,250
	Impact Fee Proj	ect Cost TOTAL:	\$ 2,038,250

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No.	D-28
Name:	HARDIN BLVD (4)		This project consists of th	e
Limits:	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,550' S OF UNNAMED	ED 5	construction of a new six-	lane divided
Impact Fee Class:	G6D		greenway arterial. Based of	on the existing
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		City Limits, the eastern ha	If of the
Length (If): 1,550			roadway is not included in	
Service Area(s):	D, Half		Fee RIP	

Roa	dway Construction Cost Project	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation		18,944	су	\$	10.00	\$ 189,444
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		12,400	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 86,800
316	9" Concrete Pavement		11,711	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 702,667
416	4" Topsoil		9,817	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 24,542
516	6" Curb & Gutter		6,200	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 31,000
616	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	1,071	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 82,480
716	Moisture Conditioning		12,400	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 99,200
		Pa	aving Constr	ruction (Cost Su	ıbtotal:	\$ 1,216,133
Majo	r Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allov	vance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated				1%	\$ 12,161
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$ 36,484
\checkmark	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem			25%	\$ 304,033
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated				0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated				0%	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$ 24,323
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$ 72,968
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Su	ıbtotal:	\$ 449,969
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						\$ 1,666,102
	Mobilization: 5%						\$ 83,305
			Site Prep			5%	\$ 83,305
			Construc				\$ 1,833,000
			uction Conti			15%	274,950
	Cons	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGE	NCY:	\$ 2,108,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ		A.11	
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,108,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 421,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 737,800
	\$ 3,267,400		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information:

Name:
HARDIN BLVD (5)
Limits:
1,545' S OF UNNAMED 5 TO TRINITY FALLS PKWY
Impact Fee Class:
G6D

Description:
Project No.
D-29
This project consists of the construction of a new six-lane divided greenway arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 7,310 Service Area(s): D

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation		89,344	су	\$ 10.00	\$	893,444
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		58,480	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	409,360
316	9" Concrete Pavement		55,231	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	3,313,867
	4" Topsoil		46,297	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	115,742
516	6" Curb & Gutter		29,240	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	146,200
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	penings	5,052	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	388,987
716	Moisture Conditioning		58,480	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	467,840
		P	aving Constr	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	5,735,440
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%		57,354
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		172,063
$\sqrt{}$	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem		25%	\$	1,433,860
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge				\$	3,000,000
	Water	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	114,709
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	344,126
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	5,122,113
						\$	
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						10,857,552
				lization:		\$	542,878
			Site Prep			\$	542,878
					ost TOTAL:	\$	11,944,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	1,791,600

Impact Fee Project Cost Summar	y		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 13,736,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 2,747,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 4,807,600
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 21,290,800

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

13,736,000

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information:		Description:	Project No. D-30				
Name:	HARDIN BLVD (6) TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,815' S		This project consists of the reconstruction of a two-lane dirt/gravel				
Limits: Impact Fee Class:	OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY G6D		facility to a six-lane divided greenway arterial. Based on the existing City				
Thoroughfare Class: Length (If):	Greenway Arterial 1,815		Limits, the western half of the roadway is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.				
Service Area(s):	D, Half						

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation		22,183	су	\$	10.00	\$	221,833
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		14,520	sy	\$	7.00	\$	101,640
316	9" Concrete Pavement		13,713	sy	\$	60.00	\$	822,800
416	4" Topsoil		11,495	sy	\$	2.50	\$	28,738
516	6" Curb & Gutter		7,260	lf	\$	5.00	\$	36,300
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	1,254	sy	\$	77.00	\$	96,582
716	Moisture Conditioning		14,520	sy	\$	8.00	\$	116,160
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost S	ubtotal:	\$	1,424,052
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance							
	Item Description	Notes		· ·	Allo	wance		Item Cost
√	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%		42,722
√,	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%		42,722
√,	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sys	stem			25%		356,013
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing					\$	250,000
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	its			3%		42,722
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	its			3%		42,722
√,	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	-	28,481
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	85,443
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce S	ubtotal:	\$	890,824
			<u> </u>					
			Paving and					2,314,876
						\$	115,744	
			Site Prep			5%		115,744
			Construc				\$	2,547,000
			uction Conti			15%	_	382,050
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGE	ENCY:	\$	2,930,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,930,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 586,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 586,000
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 4,102,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	D-31
Name:	HARDIN BLVD (7)		This project consists of th	ne construction
	1,815' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY		of a new six-lane divided	greenway
Limits:	TO 1,190' S OF BLOOMDALE RD		arterial.	•

Impact Fee Class: G6D

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 1,920 Service Area(s): D

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
116	Unclassified Street Excavation		23,467	су	\$ 10.00	\$	234,667
216	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		15,360	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	107,520
316	9" Concrete Pavement		14,507	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	870,400
416	4" Topsoil		12,160	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	30,400
	6" Curb & Gutter		7,680	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	38,400
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	1,327	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	102,169
716	Moisture Conditioning		15,360	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	122,880
		P	aving Const	ruction C	ost Subtotal:	\$	1,506,436
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%		15,064
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		45,193
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	-	376,609
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing				\$	250,000
	Water	None Anticipated			0%		-
,	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	30,129
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	90,386
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	807,381
			7				
					nce Subtotal:		2,313,817
	Mobilization: 5%					\$	115,691
	Site Preparation: 5%					-	115,691
					ost TOTAL:	\$	2,546,000
			uction Conti			-	381,900
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	ΓINGENCY:	\$	2,928,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary	1		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,928,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 585,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,024,800
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 4,538,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No. D-32				
Name:	HARDIN BLVD (8)		This project consists of the				
	1,190' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO		construction of two additional through				
Limits:	3,590' S OF BLOOMDALE RD		lanes within the existing median of the				
Impact Fee Class:	G6D(1/3)	ultimate six-lane divided greenway					
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		arterial.				
Length (If):	2,400						
Service Area(s):	D						

					*		
Roa	adway Construction Cost Proj	ection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
117	Unclassified Street Excavation		6,933	су	\$ 10.00	\$	69,333
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		6,667	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	46,667
317	9" Concrete Pavement		6,133	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	368,000
417	4" Topsoil		6,400	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	16,000
517	6" Curb & Gutter		4,800	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	24,000
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	Openings	1,659	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	127,711
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	651,711
Majo	or Construction Component Allowar	nces**:					
Majo	or Construction Component Allowar Item Description	nces**:			Allowance		Item Cost
Majo	•		Traffic Control		Allowance 3%	\$	Item Cost
Majo √ √	Item Description	Notes	Traffic Control			*	
√ √	Item Description Traffic Control	Notes	Traffic Control		3%	\$	19,551
√ √	Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers	Notes Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3% 3%	\$	19,551
√ √	Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers Roadway Drainage	Notes Construction Phase None Anticipated	Traffic Control		3% 3%	\$ \$ \$	19,551
√ √	Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers Roadway Drainage Special Drainage Structures	Notes Construction Phase None Anticipated None Anticipated	Traffic Control		3% 3% 0%	\$ \$ \$ \$	19,551
√ √	Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers Roadway Drainage Special Drainage Structures Water	Notes Construction Phase None Anticipated None Anticipated None Anticipated	Traffic Control		3% 3% 0% 0%	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	19,551

OCWCI	None Anticipated	0 70	Ψ	_
√ Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	13,034
Illumination	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-
Other:				
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction	on Cost Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	52,137
	Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	703,848
	Mobilization:	5%	\$	35,192
	Site Preparation:	5%	\$	35,192
	Construction C	ost TOTAL:	\$	775,000
	Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	116,250
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	892,000

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 892,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 178,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	D-33		
Name: HARDIN BLVD (9)		Th	This project consists of the			
	3,590' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO	CO	nstruction of four addit	ional through		
Limits: WILMETH RD		lan	lanes of the ultimate six-lane divided			
Impact Fee Class:	G6D(2/3)	gre	eenway arterial. Based	on the existing		
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		ty Limits, the western h	_		
Length (If):	1,755	roadway is not included in the Impact				
Service Area(s):	D, Half	Fe				

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection							
	Item Description	•	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost	
118	Unclassified Street Excavation	13,455 cy \$ 10.00			\$	134,550		
218	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	9,458	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	66,203	
318	9" Concrete Pavement		8,873	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	532,350	
418	4" Topsoil		9,068	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	22,669	
518	6" Curb & Gutter		5,265	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	26,325	
618	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	n Openings	1,213	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	93,389	
		P	aving Consti	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	875,485	
Majo	or Construction Component Allow							
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost	
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	26,265	
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	26,265	
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem		25%	\$	218,871	
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-	
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	26,265	
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	26,265	
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	17,510	
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	52,529	
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction (Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	393,968	
			_		nce Subtotal:		1,269,453	
				lization:	5%	\$	63,473	
			Site Prep			\$	63,473	
					ost TOTAL:	\$	1,397,000	
			uction Conti			\$	209,550	
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	1,607,000	

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,607,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 321,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 321,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information:

Name:
HARDIN BLVD (10)
Limits:
UMLMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380
Impact Fee Class:
Thoroughfare Class:
Thorou

Length (If): 6,520 Service Area(s): D

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection									
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost				
117	Unclassified Street Excavation	18,836	су	\$ 10.00	\$	188,356				
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	18,111	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	126,778				
317	9" Concrete Pavement	16,662	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	999,733				
417	4" Topsoil	17,387	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	43,467				
517	6" Curb & Gutter	13,040	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	65,200				
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	4,506	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	346,949				

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 1,770,482

Major Construction Component Allowances**:								
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost			
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	53,114			
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	53,114			
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-			
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	35,410			
	Illumination	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Other:							
**Allo	owances based on % of Paving Construction Cos	t Subtotal Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$	141,639			
		Paving and Allowa	nco Subtotal:	\$	1,912,120			
		Mobilization:		Φ	95,606			
				φ	,			
		Site Preparation:		_	95,606			
		Construction C			2,104,000			
		Construction Contingency:			315,600			
	Co	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	2,420,000			

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,420,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 484,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	\$ 2,904,000		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/13/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	D-35
Name:	COMMUNITY AVE (1)	This p	roject consists of th	ie

TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,275' S reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt

Limits: OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY facility to a four-lane divided greenway

Impact Fee Class: G4D arterial.
Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 1,275 Service Area(s): D

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection						
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost
107	Unclassified Street Excavation	12,467	су	\$	10.00	\$	124,667
207	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	9,350	sy	\$	7.00	\$	65,450
307	8" Concrete Pavement	8,783	sy	\$	55.00	\$	483,083
407	4" Topsoil	6,092	sy	\$	2.50	\$	15,229
507	6" Curb & Gutter	5,100	If	\$	5.00	\$	25,500
607	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	749	sy	\$	72.00	\$	53,898
707	Moisture Conditioning	9,350	sy	\$	8.00	\$	74,800
	Paving Construction Cost Subtotal:						

Maj	Major Construction Component Allowances**:									
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost					
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	25,279					
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	25,279					
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	210,657					
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-					
	Water	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	25,279					
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	25,279					
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	16,853					
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	50,558					
	Other:									
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal	nce Subtotal:	\$	379,182					
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,221,809					
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	61,090					
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$	61,090					
		Construction C	ost TOTAL:	\$	1,344,000					
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	201,600					
	Co	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	1,546,000					

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,546,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 309,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 309,200
	\$ 2,164,400		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Project Information:

Impact Fee Class:

Name:

Limits:

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

G4D(1/2)

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/13/2019

divided greenway arterial.

D-36 Project No. Description: COMMUNITY AVE (2) This project consists of the 1,275' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO BLOOMDALE RD construction of two additional lanes of the ultimate four-lane

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial Length (If): 2,655 Service Area(s): D

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection									
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost				
108	Unclassified Street Excavation	10,030	су	\$ 10.00	\$	100,300				
208	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	9,735	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	68,145				
308	8" Concrete Pavement	9,145	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	502,975				
408	4" Topsoil	3,393	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	8,481				
508	6" Curb & Gutter	5,310	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	26,550				
608	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	779	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	56,117				

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 762,568

Majo	or Construction Component Allowand	ees**:				
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost	
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	22,877	
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	22,877	
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	190,642	
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-	
	Water	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	22,877	
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	22,877	
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	15,251	
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	45,754	
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal	nce Subtotal:	\$	343,156	
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,105,724	
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	55,286	
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$	55,286	
	Construction Cost TOTAL:					
		Construction Contingency:		_	182,550	
	Со	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	1,400,000	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,400,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 280,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 280,000
	Impact Fee Project (Cost TOTAL:	\$ 1,960,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.


updated: 12/13/2019

Project Informatio	n: De	scription:	Project No.	D-37
Name:	COMMUNITY AVE (3)		This project cons	ists of the
Limits:	BLOOMDALE RD TO 2,305' S OF BLOC	MDALE RD	construction of tv	vo additional
Impact Fee Class:	M4U(1/2)		lanes of the ultim	ate four-lane
Thoroughfare Class:	Minor Arterial		undivided minor a	arterial.
Length (If):	2,305			
Service Area(s):	D			

No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost
104	Unclassified Street Excavation		6,147	су	\$	10.00	\$	61,467
204	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		6,019	sy	\$	7.00	\$	42,130
304	8" Concrete Pavement		5,891	sy	\$	55.00	\$	323,981
404	4" Topsoil		2,433	sy	\$	2.50	\$	6,083
504	6" Curb & Gutter		4,610	lf	\$	5.00	\$	23,050
		Pa	aving Constr	uction C	ost Su	ıbtotal:	\$	456,710
Majo	or Construction Component Allowances						_	
	Item Description	Notes			Allov	vance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$	13,701
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	13,701
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem			25%	\$	114,178
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts			3%	\$	13,701
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	nts			3%	\$	13,701
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	9,134
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	27,403
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Su	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Su	ıbtotal:	\$	205,520
			Paving and		nce Su		\$	662,230
	Mobilization: 5%					\$	33,111	
	Site Preparation: 5%					\$	33,111	
	Construction Cost TOTAL:					\$	729,000	
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:		15%	\$	109,350
	Cons	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	ΓINGΕ	NCY:	\$	839,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sum	nmary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 839,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 167,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 167,800
	Impact Fee Proje	ect Cost TOTAL:	\$ 1,174,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.




City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area E

#	Costing	Project	Limits	Tot	al Cost	Percent in Service Area	Cost in rvice Area
E-1	P6D(1/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (12)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO REDBUD BLVD	\$	955,200	100%	\$ 955,200
E-2	P6D(1/3)	BLOOMDALE RD (12)	REDBUD BLVD TO AIRPORT DR	\$	3,361,400	100%	\$ 3,361,400
E-3	M6D(1/3)	WILMETH RD (10)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO 2.570' E OF REDBUD BLVD	\$	1,935,600	100%	\$ 1,935,600
E-4	M6D(1/3)	WILMETH RD (11)	2.570' E OF REDBUD BLVD TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	\$	2,608,200	100%	\$ 2,608,200
E-5	M6D	WILMETH RD (12)	STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 2,100' E OF SH 5	\$	5,068,500	100%	\$ 5,068,500
E-6	M6D	WILMETH RD (12)	2,100' E OF SH 5 TO 980' W OF AIRPORT DR	\$	2,804,200	100%	\$ 2,804,200
E-7	M6D	WILMETH RD (14)	980' W OF AIRPORT DR TO 235' E OF AIRPORT DR	S	3,417,400	50%	\$ 1,708,700
E-8	M6D	WILMETH RD (14)	1,150' W OF FM 2933 TO 400' E OF FM 2933	\$	3,229,800	50%	\$ 1,614,900
E-9	M4D	REDBUD BLVD (1)	BLOOMDALE RD TO 2,930' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	\$	650,000	100%	\$ 650,000
E-10	M4D(1/2)	REDBUD BLVD (2)	2,930' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	S	852,600	100%	\$ 852,600
E-10	M6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (9)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO 2,620' S OF US HIGHWAY 75	\$	3,909,500	50%	\$ 1,954,750
E-12	M6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (10)	2,620' S OF US HIGHWAY 75 TO BLOOMDALE RD	\$	1,876,700	100%	\$ 1,876,700
E-13	P6D	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (11)	BLOOMDALE RD TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	\$	861.000	100%	\$ 861,000
E-14	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (1)	4,700' N OF AIRPORT DR TO 3,995' N OF AIRPORT DR	\$	695,100	50%	\$ 347,550
E-15	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (2)	1,915' N OF AIRPORT DR TO US HIGHWAY 380	-	13,994,400	100%	\$ 13,994,400
E-16	P6D	AIRPORT DR (1)	STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 4.070 S OF STATE HIGHWAY 5	\$	9,379,050	100%	\$ 9,379,050
E-17	P6D	AIRPORT DR (2)	WILMETH RD TO WOODLAWN RD (N)	\$	6,582,850	100%	\$ 6,582,850
E-18	P6D	AIRPORT DR (3)	WOODLAWN RD (N) TO WOODLAWN RD (S)	\$	3,648,400	100%	\$ 3,648,400
E-19	P6D	AIRPORT DR (4)	WOODLAWN RD (S) TO US HIGHWAY 380	\$	8,952,800	100%	\$ 8,952,800
E-20	P6D(1/3)	UNNAMED C (1)	410' E OF STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 3,010' E OF STATE HIGHWAY 5	\$	986,400	100%	\$ 986,400
E-21	P6D	UNNAMED C (2)	WILMETH RD TO 2,615' S OF WILMETH RD	\$	2,806,300	50%	\$ 1,403,150
11		Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & LAUD HOWELL PKWY	\$	600,000	50%	\$ 300,000
19		Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & BLOOMDALE RD	\$	600,000	50%	\$ 300,000
20		Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & REDBUD BLVD	\$	300,000	100%	\$ 300,000
21	ç.	Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & BLOOMDALE RD	\$	300,000	100%	\$ 300,000
22	Intersection	Signal Mod	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & LAUD HOWELL PKWY	\$	150,000	100%	\$ 150,000
28	erse	Signal	WILMETH RD & REDBUD BLVD	\$	300,000	100%	\$ 300,000
29	Inte	Signal Mod	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & WILMETH RD	\$	150,000	100%	\$ 150,000
30		Signal	AIRPORT DR & WILMETH RD	\$	300,000	50%	\$ 150,000
31	1	Signal	UNNAMED C & WILMETH RD	\$	300,000	50%	\$ 150,000
41		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR	S	150,000	50%	\$ 75,000
71		Olgital Wou	OUTIONWAT 300 & AIR ORT DR	-	81.725.400	3070	\$ 73,721,350

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. E-1
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (12)		This project consists of the
Limits:	US HIGHWAY 75 TO REDBUD BLVD)	construction of two additional through
Impact Fee Class:	P6D(1/3)		lanes within the existing median of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		ultimate six-lane divided principal
Length (If):	2,520		arterial.
Service Area(s):	E		

Roa	adway Construction Cost P	rojection				
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
114	Unclassified Street Excavation		7,840	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 78,400
214	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<1	2)	7,560	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 52,920
314	9" Concrete Pavement		7,000	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 420,000
	4" Topsoil		2,240	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 5,600
514	6" Curb & Gutter		5,040	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 25,200
		Р	aving Constr	uction (Cost Subtotal:	\$ 582,120
Majo	or Construction Component Allow					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	17,464
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 17,464
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 11,642
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	n Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 46,570
					nce Subtotal:	628,690
				lization:		\$ 31,434
	Site Preparation: 5%					\$ 31,434
	Construction Cost TOTAL:					\$ 692,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$ 103,800
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 796,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 796,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 159,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	Impact Fee Project	Cost TOTAL:	\$ 955,200

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	E-2
Name:	BLOOMDALE RD (13)	This project consists o	f the constructi	on of four
Limits:	REDBUD BLVD TO AIRPORT DR	additional through lane	es of the ultimat	e six-lane
Impact Fee Class:	P6D(2/3)	divided principal arteria	al.	
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial			
Length (If):	2,695			
Service Area(s)	F			

No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
115	Unclassified Street Excavation		24,554	су	\$	10.00	\$ 245,544
215	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		15,721	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 110,046
315	9" Concrete Pavement		14,823	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 889,350
415	4" Topsoil		9,133	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 22,833
515	6" Curb & Gutter		8,085	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 40,425
		P	aving Constr	uction C	ost Su	btotal:	\$ 1,308,198
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	es**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allov	vance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$ 39,246
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$ 39,246
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem			25%	\$ 327,049
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$ -
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$ 39,246
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$ 39,246
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$ 26,164
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$ 78,492
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Su	ıbtotal:	\$ 588,689
			Paving and	(1	nce Su		\$ 1,896,887
	Mobilization: 5%				\$ 94,844		
	Site Preparation: 5%					\$ 94,844	
	Construction Cost TOTAL:					\$ 2,087,000	
			uction Conti			15%	313,050
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	ΓINGE	NCY:	\$ 2,401,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,401,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 480,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 480,200
	Impact Fee Project (Cost TOTAL:	\$ 3,361,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. E-3
Name:	WILMETH RD (10)		This project consists of the
Limits:	US HIGHWAY 75 TO 2,570' E OF RE	DBUD BLVD	construction of two additional through
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		lanes within the existing median of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		ultimate six-lane divided major arterial.
Length (If):	4,105		
Service Area(s):	E		

Roa	adway Construction Cost Pi	ojection				
No.	Item Description	•	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		12,771	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 127,711
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12	2)	12,315	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 86,205
311	9" Concrete Pavement		11,403	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 684,167
	4" Topsoil		3,649	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 9,122
511	6" Curb & Gutter		8,210	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 41,050
		Р	aving Constr	uction (Cost Subtotal:	\$ 948,255
Majo	or Construction Component Allow	vances**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$ 28,448
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 28,448
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing				\$ 250,000
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 18,965
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 325,860
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:					\$ 1,274,115	
Mobilization: 5%					\$ 63,706	
Site Preparation: 5%					\$ 63,706	
Construction Cost TOTAL:					\$ 1,402,000	
Construction Contingency: 15%					\$ 210,300	
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:				\$ 1,613,000	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,613,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 322,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 1,935,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Service Area(s):

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Ε

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information: E-4 Description: Project No. Name: WILMETH RD (11) This project consists of the Limits: 2,570' E OF REDBUD BLVD TO STATE HIGHWAY 5 construction of four additional **Impact Fee Class:** M6D(2/3) through lanes of the ultimate Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial six-lane divided major arterial. Length (If): 2,145

Roadway Construction Cost Projection							
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost
112	Unclassified Street Excavation	17,160	су	\$	10.00	\$	171,600
212	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	12,513	sy	\$	7.00	\$	87,588
312	9" Concrete Pavement	11,798	sy	\$	60.00	\$	707,850
412	4" Topsoil	6,554	sy	\$	2.50	\$	16,385
512	6" Curb & Gutter	6,435	If	\$	5.00	\$	32,175
Paving Construction Cost Subtotal:					\$	1,015,598	

Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost		
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	30,468		
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	30,468		
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	253,899		
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated	-	\$	-		
	Water	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	30,468		
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	30,468		
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	20,312		
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	60,936		
	Other:						
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Allowance Subtotal:					457,019		
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,472,617		
Mobilization: 5%					73,631		
Site Preparation: 5%					73,631		
Construction Cost TOTAL:					1,620,000		
Construction Contingency: 15%					243,000		
Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					1,863,000		

Impact Fee Project Cost Sum	mary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,863,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 372,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 372,600
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 2,608,200

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information:

Name:

WILMETH RD (12)

Limits:

STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 2,100' E OF SH 5

Impact Fee Class:

M6D

Description:

Project No.

E-5

This project consists of the construction of a new six-lane divided major arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial Length (If): 2,100
Service Area(s): E

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation	23,333	су	\$ 10.00	\$	233,333
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	18,200	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	127,400
309	9" Concrete Pavement	17,267	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,036,000
409	4" Topsoil	8,167	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	20,417
509	6" Curb & Gutter	8,400	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	42,000
609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	1,282	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	98,681
709	Moisture Conditioning	18,200	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	145,600
Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 1,						

Major Construction Component Allowances **Allowance** Item Description Notes **Item Cost** Traffic Control None Anticipated 1% \$ 17,034 Pavement Markings/Markers 3% \$ 51,103 Roadway Drainage 25% \$ Standard Internal System 425,858 \$ Special Drainage Structures 250,000 Stream Crossing Water 0% \$ None Anticipated 0% \$ Sewer None Anticipated Establish Turf / Erosion Control 2% \$ 34,069 Illumination Standard Ilumination System 6% \$ 102,206 Other: *Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Allowance Subtotal: 880,269 Paving and Allowance Subtotal: 2,583,700 Mobilization: 5% \$ 129,185 **Site Preparation:** 5% \$ 129,185 **Construction Cost TOTAL:** \$ 2.843.000 Construction Contingency: 426,450 \$ 15%

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,270,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 654,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,144,500

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

3,270,000

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. E-6			
Name:	WILMETH RD (13)		This project consists of the			
Limits: 2,100' E OF SH 5 TO 980' W OF AIRPORT DR reconstruction of a two-lan						
Impact Fee Class:	M6D		facility to a six-lane divided major			
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		arterial.			
Length (If):	1,345					
Service Area(s):	E					

Roa	dway Construction Cost Pro	jection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		14,944	су	\$	10.00	\$ 149,444
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		11,657	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 81,597
309	9" Concrete Pavement		11,059	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 663,533
409	4" Topsoil		5,231	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 13,076
509	6" Curb & Gutter		5,380	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 26,900
609	609 Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings		821	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 63,203
709	Moisture Conditioning		11,657	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 93,253
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Su	ıbtotal:	\$ 1,091,007
Majo	or Construction Component Allowa	nces**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allov	vance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$ 32,730
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$ 32,730
√ Roadway Drainage Standard Internal System			stem			25%	\$ 272,752

		Item Cost			
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	32,730
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	32,730
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	272,752
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	32,730
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	32,730
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	21,820
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	65,460
	Other:				
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal	nce Subtotal:	\$	490,953
	\$	1,581,960			
	\$	79,098			
	\$	79,098			
	\$	1,741,000			
Construction Contingency: 15%					261,150
	\$	2.003.000			

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	ary					
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost		
Construction:		-	\$	2,003,000		
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	400,600		
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-		
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-		
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	400,600		
	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:					

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. E-7				
Name:	WILMETH RD (14)		This project consists of the				
	980' W OF AIRPORT DR TO 235' E	TE reconstruction of a two-lane aspha					
Limits: OF AIRPORT DR			facility to a six-lane divided major				
Impact Fee Class:	M6D		arterial. Based on the existing City				
Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial			Limits, the northern half of the roadway				
Length (If):	1,215	is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.					
Service Area(s):	E, Half						

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection									
No.	No. Item Description Quantity Unit Unit Price							Item Cost		
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		13,500	су	\$	10.00	\$	135,000		
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		10,530	sy	\$	7.00	\$	73,710		
309	9" Concrete Pavement		9,990	sy	\$	60.00	\$	599,400		
409	4" Topsoil		4,725	sy	\$	2.50	\$	11,813		
509	6" Curb & Gutter		4,860	If	\$	5.00	\$	24,300		
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	penings	741	sy	\$	77.00	\$	57,094		
709	Moisture Conditioning		10,530	sy	\$	8.00	\$	84,240		
		P	Paving Const	ruction (Cost S	Subtotal:	\$	985,556		
Major Construction Component Allowances**:										
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	owance		Item Cost		
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$	29,567		
	Pavement Markings/Markers	3%				3%	\$	29,567		
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem			25%	\$	246,389		
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$	-		
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$	29,567		
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$	29,567		
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	19,711		
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	59,133		
	Other:	Railroad Crossing					\$	500,000		
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce S	Subtotal:	\$	943,500		
			<u> </u>							
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							\$	1,929,057		
Mobilization: 5%						\$	96,453			
Site Preparation: 5%						_	96,453			
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$	2,122,000		
			uction Conti			15%	-	318,300		
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					\$	2,441,000			

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary						
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost		
Construction:		-	\$	2,441,000		
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	488,200		
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-		
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-		
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	488,200		
	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:					

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. E-8
Name:	WILMETH RD (15)		This project consists of the
	1,150' W OF FM 2933 TO 400' E OF		reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Limits:	FM 2933		facility to a six-lane divided major
Impact Fee Class:	M6D		arterial. Based on the existing City
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		Limits, the northern half of the roadway
Length (If):	1,550		is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.
Service Area(s):	E, Half		

Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)										
109 Unclassified Street Excavation	Roadway Construction Cost Projection									
Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	No.	No. Item Description Quantity Unit Unit Price							Item Cost	
309 9" Concrete Pavement	109	Unclassified Street Excavation		17,222	су	\$	10.00		172,222	
409 4" Topsoil 6,028 sy \$ 2.50 \$ 15,0 509 6" Curb & Gutter 6,200 If \$ 5.00 \$ 31,0 609 Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings 946 sy \$ 77.00 \$ 72,1 709 Moisture Conditioning 13,433 sy \$ 8.00 \$ 107,0	209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		13,433	sy	\$	7.00		94,033	
509 6" Curb & Gutter 6,200 If \$ 5.00 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,000 \$ 31,00	309	9" Concrete Pavement		12,744	sy	\$	60.00	\$	764,667	
Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings 946 sy \$ 77.00 \$ 72,00	409	4" Topsoil		6,028	sy		2.50		15,069	
Moisture Conditioning	509	6" Curb & Gutter		6,200	If	\$	5.00	\$	31,000	
Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 1,257,3 Major Construction Component Allowances**: Item Description Notes Allowance Item Cost	609	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	946	sy		77.00		72,836	
Major Construction Component Allowances**: Item Description Notes Allowance Item Cost √ Traffic Control 3% \$ 37, √ Pavement Markings/Markers 3% \$ 37, √ Roadway Drainage Standard Internal System 25% \$ 314, Special Drainage Structures None Anticipated \$ \$ 37, √ Water Incidental Adjustments 3% \$ 37, √ Establish Turf / Erosion Control Incidental Adjustments 2% \$ 25, √ Illumination Standard Illumination System 6% 75, Other: **Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Allowance Subtotal: \$ 1,823, * Mobilization: 5% 91, Site Preparation: 5% 91, * Construction Cost TOTAL: \$ 2,006,0 * Construction Contingency: 15% \$ 300,9	709	Moisture Conditioning		13,433	sy	\$	8.00	65	107,467	
Item Description Notes Allowance Item Cost √ Traffic Control Construction Phase Traffic Control 3% \$ 37, √ Pavement Markings/Markers 3% \$ 37, √ Roadway Drainage Standard Internal System 25% \$ 314, Special Drainage Structures None Anticipated \$ √ Water Incidental Adjustments 3% \$ 37, √ Establish Turf / Erosion Control Incidental Adjustments 2% \$ 25, ✓ Illumination Standard Ilumination System 6% 75, Other: Standard Ilumination System 6% 75, ***Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Allowance Subtotal: \$ 1,823, Mobilization: 5% 91, Site Preparation: 5% 91, Construction Cost TOTAL: 2,006,0 Construction Contingency: 15% 300,9			P	aving Const	ruction (Cost S	Subtotal:	\$	1,257,294	
Item DescriptionNotesAllowanceItem Cost√ Traffic ControlConstruction Phase Traffic Control3%\$ 37,√ Pavement Markings/Markers3%\$ 37,√ Roadway DrainageStandard Internal System25%\$ 314,Special Drainage StructuresNone Anticipated\$√ WaterIncidental Adjustments3%\$ 37,√ SewerIncidental Adjustments3%\$ 37,√ Establish Turf / Erosion Control2%\$ 25,√ IlluminationStandard Ilumination System6%75,Other:**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost SubtotalAllowance Subtotal:\$ 1,823,***Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost SubtotalPaving and Allowance Subtotal:\$ 1,823,Mobilization:5%\$ 91,Site Preparation:5%\$ 91,Construction Cost TOTAL:\$ 2,006,0Construction Contingency:15%\$ 300,9										
√ Traffic Control Construction Phase Traffic Control 3% \$ 37, 38 √ Pavement Markings/Markers Standard Internal System 25% \$ 314, 38 √ Roadway Drainage Standard Internal System 25% \$ 314, 38 √ Special Drainage Structures None Anticipated \$ 3% \$ 37, 37 √ Water Incidental Adjustments 3% \$ 37, 37 √ Establish Turf / Erosion Control Incidental Adjustments 2% \$ 25, 37 √ Illumination Standard Illumination System 6% \$ 75, 47 Other: **Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal * Allowance Subtotal: \$ 1,823, 48 **Mobilization: 5% 91, 5% 91, 5% \$ 91, 5% **Construction Cost TOTAL: \$ 2,006,0 \$ 300,9 \$ 300,9	Majo									
√ Pavement Markings/Markers 3% \$ 37, √ √ Roadway Drainage Standard Internal System 25% \$ 314, √ Special Drainage Structures None Anticipated \$ 3% \$ 37, √ √ Water Incidental Adjustments 3% \$ 37, √ √ Sewer Incidental Adjustments 3% \$ 37, √ √ Establish Turf / Erosion Control 2% \$ 25, √ ✓ Illumination 5% \$ 75, √ Other: Standard Ilumination System 6% \$ 75, √ **Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Allowance Subtotal: \$ 1,823, √ Mobilization: 5% \$ 91, √ Site Preparation: 5% \$ 91, √ Construction Cost TOTAL: \$ 2,006,0 Construction Contingency: 15% \$ 300,9		Item Description	Notes			Allo	owance		Item Cost	
√ Roadway Drainage Standard Internal System 25% \$ 314,3 Special Drainage Structures None Anticipated \$ 3% \$ 37,3 √ Water Incidental Adjustments 3% \$ 37,3 √ Sewer Incidental Adjustments 3% \$ 37,3 √ Establish Turf / Erosion Control 2% \$ 25,3 ✓ Illumination Standard Illumination System 6% \$ 75,4 Other: **Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Allowance Subtotal: \$ 1,823,1 Mobilization: 5% 91,7 Site Preparation: 5% 91,7 Construction Cost TOTAL: \$ 2,006,0 Construction Contingency: 15% \$ 300,9		Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$	37,719	
Special Drainage Structures √ Water √ Sewer √ Establish Turf / Erosion Control √ Illumination Other: ***Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Paving and Allowance Subtotal: ***Allowances Subtotal: Paving and Allowance Subtotal: ***Allowances Subtotal: ***Allowances Subtotal: ***Construction Cost TOTAL: Construction Contingency: ***Allowances Subtotal: ****Allowances Subtotal: ****Allowances Subtotal: ****Allowances Subtotal: *****Allowances Subtotal: *****Construction Cost TOTAL: ***********************************		Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	37,719	
√ Water Incidental Adjustments 3% \$ 37, √ Sewer √ Establish Turf / Erosion Control 2% \$ 25, √ Illumination Standard Illumination System 6% \$ 75, Other: **Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Allowance Subtotal: \$ 1,823, Mobilization: 5% 91, Site Preparation: 5% 91, Construction Cost TOTAL: \$ 2,006,0 Construction Contingency: 15% \$ 300,9		Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem			25%	\$	314,323	
Variable		Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated						-	
 ✓ Establish Turf / Erosion Control ✓ Illumination Other: **Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Paving and Allowance Subtotal: Mobilization: Site Preparation: Construction Cost TOTAL: Construction Contingency: 15% 300,9 		Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts			3%		37,719	
√ Illumination Other:Standard Illumination System6%75,4**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost SubtotalAllowance Subtotal: \$ 565,7\$ 1,823,6Paving and Allowance Subtotal: Mobilization: Site Preparation:5% 5% 5%91,7Construction Cost TOTAL: Construction Contingency:\$ 2,006,0		Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	nts			3%	\$	37,719	
Other: **Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Paving and Allowance Subtotal: Mobilization: Site Preparation: Construction Cost TOTAL: Construction Contingency: \$ 300,5		Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	25,146	
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Paving and Allowance Subtotal: \$ 1,823, Mobilization: 5% \$ 91, Site Preparation: 5% \$ 91, Construction Cost TOTAL: \$ 2,006,0			Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	75,438	
Paving and Allowance Subtotal: \$ 1,823,0 Mobilization: 5% \$ 91,0 Site Preparation: 5% \$ 91,0 Construction Cost TOTAL: \$ 2,006,0 Construction Contingency: 15% \$ 300,0		Other:								
Mobilization: 5% 91,7 Site Preparation: 5% 91,7 Construction Cost TOTAL: 2,006,0 Construction Contingency: 15% 300,3	**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce S	Subtotal:	\$	565,782	
Mobilization: 5% 91,7 Site Preparation: 5% 91,7 Construction Cost TOTAL: 2,006,0 Construction Contingency: 15% 300,3				<u> </u>						
Site Preparation: 5% \$ 91, Construction Cost TOTAL: \$ 2,006,0 Construction Contingency: 15% \$ 300,9								1,823,076		
Construction Cost TOTAL: \$ 2,006,0 Construction Contingency: 15% \$ 300,5								91,154		
Construction Contingency: 15% \$ 300,9	Site Preparation: 5%							91,154		
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						-	2,006,000		
Association Association Annual Miles Annual									300,900	
Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY: \$ 2,307,0		Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TING	ENCY:	\$	2,307,000	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summar	у		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,307,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 461,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 461,400
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 3,229,800

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n: D	escription:	Project No.	E-9
Name:	REDBUD BLVD (1)		This completed pr	oject
Limits:	BLOOMDALE RD TO 2,930' S OF BLO	OMDALE RD	consists of the cor	nstruction of
Impact Fee Class:	M4D		a four-lane divided	minor
Thoroughfare Class:	Minor Arterial		arterial. The City c	ontributed
Length (If):	2,930		approximatley \$65	
Service Area(s):	E		eliaible funds from	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary						
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	lt	tem Cost		
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-		
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	650,000		
	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:					

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n: Descri	otion:	Project No.	E-10
Name:	REDBUD BLVD (2)	This pro	ject consists of th	ne
Limits:	2,930' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMET	HRD construc	tion of two addit	ional lanes of
Impact Fee Class:	M4D(1/2)	the ultim	ate four-lane divi	ded minor
Thoroughfare Class:	Minor Arterial	arterial.		
Length (If):	1,645			
Service Area(s):	E			

	Item Description	,	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
	Unclassified Street Excavation		4,752	СУ	\$ 10.00	\$	47,522
	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		4,569	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	31,986
	8" Concrete Pavement		4,204	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	231,214
	4" Topsoil		1,736	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	4,341
	6" Curb & Gutter		3,290	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	16,450
		Р	aving Constr	uction C	ost Subtotal:	\$	331,513
Maio	or Construction Component Allowa	nces**•					_
Maje	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	П	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	9,945
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	9,945
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem		25%	\$	82,878
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%	\$	9,945
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%	\$	9,945
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	6,630
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	19,891
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction C	ost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	149,181
			Paving and	d Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	480,694
Mobilization: 5%						\$	24,035
Site Preparation: 5%						\$	24,035
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						529,000
			uction Conti			_	79,350
		onstruction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	ΓINGENCY:	\$	609,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumr	mary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 609,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 121,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 121,800
	\$ 852,600		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	E-11
Name:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (9) US HIGHWAY 75 TO 2,620' S OF US		s project consists of the onstruction of a two-lar	
Limits: Impact Fee Class:	HIGHWAY 75 M6D	faci arte	ility to a six-lane divided erial. Based on the exist	d major ting City
Thoroughfare Class: Length (If): Service Area(s):	Major Arterial 2,620 E, Half		nits, the eastern half of not included in the Impa	

Roa	adway Construction Cost Proje	ection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		14,556	су	\$ 15.00	\$	218,333
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		22,707	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	158,947
309	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		21,542	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	107,711
409	9" Concrete Pavement		21,542	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,292,533
	4" Topsoil		10,189	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	40,756
	6" Curb & Gutter		10,480	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	52,400
709	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	Openings	1,599	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	139,105
			Paving Const	ruction C	ost Subtotal:	\$	2,009,785
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	60,294
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	60,294
$\sqrt{}$	Roadway Drainage				25%	\$	502,446
$\sqrt{}$	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge				\$	1,500,000
√,	Water	Incidental Adjustme			3%	\$	60,294
√,	Sewer	Incidental Adjustme	nts		3%	\$	60,294
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	40,196
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	n System		6%	\$	120,587
	Other:					_	
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cos	t Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	2,404,403
			D. I.	1.411.	0 14.4.1	_	4 444 422
							4,414,188
					\$	220,709	
						\$	220,709
	Construction Cost TOTAL:					\$	4,856,000
			struction Conti			\$	728,400
	C	onstruction Co	ost TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	5,585,000

Impact Fee Project Cos	Summary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 5,585,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,117,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 1,117,000
	\$ 3,909,500		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information: E-12 Description: Project No. Name: LAUD HOWELL PKWY (10) This project consists of the Limits: 2,620' S OF US HIGHWAY 75 TO BLOOMDALE RD reconstruction of a two-lane **Impact Fee Class:** M6D asphalt facility to a six-lane Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial divided major arterial.

Length (If): 1,635 Service Area(s): E

Roadway Construction Cost Projection								
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost	
109	9 Unclassified Street Excavation 9,083 cy			су	\$ 15.00	\$	136,250	
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		14,170	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	99,190	
309	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		13,443	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	67,217	
409	9" Concrete Pavement		13,443	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	806,600	
	4" Topsoil		6,358	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	25,433	
	6" Curb & Gutter		6,540	If	\$ 5.00	\$	32,700	
709	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	penings	998	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	86,808	
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,254,198	
Majo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost	
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		37,626	
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		37,626	
$\sqrt{}$	Roadway Drainage				25%		313,549	
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing				\$	300,000	
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		37,626	
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	-	37,626	
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%		25,084	
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	75,252	
	Other:							
**Allo	**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Allowance Subtotal:						864,389	
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						2,118,587	
	Mobilization: 5%						105,929	
			Site Prep			_	105,929 2,331,000	
	Construction Cost TOTAL:							

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,681,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 536,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 536,200
Impact Fee	\$ 1,876,700		

Construction Contingency:

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

15%

349,650

2,681,000

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. E-13
Name:	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (11)		This project consists of the
Limits:	BLOOMDALE RD TO STATE HIGHWA	Y 5	reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		facility to a six-lane divided principal
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		arterial.
Length (If):	870		
Service Area(s):	E		

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113			4,833	су	\$ 15.00	\$	72,500
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		7,540	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	52,780
313	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		7,153	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	35,767
413	9" Concrete Pavement		7,153	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	429,200
513	4" Topsoil		3,963	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	15,853
	6" Curb & Gutter		3,480	If	\$ 5.00	\$	17,400
713	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	531	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	46,191
		Pa	aving Constr	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	669,691
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		20,091
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	20,091
	Roadway Drainage				25%	\$	167,423
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%	\$	20,091
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%	\$	20,091
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	13,394
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	40,181
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	301,361
						\$	
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							971,052
Mobilization: 5%						\$	48,553
						\$	48,553
Construction Cost TOTAL:							1,069,000
			uction Conti			\$	160,350
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	1,230,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:	Notes.	Allowalice	\$ 1,230,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 246.000
2008 - 2012 City contribution		20,0	\$
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 246,000
Impact Fe	\$ 861,000		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. E-14
Name:	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (1) 4,700' N OF AIRPORT DR TO 3,995'	ı	This project consists of the reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Limits:	N OF AIRPORT DR		facility to a six-lane divided major
Impact Fee Class:	M6D		arterial. Based on the existing City
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		Limits, the western half of the roadway
Length (If):	705		is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.
Service Area(s):	E, Half		

Roadway Construction Cost Projection									
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Un	it Price		Item Cost	
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		3,917	су	\$	15.00	\$	58,750	
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		6,110	sy	\$	7.00	65	42,770	
309	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		5,797	sy	\$	5.00	\$	28,983	
409	9" Concrete Pavement		5,797	sy	\$	60.00	\$	347,800	
509	4" Topsoil		2,742	sy	\$	4.00	\$	10,967	
	6" Curb & Gutter		2,820	lf .	\$	5.00	\$	14,100	
709	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	penings	430	sy	\$	87.00	69	37,431	
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost	Subtotal:	\$	540,801	
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance								
	Item Description	Notes			Alle	owance		Item Cost	
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$	16,224	
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	16,224	
	Roadway Drainage					25%	\$	135,200	
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$	-	
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts			3%	\$	16,224	
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$	16,224	
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	10,816	
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	32,448	
	Other:								
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce S	Subtotal:	\$	243,360	
			<u> </u>						
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						\$	784,161		
Mobilization: 5%						\$	39,208		
Site Preparation: 5%					\$	39,208			
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$	863,000		
	Construction Contingency: 15%					\$ \$	129,450 993,000		
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary	1		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 993,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 198,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 198,600
Impact Fee	\$ 695,100		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	escription:	Project No.	E-15
Name:	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (2)		This project consi	sts of the
Limits:	1,915' N OF AIRPORT DR TO US HIGH	1WAY 380	reconstruction of	the existing
Impact Fee Class:	M6D		asphalt facility to a	a six-lane
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		divided major arte	rial.
Length (If):	11,960			
Service Area(s):	E			

Roa	Roadway Construction Cost Projection							
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Pri	се		Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		66,444	су	\$ 15	.00	\$	996,667
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		103,653	sy	\$ 7	.00	\$	725,573
309	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		98,338	sy		.00	\$	491,689
409	9" Concrete Pavement		98,338	sy		.00	\$	5,900,267
509	4" Topsoil		46,511	sy	7	.00	\$	186,044
	6" Curb & Gutter		47,840	If		.00	\$	239,200
709	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	7,299	sy	\$ 87	.00	\$	634,997
		Pa	aving Consti	ruction C	Cost Subto	tal:	\$	9,174,437
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance							
	Item Description	Notes			Allowan			Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	-	275,233
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	275,233
	Roadway Drainage				2	25%	\$	2,293,609
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge					\$	2,500,000
√,	Water	Incidental Adjustmer				3%	\$	275,233
√,	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$	275,233
√,	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	183,489
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$	550,466
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subto	tal:	\$	6,628,497
							_	45 000 004
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							15,802,934	
Mobilization: 5%						\$	790,147	
Site Preparation: 5%					\$	790,147		
Construction Cost TOTAL:							17,384,000	
			uction Conti			5%	-	2,607,600
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGEN	CY:	\$	19,992,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:	Notes.	- Allowand	\$	19,992,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	3,998,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$, , <u>-</u>
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	3,998,400
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				13,994,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n: Des	scription:	Project No.	E-16
Name:	AIRPORT DR (1)		This project cons	ists of the
Limits:	STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 4,070 S OF STA	TE HIGHWAY 5	construction of a	new six-lane
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		divided principal	arterial.
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial			

Length (If): 4,070
Service Area(s): E

713 Moisture Conditioning

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection				
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation	45,222	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 452,222
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	35,273	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 246,913
313	9" Concrete Pavement	33,464	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 2,007,867
413	4" Topsoil	18,541	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 46,353
513	6" Curb & Gutter	16,280	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 81,400
613	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	2,484	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 191,252

35,273

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 3,308,194

\$

8.00

282,187

Maior Cons	truction Component Allowanc	es**:			
	escription	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost
√ Traffic	Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	33,082
√ Pavem	ent Markings/Markers		3%	\$	99,246
√ Roadw	ay Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	827,048
√ Specia	Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing		\$	250,000
Water		None Anticipated	0%	\$	-
Sewer		None Anticipated	0%	\$	-
√ Establi	sh Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	66,164
√ Illumina	ation	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	198,492
Other:					
**Allowances ba	ased on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,474,032
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	4,782,226
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	239,111
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$	239,111
Construction Cost TOTAL:					5,261,000
Construction Contingency: 15%					789,150
	Cor	struction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	6,051,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumn	nary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 6,051,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,210,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 2,117,850
·	\$ 9,379,050		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. E-17

Name: AIRPORT DR (2) This project consists of the

Limits: WILMETH RD TO WOODLAWN RD (N) construction of a new six-lane divided

Impact Fee Class: P6D principal arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

Length (If): 2,790 Service Area(s): E

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection									
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Unit Price		Unit Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation	31,000	су	\$	10.00	\$ 310,000				
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	24,180	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 169,260				
313	9" Concrete Pavement	22,940	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 1,376,400				
413	4" Topsoil	12,710	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 31,775				
513	6" Curb & Gutter	11,160	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 55,800				
613	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	1,703	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 131,104				
713	Moisture Conditioning	24,180	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 193,440				

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 2,267,779

Major Construction Co	omponent Allowances**:				
Item Description	Notes	А	llowance		Item Cost
√ Traffic Control	None Anticipated		1%	\$	22,678
√ Pavement Marking	s/Markers		3%	\$	68,033
√ Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System		25%	\$	566,945
√ Special Drainage S	Structures Stream Crossing			\$	250,000
Water	None Anticipated		0%	\$	-
Sewer	None Anticipated		0%	\$	-
√ Establish Turf / Erg	osion Control		2%	\$	45,356
√ Illumination	Standard Ilumination System		6%	\$	136,067
Other:					
**Allowances based on % of P	Paving Construction Cost Subtotal	Allowance	Subtotal:	\$	1,089,078
	Paving and		Subtotal:	\$	3,356,857
	Mobil	ization:	5%	\$	167,843
	\$	167,843			
Construction Cost TOTAL:					3,693,000
	Construction Contin	,	15%	\$	553,950
Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					4,247,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	nary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	4,247,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	849,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	1,486,450
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				6,582,850

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. E-18
Name:	AIRPORT DR (3)		This project consists of the
Limits:	WOODLAWN RD (N) TO WOODLAW!	N RD (S)	reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		facility to a six-lane divided principal
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		arterial.
Length (If):	1,535		
Service Area(s):	E		

Roa	adway Construction Cost Proj	ection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	t Price	Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		17,056	су	\$	10.00	\$ 170,556
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		13,303	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 93,123
313	9" Concrete Pavement		12,621	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 757,267
413	4" Topsoil		6,993	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 17,482
513	6" Curb & Gutter		6,140	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 30,700
613	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	Openings	937	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 72,131
713	Moisture Conditioning		13,303	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 106,427
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost S	ubtotal:	\$ 1,247,685
			_				
Majo	or Construction Component Allowa	nces**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$ 37,431
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$ 37,431
1							

Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost		
√ Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	37,431		
√ Pavement Markings/Markers	College Marie Marie College	3%		37,431		
√ Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%		311,921		
√ Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing		\$	250,000		
√ Water	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	37,431		
√ Sewer	Incidental Adjustments	3%		37,431		
√ Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%		24,954		
√ Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	74,861		
Other:						
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction	n Cost Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	811,458		
	Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	2,059,143		
	Mobilization:	5%	\$	102,957		
	Site Preparation:	5%	\$	102,957		
	\$	2,266,000				
	Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	339,900		
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ		Allanasa	Maria Carat
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,606,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 521,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 521,200
,	\$ 3,648,400		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. E-19

Name: AIRPORT DR (4) This project consists of the

Limits: WOODLAWN RD (S) TO US HIGHWAY 380 construction of a new six-lane divided

Impact Fee Class: P6D principal arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

Length (If): 3,875 Service Area(s): E

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation	43,056	су	\$	10.00	\$ 430,556
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	33,583	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 235,083
313	9" Concrete Pavement	31,861	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 1,911,667
413	4" Topsoil	17,653	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 44,132
513	6" Curb & Gutter	15,500	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 77,500
613	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	2,365	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 182,089
713	Moisture Conditioning	33,583	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 268,667
	P	aving Consti	ruction (nst Su	htotal:	\$ 3 149 693

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 3,149,693

Majo	or Construction Component Allowa	nces**:		
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$ 31,497
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$ 94,491
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$ 787,423
$\sqrt{}$	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing		\$ 250,000
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$ 62,994
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$ 188,982
	Other:			
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Co	ost Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 1,415,387
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 4,565,080
		Mobilization:	5%	\$ 228,254
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$ 228,254
	\$ 5,022,000			
		Construction Contingency:		753,300
	C	onstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 5,776,000

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 5,776,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,155,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 2,021,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	E-20
Name:	UNNAMED C (1) 410' E OF STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 3,	010' E OF	This project conscious of to	
Limits:	STATE HIGHWAY 5		through lanes wi	
Impact Fee Class:	P6D(1/3)		existing median	of the ultimate
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		six-lane divided	
Length (If):	2,600		arterial. This sec	•
			currently under o	onstruction for
			the four-lane divi	ded section by
Service Area(s):	E		Willowwood.	

Roadway Construction Cost P	rojection							
No. Item Description	•	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost		
114 Unclassified Street Excavation		8,089	су	\$ 10.00	\$	80,889		
214 Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<1	2)	7,800	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	54,600		
314 9" Concrete Pavement		7,222	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	433,333		
414 4" Topsoil		2,311	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	5,778		
514 6" Curb & Gutter		5,200	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	26,000		
	F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	600,600		
Major Construction Component Allo	wances**:							
Item Description	Notes		`	Allowance		Item Cost		
√ Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	18,018		
√ Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	18,018		
Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-		
Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-		
Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-		
Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-		
√ Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	12,012		
Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-		
Other:								
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction	n Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	48,048		
		Paving an	d Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	648,648		
		Mobi	lization:	5%	\$	32,432		
		Site Prep	aration:	5%	\$	32,432		
				ost TOTAL:		714,000		
		ruction Conti				107,100 822,000		
	Construction Cos	STIOIAL W	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					

Impact Fee Project Cost	Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	822,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	164,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				986,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	E-21
Name:	UNNAMED C (2) WILMETH RD TO 2,615' S OF		his project consists of the construction of a two-la	
Limits: Impact Fee Class:	WILMETH RD P6D	fa	cility to a six-lane dividenterial. Based on the exis	ed principal
Thoroughfare Class: Length (If): Service Area(s):	Principal Arterial 2,615 E, Half	Li	imits, the eastern half of not included in the Imp	the roadway

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	ction					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		14,528	су	\$ 15.00	\$	217,917
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		22,663	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	158,643
313	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		21,501	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	107,506
413	9" Concrete Pavement		21,501	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,290,067
513	4" Topsoil		11,913	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	47,651
	6" Curb & Gutter		10,460	If	\$ 5.00	\$	52,300
713	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median O		1,596	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	138,839
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	2,012,923
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		60,388
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	-	60,388
$\sqrt{}$	Roadway Drainage				25%		503,231
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing				\$	250,000
V	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		60,388
V	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		60,388
V	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%		40,258
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	120,775
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	ınce Subtotal:	\$	1,155,815
					nce Subtotal:		3,168,738
				lization:	5%	\$	158,437
			Site Prep		5%		158,437
					ost TOTAL:		3,486,000
			uction Conti			•	522,900 4,009,000
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						

Impact Fee Project Cost Summar	y .		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,009,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 801,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 801,800
Impact Fee	\$ 2,806,300		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.


City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update


Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area F

	Costing				Percent in	Cost in
#	Class	Project	Limits	Total Cost	Service Area	Service Area
		No	Thoroughfare Roadways within City Limits Currently Present within Service	e Area F		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.





City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area G

#	Costing Class	Project	Limits	T/	otal Cost	Percent in Service Area	Cost in rvice Area
G -1	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (1)	COIT RD TO 500' W OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY	\$	2,158,800	100%	\$ 2,158,800
G-2	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (2)	500' W OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY TO 325' E OF FORKHORN DR	\$	794,400	50%	\$ 397,200
G-3	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (3)	325' E OF FORKHORN DR TO 935' W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR	\$	913,196	50%	\$ 456,598
G-4	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (4)	935' W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR TO CUSTER RD	\$	1,661,863	100%	\$ 1,661,863
G-5	M6D(1/3)	VIRGINIA PKWY (5)	CUSTER RD TO 410' E OF DANBURY RD	\$	1,182,000	100%	\$ 1,182,000
G-6	M6D(1/6)	VIRGINIA PKWY (6)	410' E OF DANBURY RD TO VIRGINIA PARKLANDS BLVD	\$	392,400	100%	\$ 392,400
G-7	M6D(1/3)	WESTRIDGE BLVD (1)	COIT RD TO 1.635' E OF COIT RD	\$	745,200	50%	\$ 372,600
G-8	M6D(1/3)	WESTRIDGE BLVD (2)	1,635' E OF COIT RD TO 2,720' E OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY	\$	2,931,600	100%	\$ 2,931,600
G-9	M6D(1/3)	WESTRIDGE BLVD (3)	2,720' E OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY TO CUSTER RD	s	1,192,800	50%	\$ 596,400
H-1. G-10	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	S	5,202,000	50%	\$ 2,601,000
G-11	M6D(1/3)	COIT RD (1)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 2,610' S OF VIRGINIA PKWY	\$	3,681,600	50%	\$ 1,840,800
G-12	M6D(1/3)	COIT RD (2)	WESTRIDGE BLVD TO 2,595' S OF WESTRIDGE BLVD	\$	1,184,400	50%	\$ 592,200
G-13	M6D(1/3)	INDEPENDENCE PKWY (1)	2,380' S OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO 4,465' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	\$	951,600	100%	\$ 951,600
G-14	M6D(1/3)	INDEPENDENCE PKWY (2)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO 2,690' S OF WESTRIDGE BLVD	\$	3,666,000	100%	\$ 3,666,000
G-15	P6D	CUSTER RD (4)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO WESTRIDGE BLVD	\$	3,260,945	100%	\$ 3,260,945
G-16	P6D	CUSTER RD (5)	WESTRIDGE BLVD TO ELDORADO PKWY	\$	1,476,398	100%	\$ 1,476,398
G-17, I-8	G4D	RIDGE RD (8)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO CREEKSIDE DR	\$	550,813	50%	\$ 275,407
33		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR	\$	150,000	50%	\$ 75,000
34		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN	\$	300,000	50%	\$ 150,000
37		Signal	STONEBRIDGE DR & LACIMA DR	\$	300,000	100%	\$ 300,000
38		Signal	RIDGE RD & HABERSHAM WAY	\$	343,000	50%	\$ 171,500
43	_	Signal	INDEPENDENCE PKWY & VIRGINIA PKWY	\$	80,000	50%	\$ 40,000
44	tior	Under Construction	VIRGINIA PKWY & RIDGE RD	\$	390,341	50%	\$ 195,171
47	ntersection	Signal	INDEPENDENCE PKWY & WESTRIDGE BLVD	\$	300,000	100%	\$ 300,000
48	nteı	Under Construction	CUSTER RD & WESTRIDGE BLVD	\$	390,341	75%	\$ 292,756
49	_	Roundabout	STONEBRIDGE DR & GLEN OAKS DR	\$	1,950,000	100%	\$ 1,950,000
50		Roundabout	RIDGE RD & GLEN OAKS DR	\$	2,640,000	50%	\$ 1,320,000
55		Signal	STONEBRIDGE DR & ALMA DR	\$	300,000	100%	\$ 300,000
56		Signal	RIDGE RD & RUSH CREEK RD	\$	300,000	50%	\$ 150,000
57		Signal	RIDGE RD & BERKSHIRE RD	\$	300,000	50%	\$ 150,000
		-		\$	39,689,697		\$ 30,208,237

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	3-1
Name:	VIRGINIA PKWY (1)		This project consists of the	
Limits:	COIT RD TO 500' W OF INDEPENDED	NCE PKWY	construction of two additional	through
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		lanes within the existing media	an of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		ultimate six-lane divided major	r arterial.
Length (If):	4,735			
Service Area(s):	G			

NO	adway Construction Cost Pi	Ojection				
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		14,731	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 147,311
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<1:	2)	14,205	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 99,435
311	9" Concrete Pavement		13,153	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 789,167
411	4" Topsoil		4,209	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 10,522
511	6" Curb & Gutter		9,470	If	\$ 5.00	\$ 47,350
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Med	ian Openings	2,890	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 222,501
		P	aving Constr	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$ 1,316,286
Majo	or Construction Component Allow	vances**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$ 39,489
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 39,489
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 26,326
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 105,303
			Paving and	d Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 1,421,589
			Mobi	lization:	5%	\$ 71,079
		Site Prep	aration:	5%	\$ 71,079	
					ost TOTAL:	\$ 1,564,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$ 234,600
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 1,799,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,799,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 359,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 2,158,800

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. G-2
Name:	VIRGINIA PKWY (2) 500' W OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY		This project consists of the construction of two additional through lanes within
Limits:	TO 325' E OF FORKHORN DR		the existing median of the ultimate six-
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		lane divided major arterial. Based on the
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		existing City Limits, the northern half of
Length (If):	1,740		the roadway is not included in the
			Impact Fee RIP for the 510' western
			section while the southern half of the
			roadway is not included for the
			remaining 1,230' eastern section.
Service Area(s):	G, Half		

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	ction						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		5,413	су	\$	10.00	\$	54,133
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		5,220	sy	\$	7.00	\$	36,540
311	9" Concrete Pavement		4,833	sy	\$	60.00	\$	290,000
411	4" Topsoil		1,547	sy	\$	2.50	\$	3,867
511	6" Curb & Gutter		3,480	If	\$	5.00	\$	17,400
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median C		1,062	sy	\$	77.00	\$	81,764
		F	aving Consti	ruction (Cost S	ubtotal:	\$	483,704
Majo	or Construction Component Allowanc	es**:						
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$	14,511
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	14,511
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	9,674
	Illumination	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Other:		<u> </u>					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce S	ubtotal:	\$	38,696
			Paving an		nce S			522,400
4				lization:		5%		26,120
			Site Prep			5%	-	26,120
			Construc			OTAL:	\$	575,000
		Consti	ruction Conti	ngency:		15%	\$	86,250
Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:							\$	662,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sum	mary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 662,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 132,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	\$ 794,400		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. G-3
Name:	VIRGINIA PKWY (3) 325' E OF FORKHORN DR TO 935'		This project consists of the construction of two additional through lanes within
Limits: Impact Fee Class:	W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR M6D(1/3)		the existing median of the ultimate six- lane divided major arterial. Based on the
Thoroughfare Class: Length (If):	Major Arterial 1,465		existing City Limits, the northern half of the roadway is not included in the
Service Area(s):	G, Half		Impact Fee RIP.

Roa	adway Construction Cost Project	ction				
	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		4,558	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 45,578
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		4,395	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 30,765
311	9" Concrete Pavement		4,069	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 244,167
411	4" Topsoil		1,302	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 3,256
511	6" Curb & Gutter		2,930	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 14,650
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median C	penings	894	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 68,841
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$ 407,256
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	12,218
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	12,218
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 8,145
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 32,581
					nce Subtotal:	\$ 439,837
	Mobilization: 5%					\$ 21,992
			Site Prep			\$ 21,992
					ost TOTAL:	\$ 484,000
			ruction Conti			\$ 72,600
	Cor	nstruction Cos	st TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 557,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	557,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	111,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	244,796
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				913,196

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No.	G-4			
Name:	VIRGINIA PKWY (4)		This project consists of the				
	935' W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR TO		construction of two additional thi				
Limits:	CUSTER RD		lanes within the existing median of the				
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)	ultimate six-lane divided major arterial.					
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		The City contributed approx	•			
Length (If): 2,685			om '08-'12.				
Service Area(s):	G		The state of the s				

	adway Construction Cost P	rojection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		8,353	су	\$ 10.00	\$	83,533
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<1	2)	8,055	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	56,385
311	9" Concrete Pavement		7,458	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	447,500
411	4" Topsoil		2,387	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	5,967
511	6" Curb & Gutter		5,370	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	26,850
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Med	ian Openings	1,639	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	126,170
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	746,405
Majo	or Construction Component Allow	wances**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		22,392
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	22,392
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	14,928
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	59,712
			_		nce Subtotal:	\$	806,118
	Mobilization: 5%						40,306
			Site Prep			_	40,306
					ost TOTAL:		887,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	133,050
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	1,021,000

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,021,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 204,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ 436,663
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. G-5
Name:	VIRGINIA PKWY (5)		This project consists of the
Limits:	CUSTER RD TO 410' E OF DANBURY	/ RD	construction of two additional through
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		lanes within the existing median of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		ultimate six-lane divided major arterial.
Length (If):	2,590		
Service Area(s):	G		

Roa	idway Construction Cost Pr	ojection				
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		8,058	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 80,578
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12	2)	7,770	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 54,390
311	9" Concrete Pavement		7,194	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 431,667
411	4" Topsoil		2,302	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 5,756
511	6" Curb & Gutter		5,180	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 25,900
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	an Openings	1,581	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 121,706
		Р	aving Constr	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$ 719,996
Majo	or Construction Component Allow	rances**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$ 21,600
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 21,600
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 14,400
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 57,600
			_		nce Subtotal:	\$ 777,596
				lization:	5%	\$ 38,880
			Site Prep			\$ 38,880
					ost TOTAL:	\$ 856,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$ 128,400
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 985,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	985,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	197,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				1,182,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	G-6
Name:	VIRGINIA PKWY (6)		This project cons	sists of the
Limits:	410' E OF DANBURY RD TO	O VIRGINIA PARKLANDS BLV	Cconstruction of c	ne additional
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/6)		through lane witl	hin the existing
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		median of the ult	imate six-lane
Length (If):	2,050		divided major art	erial.
Service Area(s):	G			

No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
110	Unclassified Street Excavation		3,189	су	\$ 10.00	\$	31,889
210	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		3,075	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	21,525
310	9" Concrete Pavement	2,84		sy	\$ 60.00	\$	170,833
410	4" Topsoil		1,822	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	4,556
510	6" Curb & Gutter		2,050	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	10,250
610	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median C)penings	0	sy	\$ 77.00	65	-
		P	aving Constr	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	239,053
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand	es**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
V	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	7,172
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	7,172
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	4,781
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	19,124
			_		nce Subtotal:	\$	258,177
				lization:	0,70	\$	12,909
			Site Prep			\$	12,909
					ost TOTAL:	\$	284,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	42,600
	Coi	nstruction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	327,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary	1		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 327,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 65,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 392,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	G-7		
Name:	WESTRIDGE BLVD (1)	This project consists of the construction of two				
Limits:	COIT RD TO 1,635' E OF COIT RD	additional through lanes within the existing media				
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)	of the ultimate six-lane divided major arterial. Base				
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial	on the existing City Lin	•			
Length (If):	1,635	roadway is not include				
Service Area(s):	G, Half	,				

Roa	adway Construction Cost Pr	ojection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		5,087	су	\$ 10.00	\$	50,867
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12	2)	4,905	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	34,335
311	9" Concrete Pavement		4,542	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	272,500
411	4" Topsoil		1,453	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	3,633
511	6" Curb & Gutter		3,270	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	16,350
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Medi	an Openings	998	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	76,830
		Р	aving Constr	uction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	454,515
Majo	or Construction Component Allow						
L.,	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	_	Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control	Ì	3%	\$	13,635
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	13,635
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
l .	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	9,090
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	36,361
			_		nce Subtotal:		490,876
				lization:	5%	\$	24,544
			Site Prep			\$	24,544
					ost TOTAL:	\$	540,000
			uction Conti			-	81,000
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	621,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	у		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 621,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 124,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	\$ 745,200		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

411 4" Topsoil

511 6" Curb & Gutter

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

611 Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. G-8
Name:	WESTRIDGE BLVD (2)		This project consists of the
	1,635' E OF COIT RD TO 2,720' E		construction of two additional through
Limits:	OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY		lanes within the existing median of the
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		ultimate six-lane divided major arterial.
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		
Length (If):	6,430		

Service Area(s): G **Roadway Construction Cost Projection** No. Item Description Unit **Unit Price** Quantity **Item Cost** 111 Unclassified Street Excavation 20,004 \$ 10.00 \$ 200,044 су 211 Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12) 19,290 sy \$ 7.00 \$ 135,030 311 9" Concrete Pavement 1,071,667

17,861

5,716

12,860

3,924

sy

sy

lf

sy

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 1,787,480

60.00

2.50

5.00

77.00

\$

\$

\$

\$

14,289

64,300

302,150

\$

\$

\$

\$

				·				
Major Construction Component Allowances**:								
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost			
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	53,624			
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	53,624			
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-			
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	35,750			
	Illumination	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Other:							
**Allo	owances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal	nce Subtotal:	\$	142,998			
		Paving and Allowa		\$	1,930,479			
		Mobilization: Site Preparation:			96,524			
	_	96,524						
	\$	2,124,000						
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	318,600			
	Co	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	2,443,000			

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,443,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 488,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. G-9
Name:	WESTRIDGE BLVD (3)		This project consists of the construction
	2,720' E OF INDEPENDENCE		of one additional through lane within the
Limits:	PKWY TO CUSTER RD		existing median of the ultimate six-lane
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		divided major arterial. Based on the
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		existing City Limits, the southern half of
Length (If):	2,615		the roadway is not included in the
Service Area(s):	G, Half		Impact Fee RIP.

	adway Construction Cost Pro	ojection				
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		8,136	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 81,356
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	7,845	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 54,915
311	9" Concrete Pavement		7,264	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 435,833
411	4" Topsoil		2,324	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 5,811
511	6" Curb & Gutter		5,230	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 26,150
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	an Openings	1,596	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 122,881
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$ 726,946
Majo	or Construction Component Allow	ances**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$ 21,808
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 21,808
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 14,539
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction (Cost Subtotal		Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$ 58,156
			Paving an	d Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$ 785,101
			Mobi	lization:	5%	\$ 39,255
			Site Prep	aration:	5%	\$ 39,255
			Construc	ction C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 864,000
		Consti	ruction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$ 129,600
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 994,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 994,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 198,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	\$ 1,192,800		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	H-1, G-10				
Name:	ELDORADO PKWY (1)	This project consists o	f the construc	tion of two				
Limits:	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	additional through lanes within the existing median						
Impact Fee Class:	G6D(1/3)	of the ultimate six-lane	divided green	nway arterial.				
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial							

Length (If): 10,830 Service Area(s): G and H

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection						
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost
117	Unclassified Street Excavation	31,287	су	\$	10.00	\$	312,867
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	30,083	sy	\$	7.00	\$	210,583
317	9" Concrete Pavement	27,677	sy	\$	60.00	\$	1,660,600
417	4" Topsoil	28,880	sy	\$	2.50	\$	72,200
517	6" Curb & Gutter	21,660	lf	\$	5.00	\$	108,300
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	7,484	sy	\$	77.00	65	576,296
	Paying Construction Cost Subtotal:						2.940.846

Majo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost			
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	88,225			
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	88,225			
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge Widening		\$	250,000			
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	58,817			
	Illumination	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-			
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal	ance Subtotal:	\$	485,268			
		Paving and Allowa		\$	3,426,114			
		Mobilization	0,1	\$	171,306			
	Site Preparation: 5%							
4	Construction Cost TOTAL:							
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	565,350			
	Con	struction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	4.335.000			

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,335,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 867,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	\$ 5,202,000		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. G-11
Name:	COIT RD (1)		This project consists of the construction
	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 2,610' S OF		of two additional through lanes within
Limits:	VIRGINIA PKWY		the existing median of the ultimate six-
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		lane divided major arterial. Based on the
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		existing City Limits, the western half of
Length (If):	8,075		the roadway is not included in the
Service Area(s):	G, Half		Impact Fee RIP.

Roa	adway Construction Cost Proj	ection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		25,122	су	\$ 10.00	\$	251,222
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		24,225	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	169,575
311	9" Concrete Pavement		22,431	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,345,833
411	4" Topsoil		7,178	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	17,944
511	6" Curb & Gutter		16,150	If	\$ 5.00	\$	80,750
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	Openings	4,928	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	379,450
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	2,244,775
Majo							
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	67,343
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	67,343
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	44,896
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Co	st Subtotal		Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	179,582
			<u> </u>				
			_		nce Subtotal:	\$	2,424,357
				lization:	5%	\$	121,218
			Site Prep			\$	121,218
					ost TOTAL:	\$	2,667,000
			ruction Conti				400,050
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						3,068,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,068,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 613,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 3,681,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. G-12
Name:	COIT RD (2)		This project consists of the construction
	WESTRIDGE BLVD TO 2,595' S OF		of two additional through lanes within
Limits:	WESTRIDGE BLVD		the existing median of the ultimate six-
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		lane divided major arterial. Based on the
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		existing City Limits, the western half of
Length (If):	2,595		the roadway is not included in the
Service Area(s):	G, Half		Impact Fee RIP.

Ros	adway Construction Cost Projec	ction				
No.	Item Description	Stion	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		8,073	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 80,733
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		7,785	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 54,495
311	9" Concrete Pavement		7,208	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 432,500
411	4" Topsoil		2,307	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 5,767
511	6" Curb & Gutter		5,190	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 25,950
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median O	penings	1,584	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 121,941
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$ 721,386
			J			ŕ
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	es**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$ 21,642
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 21,642
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 14,428
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 57,711
			Paving an	d Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 779,097
			Mobi	lization:	5%	\$ 38,955
			Site Prep	aration:	5%	\$ 38,955
			Construc	ction C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 858,000
		Const	ruction Conti			\$ 128,700
	Cor	nstruction Cos	st TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 987,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	nary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 987,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 197,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	Impact Fee Project	Cost TOTAL:	\$ 1,184,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	G-13
Name:	INDEPENDENCE PKWY (1)	Т	his project consists of th	ie
	2,380' S OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO	С	onstruction of two additi	onal through
Limits:	4,465' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	la	anes within the existing r	nedian of the
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		Itimate six-lane divided r	
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial	_		,

Length (If): 2,085 Service Area(s): G

Roa	adway Construction Cost Pr	ojection				
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		6,487	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 64,867
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12	2)	6,255	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 43,785
311	9" Concrete Pavement		5,792	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 347,500
411	4" Topsoil		1,853	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 4,633
511	6" Curb & Gutter		4,170	If	\$ 5.00	\$ 20,850
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Medi	an Openings	1,272	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 97,976
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$ 579,611
			_			
Majo	or Construction Component Allov	vances**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$ 17,388
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 17,388
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 11,592
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 46,369
			Paving and	d Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 625,980
			Mobi	lization:	5%	\$ 31,299
			Site Prep	aration:	5%	\$ 31,299
			Construc	ction C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 689,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$ 103,350
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 793,000

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	tem Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 793,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 158,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	G-14
Name:	INDEPENDENCE PKWY (2)		This project cons	ists of the
Limits:	VIRGINIA PKWY TO 2,690' S OF W	ESTRIDGE BLVD	construction of tw	vo additional
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		through lanes wit	hin the
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		existing median o	f the ultimate
Length (If):	8,040		six-lane divided m	
Service Area(s):	G			,

	Item Description	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		25,013	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 250,133
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	24,120	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 168,840
311	9" Concrete Pavement	,	22,333	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 1,340,000
411	4" Topsoil		7,147	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 17,867
511	6" Curb & Gutter		16,080	If	\$ 5.00	\$ 80,400
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	n Openings	4,907	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 377,806
	Paving Construction Cost Subtotal:					\$ 2,235,046
Majo	r Construction Component Allow	ances**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$ 67,051
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 67,051
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 44,701
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 178,804
			Paving and	d Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 2,413,849
			Mobi	lization:	5%	\$ 120,692
			Site Prep	aration:	5%	\$ 120,692
					ost TOTAL:	2,656,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$ 398,400
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 3,055,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary					
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost	
Construction:		-	\$	3,055,000	
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	611,000	
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-	
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-	
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-	
	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Project Information:

Impact Fee Class:

Service Area(s):

Name:

Limits:

Length (If):

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

P₆D

G

10,720

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

CUSTER RD (4)

US HIGHWAY 380 TO WESTRIDGE BLVD

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Description:	Project No.	G-15
	This completed project c	onsists of the
BLVD	construction of a six-lane	e divided
	principal arterial. The Cit	y contributed
	approximately \$2,653,673	of eligible
	funds from '08-'12. This p	roject was
	also part of Custer Road	project from
	Stonebridge to US 380 w	hich had a total
	City contribution of appr	oximately
	\$1,029,274 from '12-'19. T	his projected
	accounted for \$607,272 o	f eligible

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			^	
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution				\$ 2,653,673
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$ 607,272
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 3,260,945	

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. G-16
Name:	CUSTER RD (5)		This completed project consists of the
Limits:	WESTRIDGE BLVD TO ELDORADO	PKWY	construction of a six-lane divided
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		principal arterial. The City contributed
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		approximately \$1,167,616 of eligible
Length (If):	5,380		funds from '08-'12. This project was
			also part of Custer Road project from
			Stonebridge to US 380 which had a total
			City contribution of approximately
			\$1,029,274 from '12-'19. This projected
Service Area(s):	G		accounted for \$308,782 of eligible

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution				\$ 1,167,616
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$ 308,782
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 1,476,398	

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.




2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n: r	Description:	Project No. G-17, I-8
Name:	RIDGE RD (8)		This completed project consists of the
Limits:	US HIGHWAY 380 TO CREEKSIDE DI	₹	construction of a four-lane divided
Impact Fee Class:	G4D		greenway arterial. The City contributed
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		approximately \$550,813 of eligible funds
Length (If):	6,875		from '08-'12.
Service Area(s):	G and I		

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ 550,813
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 550,813

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.





City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area H

	Costing					Percent in		Cost in
#	Class	Project	Limits	7	Total Cost	Service Area	Se	rvice Area
H-1, G-10	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	\$	5,202,000	50%	\$	2,601,000
H-2	M4D(1/2)	SILVERADO TRL (1)	CUSTER RD TO BURNETT DR	\$	2,746,293	100%	\$	2,746,293
H-3	M4D	SILVERADO TRL (2)	ALMA DR TO ALFALFA DR	\$	141,088	100%	\$	141,088
H-4	P6D(1/3)	STACY RD (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	\$	4,479,991	100%	\$	4,479,991
H-5	P6D	CUSTER RD (6)	ELDORADO PKWY TO STONEBRIDGE DR	\$	622,725	100%	\$	622,725
H-6	G4D	ALMA DR (1)	805' S OF BEAVER CREEK DR TO SILVERADO TRL	\$	239,850	100%	\$	239,850
H-7	M6D(1/3)	ALMA DR (2)	SILVERADO TRL TO STACY RD	\$	1,522,102	100%	\$	1,522,102
H-8, I-9	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (9)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY TO STACY RD	\$	1,662,000	50%	\$	831,000
H-9	M6D(1/3)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (1)	RIDGE RD TO STACY RD	\$	1,857,600	100%	\$	1,857,600
65		Signal	CUSTER RD & SILVERADO TRL	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
66	on	Signal	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY & SILVERADO TRL	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
71	Intersection	Signal	STACY RD & MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
75	ers	Signal	CUSTER RD & PARADISE DR	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
76	重	Signal	STACY RD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
77		Signal	ALMA DR & HENNEMAN WAY	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
	•			¢	20.273.649	•	\$	16.391.649

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	H-1, G-10
Name:	ELDORADO PKWY (1)	This project consi	ists of the constru	ction of two
Limits:	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	additional through	h lanes within the	existing median
Impact Fee Class:	G6D(1/3)	of the ultimate six	-lane divided gree	nway arterial.
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial			

Length (If): 10,830
Service Area(s): G and H

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection										
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Unit Price		Unit Price			Item Cost
117	Unclassified Street Excavation	31,287	су	\$	10.00	\$	312,867				
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	30,083	sy	\$	7.00	\$	210,583				
317	9" Concrete Pavement	27,677	sy	\$	60.00	\$	1,660,600				
417	4" Topsoil	28,880	sy	\$	2.50	\$	72,200				
517	6" Curb & Gutter	21,660	If	\$	5.00	\$	108,300				
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	7,484	sy	\$	77.00	\$	576,296				
	Paving Construction Cost Subtotal:										

Majo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:								
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost				
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	88,225				
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	88,225				
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge Widening		\$	250,000				
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	58,817				
	Illumination	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Other:								
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	485,268				
				_					
		Paving and Allowa			3,426,114				
		Mobilization:		*	171,306				
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$	171,306				
	Construction Cost TOTAL:								
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	565,350				
	Cor	struction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	4,335,000				

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,335,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 867,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 5,202,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No.	H-2		
Name:	SILVERADO TRL (1)	This project consists	of the constructi	on of two		
Limits:	CUSTER RD TO BURNETT DR	additional lanes of the ultimate four-lane divided				
Impact Fee Class:	M4D(1/2)	minor arterial. The Cit	y contributed ap	proximately		
Thoroughfare Class:	Minor Arterial	\$224,893 of eligible fu	•	•		
Length (If):	1,930	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
Service Area(s)	Н					

No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
106	Unclassified Street Excavation		5,576	су	\$	10.00	\$ 55,756
206	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		5,361	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 37,528
306	8" Concrete Pavement		4,932	sy	\$	55.00	\$ 271,272
406	4" Topsoil		2,037	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 5,093
506	506 6" Curb & Gutter 3,860 If			\$	5.00	\$ 19,300	
606	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median C	Openings	567	sy	\$	72.00	\$ 40,793
		P	aving Constr	uction C	Cost Su	ıbtotal:	\$ 429,742
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand	es**:	_			-	
	Item Description	Notes			Allov	wance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$ 12,892
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$ 12,892
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem			25%	\$ 107,435
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge					\$ 800,000
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$ 12,892
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			3%	\$ 12,892
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$ 8,595
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$ 25,785
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Su	ıbtotal:	\$ 993,384
			Paving and	d Allowa	nce Su	ıbtotal:	\$ 1,423,126
			Mobi	lization:		5%	\$ 71,156
	Site Preparation: 5%					\$ 71,156	
			Construc				\$ 1,566,000
4			uction Conti			15%	\$ 234,900
	Co	nstruction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGE	ENCY:	\$ 1,801,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,801,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 360,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ 224,893
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 360,200
	Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 2,746,293

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	H-3		
Name:	SILVERADO TRL (2)	This completed pr	oject consists of the	construction		
Limits:	ALMA DR TO ALFALFA DR	of a four-lane divided minor arterial. This project				
Impact Fee Class:	M4D	was part of the Alma Rd and Silverado Trail proje				
Thoroughfare Class:	Minor Arterial	which had a total (City contribution of	approximately		
Length (If):	1,170	\$705,400 from '08-'12. This project accounted for				
Service Area(s):	Н	\$141,088 of eligible				

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary					
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance	Iten	n Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution				\$	141,088
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$	-
	Impa	ct Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$	141,088

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	H-4		
Name:	STACY RD (1)	This project consi	sts of the construct	on of two		
Limits:	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	additional through	n lanes within the ex	isting median		
Impact Fee Class:	P6D(1/3)	of the ultimate six-lane divided principal arteri				
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		rently under design			
Length (If):	10,715	provided a cost estimate of \$4,479,991.				
Service Area(s):	Н	P • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	4,479,991
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				4,479,991

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	H-5
Name:	CUSTER RD (6)		This completed project co	nsists of the
Limits:	ELDORADO PKWY TO STONEBRIDG	E DR	construction of a six-lane	divided
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		principal arterial. The City	contributed
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		approximately \$509,505 of	eligible funds
Length (If):	2,040		from '08-'12. This project v	vas also part
Service Area(s):	Н		of Custer Road project fro	•
			Stonebridge to US 380 wh	ich had a total
			City contribution of appro	ximately
			\$1,029,274 from '12-'19. Th	nis projected
			accounted for \$113,220 of	aligible

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary					
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance		Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution				\$	509,505
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$	113,220
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:					622,725

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	1: Description	n: Project No. H-6	
Name:	ALMA DR (1)	This completed project consists of	the
Limits:	805' S OF BEAVER CREEK DR TO SILVERADO	TRL construction of a four-lane divided	
Impact Fee Class:	G4D	greenway arterial. This project was	
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial	of the Alma Rd and Silverado Trail	part
Length (If):	1,960		
Service Area(s):	Н	project which had a total City	
()		contribution of approximately \$705	
		from '08-'12. This project accounted	d for
		\$239,850 of eligible funds.	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution				\$ 239,850
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$ -
		Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 239,850

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	H-7
Name:	ALMA DR (2)	This project consists of	f the construction of t	two additional
Limits:	SILVERADO TRL TO STACY RD	through lanes within th	ne existing median of	the ultimate
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)	six-lane divided major a	arterial. This project v	was part of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial	Alma Rd and Silverado		•
Length (If):	2,625	contribution of approxi	• •	•
Service Area(s):	Н	project accounted for \$		

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		8,167	су	\$ 10.00	\$	81,667
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		7,875	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	55,125
311	9" Concrete Pavement		7,292	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	437,500
411	4" Topsoil		2,333	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	5,833
511	6" Curb & Gutter		5,250	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	26,250
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	penings	1,602	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	123,351
			Paving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	729,726
Maio	or Construction Component Allowance	·s**•					
maje	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	П	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	21,892
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	21,892
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated		· ·	0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated			·	\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	14,595
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	58,378
			Paving and	d Δllowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	788,104
							39,405
							39,405
							867,000
		(Construction Conti				130,050
			n Cost TOTAL W			\$	998,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 998,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 199,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ 324,502
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 1,522,102

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

._...

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. H-8, I-9
Name:	RIDGE RD (9)		This project consists of the
Limits:	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY TO STACY	Y RD	construction of two additional through
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		lanes within the existing median of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		ultimate six-lane divided major arterial.
Length (If):	3,645		
Service Area(s):	H and I		

No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		11,340	су	\$ 10.00	\$	113,400
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		10,935	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	76,545
311	9" Concrete Pavement		10,125	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	607,500
411	4" Topsoil		3,240	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	8,100
511	6" Curb & Gutter		7,290	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	36,450
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median O	penings	2,224	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	171,281
		P	aving Constr	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,013,276
Majo	or Construction Component Allowanc						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		30,398
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	30,398
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%		20,266
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	81,062
			_		nce Subtotal:		1,094,338
				lization:			54,717
						-	54,717
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						1,204,000
			uction Conti			_	180,600
	Cor	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	1,385,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,385,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 277,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 1,662,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	H-9		
Name:	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (1)	This project consists of	of the construct	on of two		
Limits:	RIDGE RD TO STACY RD	additional through lanes within the existing median				
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)	of the ultimate six-lane	e divided major	arterial.		
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial					


Length (If): 4,075 Service Area(s): H

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation	12,678	су	\$	10.00	\$ 126,778
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	12,225	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 85,575
311	9" Concrete Pavement	11,319	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 679,167
411	4" Topsoil	3,622	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 9,056
511	6" Curb & Gutter	8,150	If	\$	5.00	\$ 40,750
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	2,487	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 191,487
	F	aving Const	ruction C	Cost Su	ıbtotal:	\$ 1,132,812
Majo	or Construction Component Allowances**:					

Major Construction Component Allowances**:									
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost				
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	33,984				
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	33,984				
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-				
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	22,656				
	Illumination	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Other:								
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal	nce Subtotal:	\$	90,625				
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,223,437				
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	61,172				
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$	61,172				
	Construction Cost TOTAL:								
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	201,900				
	Con	struction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	1,548,000				

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	nary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,548,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 309,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
	\$ 1,857,600		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area I

	Costing					Percent in		Cost in
#	Class	Project	Limits	Tota	l Cost	Service Area	S	ervice Area
I-1	M6D	VIRGINIA PKWY (7)	1035' E OF RIDGE RD TO 1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD	\$	2,567,378	100%	\$	2,567,378
I-2	M6D	VIRGINIA PKWY (8)	1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO HARDIN BLVD	\$	531,979	100%	\$	531,979
I-3	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (2)	RIDGE RD TO HARDIN BLVD	\$	4,857,600	100%	\$	4,857,600
I-4	M6D(1/3)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (2)	RIDGE RD TO HARDIN BLVD	\$	4,338,000	100%	\$	4,338,000
I-5	G4D	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (2)	LAKE FOREST DR TO COTTONWOOD CREEK	\$	1,777,105	100%	\$	1,777,105
I-6	G4D(1/2)	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (3)	COTTONWOOD CREEK TO 1110' E OF TINA DR	\$	3,221,002	100%	\$	3,221,002
I-7	G4D	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (4)	1110' E OF TINA TO HARDIN BLVD	\$	1,101,893	100%	\$	1,101,893
G-17, I-8	G4D	RIDGE RD (8)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO CREEKSIDE DR	\$	550,813	50%	\$	275,407
H-8, I-9	M6D(1/3)	RIDGE RD (9)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY TO STACY RD	\$	1,662,000	50%	\$	831,000
I-10, J-6	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (11)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO VIRGINIA PKWY	\$ 1	3,584,097	50%	\$	6,792,049
I-11, J-7	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (12)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	\$	6,208,800	50%	\$	3,104,400
35		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
36		Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD	\$	780,682	25%	\$	195,171
38		Signal	RIDGE RD & HABERSHAM WAY	\$	343,000	50%	\$	171,500
44		Under Construction	VIRGINIA PKWY & RIDGE RD	\$	390,341	50%	\$	195,171
45		Signal	VIRGINIA PKWY & JOPLIN DR	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
46		Signal	VIRGINIA PKWY & VILLAGE DR	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
50	on	Roundabout	RIDGE RD & GLEN OAKS DR	\$	2,640,000	50%	\$	1,320,000
51	Intersection	Roundabout	LAKE FOREST DR & GLEN OAKS DR	\$	1,900,000	100%	\$	1,900,000
56	ers	Signal	RIDGE RD & RUSH CREEK RD	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
57	<u>I</u>	Signal	RIDGE RD & BERKSHIRE RD	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
58		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & MAVERICK TRL	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
59		Signal	ELDORADO PKWY & WOODSON DR	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
60		Signal	ELDORADO PKWY & HIGHLANDS DR	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
72		Signal	LAKE FOREST DR & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
73		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
76		Signal	STACY RD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
		-		\$ 4	9,754,690		\$	35,579,653

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n: De	escription:	Project No.	I-1	
Name:	VIRGINIA PKWY (7)		This completed pr	oject	
Limits: 1035' E OF RIDGE RD TO 1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD			consists of the co	nstruction of	
Impact Fee Class:	M6D		a six-lane divided	major arterial.	
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		The City contribut	ed	
Length (If):	7,565		approximately \$2,567,378		
Service Area(s):	1		elaible funds from		

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution	·			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$ 2,567,378
	Impact F	ee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 2,567,378

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



Project Information:

Impact Fee Class:

Service Area(s):

Thoroughfare Class:

Name:

Limits:

Length (If):

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

M6D

1,380

Major Arterial

VIRGINIA PKWY (8)

1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO HARDIN BLVD

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Description:	Project No.	I-2
	This completed project co	nsists of the
DIN BLVD	construction of a six-lane	divided major
	arterial. This project was	part of the
	Virginia Pkwy project from	n Bellegrove
	to US 75 which had a tota	l City
	contribution of approxima	ately
	\$3,799,852 from '12-'19. TI	his projected
	accounted for \$531,979 of	eligible funds
	and is currently under co	nstruction.

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution				\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$ 531,979
		Impact Fee Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 531,979

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	I-3		
Name:	ELDORADO PKWY (2)	This project consists of the construction of two				
Limits:	RIDGE RD TO HARDIN BLVD	HARDIN BLVD additional through lanes within the existing med				
Impact Fee Class:	G6D(1/3)	of the ultimate six-lane divided greenway arterial.				
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial					
Length (If):	10,910					
Service Area(s):	I					

Roa	adway Construction Cost Pro	ection						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost
117	Unclassified Street Excavation		31,518	су	\$	10.00	\$	315,178
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		30,306	sy	\$	7.00	\$	212,139
317	9" Concrete Pavement		27,881	sy	\$	60.00	\$	1,672,867
417	4" Topsoil		29,093	sy	\$	2.50	\$	72,733
517	6" Curb & Gutter		21,820	lf	\$	5.00	\$	109,100
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	Openings	7,540	sy	\$	77.00	\$	580,553
		F	Paving Consti	ruction (Cost Su	ubtotal:	\$	2,962,570
Majo	or Construction Component Allowa	nces**:						
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$	88,877
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	88,877
	Poodway Prainage	None Authorited				00/	Ф	

	Item Description	Notes	Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$ 88,877
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$ 88,877
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$ 59,251
	Illumination	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Other:			
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost \$	Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 237,006
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 3,199,576
		Mobilization:	5%	\$ 159,979
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$ 159,979
		Construction C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 3,520,000
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$ 528,000
	Con	struction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 4,048,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,048,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 809,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 4,857,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	I-4
Name:	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (2)	This project consis	sts of the constructi	on of two
Limits:	RIDGE RD TO HARDIN BLVD	additional through	lanes within the ex	isting median
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)	of the ultimate six-	lane divided major a	arterial.
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial			
Length (If):	9,515			
Service Area(s):				

No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Uni	t Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		29,602	су	\$	10.00	\$	296,022
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		28,545	sy	\$	7.00	\$	199,815
311	9" Concrete Pavement		26,431	sy	\$	60.00	\$	1,585,833
411	4" Topsoil		8,458	sy	\$	2.50	\$	21,144
	6" Curb & Gutter		19,030	lf	\$	5.00	\$	95,150
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	Openings	5,807	sy	\$	77.00	\$	447,117
		Р	aving Consti	ruction C	Cost S	ubtotal:	\$	2,645,082
Majo	or Construction Component Allowan	ces**:						
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$	79,352
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	79,352
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	52,902
	Illumination	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cos	t Subtotal		Allowa	nce S	ubtotal:	\$	211,607
			Paving and		nce S			2,856,688
			Mobi	lization:		5%	\$	142,834
Site Preparation: 5%						-	142,834	
Construction Cost TOTAL:							65	3,143,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:		15%	\$	471,450
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						\$	3,615,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,615,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 723,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 4,338,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	I-5
Name:	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (2)		This project consists of the	
Limits:	LAKE FOREST DR TO COTTONWO	OD CREEK	construction of a four-lane of	divided
Impact Fee Class:	G4D		greenway arterial. This proje	ect is part of
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		the Collin McKinney Pkwy p	roject from
Length (If):	1,615		Lake Forest to Hardin which	the City
			provided an anticipated con	struction
			cost of approximately \$6,100	0,000 from
			'12-'19. This projected accou	inted for
Service Area(s):	I		\$1,777,105 of eligible funds.	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary					
Item Description	Notes:			Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution					\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution					\$ 1,777,105
		Impact Fee F	Project C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 1,777,105

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. I-6
Name:	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (3)		This project consists of the
Limits:	COTTONWOOD CREEK TO 1110' E	OF TINA DR	construction of two additional lanes of
Impact Fee Class:	G4D(1/2)		the ultimate four-lane divided greenway
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		arterial. This project is part of the Collin
Length (If):	2,930		McKinney Pkwy project from Lake
			Forest to Hardin which the City
			provided an anticipated construction
			cost of approximately \$6,100,000 from
			'12-'19. This projected accounted for
Service Area(s):	I		\$3,221,002 of eligible funds.

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary					
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance		Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution				\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$	3,221,002
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:					3,221,002

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No.	I-7	
Name:	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (4)		This project consists of the		
Limits:	1110' E OF TINA TO HARDIN BLVD		construction of a four-lane of	divided	
Impact Fee Class:	G4D		greenway arterial. This project is part		
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		the Collin McKinney Pkwy p	roject from	
Length (If):	1,000		Lake Forest to Hardin which	the City	
			provided an anticipated con	struction	
			cost of approximately \$6,100	0,000 from	
			'12-'19. This projected accou	inted for	
Service Area(s):	I		\$1,101,893 of eligible funds.		

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution				\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$ 1,101,893
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				\$ 1,101,893

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. G-17, I-8
Name:	RIDGE RD (8)		This completed project consists of the
Limits:	US HIGHWAY 380 TO CREEKSIDE D	R	construction of a four-lane divided
Impact Fee Class:	G4D		greenway arterial. The City contributed
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		approximately \$550,813 of eligible funds
Length (If):	6,875		from '08-'12.
Service Area(s):	G and I		

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ 550,813
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
	\$ 550,813		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. H-8, I-9
Name:	RIDGE RD (9)		This project consists of the
Limits:	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY TO STACY	Y RD	construction of two additional through
Impact Fee Class:	M6D(1/3)		lanes within the existing median of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		ultimate six-lane divided major arterial.
Length (If):	3,645		
Service Area(s):	H and I		

	Item Description	•	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
111	Unclassified Street Excavation		11,340	су	\$ 10.00	\$	113,400
211	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		10,935	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	76,545
311	9" Concrete Pavement		10,125	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	607,500
411	4" Topsoil		3,240	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	8,100
511	6" Curb & Gutter		7,290	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	36,450
611	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	n Openings	2,224	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	171,281
		Р	aving Constr	ruction C	ost Subtotal:	\$	1,013,276
Maio	or Construction Component Allowa	ances**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	П	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	30,398
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	30,398
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	20,266
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction C	ost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	81,062
			Paving and	d Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,094,338
			_	lization:	5%		54,717
							54,717
Construction Cost TOTAL:						_	1,204,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	180,600
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						1,385,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,385,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 277,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 1,662,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. I-10, J-6
Name:	HARDIN BLVD (11)		This project consists of the
Limits:	US HIGHWAY 380 TO VIRGINIA PKV	٧Y	construction of two additional through
Impact Fee Class:	G6D(1/3)		lanes within the existing median of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		ultimate six-lane divided greenway
Length (If):	8,340		arterial. The City contributed
			approximately \$8,352,097 of elgible
Service Area(s):	I and J		funds from '08-'12.

Roa	adway Construction Cost P	rojection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
117	Unclassified Street Excavation		24,093	су	\$ 10.00	\$	240,933
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<1	2)	23,167	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	162,167
317	9" Concrete Pavement		21,313	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,278,800
417	4" Topsoil		22,240	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	55,600
517	6" Curb & Gutter		16,680	If	\$ 5.00	\$	83,400
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Med	ian Openings	5,764	sy	\$ 77.00	65	443,796
		P	aving Consti	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	2,264,696
Majo	or Construction Component Allow	wances**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	67,941
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	67,941
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge				\$	1,000,000
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	45,294
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	n Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,181,176
							3,445,872
					\$	172,294	
					\$	172,294	
Construction Cost TOTAL:					\$	3,791,000	
			uction Conti			\$	568,650
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	4,360,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	4,360,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	872,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	8,352,097
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				13,584,097

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informa	tion:	Description:	Project No.	I-11, J-7
Name:	HARDIN BLVD (12)	This	project consists of	the

Limits: VIRGINIA PKWY TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY

Impact Fee Class: G6D(1/3)

Thoroughfare Class: Greenway Arterial

Length (If): 13,945

This project consists of the

construction of two additional through lanes within the existing median of the ultimate six-lane divided greenway


arterial.

Service Area(s): I and J

Roa	adway Construction Cost Pro	ojection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
117	Unclassified Street Excavation		40,286	су	\$ 10.00	\$	402,856
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		38,736	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	271,153
317	9" Concrete Pavement		35,637	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	2,138,233
417	4" Topsoil		37,187	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	92,967
517	6" Curb & Gutter		27,890	If	\$ 5.00	\$	139,450
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	n Openings	9,637	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	742,055
		P	aving Consti	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	3,786,713
Majo	or Construction Component Allow	ances**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		113,601
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	113,601
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%		75,734
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction (Cost Subtotal	· ·	Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	302,937
					nce Subtotal:		4,089,650
				lization:			204,483
Site Preparation: 5%						_	204,483
Construction Cost TOTAL:						_	4,499,000
			uction Conti				674,850
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						5,174,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	nary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	5,174,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	1,034,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				6,208,800

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area J

	Costing					Percent in		Cost in
#	Class	Project	Limits	Total Cost		Service Area	Se	rvice Area
J-1	M4D	WHITE AVE (1)	HARDIN BLVD TO BOIS D'ARC RD	\$	981,328	100%	\$	981,328
J-2	M4D	WHITE AVE (2)	BOIS D'ARC RD TO COMMUNITY AVE	\$	213,991	100%	\$	213,991
J-3	M6D	VIRGINIA PKWY (9)	HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75	\$	3,267,873	100%	\$	3,267,873
J-4	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (3)	710' E OF US HIGHWAY 75 TO 1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY	\$	4,047,600	100%	\$	4,047,600
J-5	M3U	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (5)	2,100' E OF HARDIN BLVD TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	\$	1,953,000	100%	\$	1,953,000
I-10, J-6	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (11)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO VIRGINIA PKWY	\$	13,584,097	50%	\$	6,792,049
I-11, J-7	G6D(1/3)	HARDIN BLVD (12)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	\$	6,208,800	50%	\$	3,104,400
J-8	M4D	MEDICAL CENTER DR	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 1,685' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	\$	2,240,000	100%	\$	2,240,000
J-9, K-7	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)	640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO STATE HIGHWAY 121	\$	4,647,300	50%	\$	2,323,650
J-10, K-8	M4D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	\$	2,223,200	50%	\$	1,111,600
J-11	M4D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (6)	3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 4,520' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	\$	627,900	50%	\$	313,950
36		Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD	\$	780,682	25%	\$	195,171
39		Signal	WHITE AVE & JORDAN RD	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
58	_	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & MAVERICK TRL	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
61	tion	Intersection Improvements	ELDORADO PKWY & CRAIG DR	\$	150,000	100%	\$	150,000
62	rsec	Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & STEWART RD	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
67	Intersection	Signal	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
68	_	Signal	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY & CRAIG DR	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
69		Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & ENTERPRISE DR	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
73		Signal	HARDIN BLVD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
				\$	43,025,771		\$	28,194,611

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	J-1		
Name:	WHITE AVE (1)	This completed project consists of the construction				
Limits:	HARDIN BLVD TO BOIS D'ARC RD	of a four-lane divided minor arterial. The City				
Impact Fee Class:	M4D	contributed approximately \$981,327 of elgible full				
Thoroughfare Class:	Minor Arterial	from '08-'12.				
Length (If):	905					
Service Area(s):	J					

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ 981,328
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
	\$ 981,328		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No. J-2
Name:	WHITE AVE (2)		This completed project consists of the
Limits: BOIS D'ARC RD TO COMMUNITY		/E	construction of a four-lane divided
Impact Fee Class:	M4D		minor arterial. The City contributed
Thoroughfare Class:	Minor Arterial		approximately \$231,991 of elgible funds
Length (If): 4,930			from '08-'12
Service Area(s).	1		

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:		Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution				\$ 213,991
2012 - 2019 City contribution				\$ -
	Impact Fee Pro	oject C	ost TOTAL:	\$ 213,991

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	J-3
Name:	VIRGINIA PKWY (9)	This completed proje	ct consists of the	construction
Limits:	HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75	of a six-lane divided r	major arterial. Th	is project was
Impact Fee Class:	M6D	part of the Virginia Pl	wy project from	Bellegrove to
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial	US 75 which had a to	tal City contribut	ion of
Length (If):	8,470	approximately \$3,799		
		projected accounted	for \$3,267,873 of	eligible funds
Service Area(s):	J	and is currently unde	r construction.	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ 3,267,873
	Impact Fee Projec	t Cost TOTAL:	\$ 3,267,873

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No. J-4						
Name:	ELDORADO PKWY (3)	This project consists of the							
	710' E OF US HIGHWAY 75 TO construction of two additional								
Limits:	1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY	lanes within the existing median of the							
Impact Fee Class:	G6D(1/3)	ultimate six-lane divided greenway							
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		arterial.						
Length (If):	5,680								
Service Area(s):	J								

Roa	adway Construction Cost Pr	ojection				
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
117	Unclassified Street Excavation		16,409	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 164,089
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12	2)	15,778	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 110,444
317	9" Concrete Pavement		14,516	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 870,933
417	4" Topsoil		15,147	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 37,867
517	6" Curb & Gutter		11,360	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 56,800
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Medi	an Openings	3,925	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 302,250
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$ 1,542,383
Majo	or Construction Component Allow	vances**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	 46,271
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	 46,271
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	-
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge				\$ 1,000,000
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	 -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	30,848
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	Cost Subtotal		Allowa	ınce Subtotal:	\$ 1,123,391
			_		nce Subtotal:	2,665,774
				lization:		133,289
	Site Preparation: 5%			 133,289		
						2,933,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$ 439,950
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 3,373,000

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,373,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 674,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

Service Area(s):

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No.	J-5
Name:	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (5)		This project cons	ists of the
Limits:	2,100' E OF HARDIN BLVD TO MCK	INNEY RANCH PKWY	construction of a	new three-
Impact Fee Class:	M3U		lane undivided mi	nor arterial.
Thoroughfare Class:	Minor Arterial			
Length (If):	1,720			

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	ction					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Uni	t Price	Item Cost
102	2 Unclassified Street Excavation 3,822 cy				\$	8.00	\$ 30,578
202	Lime Treated Subgrade (6") (PI<12)		7,453	sy	\$	5.00	\$ 37,267
302	8" Concrete Pavement		7,071	sy	\$	55.00	\$ 388,911
402	4" Topsoil		4,013	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 10,033
502	6" Curb & Gutter		3,440	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 17,200
602	0		0	0	\$	-	\$ -
702	Moisture Conditioning		7,453	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 59,627
		P	aving Consti	uction C	Cost S	ubtotal:	\$ 543,616
Majo	or Construction Component Allowanc	es**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated				1%	\$ 5,436
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$ 16,308
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem			25%	\$ 135,904
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing					\$ 250,000
	Water	None Anticipated				0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated				0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$ 10,872
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System			6%	\$ 32,617
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	nce S	ubtotal:	\$ 451,138
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						\$ 994,753
			Mobi	lization:		5%	\$ 49,738
			Site Prep			5%	\$ 49,738
			Construc			OTAL:	\$ 1,095,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:		15%	\$ 164,250

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumn	nary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	1,260,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	252,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	441,000
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				1,953,000

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

1,260,000

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information:		Description:	Project No. I-10, J-6
Name:	HARDIN BLVD (11)		This project consists of the
Limits:	US HIGHWAY 380 TO VIRGINIA PK	WY	construction of two additional through
Impact Fee Class: G6D(1/3)			lanes within the existing median of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		ultimate six-lane divided greenway
Length (If):	8,340		arterial. The City contributed
			approximately \$8,352,097 of elgible
Service Area(s):	I and J		funds from '08-'12.

					•		
Roa	adway Construction Cost Pro	jection					
No.	Item Description	-	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
117	Unclassified Street Excavation		24,093	су	\$	10.00	\$ 240,933
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		23,167	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 162,167
317	9" Concrete Pavement		21,313	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 1,278,800
417	4" Topsoil		22,240	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 55,600
517	6" Curb & Gutter		16,680	If	\$	5.00	\$ 83,400
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	n Openings	5,764	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 443,796
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Su	ubtotal:	\$ 2,264,696
Majo	or Construction Component Allowa	ınces**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allo	wance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%	\$ 67,941
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$ 67,941
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated				0%	\$ -

	item bescription	140103	Allowance		110111 0031
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	67,941
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	67,941
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge		\$	1,000,000
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	45,294
	Illumination	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-
	Other:				
**Allo	owances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,181,176
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	3,445,872
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	172,294
	\$	172,294			
	\$	3,791,000			
Construction Contingency: 15%					568,650
Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					4,360,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,360,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 872,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ 8,352,097
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 13,584,097

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n: Des	cription:	Project No.	I-11, J-7
Name:	HARDIN BLVD (12)	This proje	ect consists of t	the
Limits:	VIRGINIA PKWY TO MCKINNEY RANCH	PKWY construct	ion of two addi	tional through
Impact Fee Class:	G6D(1/3)	lanes with	nin the existing	median of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial	ultimate s	six-lane divided	greenway
Length (If):	13,945	arterial.		,
Service Area(s):	Land I			

NO	idway Construction Cost P	ojection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
117	Unclassified Street Excavation		40,286	су	\$ 10.00	\$	402,856
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<1	2)	38,736	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	271,153
317	9" Concrete Pavement		35,637	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	2,138,233
417	4" Topsoil		37,187	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	92,967
517	6" Curb & Gutter		27,890	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	139,450
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Med	ian Openings	9,637	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	742,055
		Р	aving Constr	uction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	3,786,713
			-				
Majo	or Construction Component Allow	wances**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	113,601
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	113,601
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	75,734
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	302,937
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:					\$	4,089,650
	Mobilization: 5%					\$	204,483
	Site Preparation: 5%						204,483
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						4,499,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	674,850
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	5,174,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary				
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	5,174,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	1,034,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				6,208,800

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	Description: Project No.	J-8

Name: MEDICAL CENTER DR This project consists of the

STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 1,685' S reconstruction of a three-lane concrete

Limits: OF STATE HIGHWAY 121 facility to a four-lane divided minor

Impact Fee Class: M4D arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Minor Arterial

Length (If): 1,685 Service Area(s): J

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
105	Unclassified Street Excavation	13,480	су	\$ 10.00	\$	134,800
205	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	9,361	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	65,528
305	8" Concrete Pavement	8,612	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	473,672
405	4" Topsoil	7,302	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	18,254
505	6" Curb & Gutter	6,740	If	\$ 5.00	\$	33,700
605	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	989	sy	\$ 72.00	\$	71,230
705	Moisture Conditioning	9,361	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	74,889
	Paving Construction Cost Subtotal:					

Maj	Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost			
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	26,162			
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	26,162			
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	218,018			
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-			
	Water	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	26,162			
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	26,162			
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	17,441			
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	52,324			
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal	nce Subtotal:	\$	392,433			
		· ·						
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,264,505			
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	63,225			
	\$	63,225						
	\$	1,391,000						
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	208,650			
	Co	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	FINGENCY:	\$	1,600,000			

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,600,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 320,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 320,000
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 2,240,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Service Area(s):

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

J and K

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. J-9, K-7
Name:	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)		This project consists of the
			reconstruction of a four-lane asphalt
	640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD		section to a six-lane divided major
Limits:	/ FM 546 TO STATE HIGHWAY 121		arterial.
Impact Fee Class:	M6D		
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		
Length (If):	2,470		

	No. Item Description Quantity Un			Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
109	9 Unclassified Street Excavation 13,722 cy			\$ 15.00	\$	205,833	
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		21,407	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	149,847
309	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		20,309	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	101,544
409	9" Concrete Pavement		20,309	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,218,533
509	4" Topsoil		9,606	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	38,422
609	6" Curb & Gutter		9,880	If	\$ 5.00	\$	49,400
709	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	Openings	1,507	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	131,141
		P	Paving Const	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,894,721
Majo	or Construction Component Allowan	ces**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	56,842
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	56,842
	Roadway Drainage				25%	\$	473,680
	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge				\$	2,500,000
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	56,842
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	56,842
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	37,894
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	113,683
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Con	st Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	3,352,624
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						5,247,345
Mobilization: 5%						\$	262,367
Site Preparation: 5%					\$	262,367	
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$	5,773,000
	Construction Contingency: 15%						865,950
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					\$	6,639,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summer Item Description	nary Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:	11000	-	\$ 6,639,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,327,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ · · ·
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 1,327,800
Impact F	\$ 4,647,300		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	1: Description: Project No.	J-10,	K-8

Name: STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5) This project consists of the

STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 3,525' S reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt

Limits: OF STATE HIGHWAY 121 facility to a four-lane divided minor

Impact Fee Class: M4D arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Minor Arterial

Length (If): 3,525 Service Area(s): J and K

					_			
Roa	dway Construction Cost Projec	tion						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost	
105	Unclassified Street Excavation		14,100	су	\$ 15.00	\$	211,500	
205	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		19,583	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	137,083	
305	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		18,017	sy	\$ 5.00		90,083	
405	8" Concrete Pavement		18,017	sy	\$ 55.00		990,917	
505	4" Topsoil		15,275	sy	\$ 4.00		61,100	
605	6" Curb & Gutter		14,100	If	\$ 5.00		70,500	
705	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op		2,070	sy	\$ 82.00		169,707	
		P	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal	: \$	1,730,891	
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance							
	Item Description	Notes		`	Allowance		Item Cost	
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		51,927	
V	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		51,927	
	Roadway Drainage				25%	\$	432,723	
,	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-	
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		51,927	
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%		51,927	
√.	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	34,618	
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	103,853	
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	ınce Subtotal	: \$	778,901	
						: \$	2,509,792	
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							
				lization:	5%	_	125,490	
			Site Prep			_	125,490	
					ost TOTAL		2,761,000	
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	414,150	

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,176,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 635,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 635,200
Impact Fee I	Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Co	ontribution)	\$ 2,223,200

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

3,176,000


2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. J-11				
Name:	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (6)		This project consists of the				
	3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121		reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt				
	TO 4,520' S OF STATE HIGHWAY		facility to a four-lane divided minor				
Limits:	121		arterial. Based on the existing City				
Impact Fee Class:	M4D		Limits, the eastern half of the roadway				
Thoroughfare Class:	Minor Arterial		is not included in the Impact Fee RIP.				
Length (If):	995						
Service Area(s):	J, Half						

Roa	adway Construction Cost Project	ction					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
105	Unclassified Street Excavation		3,980	су	\$ 15.00	\$	59,700
	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		5,528	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	38,694
305	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		5,086	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	25,428
405	8" Concrete Pavement		5,086	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	279,706
505	4" Topsoil		4,312	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	17,247
	6" Curb & Gutter		3,980	If	\$ 5.00	\$	19,900
705	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median C		584	sy	\$ 82.00	\$	47,903
		P	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	488,578
						_	
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
L.,	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
√,	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		14,657
√,	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		14,657
	Roadway Drainage				25%	\$	122,144
,	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
√,	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	\$	14,657
√,	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3%	-	14,657
√.	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%		9,772
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	29,315
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	219,860
					0.14.4.1		
					nce Subtotal:		708,438
						\$	35,422
			Site Prep			_	35,422
					ost TOTAL:		780,000
			ruction Conti			_	117,000
	Cói	nstruction Cos	st TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	897,000

Impact Fee Project Cost S	Summary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 897,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 179,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 179,400
Imp	pact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Co	ontribution)	\$ 627,900

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area K

	Costing					Percent in		Cost in
#	Class	Project	Limits		Total Cost	Service Area	Se	rvice Area
K-1	2UO	VIRGINIA STREET	225' W OF AIRPORT DR TO AIRPORT DR	\$	779,650	100%	\$	779,650
K-2	G6D(1/3)	ELDORADO PKWY (4)	1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	\$	1,699,200	100%	\$	1,699,200
K-3	P6D(1/3)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (1)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO AIRPORT DR	\$	1,839,600	100%	\$	1,839,600
K-4, L-1	P6D(1/3)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (2)	AIRPORT DR TO 980' E OF AIRPORT DR	\$	234,600	50%	\$	117,300
K-5, L-2	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (3)	975' E OF AIRPORT DR TO 510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	\$	3,267,400	50%	\$	1,633,700
K-6	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (3)	INDUSTRIAL BLVD TO 640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	\$	2,064,300	100%	\$	2,064,300
J-9, K-7	M6D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)	640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO STATE HIGHWAY 121	\$	4,647,300	50%	\$	2,323,650
J-10, K-8	M4D	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	\$	2,223,200	50%	\$	1,111,600
K-9, L-6	P6D(1/3)	AIRPORT DR (5)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	\$	6,322,800	50%	\$	3,161,400
K-10, L-7	P6D	AIRPORT DR (6)	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	\$	2,925,200	50%	\$	1,462,600
40		Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & SMITH ST	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
41		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR	\$	150,000	50%	\$	75,000
52	LO CO	Roundabout	WILSON CREEK PKWY & PARK VIEW AVE	\$	1,820,000	100%	\$	1,820,000
53	ection	Signal	WILSON CREEK PKWY & COLLEGE ST	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
54	ers	Signal	AIRPORT DR & ELM ST	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
62	Inter	Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & STEWART RD	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
63		Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 & AIRPORT DR	\$	300,000	75%	\$	225,000
69		Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & ENTERPRISE DR	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
				÷	29 773 250		¢	19 363 000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.



2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information: K-1 Description: Project No.

Name: VIRGINIA STREET Limits: 225' W OF AIRPO

Impact Fee Class: 2UO

Thoroughfare Class: Town Thoroughfare

Length (If): 225 Service Area(s): Κ

E I	inis project consists of the
ORT DR TO AIRPORT DR	construction of a new two-lane
	undivided town thoroughfare.
aro.	

Paving and Allowance Subtotal:

Construction Cost TOTAL:

Mobilization:

Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:

Site Preparation:

Construction Contingency:

Roa	adway Construction Cost Proje	ection								
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost		
101	Unclassified Street Excavation		500	су	\$	8.00	\$	4,000		
201	Lime Treated Subgrade (6") (PI<12)		975	sy	\$	5.00	\$	4,875		
301	8" Concrete Pavement		925	sy	\$	55.00	\$	50,875		
401	4" Topsoil		275	sy	\$	2.50	\$	688		
501	6" Curb & Gutter		450	lf	\$	5.00	\$	2,250		
701	Moisture Conditioning		975	sy	\$	8.00	\$	7,800		
		Р	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Su	ıbtotal:	\$	70,488		
	Major Construction Component Allowances**:									
Majo	or Construction Component Allowan	ces**:								
Majo	or Construction Component Allowan Item Description	ces**: Notes			Allov	vance		Item Cost		
Majo					Allov	vance	\$	Item Cost		
Majo √ √	Item Description	Notes			Allov		-			
Majo	Item Description Traffic Control	Notes	rstem		Allow	1%	\$	705		
√ √ √	Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers	Notes None Anticipated	vstem		Allov	1% 3%	\$	705 2,115		
\ \ \ \	Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers Roadway Drainage	Notes None Anticipated Standard Internal Sy	rstem		Allov	1% 3%	\$	705 2,115 17,622		
\ \ \ \	Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers Roadway Drainage Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated Standard Internal Sy Stream Crossing	vstem		Allov	1% 3% 25%	\$ \$ \$	705 2,115 17,622		
\ \ \ \	Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers Roadway Drainage Special Drainage Structures Water	Notes None Anticipated Standard Internal Sy Stream Crossing None Anticipated	ystem		Allov	1% 3% 25% 0%	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	705 2,115 17,622		
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers Roadway Drainage Special Drainage Structures Water Sewer	Notes None Anticipated Standard Internal Sy Stream Crossing None Anticipated			Allov	1% 3% 25% 0%	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	705 2,115 17,622 300,000		
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Item Description Traffic Control Pavement Markings/Markers Roadway Drainage Special Drainage Structures Water Sewer Establish Turf / Erosion Control	Notes None Anticipated Standard Internal Sy Stream Crossing None Anticipated None Anticipated			Allov	1% 3% 25% 0% 0% 2%	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	705 2,115 17,622 300,000 - - 1,410		

	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ C	ONTINGENCY:	\$ 503,000
			•
Impact Fee Project Cost Sui	mmary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 503,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 100,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 176,050

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

396,568

19,828

19,828

65,550

437,000

779.650

\$

\$

5%

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n: c	escription:	Project No.	K-2
Name:	ELDORADO PKWY (4)		This project consis	sts of the
Limits:	1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY TO STA	TE HIGHWAY 5	construction of tw	o additional
Impact Fee Class:	G6D(1/3)		through lanes with	nin the
Thoroughfare Class:	Greenway Arterial		existing median of	the ultimate
Length (If):	2,110		six-lane divided gr	
Service Area(s):	K		arterial.	

No.	Item Description	•	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
117	Unclassified Street Excavation		6,096	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 60,956
217	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<1	2)	5,861	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 41,028
317	9" Concrete Pavement		5,392	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 323,533
417	4" Topsoil		5,627	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 14,067
517	6" Curb & Gutter		4,220	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 21,100
617	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Med	lian Openings	1,458	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 112,279
		Р	aving Constr	uction C	ost Subtotal:	\$ 572,963
Majo	or Construction Component Allo	wances**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$ 17,189
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 17,189
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing				\$ 500,000
	Water	None Anticipated		-	0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 11,459
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	n Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 545,837
			Paving and	Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 1,118,800
			Mobil	ization:	5%	\$ 55,940
			Site Prep	aration:	5%	\$ 55,940
					ost TOTAL:	\$ 1,231,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$ 184,650
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	/ CON	FINGENCY:	\$ 1,416,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary	1		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,416,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 283,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:			\$ 1,699,200

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No.	K-3
Name:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 ((1)	This project consists of the	1
Limits:	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO AIRPORT	ΓDR	construction of two additio	nal through
Impact Fee Class:	P6D(1/3)		lanes within the existing me	edian of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		ultimate six-lane divided pr	incipal
Length (If):	7,695		arterial.	
Service Area(s):	K			

Roa	adway Construction Cost Project	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
114	Unclassified Street Excavation		11,970	су	\$ 15.00	\$	179,550
214	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		23,085	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	161,595
314	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		21,375	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	106,875
414	9" Concrete Pavement		21,375	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,282,500
514	4" Topsoil		6,840	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	27,360
	6" Curb & Gutter		15,390	If	\$ 5.00	\$	76,950
714	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	4,696	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	408,554
		P	aving Consti	ruction C	Cost Subtotal:	\$	2,243,384
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	67,302
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	67,302
	Roadway Drainage				0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	44,868
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	179,471
						\$	
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						2,422,855
				lization:	970	\$	121,143
	Site Preparation: 5%						121,143
			Construc	ction C	ost TOTAL:	\$	2,666,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	399,900
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:					\$	3,066,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	3,066,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	613,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				1,839,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No.	K-4, L-1
Name:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	(2)	This project consists of th	ie
Limits:	AIRPORT DR TO 980' E OF AIRPOR	RT DR	construction of two additi	onal through
Impact Fee Class:	P6D(1/3)		lanes within the existing r	nedian of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		ultimate six-lane divided p	orincipal
Length (If):	980		arterial.	•
Service Area(s):	L and K			

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
114	Unclassified Street Excavation		1,524	су	\$ 15.00	\$	22,867
214	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		2,940	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	20,580
314	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		2,722	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	13,611
414	9" Concrete Pavement		2,722	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	163,333
	4" Topsoil		871	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	3,484
614	6" Curb & Gutter		1,960	If	\$ 5.00	\$	9,800
714	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	598	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	52,032
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	285,707
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	s**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	8,571
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	8,571
	Roadway Drainage				0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	5,714
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	22,857
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						\$	308,564
Mobilization: 5%						\$ \$	15,428
	Site Preparation: 5%						15,428
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						340,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	51,000
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	391,000
							•

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	391,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	78,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$	-
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				234,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. K-5, L-2

Name: HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (3)

975' E OF AIRPORT DR TO 510' W OF HARRY

Limits: MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)

Impact Fee Class: P6D

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

Length (If): 3,160
Service Area(s): K and L

This project consists of the construction of a new six-lane divided principal arterial.

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		17,556	су	\$ 15.00	\$	263,333
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		27,387	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	191,707
313	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		25,982	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	129,911
413	9" Concrete Pavement		25,982	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,558,933
513	4" Topsoil		14,396	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	57,582
613	6" Curb & Gutter		12,640	.lf	\$ 5.00	\$	63,200
713	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	1,928	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	167,775
		_ P	aving Const	ruction C	ost Subtotal:	\$	2,432,442
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	s**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%	\$	24,324
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	72,973
	Roadway Drainage				25%	\$	608,110
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	-	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	48,649
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	145,947
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	900,004
			<u> </u>				
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							3,332,445
	Mobilization: 5%						166,622
	Site Preparation: 5%					_	166,622
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						3,666,000
			uction Conti				549,900
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	4,216,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ry			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	4,216,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	843,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	1,475,600
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				3,267,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. K-6
Name:	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (3)		This project consists of the
	INDUSTRIAL BLVD TO 640' S OF		reconstruction of a four-lane asphalt
Limits:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		section to a six-lane divided major
Impact Fee Class:	M6D		arterial.
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		

Length (If): 2,095 Service Area(s): K

Roa	adway Construction Cost Project	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		11,639	су	\$ 15.00	\$	174,583
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		18,157	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	127,097
309	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		17,226	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	86,128
409	9" Concrete Pavement		17,226	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,033,533
509	4" Topsoil		8,147	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	32,589
	6" Curb & Gutter		8,380	. If	\$ 5.00	\$	41,900
709	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op		1,279	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	111,231
		Р	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,607,061
Majo	or Construction Component Allowances						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		48,212
√,	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		48,212
V	Roadway Drainage				25%	-	401,765
,	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
√,	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%		48,212
√,	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	nts		3%		48,212
√,	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%		32,141
V	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	96,424
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	723,177
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						2,330,238
	Mobilization: 5%					\$ \$	116,512
	Site Preparation: 5%						116,512
	Construction Cost TOTAL:						2,564,000
	Construction Contingency: 15%					\$	384,600

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary	•			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	2,949,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	589,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	589,800
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				2,064,300

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

2,949,000

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. J-9, K-7
Name:	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)		This project consists of the reconstruction of a four-lane asphalt
	640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD		section to a six-lane divided major
Limits:	/ FM 546 TO STATE HIGHWAY 121		arterial.
Impact Fee Class:	M6D		
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial		
Length (If):	2,470		
Service Area(s):	J and K		

No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		13,722	су	\$ 15.00	\$	205,833
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		21,407	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	149,847
309	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		20,309	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	101,544
409	9" Concrete Pavement		20,309	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	1,218,533
509	4" Topsoil		9,606	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	38,422
609	6" Curb & Gutter		9,880	If	\$ 5.00	\$	49,400
709	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median C)penings	1,507	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	131,141
		P	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,894,721
Maia	Company of the Company of Allows	20***				_	
Iviajo	or Construction Component Allowanc	Notes			Allawanaa		Ham Cast
-	Item Description				Allowance	_	Item Cost
V	Traffic Control	Construction Phase 1	Fraffic Control		3%		56,842
V	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		56,842
٧,	Roadway Drainage				25%		473,680
√,	Special Drainage Structures	Bridge				\$	2,500,000
	Water	Incidental Adjustmen	ts		3%		56,842
√.	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmen	ts		3%	-	56,842
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%		37,894
V	Illumination Other:	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	113,683
**Allo	vances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	3,352,624
7	American Second St. 75 St. A. Mily Control Cost Cashell						
	Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						5,247,345
	Mobilization: 5%						262,367
	Site Preparation: 5%						262,367
					ost TOTAL:	\$	5,773,000
		Consti	ruction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	865,950

Impact Fee Project Cost Su	ımmary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	6,639,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	1,327,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	1,327,800
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				4,647,300

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

6,639,000

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information:	Description:	Project No.	J-10, K-8
D : 4 6 4			140 1/0

Name: STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5) This project consists of the

STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 3,525' S reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt

Limits: OF STATE HIGHWAY 121 facility to a four-lane divided minor

Impact Fee Class: M4D arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Minor Arterial

Length (If): 3,525 Service Area(s): J and K

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection							
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost		
105	Unclassified Street Excavation	14,100	су	\$ 15.00	\$	211,500		
205	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	19,583	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	137,083		
305	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment	18,017	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	90,083		
405	8" Concrete Pavement	18,017	sy	\$ 55.00	\$	990,917		
505	4" Topsoil	15,275	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	61,100		
605	6" Curb & Gutter	14,100	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	70,500		
705	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	2,070	sy	\$ 82.00	\$	169,707		
	Do 1 - O 1 1 - O 1 - O 1 - O 1 - O 1 - O 1 - O - O							

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 1,730,891

Majo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost			
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase Traffic Control	3%	\$	51,927			
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	51,927			
	Roadway Drainage		25%	\$	432,723			
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-			
	Water	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	51,927			
	Sewer	Incidental Adjustments	3%	\$	51,927			
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	34,618			
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	103,853			
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	778,901			
		· ·						
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	2,509,792			
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	125,490			
	\$	125,490						
	\$	2,761,000						
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	414,150			
	Co	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	FINGENCY:	\$	3,176,000			

Impact Fee Project Cost Summar	у		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,176,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 635,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 635,200
Impact Fee	\$ 2,223,200		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Service Area(s):

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

K and L

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. K-9, L-6
Name:	AIRPORT DR (5)		This project consists of the
	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 470' N OF		construction of two additional through
Limits:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		lanes within the existing median of the
Impact Fee Class:	P6D(1/3)		ultimate six-lane divided principal
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		arterial.
Length (If):	13,870		and the same of th

Roa	adway Construction Cost Pro	ojection					
	Item Description	•	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
114	Unclassified Street Excavation		43,151	су	\$ 10.00	\$	431,511
214	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	41,610	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	291,270
314	9" Concrete Pavement		38,528	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	2,311,667
414	4" Topsoil		12,329	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	30,822
	6" Curb & Gutter		27,740	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	138,700
614	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	n Openings	8,464	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	651,762
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	3,855,732
Majo	or Construction Component Allow						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
$\sqrt{}$	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%		115,672
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	115,672
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
l .	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	77,115
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction	Cost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	308,459
			_		nce Subtotal:		4,164,190
						\$	208,210
	Site Preparation: 5%						208,210
					ost TOTAL:		4,581,000
			uction Conti			_	687,150
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	5,269,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 5,269,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,053,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
V	\$ 6,322,800		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.


2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Informatio	n: De	escription:	Project No. K-10, L-7
Name:	AIRPORT DR (6)		This project consists of the
	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / F	M 546 TO	reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Limits:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		facility to a six-lane divided principal
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		arterial. The City contributed
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		approximately \$1,500,000 of eligible
Length (If):	470		funds from '12-'19.
Service Area(s):	K and L		

Roa	adway Construction Cost Proje	ction					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	е	Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		5,222	су	\$ 10.0	00 \$	52,222
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		4,073	sy	\$ 7.0	00 \$	28,513
313	9" Concrete Pavement		3,864	sy	\$ 60.0	00 \$	231,867
413	4" Topsoil		2,141	sy	\$ 2.5	50 \$	5,353
513	6" Curb & Gutter		1,880	lf	\$ 5.0	00 \$	9,400
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median C	penings	287	sy	\$ 77.0		,
713	Moisture Conditioning		4,073	sy	\$ 8.0	00 \$	32,587
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtot	al: \$	382,027
Majo	or Construction Component Allowanc	es**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowanc	е	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			<mark>3%</mark> \$	11,461
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers				3	<mark>3%</mark> \$	11,461
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	rstem		25	<mark>5%</mark> \$,
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing				\$	250,000
	Water	Incidental Adjustmer	nts			<mark>3%</mark> \$	11,461
$\sqrt{}$	Sewer	Incidental Adjustmer	nts		3	<mark>3%</mark> \$,
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2	<mark>2%</mark> \$	7,641
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		(<mark>8%</mark>	22,922
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal		Allowa	ince Subtot	al: \$	421,912
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:							
Mobilization: 5%							40,197
Site Preparation: 5%							•
					ost TOTA	L: \$	885,000
		Constr	ruction Conti	ngency:	15	\$	132,750
Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						Y: 5	1,018,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumn Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,018,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 203,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ 1,500,000
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$ 203,600
	Impact Fee Pi	roject Cost TOTAL:	\$ 2,925,200

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area L

	Costing					Percent in	(Cost in
#	Class	Project	Limits	т	otal Cost	Service Area	Ser	vice Area
K-4, L-1	P6D(1/3)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (2)	AIRPORT DR TO 980' E OF AIRPORT DR	\$	234,600	50%	\$	117,300
K-5, L-2	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (3)	975' E OF AIRPORT DR TO 510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	\$	3,267,400	50%	\$	1,633,700
L-3	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (1)	510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 1,335' E OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	\$	2,153,725	100%	\$	2,153,725
L-4	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (2)	3,480' W OF UNNAMED D TO UNNAMED D	\$	3,843,225	100%	\$	3,843,225
L-5	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (1)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) TO 1,865' E OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	\$	2,166,900	100%	\$	2,166,900
K-9, L-6	P6D(1/3)	AIRPORT DR (5)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	\$	6,322,800	50%	\$	3,161,400
K-10, L-7	P6D	AIRPORT DR (6)	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	\$	2,925,200	50%	\$	1,462,600
L-8	P6D	UNNAMED C (3)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 2,435' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	\$	5,807,850	100%	\$	5,807,850
L-9, M-5	P6D	UNNAMED C (4)	2,525' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 1,230' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	\$	2,830,300	50%	\$	1,415,150
L-10, M-6	P6D	UNNAMED C (5)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 705' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	\$	10,002,150	50%	\$	5,001,075
41		Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR	\$	150,000	50%	\$	75,000
42		Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & UNNAMED C	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
54	Inter-	Signal	AIRPORT DR & ELM ST	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
63	section	Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 & AIRPORT DR		300,000	75%	\$	225,000
70		Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	\$	300,000	100%	\$	300,000
74		Signal	UNNAMED C & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
	•			\$	41,204,150		\$	27,812,925

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. K-4, L-1
Name:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 ((2)	This project consists of the
Limits:	AIRPORT DR TO 980' E OF AIRPOR	RT DR	construction of two additional through
Impact Fee Class:	P6D(1/3)		lanes within the existing median of the
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		ultimate six-lane divided principal
Length (If):	980		arterial.
Service Area(s):	L and K		

Roa	dway Construction Cost Project	ion						
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price		Item Cost
114	Unclassified Street Excavation		1,524	су	\$	15.00	\$	22,867
214	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		2,940	sy	\$	7.00	\$	20,580
314	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		2,722	sy	\$	5.00	\$	13,611
414	9" Concrete Pavement		2,722	sy	\$	60.00	\$	163,333
514	4" Topsoil		871	sy	\$	4.00	\$	3,484
614	6" Curb & Gutter		1,960	lf	\$	5.00	\$	9,800
714	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	enings	598	sy	\$	87.00	5	52,032
		P	aving Constr	ruction C	Cost Su	ıbtotal:	\$	285,707
Majo	r Construction Component Allowance							
	Item Description	Notes			Allov	vance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control			3%		8,571
	Pavement Markings/Markers					3%	\$	8,571
	Roadway Drainage					0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated					\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control					2%	\$	5,714
	Illumination	None Anticipated				0%	\$	-
	Other:							
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost St	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Su	ıbtotal:	\$	22,857
		~	Paving and		nce Su	ıbtotal:	\$	308,564
			Mobi	lization:		5%	\$	15,428
			Site Prep			5%	\$	15,428
	Construction Cost TOTAL:					\$	340,000	
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:		15%	\$	51,000
	Cons	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGE	NCY:	\$	391,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	nry		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 391,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 78,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -
Impact Fe	e Project Cost TOTAL (50% City (Contribution)	\$ 234,600

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information: Project No. Description:

HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (3) Name: This project consists of the construction

975' E OF AIRPORT DR TO 510' W OF HARRY of a new six-lane divided principal Limits:

MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) arterial.

Impact Fee Class: P6D

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

Length (If): 3,160 Service Area(s): K and L

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Uni	t Price	Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation	17,556	су	\$	15.00	\$ 263,333
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	27,387	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 191,707
313	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment	25,982	sy	\$	5.00	\$ 129,911
413	9" Concrete Pavement	25,982	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 1,558,933
513	4" Topsoil	14,396	sy	\$	4.00	\$ 57,582
613	6" Curb & Gutter	12,640	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 63,200
713	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	1,928	sy	\$	87.00	\$ 167,775
		Paving Const	ruction C	Cost S	ubtotal:	\$ 2,432,442

Majo	or Construction Component Allowand	ces**:		
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$ 24,324
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$ 72,973
	Roadway Drainage		25%	\$ 608,110
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$ 48,649
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$ 145,947
	Other:			
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cos	t Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 900,004
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 3,332,445
		Mobilization:	5%	\$ 166,622
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$ 166,622
	\$ 3,666,000			
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$ 549,900
	Co	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 4,216,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 4,216,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 843,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,475,600
Impact Fe	ee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City (Contribution)	\$ 3,267,400

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n: Descrip	otion: Project No.	L-3
Name:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (1)	This project consists of	f the constructi

Name: HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (1) This project consists of the construction

510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) of a new six-lane divided principal

TO 1,335' E OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM arterial

Limits: 546 (N)
Impact Fee Class: P6D

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Length (If): 1,845 Service Area(s): L

No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		10,250	су	\$ 15.00	\$	153,750
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		15,990	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	111,930
313	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		15,170	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	75,850
413	9" Concrete Pavement		15,170	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	910,200
513	4" Topsoil		8,405	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	33,620
613	6" Curb & Gutter		7,380	If	\$ 5.00	\$	36,900
713	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	Openings	1,126	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	97,957
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,420,207
Mais	Construction Commonst Allowers	20**				_	
Majo	or Construction Component Allowand Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%	\$	14,202
V	Pavement Markings/Markers	Trone / intolpated			3%		42,606
Ì	Roadway Drainage				25%		355,052
Ì	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing			2070	\$	250,000
'	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	200,000
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%		_
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control	None Anticipated			2%		28,404
V	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%		85,212
`	Other:	Standard Ildiffilliation	Joystelli		070	Ψ	03,212
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cos	Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	775,477
			_		nce Subtotal:	\$	2,195,684
				lization:		\$	109,784
			Site Prep			,	109,784
			Construc	ction C	ost TOTAL:		2,416,000
		Constr	uction Conti	naencv:	15%	\$	362.400

Impact Fee Project Cost Summer	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:	140163.		¢	
		-	Þ	2,779,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	555,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	972,650
Impact I	\$	2,153,725		

Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

2,779,000

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. L-4

Name: HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (2) This project consists of the

Limits: 3,480' W OF UNNAMED D TO UNNAMED D construction of a new six-lane divided

Impact Fee Class: P6D principal arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

Length (If): 3,480 Service Area(s):

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation	19,333	су	\$	15.00	\$ 290,000
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	30,160	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 211,120
313	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment	28,613	sy	\$	5.00	\$ 143,067
413	9" Concrete Pavement	28,613	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 1,716,800
513	4" Topsoil	15,853	sy	\$	4.00	\$ 63,413
613	6" Curb & Gutter	13,920	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 69,600
713	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	2,124	sy	\$	87.00	\$ 184,765

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 2,678,765

Majo	or Construction Component Allow	ances**:		
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$ 26,788
\checkmark	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$ 80,363
\checkmark	Roadway Drainage		25%	\$ 669,691
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing		\$ 250,000
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$ -
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$ 53,575
\checkmark	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$ 160,726
	Other:			
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction (Cost Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$ 1,241,143
		Paving and Allowa		3,919,908
		Mobilization: Site Preparation:		 195,995
	195,995			
	\$ 4,312,000			
		Construction Contingency:		646,800
		Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 4,959,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumi	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	4,959,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	991,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	1,735,650
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				3,843,225

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Impact Fee Update updated: 12/16/2019

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Project Information:

Description:

Project No.

L-5

Name: HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (1) This project consists of the construction HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) TO 1,865' of a new six-lane divided major arterial.

Limits: E OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)

Impact Fee Class: M6D

Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial

Length (If): 1,865 Service Area(s): L

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		10,361	су	\$ 15.00	\$	155,417
	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		16,163	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	113,143
309	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		15,334	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	76,672
409	9" Concrete Pavement		15,334	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	920,067
	4" Topsoil		7,253	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	29,011
	6" Curb & Gutter		7,460	If	\$ 5.00	\$	37,300
709	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op		1,138	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	99,019
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,430,629
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%		14,306
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%		42,919
√.	Roadway Drainage				25%	\$	357,657
	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing				\$	250,000
	Water	None Anticipated			0%		-
l .	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%		-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	28,613
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	85,838
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	779,333
			7				
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						\$ \$	2,209,962
	Mobilization: 5%						110,498
	Site Preparation: 5%						110,498
	Construction Cost TOTAL:					\$	2,431,000
			uction Conti			-	364,650
	Con	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	2,796,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	nary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 2,796,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 559,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 978,600
Impact F	\$ 2,166,900		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. K-9, L-6			
Name:	AIRPORT DR (5)		This project consists of the			
	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 470' N OF		construction of two additional through			
Limits:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		lanes within the existing median of the			
Impact Fee Class:	P6D(1/3)		ultimate six-lane divided principal			
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		arterial.			
Length (If):	13,870		and the same of th			
Service Area(s):	K and L					

Roa	dway Construction Cost Pro	jection					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
114	Unclassified Street Excavation		43,151	су	\$ 10.00	\$	431,511
214	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		41,610	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	291,270
314	9" Concrete Pavement		38,528	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	2,311,667
	4" Topsoil		12,329	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	30,822
514	6" Curb & Gutter		27,740	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	138,700
614	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median	n Openings	8,464	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	651,762
		P	aving Consti	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	3,855,732
Majo	or Construction Component Allowa	inces**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	Construction Phase	Traffic Control		3%	\$	115,672
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	115,672
	Roadway Drainage	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	77,115
	Illumination	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction C	ost Subtotal		Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	308,459
						\$	
							4,164,190
	Mobilization: 5% Site Preparation: 5%						208,210
	Site Preparation: 5%						208,210
					ost TOTAL:		4,581,000
			uction Conti			-	687,150
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	5,269,000

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 5,269,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,053,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	No ROW Acquisition Costs included	0%	\$ -

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n: De	escription:	Project No. K-10, L-7
Name:	AIRPORT DR (6)		This project consists of the
	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / F		reconstruction of a two-lane asphalt
Limits:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		facility to a six-lane divided principal
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		arterial. The City contributed
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		approximately \$1,500,000 of eligible
Length (If):	470		funds from '12-'19.
Service Area(s):	K and L		

Roadway Construction Cost Projection No. Item Description Quantity Unit Unit Price	
No. Item Description Quantity Unit Unit Price	
	Item Cost
113 Unclassified Street Excavation 5,222 cy \$ 10.00	\$ 52,222
213 Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12) 4,073 sy \$ 7.00	\$ 28,513
313 9" Concrete Pavement 3,864 sy \$ 60.00	\$ 231,867
413 4" Topsoil 2,141 sy \$ 2.50	\$ 5,353
513 6" Curb & Gutter 1,880 If \$ 5.00	\$ 9,400
613 Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings 287 sy \$ 77.00	\$ 22,086
713 Moisture Conditioning 4,073 sy \$ 8.00	\$ 32,587
Paving Construction Cost Subtotal:	\$ 382,027
Major Construction Component Allowances**:	
Item Description Notes Allowance	Item Cost
√ Traffic Control Construction Phase Traffic Control 3%	\$ 11,461
√ Pavement Markings/Markers 3%	\$ 11,461
√ Roadway Drainage Standard Internal System 25%	\$ 95,507
√ Special Drainage Structures Stream Crossing	\$ 250,000
√ Water Incidental Adjustments 3%	\$ 11,461
	\$ 11,461
√ Establish Turf / Erosion Control 2%	\$ 7,641
√ Illumination Standard Illumination System 6%	\$ 22,922
Other:	
**Allowances based on % of Paving Construction Cost Subtotal Allowance Subtotal:	\$ 421,912
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:	\$ 803,940
	\$ 40,197
Site Preparation: 5%	\$ 40,197
	\$ 885,000
	\$ 132,750
Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:	\$ 1,018,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	ary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	1,018,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	203,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	1,500,000
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	Existing Alignment	20%	\$	203,600
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:				2,925,200

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information: L-8 Description: Project No.

Name: UNNAMED C (3) Limits: US HIGHWAY 380 TO 2,435' S OF US HIGHWAY 380 This project consists of the construction of a new six-lane

Impact Fee Class: P₆D divided principal arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

Length (If): 2,435 Service Area(s):

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection					
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit	Price	Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation	27,056	су	\$	10.00	\$ 270,556
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	21,103	sy	\$	7.00	\$ 147,723
313	9" Concrete Pavement	20,021	sy	\$	60.00	\$ 1,201,267
413	4" Topsoil	11,093	sy	\$	2.50	\$ 27,732
513	6" Curb & Gutter	9,740	lf	\$	5.00	\$ 48,700
613	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	1,486	sy	\$	77.00	\$ 114,422
713	Moisture Conditioning	21,103	sy	\$	8.00	\$ 168,827
		Paving Consti	ruction (Cost Su	ubtotal:	\$ 1,979,227

Major Construction Component Allowances**:							
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost		
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	19,792		
$\sqrt{}$	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	59,377		
$\sqrt{}$	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	494,807		
$\sqrt{}$	Special Drainage Structures	Stream Crossing		\$	250,000		
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-		
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-		
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	39,585		
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	118,754		
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Co	st Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	982,314		
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	2,961,540		
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	148,077		
	\$	148,077					
Construction Cost TOTAL:					3,258,000		
		Construction Contingency:			488,700		
	C	onstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	3,747,000		

Impact Fee Project Cost Summa	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 3,747,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 749,400
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 1,311,450
·	Impact Fee Project	et Cost TOTAL:	\$ 5,807,850

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Informatio	n:	Description:	Project No. L-9, M-5
Name:	UNNAMED C (4)		This project consists of the construction
	2,525' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP		of a new six-lane divided principal
	BLVD / FM 546 TO 1,230' N OF		arterial.
Limits:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		

Impact Fee Class: P6D

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

Length (If): 1,295 Service Area(s): L and M

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		14,389	су	\$ 10.00	\$	143,889
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		11,223	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	78,563
313	9" Concrete Pavement		10,648	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	638,867
	4" Topsoil		5,899	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	14,749
	6" Curb & Gutter		5,180	_lf	\$ 5.00	\$	25,900
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median O	penings	790	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	60,853
713	Moisture Conditioning		11,223	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	89,787
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,052,607
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance						
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%		10,526
√,	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	31,578
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sy	stem		25%	\$	263,152
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
,	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
1	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	21,052
V	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	63,156
	Other:					_	
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal		Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	389,465
<u> </u>			D		0.14.4.1	_	4 440 670
					nce Subtotal:	\$ \$	1,442,072
	Mobilization: 5%						72,104 72,104
	Site Preparation: 5%						
					ost TOTAL:	\$	1,587,000
			uction Conti			-	238,050
	Cór	struction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$	1,826,000

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 1,826,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 365,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 639,100
*	\$ 2,830,300		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

of a new six-lane divided principal

arterial.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. Name: UNNAMED C (5) This project consists of the construction

HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546

(N) TO 705' S OF HARRY

Limits: MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)

Impact Fee Class: P6D

Thoroughfare Class: **Principal Arterial**


Length (If): 4,580 Service Area(s): L and M

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection				
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation	50,889	су	\$ 10.00	\$ 508,889
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	39,693	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 277,853
313	9" Concrete Pavement	37,658	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 2,259,467
413	4" Topsoil	20,864	sy	\$ 2.50	\$ 52,161
513	6" Curb & Gutter	18,320	lf	\$ 5.00	\$ 91,600
613	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	2,795	sy	\$ 77.00	\$ 215,218
713	Moisture Conditioning	39,693	sy	\$ 8.00	\$ 317,547

	\$	3,722,734										
Maio	Major Construction Component Allowances**:											
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance	П	Item Cost							
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	37,227							
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	111,682							
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal System	25%	\$	930,684							
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-							
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-							
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-							
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	74,455							
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	223,364							
	Other:											
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	1,377,412							
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	5,100,146							
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	255,007							
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$	255,007							
	\$	5,611,000										
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	841,650							
	Co	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	6,453,000							

Impact Fee Project Cost	Summary					
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost		
Construction:		-	\$	6,453,000		
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	1,290,600		
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-		
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-		
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	2,258,550		
*	Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL:					

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.



City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

Roadway Improvement Plan for Roadway Impact Fees Appendix A - Summary of Conceptual Level Project Cost Projections

Roadway Improvements - Service Area M

	Costing					Percent in		Cost in
#	Class	Project	Limits	Total Cost		Service Area	Sei	rvice Area
M-1	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (2)	110' E OF UNNAMED C TO 300' E OF UNNAMED C	\$	196,075	50%	\$	98,038
M-2	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (3)	1,700' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 2,050' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	\$	361,150	50%	\$	180,575
M-3	M6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (4)	405' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 675' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	\$	279,000	50%	\$	139,500
M-4	P6D	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (3)	UNNAMED C TO 695' E OF UNNAMED C	\$	719,975	100%	\$	719,975
L-9, M-5	P6D	UNNAMED C (4)	2,525' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 1,230' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	\$	2,830,300	50%	\$	1,415,150
L-10, M-6	P6D	UNNAMED C (5)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 705' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	\$	10,002,150	50%	\$	5,001,075
64	Inter-	Signal	UNNAMED C & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	\$	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
74	section	Signal	UNNAMED C & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	\$ _	300,000	50%	\$	150,000
				•	14 988 650	•	4	7 854 313

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney. The planning level cost projections shall not supersede the City's design standards contained within the Subdivision Ordinance or the determination of the City Engineer for a specific project.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information:

Name:
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (2)
Limits:
110' E OF UNNAMED C TO 300' E OF UNNAMED C construction of a new six-lane divided major arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial

Length (If): 190 Service Area(s): M

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projection							
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit F	Price		Item Cost	
109	Unclassified Street Excavation	1,056	су	\$	15.00	\$	15,833	
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	1,647	sy	\$	7.00	\$	11,527	
309	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment	1,562	sy	\$	5.00	\$	7,811	
409	9" Concrete Pavement	1,562	sy	\$	60.00	\$	93,733	
509	4" Topsoil	739	sy	\$	4.00	\$	2,956	
609	6" Curb & Gutter	760	lf	\$	5.00	\$	3,800	
709	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	116	sy	\$	87.00	\$	10,088	
	Paving Construction Cost Subtotal:							

Majo	Major Construction Component Allowances**:								
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost				
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	1,457				
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	4,372				
	Roadway Drainage		25%	\$	36,437				
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-				
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-				
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	2,915				
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	8,745				
	Other:								
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost	Subtotal	nce Subtotal:	\$	53,927				
		Paving and Allowa	nce Subtotal:	\$	199,674				
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	9,984				
		Site Preparation:	5%	\$	9,984				
	\$	220,000							
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	33,000				
	Cor	nstruction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	253,000				

Impact Fee Project Cost Summ	ary		
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 253,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 50,600
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 88,550
Impact Fe	\$ 196,075		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

12/16/2019 updated:

Project Information	on:	Description:	Project No.	M-2
Name:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (3)	This project consi	sts of the
	1,700' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP		construction of a	new six-lane
	BLVD / FM 546 TO 2,050' N OF		divided major arte	rial.
Limits:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546			
Impact Fee Class:	M6D			

Thoroughfare Class: Major Arterial

Length (If): 350 Service Area(s): M

KO	adway Construction Cost Pro	ojection				
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation		1,944	су	\$ 15.00	\$ 29,167
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		3,033	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 21,233
309	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment		2,878	sy	\$ 5.00	\$ 14,389
409	9" Concrete Pavement		2,878	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 172,667
509	4" Topsoil		1,361	sy	\$ 4.00	\$ 5,444
609	6" Curb & Gutter		1,400	If	\$ 5.00	\$ 7,000
709	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Media	n Openings	214	sy	\$ 87.00	\$ 18,583
		F	Paving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$ 268,483
Majo	or Construction Component Allowa	nces**:				
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%	\$ 2,685
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$ 8,054
	Roadway Drainage				25%	\$ 67,121
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$ -
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$ -
$\sqrt{}$	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$ 5,370
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$ 16,109
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction C	Cost Subtotal		Allowa	ınce Subtotal:	\$ 99,339
					nce Subtotal:	\$ 367,821
	<u></u>					\$ 18,391
						 18,391
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$ 405,000
			uction Conti			 60,750
		Construction Cos	t TOTAL W	// CON	TINGENCY:	\$ 466,000

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumn	nary			
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	466,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	93,200
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	163,100
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				361,150

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Length (If):

Service Area(s):

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

270

Μ

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

12/16/2019 updated:

Project Information:		Description:	Project No.	M-3
Name:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (4)	This project consi	sts of the
	405' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD		construction of a	new six-lane
	/ FM 546 TO 675' N OF HARRY		divided major arte	rial.
Limits:	MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546			
Impact Fee Class:	M6D			
Thoroughfare Class:	Major Arterial			

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection				
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Item Cost
109	Unclassified Street Excavation	1,500	су	\$ 15.00	\$ 22,500
209	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	2,340	sy	\$ 7.00	\$ 16,380
309	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment	2,220	sy	\$ 5.00	\$ 11,100
409	9" Concrete Pavement	2,220	sy	\$ 60.00	\$ 133,200
509	4" Topsoil	1,050	sy	\$ 4.00	\$ 4,200
609	6" Curb & Gutter	1,080	If	\$ 5.00	\$ 5,400
709	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	165	sy	\$ 87.00	\$ 14,335

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 207,115

Paving Construction Cost Subtotal					207,115
Mai	or Construction Component Allowa	ncos**:		-	
Iviaj	Item Description	Notes	Allowance	П	Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	2,071
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	6,213
	Roadway Drainage		25%	\$	51,779
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	4,142
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	12,427
	Other:				
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction C	ost Subtotal Allows	ance Subtotal:	\$	76,633
		Paving and Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$	283,748
		Mobilization:	5%	\$	14,187
	\$	14,187			
Construction Cost TOTAL:					313,000
Construction Contingency: 15%					46,950
		Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	360,000

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance		Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$	360,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$	72,000
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$	-
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$	-
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$	126,000
Impact Fee Project Cost TOTAL (50% City Contribution)				279,000

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information: Description: Project No. M-4

Name: HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (3) This project consists of the

Limits: UNNAMED C TO 695' E OF UNNAMED C construction of a new six-lane divided

Impact Fee Class: P6D principal arterial.

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

Length (If): 695 Service Area(s): M

Roa	dway Construction Cost Projection						
No.	Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost	
113	Unclassified Street Excavation	3,861	су	\$ 15.00	\$	57,917	
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)	6,023	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	42,163	
313	4" TY D HMAC Underlayment	5,714	sy	\$ 5.00	\$	28,572	
413	9" Concrete Pavement	5,714	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	342,867	
513	4" Topsoil	3,166	sy	\$ 4.00	\$	12,664	
613	6" Curb & Gutter	2,780	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	13,900	
713	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Openings	424	sy	\$ 87.00	\$	36,900	
	Paving Construction Cost Subtotal: \$ 534,983						
Maio	Major Construction Component Allowances**						

Major Construction Component Allowances**:						
	Item Description	Notes	Allowance		Item Cost	
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated	1%	\$	5,350	
	Pavement Markings/Markers		3%	\$	16,049	
	Roadway Drainage		25%	\$	133,746	
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated		\$	-	
	Water	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-	
	Sewer	None Anticipated	0%	\$	-	
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control		2%	\$	10,700	
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination System	6%	\$	32,099	
	Other:					
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	Subtotal	nce Subtotal:	\$	197,944	
		Paving and Allowa Mobilization:		\$	732,927	
	\$	36,646				
	\$	36,646				
Construction Cost TOTAL:					807,000	
		Construction Contingency:	15%	\$	121,050	
	Con	struction Cost TOTAL W/ CON	TINGENCY:	\$	929,000	

Impact Fee Project Cost Sumi	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost
Construction:		-	\$ 929,000
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 185,800
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 325,150
Impact F	\$ 719,975		

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. L-9, M-5
Name:	UNNAMED C (4)		This project consists of the construction
	2,525' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP		of a new six-lane divided principal
	BLVD / FM 546 TO 1,230' N OF		arterial.
Limits:	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		
Limits:			arterial.

Impact Fee Class: P6D

Thoroughfare Class: Principal Arterial

Length (If): 1,295 Service Area(s): M and L

Roa	adway Construction Cost Projec	tion					
No.	Item Description		Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost
113	Unclassified Street Excavation		14,389	су	\$ 10.00	\$	143,889
213	Lime Treated Subgrade (8") (PI<12)		11,223	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	78,563
313	9" Concrete Pavement		10,648	sy	\$ 60.00	\$	638,867
413	4" Topsoil		5,899	sy	\$ 2.50	\$	14,749
513	6" Curb & Gutter		5,180	lf	\$ 5.00	\$	25,900
	Allotment for Turn Lanes and Median Op	penings	790	sy	\$ 77.00	\$	60,853
713	Moisture Conditioning		11,223	sy	\$ 8.00	\$	89,787
		P	aving Const	ruction (Cost Subtotal:	\$	1,052,607
Majo	or Construction Component Allowance	s**:					
	Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost
	Traffic Control	None Anticipated			1%	\$	10,526
	Pavement Markings/Markers				3%	\$	31,578
	Roadway Drainage	Standard Internal Sys	stem		25%	\$	263,152
	Special Drainage Structures	None Anticipated				\$	-
	Water	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Sewer	None Anticipated			0%	\$	-
	Establish Turf / Erosion Control				2%	\$	21,052
	Illumination	Standard Ilumination	System		6%	\$	63,156
	Other:						
**Allo	wances based on % of Paving Construction Cost S	ubtotal		Allowa	ance Subtotal:	\$	389,465
Paving and Allowance Subtotal:						\$	1,442,072
Mobilization: 5%						\$ \$	72,104
Site Preparation: 5%							72,104
Construction Cost TOTAL:						\$	1,587,000
		Constr	uction Conti	ngency:	15%	\$	238,050
	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:						

Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost		
Construction:		-	\$ 1,826,000		
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 365,200		
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -		
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -		
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 639,100		
*	\$ 2,830,300				

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.

Service Area(s):

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update Conceptual Level Project Cost Projection

M and L

Roadway Construction Cost Projection

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

updated: 12/16/2019

Project Information	n:	Description:	Project No. L-10, M-6
Name:	UNNAMED C (5)		This project consists of the construction
	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		of a new six-lane divided principal
	(N) TO 705' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP	1	arterial.
Limits:	BLVD / FM 546 (S)		
Impact Fee Class:	P6D		
Thoroughfare Class:	Principal Arterial		
Length (If):	4,580		

No. Item Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price		Item Cost					
113 Unclassified Street Exca	avation	50,889	су	\$ 10.00	\$	508,889				
213 Lime Treated Subgrade	(8") (PI<12)	39,693	sy	\$ 7.00	\$	277,853				
313 9" Concrete Pavement					\$	2,259,467				
413 4" Topsoil		20,864	sy If	\$ 2.50 \$ 5.00	\$	52,161				
513 6" Curb & Gutter	·				\$	91,600				
613 Allotment for Turn Lanes	s and Median Openings	2,795 39,693	sy	\$ 77.00 \$ 8.00	\$	215,218				
713 Moisture Conditioning	713 Moisture Conditioning				\$	317,547				
	Cost Subtotal:	\$	3,722,734							
Major Construction Compo	nent Allowances**:									
Item Description	Notes			Allowance		Item Cost				
√ Traffic Control	None Anticipated									
√ Pavement Markings/Mai	rkers	3%								
√ Roadway Drainage										
Special Drainage Struct	ures None Anticipated	None Anticipated								
Water	None Anticipated	None Anticipated 0%								
Sewer	None Anticipated	None Anticipated 0%								
√ Establish Turf / Erosion	Control	2%								
√ Illumination	Standard Ilumination	Standard Ilumination System 6%								
Other:										
**Allowances based on % of Paving 0	Construction Cost Subtotal		Allowa	ince Subtotal:	\$	1,377,412				
	\$	5,100,146								
	\$	255,007								
	\$	255,007								
	\$	5,611,000								
		ruction Conti			\$ \$	841,650 6,453,000				
	Construction Co	Construction Cost TOTAL W/ CONTINGENCY:								

Impact Fee Project Cost Sum	nmary					
Item Description	Notes:	Allowance	Item Cost			
Construction:		-	\$ 6,453,000			
Engineering/Survey/Testing:		20%	\$ 1,290,600			
2008 - 2012 City contribution			\$ -			
2012 - 2019 City contribution			\$ -			
ROW/Easement Acquisition:	New Roadway Alignment	35%	\$ 2,258,550			
	\$ 10,002,150					

NOTE: The planning level cost projections listed in this appendix have been developed for Impact Fee calculations only and should not be used for any future Capital Improvement Planning within the City of McKinney.





Appendix B – Roadway Impact Fee RIP Service Units of Supply



City of McKinney - 2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service Area A

12/13/2019

Project ID #	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH (ft)	LANES	IMPACT FEE CLASSIFICATION	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH-MI CAPACITY PK-HR PER LN	VEH-MI SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-FT SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-MI TOTAL DEMAND PK-HR	EXCESS CAPACITY PK-HR VEH-MI	тот	AL PROJECT COST	TOTAL PROJECT COST IN SERVICE AREA
A-1, C-1	UNNAMED 5 (1)	635' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO CR 168	3,326	6	M6D	New	50%	700	1,323	6,985,000	0	1,323	\$	7,715,900	\$ 3,857,950
A-2, D-1	UNNAMED 5 (3)	CR 168 TO 1,560' E OF CR 168	1,584	6	M6D	New	50%	700	630	3,326,000	0	630	\$	7,517,500	\$ 3,758,750
A-3	STONEBRIDGE DR (1)	2,635' N OF UNNAMED 5 TO UNNAMED 5	2,640	4	G4D	New	50%	700	700	3,696,000	0	700	\$	4,679,450	\$ 2,339,725
SUBTOTA	SUBTOTAL								2,653		0	2,653	\$	19,912,850	\$ 9,956,425

11,692

TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA A \$ 9,968,117

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service Area B

Project ID #	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH (ft)		CLASSIFICATION	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH-MI CAPACITY PK-HR PER LN	VEH-MI SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-FT SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-MI TOTAL DEMAND PK-HR	EXCESS CAPACITY PK-HR VEH-MI	TOTAL PROJECT COST	TOTAL PROJECT COST IN SERVICE AREA
B-1, D-2	UNNAMED 5 (4)	1,050' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO 1,200' E OF HARDIN BLVD	2,270	6	M6D	New	50%	700	903	4,768,000	0	903	\$ 4,904,200	\$ 2,452,100
B-2	HARDIN BLVD (1)	CR 204 TO CR 206	422	6	G6D	100	50%	700	168	887,000	4	164	\$ 877,800	\$ 438,900
B-3	HARDIN BLVD (2)	1,670' N OF OLYMPIC TO 730' S OF OLYMPIC	2,376	6	G6D	100	50%	700	945	4,990,000	23	923	\$ 4,837,000	\$ 2,418,500
B-4	HARDIN BLVD (3)	1,940' N OF UNNAMED 5 TO UNNAMED 5	1,954	6	G6D	New	100%	700	1,554	8,205,000	0	1,554	\$ 4,090,450	\$ 4,090,450
1	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & OLYMPIC					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
3	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & UNNAMED 5					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
SUBTOTAL	L								3,570		27	3,544	\$ 15,309,450	\$ 9,699,950

11,692

TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA B \$ 9,711,642

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service Area C

00. 1.00	Alea C													12/13/20
						PEAK	% IN	VEH-MI	VEH-MI	VEH-FT	VEH-MI	EXCESS		TOTAL PROJEC
Project ID	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH	LANES	IMPACT FEE	HOUR	SERVICE	CAPACITY	SUPPLY	SUPPLY	TOTAL	CAPACITY		COST IN SERVIC
#	KONDWAT	LIMITO	(ft)	LANGE	CLASSIFICATION	VOLUME	AREA	PK-HR	PK-HR	PK-HR	DEMAND	PK-HR	COST	AREA
								PER LN	TOTAL	TOTAL	PK-HR	VEH-MI		
A-1, C-1	UNNAMED 5 (1)	635' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO CR 168	3,326	6	M6D	New	50%	700	1,323	6,985,440	0	1,323	\$ 7,715,900	
C-2	UNNAMED 5 (2)	RIDGE RD TO 1,505' E OF RIDGE RD	1,531	6	M6D	100	50%	700	609	3,215,520	15	595	\$ 3,137,400	
C-3	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (1)	695' W OF CUSTER RD TO CUSTER RD	686	6	G6D	542	50%	700	273	1,441,440	35	238	\$ 665,000	
C-4	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (2)	CUSTER RD TO 1,225' E OF CUSTER RD	1,214	6	G6D	542	100%	700	966	5,100,480	125	841	\$ 1,171,800	
C-5	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (3)	1,225' E OF CUSTER RD TO 2,070' E OF CUSTER RD	845	6	G6D	542	50%	700	336	1,774,080	43	293	\$ 808,500	
C-6	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (4)	840' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 2,905' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	2,059	6	G6D	542	50%	700	819	4,324,320	106	713	\$ 2,859,500	
C-7	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (5)	1,985' W OF RIDGE RD TO 1,230' E OF RIDGE RD	3,221	6	G6D	542	50%	700	1,281	6,763,680	165	1,116	\$ 3,073,000	
C-8	BLOOMDALE RD (1)	CUSTER RD TO 1,310' E OF CUSTER RD	1,320	6	P6D	316	100%	780	1,170	6,177,600	79	1,091	\$ 3,179,400	
C-9	BLOOMDALE RD (2)	1,310' E OF CUSTER RD TO 2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR	2,112	6	P6D	316	50%	780	936	4,942,080	63	873	\$ 4,838,400	
C-10	BLOOMDALE RD (3)	2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	5,280	6	P6D	316	100%	780	4,680	24,710,400	316	4,364	\$ 13,314,000	
C-11	BLOOMDALE RD (4)	3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 695' W OF RIDGE RD	1,373	6	P6D	316	50%	780	608	3,212,352	41	567	\$ 3,292,800	
C-12	BLOOMDALE RD (5)	695' W OF RIDGE RD TO RIDGE RD	686	6	P6D	316	100%	780	608	3,212,352	41	567	\$ 1,453,200	
C-13	BLOOMDALE RD (6)	3,400' W OF LAKE FOREST DR TO LAKE FOREST DR	3,379	4	P6D(2/3)	New	50%	780	998	5,271,552	0	998	\$ 4,650,800	
C-14	WILMETH RD (1)	3,725' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	4,541	4	G4D	New	100%	700	2,408	12,714,240	0	2,408	\$ 8,845,850	
C-15	WILMETH RD (2)	815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR TO 995' W OF RIDGE RD	3,326	4	G4D	34	50%	700	882	4,656,960	11	871	\$ 6,200,600	
C-16	WILMETH RD (3)	RIDGE RD TO 585' E OF RIDGE RD	581	4	G4D(1/2)	131	100%	700	308	1,626,240	14	294	\$ 1,593,000	\$ 1,593,00
C-17	WILMETH RD (4)	1,095' E OF RIDGE RD TO 1,365' E OF RIDGE RD	264	4	G4D(1/2)	131	100%	700	140	739,200	7	133	\$ 708,000	\$ 708,000
C-18	CUSTER RD (1)	1,855' N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO LAUD HOWELL PKWY	1,848	6	P6D	615	50%	780	819	4,324,320	108	711	\$ 1,834,000	\$ 917,000
C-19	CUSTER RD (2)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,775 N OF BLOOMDALE RD	2,798	6	P6D	New	100%	780	2,480	13,096,512	0	2,480	\$ 2,869,825	
C-20	CUSTER RD (3)	2,655 N OF BLOOMDALE RD TO 375' N OF WILMETH RD	6,283	6	P6D	1,111	50%	780	2,785	14,702,688	661	2,124	\$ 8,071,300	
C-21	STONEBRIDGE DR (2)	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,280' S OF UNNAMED 5	1,267	4	G4D	New	100%	700	672	3,548,160	0	672	\$ 2,763,650	\$ 2,763,650
C-22	STONEBRIDGE DR (3)	1,570' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 280' S OF WILMETH RD	7,973	4	G4D	New	100%	700	4,228	22,323,840	0	4,228	\$ 14,194,900	\$ 14,194,90
C-23	STONEBRIDGE DR (4)	280' S OF WILMETH RD TO 1,195' S OF WILMETH RD	898	4	G4D	New	50%	700	238	1,256,640	0	238	\$ 2,117,300	\$ 1,058,650
C-24	STONEBRIDGE DR (5)	1,195' S OF WILMETH RD TO 5,555' S OF WILMETH RD	4,382	4	G4D	New	100%	700	2,324	12,270,720	0	2,324	\$ 8,232,050	\$ 8,232,050
C-25	STONEBRIDGE DR (6)	580' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO US HIGHWAY 380	581	4	G4D	New	100%	700	308	1,626,240	0	308	\$ 1,030,750	
C-26	RIDGE RD (1)	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,485' S OF UNNAMED 5	1,478	6	M6D	100	50%	700	588	3,104,640	14	574	\$ 3,095,400	\$ 1,547,70
C-27	RIDGE RD (2)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BAXTER WELL RD	3,115	6	M6D	New	100%	700	2,478	13,083,840	0	2,478	\$ 7,267,950	\$ 7,267,950
C-28	RIDGE RD (3)	BAXTER WELL RD TO 2,160' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	4,382	6	M6D	87	50%	700	1,743	9,203,040	36	1,707	\$ 9,144,800	\$ 4,572,40
C-29	RIDGE RD (4)	1,590' N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD	1,584	6	M6D	87	50%	700	630	3,326,400	13	617	\$ 3,756,200	\$ 1,878,10
C-30	RIDGE RD (5)	WILMETH RD TO 2,280' S OF WILMETH RD	2,270	6	M6D	New	100%	700	1,806	9,535,680	0	1,806	\$ 7,411,200	
C-31	RIDGE RD (6)	1,860' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO 1,390' N OF US HIGHWAY 380	475	6	M6D	New	50%	700	189	997,920	0	189	\$ 1,455,000	
C-32	RIDGE RD (7)	775' N OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO US HIGHWAY 380	792	6	M6D	New	100%	700	630	3,326,400	0	630	\$ 2,857,200	
C-33	LAKE FOREST DR (2)	BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	5,386	6	M6D(1/3)	841	50%	700	2,142	11,309,760	429	1,713	\$ 5,008,981	\$ 2,504,49
C-34, D-22	LAKE FOREST DR (3)	WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380	5,174	2	M6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	686	3,622,080	0	686	\$ 2,350,800	
2	Signal	RIDGE RD & UNNAMED 5					25%						\$ 300,000	
4	Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & CUSTER RD					75%						\$ 300,000	\$ 225,000
5	Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & RIDGE RD					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
12	Intersection Improvement	CUSTER RD & BLOOMDALE RD					50%						\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000
13	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & STONEBRIDGE DR					100%						\$ 300,000	
14	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & RIDGE RD					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
15	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & LAKE FOREST DR					25%			l			\$ 300,000	
23	Signal	STONEBRIDGE DR & WILMETH RD					100%						\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
24	Signal	WILMETH RD & RIDGEKNOLL AVE					100%			l			\$ 300,000	
25	Roundabout	LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD					50%						\$ 1,830,000	\$ 915,000
33	Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR					50%			l			\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000
34	Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN					50%				1		\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
35	Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY					50%						\$ 300,000	+,
SUBTOTAL									43,092		2,321	40,771	\$ 156,098,456	\$ 116,870,61

\$ 11,692

TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA C \$ 116,882,308

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service Area D

oject ID								VEH-MI	VEH-MI	VEH-FT	VEH-MI	EXCESS		
				1		PEAK	% IN							TOTAL PROJECT
#	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH	LANES	IMPACT FEE	HOUR	SERVICE	CAPACITY	SUPPLY	SUPPLY	TOTAL	CAPACITY	TOTAL PROJECT	COST IN SERVICE
"	ROADWAT	LIMITS	(ft)	LANES	CLASSIFICATION	VOLUME	AREA	PK-HR	PK-HR	PK-HR	DEMAND	PK-HR	COST	AREA
						VOLOIVIL	AILLA	PER LN	TOTAL	TOTAL	PK-HR	VEH-MI		ANEA
-2, D-1	UNNAMED 5 (3)	CR 168 TO 1,560' E OF CR 168	1,584	6	M6D	New	50%	700	630	3,326,000	0	630	\$ 7,517,500	\$ 3,758,750
-1, D-2	UNNAMED 5 (4)	1,050' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO 1,200' E OF HARDIN BLVD	2,270	6	M6D	New	50%	700	903	4,768,000	0	903	\$ 4,904,200	\$ 2,452,100
D-3	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (6)	LAKE FOREST DR TO 1860' E OF HARDIN BLVD	13,992	6	G6D	New	100%	700	11,130	58,766,000	0	11,130	\$ 39,497,100	\$ 39,497,100
D-4	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (7)	4,170' N OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 240' N OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY	3,907	6	G6D	New	100%	700	3,108	16,410,000	0	3,108	\$ 8,774,550	\$ 8,774,550
D-5	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (8)	240' N OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO US HIGHWAY 75	2,006	6	G6D(1/3)	802	100%	700	1,596	8,427,000	305	1,291	\$ 2,220,027	\$ 2,220,027
D-6	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (1)	HARDIN BLVD TO 1,910' E OF HARDIN BLVD	1,901	4	G4D	100	100%	700	1,008	5,322,000	36	972	\$ 3,243,800	\$ 3,243,800
D-7	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (2)	1,910' E OF HARDIN BLVD TO 2,675' E OF HARDIN BLVD	739	4	G4D	100	50%	700	196	1,035,000	7	189	\$ 1,300,600	\$ 650,300
D-8	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (3)	COMMUNITY AVE TO 2,200' W OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	1,795	4	G4D	New	50%	700	476	2,513,000	0	476	\$ 5,976,800	\$ 2,988,400
D-9	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (4)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2,200' W OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	2,218	4	G4D	New	100%	700	1,176	6,209,000	0	1,176	\$ 8,418,050	\$ 8,418,050
D-10	TRINITY FALLS PKWY (5)	4,275' N OF WESTON RD TO LAUD HOWELL PKWY	5,544	6	M6D(1/3)	1,229	100%	700	4,410	23,285,000	1,291	3,119	\$ 7,622,400	\$ 7,622,400
D-11	BLOOMDALE RD (7)	1,820' W OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO TAYLOR-BURK DR	1,795	6	P6D	12	50%	780	796	4,201,000	2	794	\$ 3,799,600	\$ 1,899,800
D-12	BLOOMDALE RD (8)	1,485' E OF CR 1006 TO 1,215' W OF CR 1007	1,531	6	P6D	12	100%	780	1,357	7,166,000	3	1,354	\$ 3,206,000	\$ 3,206,000
D-13	BLOOMDALE RD (9)	1,225' W OF CR 1007 TO CR 1007	1,214	6	P6D	12	50%	780	538	2,842,000	1	537	\$ 3,001,600	\$ 1,500,800
D-14	BLOOMDALE RD (10)	CR 1007 TO HARDIN BLVD	1,954	6	P6D(2/3)	New	100%	780	1,732	9,143,000	0	1,732	\$ 5,885,810	\$ 5,885,810
D-15	BLOOMDALE RD (11)	HARDIN BLVD TO COMMUNITY AVE	2,587	6	P6D(1/3)	New	100%	780	2,293	12,108,000	0	2,293	\$ 5,165,390	\$ 5,165,390
D-16	WILMETH RD (5)	LAKE FOREST DR TO UNNAMED A	4,752	4	G4D	New	50%	700	1,260	6,653,000	0	1,260	\$ 10,991,050	\$ 5,495,525
D-17	WILMETH RD (6)	UNNAMED A TO 825' E OF UNNAMED A	845	4	G4D	86	100%	700	448	2,365,000	14	434	\$ 1,401,400	\$ 1,401,400
D-18	WILMETH RD (7)	825' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO 1.380' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR	581	2	G4D(1/2)	New	100%	700	154	813,000	0	154	\$ 411,600	\$ 411,600
D-19	WILMETH RD (8)	1,380' E OF TAYLOR-BURK DR TO HARDIN BLVD	2,218	4	G4D	86	50%	700	588	3,105,000	18	570	\$ 3,803,800	\$ 1,901,900
D-20	WILMETH RD (9)	HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75	6,125	2	M6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	1,624	8,575,000	0	1,624	\$ 2,799,600	\$ 2,799,600
D-21	LAKE FOREST DR (1)	LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 3,200' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	3,221	6	G6D	466	50%	700	1,281	6,764,000	142	1,139	\$ 6,448,400	\$ 3,224,200
34. D-22	LAKE FOREST DR (3)	WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380	5,174	2	M6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	686	3.622.000	0	686	\$ 2,350,800	\$ 1,175,400
D-23	UNNAMED A (1)	5.785' N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO 2.710' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	8,501	4	G4D	New	100%	700	4.508	23.802.000	0	4.508	\$ 17.435.950	\$ 17,435,950
D-24	UNNAMED A (2)	2.710' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY TO BLOOMDALE RD	2.587	4	G4D	New	50%	700	686	3.622.000	0	686	\$ 4.598.850	\$ 2,299,425
D-25	UNNAMED A (3)	1,105' N OF WILMETH RD TO WILMETH RD	1,109	4	G4D	86	50%	700	294	1,552,000	9	285	\$ 1,876,000	\$ 938,000
D-26	UNNAMED A (4)	WILMETH RD TO HARDIN BLVD	3,960	4	M4D	New	100%	700	2,100	11,088,000	0	2,100	\$ 5,969,050	\$ 5,969,050
D-27	TAYLOR-BURK DR (1)	HARDIN BLVD TO SKYLINE DR	1,478	4	M4D	New	100%	700	784	4.140.000	0	784	\$ 2.038.250	\$ 2.038.250
D-28	HARDIN BLVD (4)	UNNAMED 5 TO 1,550' S OF UNNAMED 5	1,531	6	G6D	New	50%	700	609	3,216,000	0	609	\$ 3,267,400	\$ 1,633,700
D-29	HARDIN BLVD (5)	1.545' S OF UNNAMED 5 TO TRINITY FALLS PKWY	7.286	6	G6D	New	100%	700	5.796	30,603,000	0	5.796	\$ 21,290,800	\$ 21,290,800
D-30	HARDIN BLVD (6)	TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,815' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY	1,795	6	G6D	12	50%	700	714	3,770,000	2	712	\$ 4,102,000	\$ 2,051,000
D-31	HARDIN BLVD (7)	1.815' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1.190' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	1,901	6	G6D	New	100%	700	1,512	7.983.000	0	1.512	\$ 4,538,400	\$ 4.538,400
D-32	HARDIN BLVD (8)	1.190' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO 3.590' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	2.376	2	G6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	630	3,326,000	0	630	\$ 1.070.400	\$ 1,070,400
D-33	HARDIN BLVD (9)	3.590' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	1.742	4	G6D(2/3)	New	50%	700	462	2,439,000	0	462	\$ 2,249,800	\$ 1,124,900
D-33 D-34	HARDIN BLVD (9)	WILMETH RD TO US HIGHWAY 380	6,494	2	G6D(2/3)	New	100%	700	1,722	9,092,000	0	1,722	\$ 2,904,000	\$ 2,904,000
D-34 D-35	COMMUNITY AVE (1)	TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO 1,275'S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY	1,267	4	G4D	387	100%	700	672	3,548,000	93	579	\$ 2,164,400	\$ 2,164,400
D-36	COMMUNITY AVE (1)	1,275' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY TO BLOOMDALE RD	2.640	2	G4D(1/2)	New	100%	700	700	3,696,000	0	700	\$ 1,960,000	\$ 1,960,000
D-30 D-37	COMMUNITY AVE (2)	BLOOMDALE RD TO 2,305' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	2,323	2	M4U(1/2)	New	100%	525	462	2,439,000	0	462	\$ 1,174,600	\$ 1,174,600
3	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & UNNAMED 5	2,323		W40(1/2)	INEW	50%	525	402	2,439,000	U	402	\$ 300,000	\$ 1,174,600
6	Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & LAKE FOREST DR					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
7	Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & UNNAMED A					100%						\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
8		LAUD HOWELL PKWY & HARDIN BLVD											\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
9	Signal						100%							
	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & TRINITY FALLS PKWY			_		75%						+	\$ 225,000
10	Roundabout	TRINITY FALLS PKWY & COMMUNITY AVE		.			50%						\$ 200,000	\$ 100,000
11	Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & LAUD HOWELL PKWY					50%	1					\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000
16	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & UNNAMED A	1	<u> </u>			25%	1					\$ 300,000	\$ 75,000
17	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & HARDIN BLVD	1	-			100%	1					\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
18	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & COMMUNITY AVE	1	!			100%	1					\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
19	Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & BLOOMDALE RD	1	!			50%	1					\$ 600,000	\$ 300,000
25	Roundabout	LAKE FOREST DR & WILMETH RD	1	1			50%						\$ 1,830,000	\$ 915,000
26	Roundabout	WILMETH RD & UNNAMED A	1	1			75%						\$ 200,000	\$ 150,000
27	Roundabout	HARDIN BLVD & WILMETH RD	1	1			75%	 					\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,575,000
32	Roundabout	HARDIN BLVD & TAYLOR-BURK DR	1	1			100%	 					\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
36	Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD		1			50%	l					\$ 780,682	\$ 390,341
JBTOTAL									59,041		1,923	57,118	\$ 225,290,659	\$ 189,016,118

\$ 11,692 TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA D \$ 189,027,810

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service Area E

roject ID #	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH (ft)	LANES	IMPACT FEE CLASSIFICATION	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH-MI CAPACITY PK-HR	PK-HR	VEH-FT SUPPLY PK-HR	VEH-MI TOTAL DEMAND	PK-HR	TOTAL PROJEC		TAL PROJECT ST IN SERVICE AREA
								PER LN	TOTAL	TOTAL	PK-HR	VEH-MI			
E-1	BLOOMDALE RD (12)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO REDBUD BLVD	2,534	2	P6D(1/3)	New	100%	780	749	3,954,000	0	749	\$ 955,20		955,200
E-2	BLOOMDALE RD (13)	REDBUD BLVD TO AIRPORT DR	2,693	4	P6D(2/3)	New	100%	780	1,591	8,402,000	0	1,591	\$ 3,361,40		3,361,400
E-3	WILMETH RD (10)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO 2,570' E OF REDBUD BLVD	4,118	2	M6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	1,092	5,766,000	0	1,092	\$ 1,935,60		1,935,600
E-4	WILMETH RD (11)	2,570' E OF REDBUD BLVD TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	2,165	4	M6D(2/3)	New	100%	700	1,148	6,061,000	0	1,148	\$ 2,608,20		2,608,200
E-5	WILMETH RD (12)	STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 2,100' E OF SH 5	2,112	6	M6D	New	100%	700	1,680	8,870,000	0	1,680	\$ 5,068,50		5,068,500
E-6	WILMETH RD (13)	2,100' E OF SH 5 TO 980' W OF AIRPORT DR	1,320	6	M6D	102	100%	675	1,013	5,346,000	26	987	\$ 2,804,20		2,804,200
E-7	WILMETH RD (14)	980' W OF AIRPORT DR TO 235' E OF AIRPORT DR	1,214	6	M6D	102	50%	700	483	2,550,000	12	471	\$ 3,417,40		1,708,700
E-8	WILMETH RD (15)	1,150' W OF FM 2933 TO 400' E OF FM 2933	1,531	6	M6D	233	50%	700	609	3,216,000	34	575	\$ 3,229,80) \$	1,614,900
E-9	REDBUD BLVD (1)	BLOOMDALE RD TO 2,930' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	2,904	4	M4D	162	100%	700	1,540	8,131,000	89	1,451	\$ 650,00	3 \$	650,000
E-10	REDBUD BLVD (2)	2,930' S OF BLOOMDALE RD TO WILMETH RD	1,637	2	M4D(1/2)	New	100%	700	434	2,292,000	0	434	\$ 852,60	\$	852,600
E-11	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (9)	US HIGHWAY 75 TO 2,620' S OF US HIGHWAY 75	2,640	6	M6D	200	50%	700	1,050	5,544,000	50	1,000	\$ 3,909,50	3 \$	1,954,750
E-12	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (10)	2,620' S OF US HIGHWAY 75 TO BLOOMDALE RD	1,637	6	M6D	200	100%	700	1,302	6,875,000	62	1,240	\$ 1,876,70	\$	1,876,700
E-13	LAUD HOWELL PKWY (11)	BLOOMDALE RD TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	845	6	P6D	332	100%	780	749	3,954,000	53	696	\$ 861,00) \$	861,000
E-14	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (1)	4,700' N OF AIRPORT DR TO 3,995' N OF AIRPORT D	686	6	M6D	675	50%	700	273	1,441,000	44	229	\$ 695,10	0 \$	347,550
E-15	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (2)	1,915' N OF AIRPORT DR TO US HIGHWAY 380	11,986	6	M6D	1,302	100%	700	9,534	50,340,000	2,956	6,578	\$ 13,994,40) \$	13,994,400
E-16	AIRPORT DR (1)	STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 4,070 S OF STATE HIGHWAY 5	4,066	6	P6D	New	100%	780	3,604	19,027,000	0	3,604	\$ 9,379,05	0 \$	9,379,050
E-17	AIRPORT DR (2)	WILMETH RD TO WOODLAWN RD (N)	2,798	6	P6D	New	100%	780	2,480	13,097,000	0	2,480	\$ 6,582,85) \$	6,582,850
E-18	AIRPORT DR (3)	WOODLAWN RD (N) TO WOODLAWN RD (S)	1,531	6	P6D	863	100%	780	1,357	7,166,000	250	1,107	\$ 3,648,40	0 \$	3,648,400
E-19	AIRPORT DR (4)	WOODLAWN RD (S) TO US HIGHWAY 380	3,854	6	P6D	New	100%	780	3,416	18,039,000	0	3,416	\$ 8,952,80) \$	8,952,800
E-20	UNNAMED C (1)	410' E OF STATE HIGHWAY 5 TO 3,010' E OF STATE H	2,587	2	P6D(1/3)	New	100%	780	764	4,036,000	0	764	\$ 986,40	O \$	986,400
E-21	UNNAMED C (2)	WILMETH RD TO 2,615' S OF WILMETH RD	2,640	6	P6D	106	50%	780	1,170	6,178,000	27	1,143	\$ 2,806,30	0 \$	1,403,150
11	Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & LAUD HOWELL PKWY					50%						\$ 600,00	0 \$	300,000
19	Interchange Signals	US HIGHWAY 75 & BLOOMDALE RD					50%						\$ 600,00	0 \$	300,000
20	Signal	BLOOMDALE RD & REDBUD BLVD					100%						\$ 300,00) \$	300,000
21	Signal	LAUD HOWELL PKWY & BLOOMDALE RD					100%						\$ 300,00	0 \$	300,000
22	Signal Mod	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & LAUD HOWELL PKWY					100%						\$ 150,00) \$	150,000
28	Signal	WILMETH RD & REDBUD BLVD					100%						\$ 300,00	O \$	300,000
29	Signal Mod	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & WILMETH RD					100%						\$ 150,00	0 \$	150,000
30	Signal	AIRPORT DR & WILMETH RD					50%						\$ 300,00	0 \$	150,000
31	Signal	UNNAMED C & WILMETH RD					50%						\$ 300,00	0 \$	150,000
41	Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR					50%						\$ 150,00	0 \$	75,000
UBTOTAL									36,038		3,602	32,437	\$ 81,725,40	0 \$	73,721,350

TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA E \$ 7

73,733,042

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service	e Area G			•									12/13/2019
Project ID #	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH (ft)	NES CLASSIFICATION	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH-MI CAPACITY PK-HR PER LN	VEH-MI SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-FT SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-MI TOTAL DEMAND PK-HR	EXCESS CAPACITY PK-HR VEH-MI		TOTAL PROJECT COST IN SERVICE AREA
		No Thoroughfare Ro	oadways within th	ne City Limits Currently P	resent withi	n Service Aı	ea F						
SUBTOTAL	=							0		0	0	\$ -	\$ -
													\$ 11,692

TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA F \$

11,692

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service Area G

	AICU O													
Project ID #	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH (ft)	LANES	IMPACT FEE CLASSIFICATION	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH-MI CAPACITY PK-HR PER LN	VEH-MI SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-FT SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-MI TOTAL DEMAND PK-HR	EXCESS CAPACITY PK-HR VEH-MI	L PROJECT COST	TOTAL PROJECT COST IN SERVICE AREA
G-1	VIRGINIA PKWY (1)	COIT RD TO 500' W OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY	4,752	2	M6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	1,260	6,653,000	0	1,260	\$ 2,158,800	\$ 2,158,800
G-2	VIRGINIA PKWY (2)	500' W OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY TO 325' E OF FORKHORN DR	1,742	2	M6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	231	1,220,000	0	231	\$ 794,400	\$ 397,200
G-3	VIRGINIA PKWY (3)	325' E OF FORKHORN DR TO 935' W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR	1,478	6	M6D(1/3)	1,755	50%	700	588	3,105,000	246	342	\$ 913,196	\$ 456,598
G-4	VIRGINIA PKWY (4)	935' W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR TO CUSTER RD	2,693	6	M6D(1/3)	1,620	100%	700	2,142	11,310,000	826	1,316	\$ 1,661,863	\$ 1,661,863
G-5	VIRGINIA PKWY (5)	CUSTER RD TO 410' E OF DANBURY RD	2,587	2	M6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	686	3,622,000	0	686	\$ 1,182,000	\$ 1,182,000
G-6	VIRGINIA PKWY (6)	410' E OF DANBURY RD TO VIRGINIA PARKLANDS BLVD	2,059	1	M6D(1/6)	New	100%	700	273	1,441,000	0	273	\$ 392,400	\$ 392,400
G-7	WESTRIDGE BLVD (1)	COIT RD TO 1,635' E OF COIT RD	1,637	2	M6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	217	1,146,000	0	217	\$ 745,200	\$ 372,600
G-8	WESTRIDGE BLVD (2)	1,635' E OF COIT RD TO 2,720' E OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY	6,442	2	M6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	1,708	9,018,000	0	1,708	\$ 2,931,600	\$ 2,931,600
G-9	WESTRIDGE BLVD (3)	2,720' E OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY TO CUSTER RD	2,640	2	M6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	350	1,848,000	0	350	\$ 1,192,800	\$ 596,400
H-1, G-10	ELDORADO PKWY (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	10,824	2	G6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	1,435	7,577,000	0	1,435	\$ 5,202,000	\$ 2,601,000
G-11	COIT RD (1)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 2,610' S OF VIRGINIA PKWY	8,078	2	M6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	1,071	5,655,000	0	1,071	\$ 3,681,600	\$ 1,840,800
G-12	COIT RD (2)	WESTRIDGE BLVD TO 2,595' S OF WESTRIDGE BLVD	2,587	2	M6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	343	1,811,000	0	343	\$ 1,184,400	\$ 592,200
G-13	INDEPENDENCE PKWY (1)	2,380' S OF US HIGHWAY 380 TO 4,465' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	2,059	2	M6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	546	2,883,000	0	546	\$ 951,600	\$ 951,600
G-14	INDEPENDENCE PKWY (2)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO 2,690' S OF WESTRIDGE BLVD	8,026	2	M6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	2,128	11,236,000	0	2,128	\$ 3,666,000	\$ 3,666,000
G-15	CUSTER RD (4)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO WESTRIDGE BLVD	10,718	6	P6D	2,821	100%	780	9,500	50,162,000	5,726	3,775	\$ 3,260,945	\$ 3,260,945
G-16	CUSTER RD (5)	WESTRIDGE BLVD TO ELDORADO PKWY	5,386	6	P6D	3,292	100%	780	4,774	25,205,000	3,358	1,416	\$ 1,476,398	\$ 1,476,398
G-17, I-8	RIDGE RD (8)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO CREEKSIDE DR	6,864	4	G4D	561	50%	700	1,820	9,610,000	365	1,455	\$ 550,813	\$ 275,407
33	Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & STONEBRIDGE DR					50%						\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000
34	Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & FOREST RIDGE LN					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
37	Signal	STONEBRIDGE DR & LACIMA DR					100%						\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
38	Signal	RIDGE RD & HABERSHAM WAY					50%						\$ 343,000	\$ 171,500
43	Signal	INDEPENDENCE PKWY & VIRGINIA PKWY					50%						\$ 80,000	\$ 40,000
44	Under Construction	VIRGINIA PKWY & RIDGE RD					50%						\$ 390,341	\$ 195,171
47	Signal	INDEPENDENCE PKWY & WESTRIDGE BLVD					100%	1					\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
48	Under Construction	CUSTER RD & WESTRIDGE BLVD					75%		_				\$ 390,341	\$ 292,756
49	Roundabout	STONEBRIDGE DR & GLEN OAKS DR	1				100%						\$ 1,950,000	\$ 1,950,000
50	Roundabout	RIDGE RD & GLEN OAKS DR					50%						\$ 2,640,000	\$ 1,320,000
55	Signal	STONEBRIDGE DR & ALMA DR					100%						\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
56	Signal	RIDGE RD & RUSH CREEK RD					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
57	Signal	RIDGE RD & BERKSHIRE RD					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
SUBTOTAL	-					•	•	•	29.072		10.520	18.552	\$ 39.689.697	\$ 30.208.237

11,692 30,219,929

TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA G \$

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service Area H

001 1100	C AICU II															
Project ID #	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH (ft)	LANES	IMPACT FEE CLASSIFICATION	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH-MI CAPACITY PK-HR PER LN	VEH-MI SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-FT SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-MI TOTAL DEMAND PK-HR	EXCESS CAPACITY PK-HR VEH-MI	тот	AL PROJECT COST	COST	L PROJECT IN SERVICE AREA
H-1, G-10	ELDORADO PKWY (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	10,830	2	G6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	1,436	7,581,000	0	1,436	\$	5,202,000	\$	2,601,000
H-2	SILVERADO TRL (1)	CUSTER RD TO BURNETT DR	1,930	4	M4D(1/2)	397	100%	700	1,023	5,404,000	145	879	\$	2,746,293	\$	2,746,293
H-3	SILVERADO TRL (2)	ALMA DR TO ALFALFA DR	1,170	4	M4D	424	100%	700	620	3,276,000	94	526	\$	141,088	\$	141,088
H-4	STACY RD (1)	CUSTER RD TO RIDGE RD	10,715	6	P6D(1/3)	2,065	100%	780	9,497	50,146,000	4,191	5,306	\$	4,479,991	\$	4,479,991
H-5	CUSTER RD (6)	ELDORADO PKWY TO STONEBRIDGE DR	2,040	6	P6D	3,416	100%	780	1,808	9,547,000	1,320	488	\$	622,725	\$	622,725
H-6	ALMA DR (1)	805' S OF BEAVER CREEK DR TO SILVERADO TRL	1,960	4	G4D	1,396	100%	700	1,039	5,488,000	518	521	\$	239,850	\$	239,850
H-7	ALMA DR (2)	SILVERADO TRL TO STACY RD	2,625	6	M6D(1/3)	1,642	100%	700	2,088	11,025,000	817	1,272	\$	1,522,102	\$	1,522,102
H-8, I-9	RIDGE RD (9)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY TO STACY RD	3,645	2	M6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	483	2,552,000	0	483	\$	1,662,000	\$	831,000
H-9	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (1)	RIDGE RD TO STACY RD	4,075	2	M6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	1,080	5,705,000	0	1,080	\$	1,857,600	\$	1,857,600
65	Signal	CUSTER RD & SILVERADO TRL					50%						\$	300,000	\$	150,000
66	Signal	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY & SILVERADO TRL					100%						\$	300,000	\$	300,000
71	Signal	STACY RD & MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY					100%						\$	300,000	\$	300,000
75	Signal	CUSTER RD & PARADISE DR					50%						\$	300,000	\$	150,000
76	Signal	STACY RD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY					50%						\$	300,000		150,000
77	Signal	ALMA DR & HENNEMAN WAY					100%						\$	300,000	\$	300,000
SUBTOTAL	=	_			•				19,076		7,085	11,991	\$	20,273,649	\$	16,391,649
		_								•				•	\$	11,692

TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA H \$

16,403,341

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update City of McKinney, Texas

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service Area I

0011100	Aicui													
Project ID #	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH (ft)	LANES	IMPACT FEE CLASSIFICATION	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH-MI CAPACITY PK-HR PER LN	VEH-MI SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-FT SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-MI TOTAL DEMAND PK-HR	EXCESS CAPACITY PK-HR VEH-MI	L PROJECT COST	TOTAL PROJECT COST IN SERVIC AREA
I-1	VIRGINIA PKWY (7)	1035' E OF RIDGE RD TO 1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD	7,550	6	M6D	2,802	100%	700	6,006	31,712,000	4,006	2,000	\$ 2,567,378	\$ 2,567,37
I-2	VIRGINIA PKWY (8)	1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD TO HARDIN BLVD	1,373	6	M6D	2,740	100%	700	1,092	5,766,000	712	380	\$ 531,979	\$ 531,97
I-3	ELDORADO PKWY (2)	RIDGE RD TO HARDIN BLVD	10,930	2	G6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	2,898	15,301,000	0	2,898	\$ 4,857,600	\$ 4,857,60
I-4	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (2)	RIDGE RD TO HARDIN BLVD	9,504	2	M6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	2,520	13,306,000	0	2,520	\$ 4,338,000	\$ 4,338,00
I-5	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (2)	LAKE FOREST DR TO COTTONWOOD CREEK	1,637	4	G4D	New	100%	700	868	4,583,000	0	868	\$ 1,777,105	\$ 1,777,10
I-6	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (3)	COTTONWOOD CREEK TO 1110' E OF TINA DR	2,904	4	G4D(1/2)	200	100%	700	1,540	8,131,000	110	1,430	\$ 3,221,002	\$ 3,221,00
I-7	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (4)	1110' E OF TINA TO HARDIN BLVD	1,003	4	G4D	New	100%	700	532	2,809,000	0	532	\$ 1,101,893	\$ 1,101,89
G-17, I-8	RIDGE RD (8)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO CREEKSIDE DR	6,864	4	G4D	561	50%	700	1,820	9,610,000	365	1,455	\$ 550,813	\$ 275,40
H-8, I-9	RIDGE RD (9)	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY TO STACY RD	3,643	2	M6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	483	2,550,000	0	483	\$ 1,662,000	\$ 831,00
I-10, J-6	HARDIN BLVD (11)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO VIRGINIA PKWY	8,342	6	G6D(1/3)	1,402	50%	700	3,318	17,519,000	1,108	2,210	\$ 13,584,097	\$ 6,792,04
I-11, J-7	HARDIN BLVD (12)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	13,939	2	G6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	1,848	9,757,000	0	1,848	\$ 6,208,800	\$ 3,104,40
35	Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & AUBURN HILLS PKWY					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,00
36	Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD					25%						\$ 780,682	\$ 195,17
38	Signal	RIDGE RD & HABERSHAM WAY					50%						\$ 343,000	\$ 171,50
44	Under Construction	VIRGINIA PKWY & RIDGE RD					50%						\$ 390,341	\$ 195,17
45	Signal	VIRGINIA PKWY & JOPLIN DR					100%						\$ 300,000	\$ 300,00
46	Signal	VIRGINIA PKWY & VILLAGE DR					100%						\$ 300,000	\$ 300,00
50	Roundabout	RIDGE RD & GLEN OAKS DR					50%						\$ 2,640,000	\$ 1,320,00
51	Roundabout	LAKE FOREST DR & GLEN OAKS DR					100%						\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,900,00
56	Signal	RIDGE RD & RUSH CREEK RD					50%	-					\$ 300,000	\$ 150,00
57	Signal	RIDGE RD & BERKSHIRE RD					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,00
58	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & MAVERICK TRL					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,00
59	Signal	ELDORADO PKWY & WOODSON DR		_			100%		_				\$ 300,000	\$ 300,00
60	Signal	ELDORADO PKWY & HIGHLANDS DR		1			100%						\$ 300,000	\$ 300,00
72	Signal	LAKE FOREST DR & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY					100%						\$ 300,000	\$ 300,00
73	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,00
76	Signal	STACY RD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,00
SUBTOTAL	<u>-</u>								22,925		6,301	16,624	\$ 49,754,690	\$ 35,579,65

\$ 11,692 TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA I \$ 35,591,345

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update City of McKinney, Texas

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service Area J

Service	e Area J														12/13/2019
Project ID #	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH (ft)	LANES	IMPACT FEE CLASSIFICATION	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH-MI CAPACITY PK-HR PER LN	VEH-MI SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-FT SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-MI TOTAL DEMAND PK-HR	EXCESS CAPACITY PK-HR VEH-MI	тот	AL PROJECT COST	TOTAL PROJECT COST IN SERVICE AREA
J-1	WHITE AVE (1)	HARDIN BLVD TO BOIS D'ARC RD	898	4	M4D	625	100%	700	476	2,513,000	106	370	\$	981,328	\$ 981,328
J-2	WHITE AVE (2)	BOIS D'ARC RD TO COMMUNITY AVE	4,910	4	M4D	714	100%	700	2,604	13,749,000	664	1,940	\$	213,991	\$ 213,991
J-3	VIRGINIA PKWY (9)	HARDIN BLVD TO US HIGHWAY 75	8,448	6	M6D	2,163	100%	700	427	2,255,000	3,461	-3,034	\$	3,267,873	\$ 3,267,873
J-4	ELDORADO PKWY (3)	710' E OF US HIGHWAY 75 TO 1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY	5,702	2	G6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	427	2,255,000	0	427	\$	4,047,600	\$ 4,047,600
J-5	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (5)	2,100' E OF HARDIN BLVD TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	1,742	2	M3U	New	100%	550	363	1,917,000	0	363	\$	1,953,000	\$ 1,953,000
I-10, J-6	HARDIN BLVD (11)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO VIRGINIA PKWY	8,342	6	G6D(1/3)	1,402	50%	700	3,318	17,519,000	1,108	2,210	\$	13,584,097	\$ 6,792,049
I-11, J-7	HARDIN BLVD (12)	VIRGINIA PKWY TO MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	13,939	2	G6D(1/3)	New	50%	700	1,848	9,757,000	0	1,848	\$	6,208,800	\$ 3,104,400
J-8	MEDICAL CENTER DR	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 1,685' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	1,690	4	M4D	760	100%	700	896	4,731,000	243	653	\$	2,240,000	\$ 2,240,000
J-9, K-7	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)	640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO STATE HIGHWAY 121	2,482	6	M6D	4,141	50%	700	987	5,211,000	973	14	\$	4,647,300	\$ 2,323,650
J-10, K-8	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	3,538	4	M4D	1,281	50%	700	938	4,953,000	429	509	\$	2,223,200	\$ 1,111,600
J-11	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (6)	3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 4,520' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	1,003	4	M4D	1,281	50%	700	266	1,404,000	122	144	\$	627,900	\$ 313,950
36	Under Construction	US HIGHWAY 380 & HARDIN BLVD					25%						\$	780,682	\$ 195,171
39	Signal	WHITE AVE & JORDAN RD					100%						\$	300,000	\$ 300,000
58	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & MAVERICK TRL					50%						\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
61	Intersection Improvements	ELDORADO PKWY & CRAIG DR					100%						\$	150,000	\$ 150,000
62	Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & STEWART RD					50%						\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
67	Signal	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY					100%						\$	300,000	\$ 300,000
68	Signal	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY & CRAIG DR	_				100%						\$	300,000	\$ 300,000
69	Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & ENTERPRISE DR					50%						\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
73	Signal	HARDIN BLVD & COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	4				50%						\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
SUBTOTAL								J	12,550		7,106	5,444	\$	43,025,771	\$ 28,194,611
															¢ 44.602

11,692 28,206,303 TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA J \$

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service Area K

Project ID #	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH (ft)	LANES	IMPACT FEE CLASSIFICATION	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH-MI CAPACITY PK-HR PER LN	VEH-MI SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-FT SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-MI TOTAL DEMAND PK-HR	EXCESS CAPACITY PK-HR VEH-MI	TOTAL PI		TOTAL PROJECT COST IN SERVICE AREA
K-1	VIRGINIA STREET	225' W OF AIRPORT DR TO AIRPORT DR	211	2	2UO	New	100%	500	40	211,000	0	40	\$	779,650	\$ 779,650
K-2	ELDORADO PKWY (4)	1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY TO STATE HIGHWAY 5	2,112	2	G6D(1/3)	New	100%	700	560	2,957,000	0	560	\$ 1,0	,699,200	\$ 1,699,200
K-3	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (1)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO AIRPORT DR	7,709	2	P6D(1/3)	New	100%	780	2,278	12,026,000	0	2,278	\$ 1,8	,839,600	\$ 1,839,600
K-4, L-1	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (2)	AIRPORT DR TO 980' E OF AIRPORT DR	1,003	2	P6D(1/3)	New	50%	780	148	782,000	0	148	\$	234,600	\$ 117,300
K-5, L-2	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (3)	975' E OF AIRPORT DR TO 510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	3,168	6	P6D	New	50%	780	1,404	7,413,000	0	1,404	\$ 3,2	267,400	\$ 1,633,700
K-6	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (3)	INDUSTRIAL BLVD TO 640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	2,112	6	M6D	4,141	100%	700	1,680	8,870,000	1,656	24	\$ 2,0	064,300	\$ 2,064,300
J-9, K-7	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)	640' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO STATE HIGHWAY 121	2,482	6	M6D	4,141	50%	700	987	5,211,000	973	14	\$ 4,6	647,300	\$ 2,323,650
J-10, K-8	STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5)	STATE HIGHWAY 121 TO 3,525' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	3,538	4	M4D	1,281	50%	700	938	4,953,000	429	509	\$ 2,3	,223,200	\$ 1,111,600
K-9, L-6	AIRPORT DR (5)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	13,886	2	P6D(1/3)	New	50%	780	2,051	10,831,000	0	2,051	\$ 6,3	322,800	\$ 3,161,400
K-10, L-7	AIRPORT DR (6)	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	475	6	P6D	43	50%	780	211	1,112,000	2	209	\$ 2,9	925,200	\$ 1,462,600
40	Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & SMITH ST					100%						\$:	300,000	\$ 300,000
41	Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR					50%						\$	150,000	\$ 75,000
52	Roundabout	WILSON CREEK PKWY & PARK VIEW AVE					100%						\$ 1,8	820,000	\$ 1,820,000
53	Signal	WILSON CREEK PKWY & COLLEGE ST					100%						\$:	300,000	\$ 300,000
54	Signal	AIRPORT DR & ELM ST					50%						\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
62	Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & STEWART RD					50%						\$:	300,000	\$ 150,000
63	Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 & AIRPORT DR					75%	P					\$	300,000	\$ 225,000
69	Signal	STATE HIGHWAY 5 & ENTERPRISE DR					50%						\$:	300,000	\$ 150,000
SUBTOTAL									10,297		3,061	7,236	\$ 29,	773,250	\$ 19,363,000

\$ 11,692 TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA K \$ 19,374,692

2018 - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Update City of McKinney, Texas

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service	Area L							4						12/13/2019
Project ID #	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH (ft)	LANES	IMPACT FEE CLASSIFICATION	PEAK HOUR VOLUME		VEH-MI CAPACITY PK-HR PER LN	VEH-MI SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-FT SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-MI TOTAL DEMAND PK-HR	EXCESS CAPACITY PK-HR VEH-MI	TOTAL PROJECT COST	TOTAL PROJECT COST IN SERVICE AREA
K-4, L-1		AIRPORT DR TO 980' E OF AIRPORT DR	1,003	2	P6D(1/3)	New	50%	780	148	782,000	0	148	\$ 234,600	
K-5, L-2		975' E OF AIRPORT DR TO 510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	3,168	6	P6D	New	50%	780	1,404	7,413,000	0	1,404	\$ 3,267,400	
L-3	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (1)	510' W OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 1,335' E OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)	1,848	6	P6D	New	100%	780	1,638	8,649,000	0	1,638	\$ 2,153,725	\$ 2,153,725
L-4	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (2)	3,480' W OF UNNAMED D TO UNNAMED D	3,485	6	P6D	New	100%	780	3,089	16,309,000	0	3,089	\$ 3,843,225	\$ 3,843,225
L-5	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (1)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) TO 1,865' E OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	1,848	6	M6D	New	100%	700	1,470	7,762,000	0	1,470	\$ 2,166,900	\$ 2,166,900
K-9, L-6	AIRPORT DR (5)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	13,886	2	P6D(1/3)	New	50%	780	2,051	10,831,000	0	2,051	\$ 6,322,800	\$ 3,161,400
K-10, L-7	AIRPORT DR (6)	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	475	6	P6D	43	50%	780	211	1,112,000	2	209	\$ 2,925,200	\$ 1,462,600
L-8	UNNAMED C (3)	US HIGHWAY 380 TO 2,435' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	2,429	6	P6D	New	100%	780	2,153	11,367,000	0	2,153	\$ 5,807,850	\$ 5,807,850
L-9, M-5	UNNAMED C (4)	2,525' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 1,230' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	1,320	6	P6D	New	50%	780	585	3,089,000	0	585	\$ 2,830,300	\$ 1,415,150
L-10, M-6	UNNAMED C (5)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 705' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	4,594	6	P6D	New	50%	780	2,036	10,749,000	0	2,036	\$ 10,002,150	\$ 5,001,075
41	Signal Mod	US HIGHWAY 380 & AIRPORT DR					50%						\$ 150,000	\$ 75,000
42	Signal	US HIGHWAY 380 & UNNAMED C					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
54	Signal	AIRPORT DR & ELM ST					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
63	Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 & AIRPORT DR					75%						\$ 300,000	\$ 225,000
70	Signal	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)					100%						\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
74	Signal	UNNAMED C & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)					50%						\$ 300,000	\$ 150,000
SUBTOTAL									14,785		2	14,783	\$ 41,204,150	\$ 27,812,925

2 14,783 \$ 41,204,150 \$ 27,812,925 TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA L \$ 27,824,617

RIP Service Units of Supply

Service Area M

OCI VIO	C Al Ca III														
Project ID #	ROADWAY	LIMITS	LENGTH (ft)	LANES	IMPACT FEE CLASSIFICATION	PEAK HOUR VOLUME	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH-MI CAPACITY PK-HR PER LN	VEH-MI SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-FT SUPPLY PK-HR TOTAL	VEH-MI TOTAL DEMAND PK-HR	EXCESS CAPACITY PK-HR VEH-MI	TOTAL PI		TOTAL PROJECT COST IN SERVICE AREA
M-1	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (2)	110' E OF UNNAMED C TO 300' E OF UNNAMED C	211	6	M6D	New	50%	700	84	444,000	0	84	\$	196,075	\$ 98,038
M-2		1,700' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 2,050' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	370	6	M6D	New	50%	700	147	776,000	0	147	\$	361,150	\$ 180,575
M-3	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (4)	405' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 675' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	264	6	M6D	New	50%	700	105	554,000	0	105	\$	279,000	\$ 139,500
M-4	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (3)	UNNAMED C TO 695' E OF UNNAMED C	686	6	P6D	New	100%	780	608	3,212,000	0	608	\$	719,975	\$ 719,975
L-9, M-5	UNNAMED C (4)	2,525' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 TO 1,230' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	1,320	6	P6D	New	50%	780	585	3,089,000	0	585	\$ 2,	830,300	\$ 1,415,150
L-10, M-6	UNNAMED C (5)	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) TO 705' S OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)	4,594	6	P6D	New	50%	780	2,036	10,749,000	0	2,036	\$ 10,0	002,150	\$ 5,001,075
64	Signal	UNNAMED C & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N)					50%						\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
74	Signal	UNNAMED C & HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S)					50%						\$	300,000	\$ 150,000
SUBTOTA	L							_	3,565		0	3,565	\$ 14,	988,650	\$ 7,854,313
															\$ 11,692

TOTAL COST IN SERVICE AREA B \$ 7,866,005





Appendix C – Existing Roadway Facilities Inventory

Service Area A

								Р	М	% IN	VEI	I-MI	VE	H-MI	VEI	H-MI	EXC	ESS	EXIS	TING
ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH	LENGTH	EXIS	т Г	TYPE	PE	AK	SERVICE	CAP	ACITY	SUI	PPLY	DEM	IAND	CAPA	CITY	DEFICI	ENCIES
			(ft)	(mi)	LANE	S		но	UR	AREA	PK	-HR	PK	-HR	PK	-HR	PK-	-HR	PK-	-HR
									OL			R LN		TAL		TAL	VEH			H-MI
					NB/EB SI	B/WB		NB/EB	SB/WB		NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB
UNNAMED 5	RIDGE RD	1,505' E OF RIDGE RD	1,504	0.28	1	1	2U	50	50	50%	475	475	68	68	7	7	61	61		
SUBTOTAL			1,504	0.28									68	68	7	7	61	61	0	0
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>							•		•	·	1	35	1	4	12	21	(J



Service Area B

ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH (ft)	LENGTH (mi)	EXI		TYPE	PE HO	M AK UR OL	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH CAPA PK- PER	HR	SUF	H-MI PPLY -HR TAL	DEM PK		EXC CAPA PK- VEH	-HR		
					NB/EB	SB/WB		NB/EB	SB/WB		NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB
HARDIN BLVD	CR 204	CR 206	433	0.08	1	1	2U	50	50	50%	475	475	19	19	2	2	17	17		
HARDIN BLVD	1,670' N OF MELISSA RD	MELISSA RD	1,668	0.32	1	1	2U	50	50	50%	475	475	75	75	8	8	67	67		
HARDIN BLVD	MELISSA RD	730' S OF MELISSA RD	730	0.14	1	1	2U	50	50	50%	475	475	33	33	3	3	29	29		
SUBTOTAL			2,831	0.54									127	127	13	13	114	114	0	0
			·		-								2	55	2	7	22	28	()

Service Area C

ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH (ft)	LENGTH (mi)	LA	(IST NES	TYPE	PE HC V	M AK DUR DL	% IN SERVICE AREA	CAP/ PK PEI	H-MI ACITY -HR R LN	SUF PK TO	H-MI PPLY -HR TAL	DEN PK TO	H-MI MAND K-HR DTAL	CAPA PK- VEI	ESS ACITY -HR H-MI	DEFICII PK- VEH	-HR H-MI
						SB/WB			SB/WB										NB/EB	SB/W
JS HIGHWAY 380	RIDGE RD	LAKE FOREST DR	5,517	1.04	3	3	6D	2,025	2,025	100%	780	780	2,445	2,445				329		
JS HIGHWAY 380	CUSTER RD	STONEBRIDGE DR	3,371	0.64	3	3	6D	1,679	1,731	100%	780	780	1,494	1,494	1,072			389	ļ	
JS HIGHWAY 380	STONEBRIDGE DR	RIDGE RD	7,516	1.42	3	3	6D	1,913	1,913	100%	780	780	3,331	3,331	2,724	2,724	608	608		
AKE FOREST DR	WILMETH RD	US HIGHWAY 380	5,153	0.98	2	2	4D	922	922	50%	700	700	683	683	450	450	233	233	<u> </u>	
WILMETH RD	265' W OF SUNNYSIDE DR	LAKE FOREST DR	1,692	0.32	2	2	4D	249	249	100%	700	700	449	449	80	80	369	369		
WILMETH RD	3,230' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	995' W OF RIDGE RD	1,701	0.32	1	1	2U	17	17	50%	475	475	77	77	3	3	74	74		
CUSTER RD	BLOOMDALE RD	375' N OF WILMETH RD	3,623	0.69	1	1	2U	549	562	50%	475	475	163	163	188	193	-25	-30	25	30
BLOOMDALE RD	1,310' E OF CUSTER RD	2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR	2,104	0.40	1	1	2U	187	129	50%	475	475	95	95	37	26	57	69		
BLOOMDALE RD	STONEBRIDGE DR	3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	3,243	0.61	1	1	2U	187	129	100%	475	475	292	292	115	79	177	213		
RIDGE RD	1,590' N OF WILMETH RD	WILMETH RD	1,591	0.30	1	1	2U	39	48	50%	475	475	72	72	6	7	66	64		
BLOOMDALE RD	3,400' W OF LAKE FOREST DR	LAKE FOREST DR	3,402	0.64	1	1	2U	58	58	50%	475	475	153	153	19	19	134	134		
AKE FOREST DR	BLOOMDALE RD	WILMETH RD	5,410	1.02	2	2	4D	421	421	50%	700	700	717	717	216	216	502	502		
AUD HOWELL PKWY	700' W OF CUSTER RD	CUSTER RD	695	0.13	1	1	2U	271	271	50%	475	475	31	31	18	18	13	13		
AUD HOWELL PKWY	1,985' W OF RIDGE RD	RIDGE RD	1,984	0.38	1	1	2U	271	271	50%	475	475	89	89	51	51	38	38		
CUSTER RD	2,655 N OF BLOOMDALE RD	BLOOMDALE RD	2,654	0.50	1	1	2U	309	306	50%	475	475	119	119	78	77	42	42		
CUSTER RD	1,855' N OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	LAUD HOWELL PKWY	1,853	0.35	_ 1	1	2U	309	306	50%	475	475	83	83	54	54	29	30		1
RIDGE RD	UNNAMED 5	1,485' S OF UNNAMED 5	1,486	0.28	1	1	2U	50	50	50%	475	475	67	67	7	7	60	60		
RIDGE RD	BAXTER WELL RD	BLOOMDALE RD	2,225	0.42	1	1	2U	5	4	50%	475	475	100	100	1	1	99	99		
RIDGE RD	BLOOMDALE RD	1,315' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	1,316	0.25	1	1	2U	39	48	50%	475	475	59	59	5	6	54	53		
RIDGE RD	1,315' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	2,160' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	846	0.16	1	1	2U	39	48	50%	475	475	38	38	3	4	35	34		
JNNAMED 5	RIDGE RD	1,505' E OF RIDGE RD	1,504	0.28	1 .	1	2U	50	50	50%	475	475	68	68	7	7	61	61		
AUD HOWELL PKWY	CUSTER RD	1,225' E OF CUSTER RD	1,227	0.23	1	1	2U	271	271	100%	475	475	110	110	63	63	47	47		
AUD HOWELL PKWY	1,225' E OF CUSTER RD	2,070' E OF CUSTER RD	844	0.16	1	1	2U	271	271	50%	475	475	38	38	22	22	16	16		
AUD HOWELL PKWY	840' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	2,905' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	2,067	0.39	1	1	2U	271	271	50%	475	475	93	93	53	53	40	40		
AUD HOWELL PKWY	RIDGE RD	1,230' E OF RIDGE RD	1,230	0.23	1	1	2U	271	271	50%	475	475	55	55	32	32	24	24	† †	
BLOOMDALE RD	CUSTER RD	1,310' E OF CUSTER RD	1,308	0.25	1	1	2U	187	129	100%	475	475	118	118	46	32	71	86		
BLOOMDALE RD	2,030' W OF STONEBRIDGE DR	STONEBRIDGE DR	2,030	0.38	1	1	2U	187	129	100%	475	475	183	183	72	50	111	133		
BLOOMDALE RD	3,245' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	695' W OF RIDGE RD	1,366	0.26	1	1	2U	187	129	50%	475	475	61	61	24	17	37	45	† †	
BLOOMDALE RD	695' W OF RIDGE RD	RIDGE RD	696	0.13	1	1	2U	187	129	100%	475	475	63	63	25	17	38	46	† †	
WILMETH RD	815' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	1,450' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	634	0.12	1	1	2U	17	17	50%	475	475	29	29	1	1	27	27		<u> </u>
WILMETH RD	1,575' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	2,295' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	719	0.14	1	1	2U	17	17	50%	475	475	32	32	1	1	31	31	1	
WILMETH RD	2,445' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	2,730' E OF STONEBRIDGE DR	286	0.05	1	1	2U	17	17	50%	475	475	13	13	0	0	12	12		
WILMETH RD	RIDGE RD	585' E OF RIDGE RD	585	0.11	1	1	2U	66	66	100%	475	475	53	53	7	7	45	45		
WILMETH RD	RIDGEWAY DR	RIDGEKNOLL AVE	1,222	0.23	2	2	4D	66	66	100%	700	700	324	324	15	15	309	309		
WILMETH RD	585' E OF RIDGE RD	1.095' E OF RIDGE RD	508	0.10	2	2	4D	66	66	100%	700	700	135	135	6	6	128	128	†	
WILMETH RD	1.095' E OF RIDGE RD	1.365' E OF RIDGE RD	268	0.05	1	1	2U	66	66	50%	475	475	12	12	2	2	10	10		\vdash
WILMETH RD	RIDGEKNOLL AVE	265' W OF SUNNYSIDE DR	1,304	0.25	2	2	4D	249	249	100%	700	700	346	346	62	62	284	284	†	1
SUBTOTAL			75.180	14.24	-	+	1	-	-			 	12.288	12.288		7,620	4.609	4.669	25	30

Service Area D

ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH	LENGTH	FY	IST	TYPE		PM EAK	% IN SERVICE		H-MI ACITY		H-MI PPLY		I-MI	_	ESS ACITY	DEFICIE	TING
RUADWAT	PROW	10	(ft)	(mi)		NES	IIFE		DUR	AREA		-HR		-HR		-HR	_	-HR	_	-HR
			(11)	(1111)	LA	NLO			OL	ANLA		RLN		TAL		TAL		H-MI		H-MI
					NB/EB	SB/WB			ISB/WB						NB/EB			SB/WB		
US HIGHWAY 380	COMMUNITY AVE	US HIGHWAY 75	2357	0.45	3	3	6D /	2441	2441	100%	780	780	1,044	1,044	1,090	1,090	-45	-45	45	45
US HIGHWAY 380	HARDIN BLVD	COMMUNITY AVE	5281	1.00	3	3	6D	2169	2169	100%	780	780	2,340	2,340	2,170	2,170	171	171		
US HIGHWAY 380	LAKE FOREST DR	HARDIN BLVD	5,496	1.04	3	3	6D	2583	2583	100%	780	780	2,436	2,436	2,689	2,689	-253	-253	253	25
COMMUNITY AVE	TAYLOR-BURK DR	US HIGHWAY 380	2,389	0.45	2	2	4U	790	790	100%	525	525	475	475	358	358	117	117		1
TAYLOR-BURK DR	COMMUNITY AVE	US HIGHWAY 75	2,526	0.48	1	1	2U	329	234	100%	475	475	227	227	158	112	70	115		1
TAYLOR-BURK DR	SKYLINE DR	COMMUNITY AVE	2,840	0.54	1	1	2U	150	140	100%	475	475	256	256	81	76	175	180		
HARDIN BLVD	TAYLOR-BURK DR	US HIGHWAY 380	2,879	0.55	2	2	4D	340	340	100%	700	700	763	763	185	185	578	578		
COMMUNITY AVE	WILMETH RD	TAYLOR-BURK DR	2,436	0.46	2	2	4U	293	293	100%	525	525	484	484	135	135	349	349		1
WILMETH RD	COMMUNITY AVE	US HIGHWAY 75	2,275	0.43	2	2	4D	615	615	100%	700	700	603	603	265	265	338	338		1
LAKE FOREST DR	WILMETH RD	US HIGHWAY 380	5,153	0.98	2	2	4D	922	922	50%	700	700	683	683	450	450	233	233		
WILMETH RD	1,380' E OF UNNAMED A	HARDIN BLVD	2,240	0.42	1	1	2U	43	43	50%	475	475	101	101	9	9	92	92		
HARDIN BLVD	WILMETH RD	TAYLOR-BURK DR	3,641	0.69	2	2	4D	340	340	100%	700	700	965	965	234	234	731	731		t
WILMETH RD	HARDIN BLVD	COMMUNITY AVE	3,866	0.73	2	2	4D	470	470	100%	700	700	1,025	1,025	344	344	681	681		
COMMUNITY AVE	BLOOMDALE RD	2,305' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	2,306	0.44	1	1	2U	356	356	100%	475	475	207	207	155	155	52	52		
BLOOMDALE RD	COMMUNITY AVE	US HIGHWAY 75	2,548	0.48	3	3	6D	260	260	100%	780	780	1,129	1,129	125	125	1,004	1,004		
HARDIN BLVD	1,190' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	3,590' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	2,398	0.45	2	2	4D	35	35	100%	700	700	636	636	16	16	620	620		t
COMMUNITY AVE	1,275' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY	BLOOMDALE RD	2,654	0.50	1	1	2U	193	193	100%	475	475	239	239	97	97	142	142		1
HARDIN BLVD	TRINITY FALLS PKWY	1,815' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY	1,817	0.34	1	1	2UG	6	6	50%	150	150	26	26	1	1	25	25		1
TRINITY FALLS PKWY	HARDIN BLVD	1,910' E OF HARDIN BLVD	1,908	0.36	1	1	2U	50	50	100%	475	475	172	172	18	18	154	154		
LAUD HOWELL PKWY	240' N OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY	US HIGHWAY 75	2,018	0.38	2	2	4D	401	401	100%	700	700	535	535	153	153	382	382		
LAKE FOREST DR	LAUD HOWELL PKWY	3,200' S OF LAUD HOWELL PKWY	3,198	0.61	1	1	2U	233	233	50%	475	475	144	144	71	71	73	73		1
TRINITY FALLS PKWY	4,275' N OF WESTON RD	WESTON RD	4,273	0.81	2	2	4D	618	611	100%	700	700	1,133	1,133	500	495	633	638		1
HARDIN BLVD	3,590' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	295' N OF WILMETH RD	1,460	0.28	1	1	2U	35	35	50%	475	475	66	66	5	5	61	61		1
UNNAMED A	1,100' N OF WILMETH RD	WILMETH RD	1,103	0.21	1	1	2U	43	43	50%	475	475	50	50	5	5	45	45		1
TRINITY FALLS PKWY	1,910' E OF HARDIN BLVD	2,670' E OF HARDIN BLVD	763	0.14	1	1	2U	50	50	100%	475	475	69	69	7	7	61	61		1
COMMUNITY AVE	TRINITY FALLS PKWY	1,275' S OF TRINITY FALLS PKWY	1,274	0.24	1	1	2U	193	193	100%	475	475	115	115	47	47	68	68		
COMMUNITY AVE	2,305' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	WILMETH RD	2,151	0.41	2	2	4U	356	356	100%	525	525	428	428	145	145	283	283		
BLOOMDALE RD	1,820' W OF UNNAMED A	UNNAMED A	1,822	0.34	1	1	2U	6	6	50%	475	475	82	82	1	1	81	81		1
BLOOMDALE RD	1,485' E OF CR 1006	1,215' W OF CR 1007	1,537	0.29	1	1	2UG	6	6	100%	150	150	44	44	2	2	42	42		
BLOOMDALE RD	1,215' W OF CR 1007	CR 1007	1,224	0.23	1	1	2UG	6	6	50%	150	150	17	17	1	1	17	17	†	
WILMETH RD	UNNAMED A	825' E OF UNNAMED A	825	0.16	1	1	2U	43	43	100%	475	475	74	74	7	7	67	67		1
WILMETH RD	825' E OF UNNAMED A	1,380' E OF UNNAMED A	557	0.11	1	1	2U	43	43	100%	475	475	50	50	5	5	46	46		1
UNNAMED A	1,800' N OF WILMETH RD	1,100' N OF WILMETH RD	698	0.13	1	1	2U	43	43	50%	475	475	31	31	3	3	29	29		t
HARDIN BLVD	295' N OF WILMETH RD	WILMETH RD	294	0.06	2	2	4D	35	35	50%	700	700	39	39	1	1	38	38		t
TRINITY FALLS PKWY	WESTON RD	LAUD HOWELL PKWY	1,257	0.24	1	1	2U	618	611	100%	475	475	113	113	147	146	-34	-32	34	32
SUBTOTAL			81,465	15.43	Ì	İ							16,802	16,802	9,678	9,621	7,124	7,181	332	33
			,				•	•	•		•		/	603		298		305		63

Service Area E

ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH (ft)	LENGTH (mi)	LAI	IST NES	TYPE	PE HO V	M AK UR OL	% IN SERVICE AREA	VEH CAPA PK- PER	CITY HR LN	SUF PK TO	H-MI PPLY I-HR TAL	DEN PK TO	H-MI IAND -HR TAL	CAPA PK	CESS ACITY -HR H-MI	DEFICIE PK- VEH	-HR H-MI
					NB/EB	SB/WB		NB/EB	SB/WB		NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/W
JS HIGHWAY 380	THROCKMORTON ST	AIRPORT DR	2452	0.46	3	3	6D	1515	1515	100%	780	780	1,087	1,087	703	703	383	383		
US HIGHWAY 380	STATE HIGHWAY 5	THROCKMORTON ST	2595	0.49	3	3	6D	1492	1492	100%	780	780	1,150		733	733	417	417		
US HIGHWAY 380	TENNESSEE ST	STATE HIGHWAY 5	683	0.13	3	3	6D	1638	1638	100%	780	780	303	303	212	212	91	91		
REDBUD BLVD	US HIGHWAY 380	WHITE AVE	3,146	0.60	2	2	4D	208	276	100%	700	700	834	834	124	164	710	670		
US HIGHWAY 380	GRAVES ST	TENNESSEE ST	4,618	0.87	3	3	6D	2024	2024	100%	780	780	2,047	2,047	1,770	1,770	277	277		
TENNESSEE ST	STATE HIGHWAY 5	US HIGHWAY 380	1,405	0.27	2	2	4U	194	270	100%	525	525	279	279	52	72	228	208		
STATE HIGHWAY 5	TENNESSEE ST	US HIGHWAY 380	1,785	0.34	2	2	4D	651	651	100%	700	700	473	473	220	220	253	253		
US HIGHWAY 380	US HIGHWAY 75	REDBUD BLVD	1,479	0.28	3	3	6D	1935	1935	100%	780	780	655	655	542	542	114	114		
REDBUD BLVD	BRAY CENTRAL DR	US HIGHWAY 380	2,846	0.54	2	2	4D	322	370	100%	700	700	755	755	174	199	581	555		
BRAY CENTRAL DR	US HIGHWAY 75	REDBUD BLVD	2,300	0.44	2	2	4U	171	171	100%	525	525	457	457	75	75	383	383		1
UNNAMED C	WILMETH RD	2,615' S OF WILMETH RD	2,613	0.49	1	1	2U	53	53	50%	475	475	118	118	13	13	104	104		
REDBUD BLVD	WILMETH RD	BRAY CENTRAL DR	2,383	0.45	2	2	4D	232	268	100%	700	700	632	632	105	121	527	511		
WILMETH RD	US HIGHWAY 75	REDBUD BLVD	1,536	0.29	2	2	4D	481	481	100%	700	700	407	407	140	140	267	267		
STATE HIGHWAY 5	WILMETH RD	TENNESSEE ST	4,843	0.92	1	1	2U	534	534	100%	475	475	436	436	490	490	-54	-54	54	54
WILMETH RD	2,570' E OF REDBUD BLVD	STATE HIGHWAY 5	2,146	0.41	1	1	2U	462	462	100%	475	475	193	193	188	188	5	5		
STATE HIGHWAY 5	AIRPORT DR	1,965' S OF AIRPORT DR	1,967	0.37	1	1	2U	497	497	100%	475	475	177	177	185	185	-8	-8	8	8
REDBUD BLVD	BLOOMDALE RD	2,930' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	2,931	0.56	2	2	4D	75	87	100%	700	700	777	777	41	48	736	729		İ -
BLOOMDALE RD	US HIGHWAY 75	REDBUD BLVD	2,521	0.48	2	2	4D	183	183	100%	700	700	668	668	87	87	581	581		
BLOOMDALE RD	REDBUD BLVD	LAUD HOWELL PKWY	2,693	0.51	1	1	2U	66	66	100%	475	475	242	242	34	34	208	208		
LAUD HOWELL PKWY	BLOOMDALE RD	STATE HIGHWAY 5	871	0.16	1	1	2U	166	166	100%	475	475	78	78	27	27	51	51		
LAUD HOWELL PKWY	US HIGHWAY 75	2,620' S OF US HIGHWAY 75	2,622	0.50	1	1	2U	100	100	50%	475	475	118	118	25	25	93	93		İ -
STATE HIGHWAY 5	4,700' N OF AIRPORT DR	3,995' N OF AIRPORT DR	706	0.13	1	1	2U	337	337	50%	475	475	32	32	23	23	9	9		
STATE HIGHWAY 5	1,915' N OF AIRPORT DR	AIRPORT DR	1,915	0.36	1	1	2U	337	337	100%	475	475	172	172	122	122	50	50		
STATE HIGHWAY 5	1,965' S OF AIRPORT DR	WILMETH RD	1,452	0.27	1	1	3U	497	497	100%	525	525	144	144	137	137	8	8		
LAUD HOWELL PKWY	2,620' S OF US HIGHWAY 75	BLOOMDALE RD	1,635	0.31	1	1	2U	100	100	100%	475	475	147	147	31	31	116	116		
AIRPORT DR	WOODLAWN RD (N)	WOODLAWN RD (S)	1,536	0.29	1	1	2U	431	431	100%	475	475	138	138	126	126	13	13		İ -
WILMETH RD	UNNAMED C	400' E OF UNNAMED C	399	0.08	1	1	2U	117	117	50%	475	475	18	18	4	4	14	14		
WILMETH RD	1,150' W OF UNNAMED C	UNNAMED C	1,150	0.22	1	1	2U	117	117	50%	475	475	52	52	13	13	39	39		
WILMETH RD	AIRPORT DR	235' E OF AIRPORT DR	236	0.04	1	1	2U	51	51	50%	475	475	11	11	1	1	9	9		
WILMETH RD	980' W OF AIRPORT DR	AIRPORT DR	981	0.19	1	1	2U	51	51	50%	475	475	44	44	5	5	39	39		
WILMETH RD	2,100' E OF SH 5	980' W OF AIRPORT DR	1,346	0.25	_ 1	1	2U	51	51	100%	475	475	121	121	13	13	108	108		
WILMETH RD	REDBUD BLVD	1,340' E OF REDBUD BLVD	1,343	0.25	2	2	4D	462	462	100%	700	700	356	356	117	117	239	239		
WILMETH RD	1,340' E OF REDBUD BLVD	2,570' E OF REDBUD BLVD	1,228	0.23	2	2	4D	462	462	100%	700	700	325	325	107	107	218	218		
REDBUD BLVD	2,930' S OF BLOOMDALE RD	WILMETH RD	1,645	0.31	1	1	2U	75	87	100%	475	475	148	148	23	27	125	121		†
US HIGHWAY 380	REDBUD BLVD	GRAVES ST	854	0.16	3	3	6D	1911	1911	100%	780	780	378	378	309	309	69	69		†
US HIGHWAY 380	AIRPORT DR	940' E OF AIRPORT DR	939	0.18	2	2	4D	2249	2249	100%	700	700	249	249	400	400	-151	-151	151	151
SUBTOTAL			67.800	12.84									14,223	14.223	7.371	7.484	6.852	6.739	213	213
			,							1				.446		855		591		27

Service Area F

00.1.00704.													
							PM	% IN	VEH-MI	VEH-MI	VEH-MI	EXCESS	EXISTING
ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH	LENGTH	EXIST	TYPE	PEAK	SERVICE	CAPACITY	SUPPLY	DEMAND	CAPACITY	DEFICIENCIES
			(ft)	(mi)	LANES		HOUR	AREA	PK-HR	PK-HR	PK-HR	PK-HR	PK-HR
							VOL	Ì	PER LN	TOTAL	TOTAL	VEH-MI	VEH-MI
					NB/EB SB/WB		NB/EB SB/WB		NB/EB SB/WB	NB/EB SB/WB	NB/EB SB/WB	NB/EB SB/WB	NB/EB SB/WB
		No Thoroughfare Ro	adways w	ithin City I	Limits Currentl	y Pres	sent within Ser	rvice Area F	=				
SUBTOTAL			0	0.00						0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
										0	0	0	0

Service Area G

Service Area G		_						,							,					3/2019
									M	% IN		I-MI		I-MI	VEI			CESS		STING
ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH	LENGTH		(IST	TYPE		AK	SERVICE	-	CITY		PLY	DEN		-		DEFICIE	
			(ft)	(mi)	LA	NES			UR	AREA	PK-		PK-			HR		-HR		-HR
						,			OL			LN		TAL	TO			H-MI		H-MI
					_	SB/WB			SB/WB		_		_				_		NB/EB	SB/W
ELDORADO PKWY	ALMA DR	RIDGE RD	5325	1.01	2	2	4D	1092	1092	100%	700	700	1,412	1,412	1,102	1,102	310	310	لــــــا	
ELDORADO PKWY	STONEBRIDGE DR	ALMA DR	2035	0.39	2	2	4D	1119	1072	100%	700	700	540	540	431	413	108	126		
ELDORADO PKWY	CUSTER RD	STONEBRIDGE DR	3,471	0.66	2	2	4D	865	813	100%	700	700	920	920	568	535	352	386		
STONEBRIDGE DR	ALMA DR	ELDORADO PKWY	1,607	0.30	2	2	4D	572	619	100%	700	700	426	426	174	189	252	238		
ALMA DR	STONEBRIDGE DR	ELDORADO PKWY	2,285	0.43	2	2	4D	277	277	100%	700	700	606	606	120	120	486	486		
COIT RD	WESTRIDGE BLVD	2,595' S OF WESTRIDGE BLVD	2,595	0.49	2	2	4D	551	576	50%	700	700	344	344	135	142	209	202		
WESTRIDGE BLVD	1,635' E OF COIT RD	INDEPENDENCE PKWY	3,710	0.70	2	2	4D	357	293	100%	700	700	984	984	251	206	733	778		
INDEPENDENCE PKWY	WESTRIDGE BLVD	2,690' S OF WESTRIDGE BLVD	2,689	0.51	2	2	4D	537	567	100%	700	700	713	713	274	289	440	424	1	
WESTRIDGE BLVD	INDEPENDENCE PKWY	2,720' E OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY	2,722	0.52	2	2	4D	298	319	100%	700	700	722	722	154	165	568	557		
CUSTER RD	WESTRIDGE BLVD	ELDORADO PKWY	5,381	1.02	3	3	6D	1583	1708	50%	780	780	1,192	1,192	807	870	386	322		
RIDGE RD	GLEN OAKS DR	ELDORADO PKWY	5,719	1.08	2	2	4D	641	641	100%	700	700	1,516	1,516	695	695	822	822		
STONEBRIDGE DR	GLEN OAKS DR	ALMA DR	5,966	1.13	2	2	4D	687	709	100%	700	700	1,582	1,582	776	801	806	781		
GLEN OAKS DR	STONEBRIDGE DR	RIDGE RD	4,733	0.90	2	2	4D	366	366	100%	700	700	1,255	1,255	328	328	927	927		
RIDGE RD	VIRGINIA PKWY	GLEN OAKS DR	3,912	0.74	2	2	4D	592	592	100%	700	700	1,037	1,037	438	438	599	599		
STONEBRIDGE DR	VIRGINIA PKWY	GLEN OAKS DR	2,716	0.51	2	2	4D	749	753	100%	700	700	720	720	385	387	335	333		
VIRGINIA PKWY	STONEBRIDGE DR	RIDGE RD	3,422	0.65	3	3	6D	1402	1402	100%	780	780	1,517	1,517	909	909	608	608		
INDEPENDENCE PKWY	VIRGINIA PKWY	WESTRIDGE BLVD	5,349	1.01	2	2	4D	394	370	100%	700	700	1,418	1,418	399	375	1,019	1,044		1
COIT RD	VIRGINIA PKWY	2,610' S OF VIRGINIA PKWY	2,608	0.49	2	2	4D	456	477	50%	700	700	346	346	113	118	233	228		i
VIRGINIA PKWY	COIT RD	500' W OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY	4,737	0.90	2	2	4D	598	599	100%	700	700	1,256	1,256	537	537	719	719		i
CUSTER RD	VIRGINIA PKWY	WESTRIDGE BLVD	5,277	1.00	3	3	6D	1396	1424	100%	780	780	2,339	2,339	1,395	1,423	943	915		
VIRGINIA PKWY	935' W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR	CUSTER RD	2,684	0.51	2	2	4D	803	818	100%	700	700	712	712	408	416	304	296		i
VIRGINIA PKWY	VIRGINIA PARKLANDS BLVD	STONEBRIDGE DR	3,226	0.61	3	3	6D	929	1011	100%	780	780	1,430	1,430	568	618	862	812		i
COIT RD	US HIGHWAY 380	VIRGINIA PKWY	5,467	1.04	2	2	4D	456	477	50%	700	700	725	725	236	247	489	478		
US HIGHWAY 380	COIT RD	3,730' E OF COIT RD	3,729	0.71	3	3	6D	1819	1761	50%	780	780	826	826	642	622	184	204		1
INDEPENDENCE PKWY	2,380' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	4,465' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	2,085	0.39	2	2	4D	113	117	100%	700	700	553	553	45	46	508	507		
US HIGHWAY 380	2,705' W OF CUSTER RD	CUSTER RD	2,706	0.51	3	3	6D	1832	1771	50%	780	780	600	600	469	454	130	146		
CUSTER RD	US HIGHWAY 380	VIRGINIA PKWY	5,445	1.03	3	3	6D	1243	1319	100%	780	780	2,413	2,413	1,282	1,360	1,131	1,053		1
RIDGE RD	US HIGHWAY 380	CREEKSIDE DR	6,874	1.30	2	2	4D	281	281	50%	700	700	911	911	183	183	729	729		
US HIGHWAY 380	CUSTER RD	STONEBRIDGE DR	3,371	0.64	3	3	6D	1679	1731	100%	780	780	1,494	1,494	1,072	1,105	422	389		†
STONEBRIDGE DR	US HIGHWAY 380	VIRGINIA PKWY	10,791	2.04	2	2	4D	296	282	100%	700	700	2,861	2,861	605	576	2,256	2,285		
US HIGHWAY 380	STONEBRIDGE DR	RIDGE RD	7,516	1.42	3	3	6D	1913	1913	100%	780	780	3,331	3,331	2,724	2,724	608	608		
RIDGE RD	CREEKSIDE DR	VIRGINIA PKWY	1,013	0.19	2	2	4D	281	281	100%	700	700	269	269	54	54	215	215		1
VIRGINIA PKWY	500' W OF INDEPENDENCE PKWY		511	0.10	2	2	4D	598	599	50%	700	700	68	68	29	29	39	39		
VIRGINIA PKWY	INDEPENDENCE PKWY	325' E OF FORKHORN DR	1,227	0.23	2	2	4D	907	848	50%	700	700	163	163	105	99	57	64		
VIRGINIA PKWY	325' E OF FORKHORN DR	935' W OF VIRGINIA HILLS DR	1,467	0.28	2	2	4D	907	848	50%	700	700	195	195	126	118	68	77		t
VIRGINIA PKWY	CUSTER RD	410' E OF DANBURY RD	2,592	0.49	2	2	4D	867	840	100%	700	700	687	687	425	412	262	275		t
VIRGINIA PKWY	410' E OF DANBURY RD	VIRGINIA PARKLANDS BLVD	2,049	0.39	3	2	5D	867	840	100%	700	700	815	543	336	326	479	217		
WESTRIDGE BLVD	COIT RD	1,635' E OF COIT RD	1,637	0.31	2	2	4D	322	289	50%	700	700	217	217	50	45	167	172		†
WESTRIDGE BLVD	2.720' E OF INDEPENDENCE PKW		2,617	0.50	1	1	2U	374	385	50%	475	475	118	118	93	95	25	22		
SUBTOTAL			143.268	27.13		1		1				1	39.231		19,444				0	0
CODIOIAL			170,200	21.13	1	1		<u> </u>			<u> </u>		78,			010		180	ہنے	

Service Area H

ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH (ft)	LENGTH (mi)	EX LAI	IST NES	TYPE	PE HO V	M AK OUR OL	% IN SERVICE AREA	PER	CITY HR LN	SUF PK TO	H-MI PPLY I-HR TAL	DEM PK TO	H-MI IAND -HR TAL	CAPA PK	EESS ACITY -HR H-MI	DEFICIE PK- VEH	-HR H-MI
					NB/EB	SB/WB		NB/EB	SB/WB		NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/W
CUSTER RD	ROLATOR RD	STATE HIGHWAY 121	4,547	0.86	3	3	6D	2150	2406	50%	780	780	1,007	1,007	926	1,036	82	-28		28
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	CUSTER RD	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	3,807	0.72	2	2	4D	251	251	100%	700	700	1,009	1,009	181	181	828	828		
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	WEISKOPF AVE	ALMA RD	4,167	0.79	2	1	3U	221	30	100%	525	525	829	414	174	24	654	391		
ALMA DR	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	STATE HIGHWAY 121	3,861	0.73	3	3	6D	766	807	100%	780	780	1,711	1,711	560	590	1,151	1,121		
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	WEISKOPF AVE	ALMA RD	3,873	0.73	1	2	3U	16	114	100%	525	525	385	770	12	84	374	687		
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	ALMA RD	STACY RD	4,647	0.88	2	2	4D	102	102	100%	700	700	1,232	1,232	90	90	1,142	1,142		
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	ALMA RD	STACY RD	4,647	0.88	2	2	4D	134	134	100%	700	700	1,232	1,232	118	118	1,114	1,114		
STACY RD	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	STATE HIGHWAY 121	2,198	0.42	3	3	6D	1110	1507	50%	780	780	487	487	231	314	256	173		
STACY RD	RIDGE RD	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	2,152	0.41	3	3	6D	1124	1555	50%	780	780	477	477	229	317	248	160		
STACY RD	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	RIDGE RD	1,343	0.25	2	2	4D	874	884	100%	700	700	356	356	222	225	134	131		T .
CUSTER RD	STACY RD	ROLATOR RD	5,319	1.01	3	3	6D	1960	2036	50%	780	780	1,179	1,179	987	1,025	191	153		
CUSTER RD	STACY RD	ROLATOR RD	5,319	_1.01	3	3	6D	2260	2107	50%	780	780	1,179	1,179	1,138	1,061	40	117		
ALMA DR	STACY RD	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	3,608	0.68	3	3	6D	712	712	100%	780	780	1,599	1,599	487	487	1,112	1,112		
STACY RD	CUSTER RD	ALMA DR	5,334	1.01	2	2	4D	1045	1020	100%	700	700	1,414	1,414	1,056	1,031	358	384		
STACY RD	ALMA DR	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	4,039	0.77	2	2	4D	920	938	100%	700	700	1,071	1,071	704	717	367	354		
MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	STACY RD	SILVERADO TRL	2,392	0.45	2	2	4D	343	311	100%	700	700	634	634	155	141	479	494		1
CUSTER RD	SILVERADO TRL	STACY RD	2,635	0.50	3	3	6D	2214	2268	50%	780	780	584	584	553	566	31	18		
SILVERADO TRL	BURNETT DR	ALMA DR	3,378	0.64	2	2	4D	209	209	100%	700	700	896	896	134	134	762	762		
ALMA DR	SILVERADO TRL	STACY RD	2,623	0.50	2	2	4D	821	821	100%	700	700	696	696	408	408	288	288		1
SILVERADO TRL	ALFALFA DR	145' W OF IRONSTONE LN	1,493	0.28	2	2	4D	211	213	100%	700	700	396	396	60	60	336	336		
MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	SILVERADO TRL	RIDGE RD	1,684	0.32	2	2	4D	243	243	100%	700	700	446	446	77	77	369	369		
RIDGE RD	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	STACY RD	3,647	0.69	2	2	4D	704	704	100%	700	700	967	967	486	486	481	481		
CUSTER RD	STONEBRIDGE DR	SILVERADO TRL	3,351	0.63	3	3	6D	2115	2196	50%	780	780	743	743	671	697	72	46		
CUSTER RD	ELDORADO PKWY	STONEBRIDGE DR	2,042	0.39	3	3	6D	1672	1744	50%	780	780	452	452	323	337	129	115		1
ALMA DR	ELDORADO PKWY	805' S OF BEAVER CREEK DR	3,465	0.66	2	2	4D	698	698	100%	700	700	919	919	458	458	461	461		
RIDGE RD	ELDORADO PKWY	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	5,706	1.08	2	2	4D	796	796	50%	700	700	756	756	430	430	326	326		1
ELDORADO PKWY	ALMA DR	RIDGE RD	5,325	1.01	2	2	4D	1092	1092	100%	700	700	1,412	1,412	1,102	1,102	310	310		
STONEBRIDGE DR	ELDORADO PKWY	CUSTER RD	4,478	0.85	2	2	4D	548	522	100%	700	700	1,187	1,187	465	443	722	745		1
ELDORADO PKWY	STONEBRIDGE DR	ALMA DR	2,035	0.39	2	2	4D	1119	1072	100%	700	700	540	540	431	413	108	126		
ELDORADO PKWY	CUSTER RD	STONEBRIDGE DR	3,471	0.66	2	2	4D	865	813	100%	700	700	920	920	568	535	352	386		†
ALMA DR	805' S OF BEAVER CREEK DR	SILVERADO TRL	1,958	0.37	2	2	4D	698	698	100%	700	700	519	519	259	259	260	260		1
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	WEISKOPF AVE	908	0.17	0	2	2UO	251	0	100%	475	475	0	163	43	0	-43	163	43	1
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	WEISKOPF AVE	867	0.16	2	0	2UO	0	251	100%	475	475	156	0	0	41	156	-41		41
SILVERADO TRL	CUSTER RD	BURNETT DR	1,930	0.37	1	1	2U	251	146	100%	475	475	174	174	92	53	82	120		t
SILVERADO TRL	145' W OF IRONSTONE LN	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	2,553	0.48	2	2	4D	211	213	100%	700	700	677	677	102	103	575	574		
SILVERADO TRL	ALMA DR	ALFALFA DR	1,168	0.22	2	2	4D	211	213	100%	700	700	310	310	47	47	263	263		t
SUBTOTAL			115.971	21.96									28.552	28.530	13.979	14.089	14,573	14.441	43	70
	1		110,071	21.00									- /	.081		068		014	11	

Service Area I

ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH (ft)	LENGTH (mi)		IST NES	TYPE	PE HC	M AK UR OL	% IN SERVICE AREA	CAPA PK-	H-MI ACITY -HR R LN	SUP PK	H-MI PPLY -HR TAL	DEM	H-MI IAND -HR TAL	CAPA PK	ESS ACITY -HR H-MI	DEFICII PK-	STING ENCII -HR H-MI
					NB/EB	SB/WB		NB/EB	SB/WB		NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/WB	NB/EB	SB/V
STACY RD	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	STATE HIGHWAY 121	2,198	0.42	3	3	6D	1110	1507	50%	780	780	487	487	231	314	256	173		
STACY RD	RIDGE RD	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	2,152	0.41	3	3	6D	1124	1555	50%	780	780	477	477	229	317	248	160		
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	STACY RD	VILLAGE PARK	2,900	0.55	2	2	4D	136	136	100%	700	700	769	769	75	75	694	694	1	
LAKE FOREST DR	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	STATE HIGHWAY 121	2,145	0.41	3	3	6D	1460	1460	100%	780	780	950	950	593	593	357	357		
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	COTTONWOOD CREEK	1110' E OF TINA DR	2,929	0.55	1	1	2U	100	100	100%	475	475	264	264	55	55	208	208	1	
HARDIN BLVD	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	STATE HIGHWAY 121	1,162	0.22	3	3	6D	961	961	100%	780	780	515	515	212	212	304	304		
RIDGE RD	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	STACY RD	3,647	0.69	2	2	4D	704	704	100%	700	700	967	967	486	486	481	481		
MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	RIDGE RD	LAKE FOREST DR	4,204	0.80	2	2	4D	452	452	100%	700	700	1,115	1,115	360	360	755	755		
LAKE FOREST DR	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	3,220	0.61	3	3	6D	1318	1318	100%	780	780	1,427	1,427	804	804	623	623		
MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	LAKE FOREST DR	HARDIN BLVD	5,310	1.01	2	2	4D	335	335	100%	700	700	1,408	1,408	337	337	1,071	1,071		
HARDIN BLVD	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	1,863	0.35	3	3	6D	961	961	100%	780	780	826	826	339	339	487	487	1	
HARDIN BLVD	ELDORADO PKWY	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	3,255	0.62	2	2	4D	948	948	100%	700	700	863	863	584	584	279	279		
LAKE FOREST DR	ELDORADO PKWY	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	5,751	1.09	2	2	4D	1040	1040	100%	700	700	1,525	1,525	1,133	1,133	392	392		
ELDORADO PKWY	LAKE FOREST DR	HARDIN BLVD	6,709	1.27	2	2	4D	1400	1400	100%	700	700	1,779	1,779	1,779	1,779	0	0	0	0
RIDGE RD	ELDORADO PKWY	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	5,706	1.08	2	2	4D	796	796	50%	700	700	756	756	430	430	326	326	i '	
ELDORADO PKWY	850' E OF RIDGE RD	LAKE FOREST DR	3,350	0.63	2	2	4D	1273	1273	100%	700	700	888	888	808	808	80	80		
LAKE FOREST DR	GLEN OAKS DR	ELDORADO PKWY	5,760	1.09	2	2	4D	1010	1010	100%	700	700	1,527	1,527	1,102	1,102	425	425		
RIDGE RD	GLEN OAKS DR	ELDORADO PKWY	5,719	1.08	2	2	4D	641	641	100%	700	700	1,516	1,516	695	695	822	822	i '	
GLEN OAKS DR	RIDGE RD	LAKE FOREST DR	2,445	0.46	2	2	4D	390	390	100%	700	700	648	648	181	181	467	467	i '	
HARDIN BLVD	VIRGINIA PKWY	ELDORADO PKWY	10,688	2.02	2	2	4D	997	997	100%	700	700	2,834	2,834	2,017	2,017	817	817	i i	
LAKE FOREST DR	VIRGINIA PKWY	GLEN OAKS DR	4,475	0.85	2	2	4D	930	930	100%	700	700	1,187	1,187	788	788	399	399	1	
VIRGINIA PKWY	LAKE FOREST DR	1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD	3,138	0.59	3	3	6D	1316	1316	100%	780	780	1,391	1,391	782	782	608	608	i '	
RIDGE RD	VIRGINIA PKWY	GLEN OAKS DR	3,912	0.74	2	2	4D	592	592	100%	700	700	1,037	1,037	438	438	599	599	1	
VIRGINIA PKWY	1035' E OF RIDGE RD	LAKE FOREST DR	4,427	0.84	3	3	6D	1401	1401	100%	780	780	1,962	1,962	1,175	1,175	787	787	i '	
VIRGINIA PKWY	1035' E OF RIDGE RD	LAKE FOREST DR	4,427	0.84	3	3	6D	1248	1248	100%	780	780	1,962	1,962	1,046	1,046	916	916	1	
HARDIN BLVD	WHITE AVE	VIRGINIA PKWY	5,297	1.00	2	2	4D	701	701	50%	700	700	702	702	352	352	351	351	1	
HARDIN BLVD	US HIGHWAY 380	WHITE AVE	3,041	0.58	2	2	4D	536	536	50%	700	700	403	403	154	154	249	249		
RIDGE RD	US HIGHWAY 380	CREEKSIDE DR	6,874	1.30	2	2	4D	281	281	50%	700	700	911	911	183	183	729	729		
LAKE FOREST DR	US HIGHWAY 380	VIRGINIA PKWY	7,574	1.43	2	2	4D	799	799	100%	700	700	2,008	2,008	1,146	1,146	862	862		
US HIGHWAY 380	LAKE FOREST DR	HARDIN BLVD	5,496	1.04	3	3	6D	2583	2583	100%	780	780	2,436	2,436	2,689	2,689	-253	-253	253	25
US HIGHWAY 380	RIDGE RD	LAKE FOREST DR	5,517	1.04	3	3	6D	2025	2025	100%	780	780	2,445	2,445	2,116	2,116	329	329	1	
RIDGE RD	CREEKSIDE DR	VIRGINIA PKWY	1,013	0.19	2	2	4D	281	281	100%	700	700	269	269	54	54	215	215	1	
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	VILLAGE PARK	LAKE FOREST DR	1,729	0.33	2	2	4D	156	156	100%	700	700	459	459	51	51	407	407		
ELDORADO PKWY	RIDGE RD	850' E OF RIDGE RD	849	0.16	2	2	4D	1163	1163	100%	700	700	225	225	187	187	38	38		
VIRGINIA PKWY	RIDGE RD	1035' E OF RIDGE RD	1,042	0.20	3	3	6D	1248	1248	100%	780	780	462	462	246	246	215	215	1	
VIRGINIA PKWY	1355' W OF HARDIN BLVD	HARDIN BLVD	1,378	0.26	3	3	6D	1370	1370	100%	780	780	611	611	357	357	253	253		
SUBTOTAL			141.304	26.76									40.011	40.011	24.215	24.386	15,796	15 625	254	25

Service Area J

ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH (ft)	LENGTH (mi)		IST NES	TYPE	PE HC	PM EAK OUR OL	% IN SERVICE AREA	PK-	H-MI ACITY -HR R LN	SUF PK	H-MI PPLY -HR TAL	DEN PK	H-MI MAND K-HR OTAL	CAPA PK	ESS ACITY -HR H-MI	DEFICI PK	STING IENCIE (-HR H-MI
					NB/EB	SB/WB			SB/WB					SB/WB		SB/WB			NB/EB	
HARDIN BLVD	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	STATE HIGHWAY 121	1,162	0.22	3	3	6D	961	961	100%	780	780	515	515	212	212	304	304		1
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	HARDIN BLVD	985' E OF HARDIN BLVD	983	0.19	2	2	4D	100	100	100%	700	700	261	261	19	19	242	242		
HARDIN BLVD	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	1,863	0.35	3	3	6D	961	961	100%	780	780	826	826	339	339	487	487		
MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	515' E OF HARDIN BLVD	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	2,595	0.49	2	2	4D	275	226	100%	700	700	688	688	135	111	553	577		
MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	STATE HIGHWAY 121	2.197	0.42	2	2	4D	60	124	100%	700	700	583	583	25	51	558	531		1
MEDICAL CENTER DR	STATE HIGHWAY 121	1,685' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	1,684	0.32	1	1	3U	380	380	100%	525	525	167	167	121	121	46	46		
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY	720' W OF TEST DR	CRAIG DR	2,252	0.43	2	2	4U	174	174	100%	525	525	448	448	74	74	374	374		
HARDIN BLVD	ELDORADO PKWY	MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	3,255	0.62	2	2	4D	948	948	100%	700	700	863	863	584	584	279	279		
STATE HIGHWAY 5	STATE HIGHWAY 121	4,520' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121	4,519	0.86	1	1	2U	640	640	100%	475	475	407	407	548	548	-142	-142	142	142
ELDORADO PKWY	HARDIN BLVD	495' W OF US HIGHWAY 75	7.277	1.38	2	2	4D	1194	1194	100%	700	700	1,930	1.930	1.646	1.646	283	283		1
ELDORADO PKWY	US HIGHWAY 75	710' E OF US HIGHWAY 75	711	0.13	3	3	6D	976	976	100%	780	780	315	315	131	131	184	184		1
MEDICAL CENTER DR	ELDORADO PKWY	STATE HIGHWAY 121	4,022	0.76	2	2	4D	361	361	100%	700	700	1,066	1.066	275	275	792	792		1
STATE HIGHWAY 5	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546		3,109	0.59	2	2	4D	2071	2071	100%	700	700	824	824	1,219	1,219	-395	-395	395	395
ELDORADO PKWY	MEDICAL CENTER DR	370' E OF BARRANCA WAY	4.308	0.82	2	2	4D	826	826	100%	700	700	1,142	1.142	674	674	469	469		
HARDIN BLVD	VIRGINIA PKWY	ELDORADO PKWY	10,688	2.02	2	2	4D	997	997	100%	700	700	2,834	2,834	2,017	2,017	817	817		t
LOUISIANA ST	WILSON CREEK PKWY	VIRGINIA STREET	557	0.11	2	2	4D	0	802	100%	700	700	148	148	0	85	148	63		1
LOUISIANA ST	US HIGHWAY 75	WILSON CREEK PKWY	1,228	0.23	2	3	5D	977	1018	100%	700	700	326	488	227	237	98	252		1
VIRGINIA PKWY	HARDIN BLVD	US HIGHWAY 75	8,472	1.60	3	3	6D	1082	1082	100%	780	780	3,755	3,755	1,735	1,735	2.019	2.019		1
HARDIN BLVD	WHITE AVE	VIRGINIA PKWY	5.297	1.00	2	2	4D	701	701	50%	700	700	702	702	352	352	351	351		1
WHITE AVE	BOIS D'ARC RD	COMMUNITY AVE	4.929	0.93	2	2	4D	357	357	100%	700	700	1,307	1.307	333	333	974	974		1
WHITE AVE	COMMUNITY AVE	US HIGHWAY 75	2,562	0.49	2	2	4D	398	398	100%	700	700	679	679	193	193	486	486		1
WHITE AVE	US HIGHWAY 75	REDBUD BLVD	1,061	0.20	1	1	2U	279	316	100%	475	475	95	95	56	63	39	32		T .
COMMUNITY AVE	US HIGHWAY 380	2,055' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	2,055	0.39	2	2	4U	344	344	100%	525	525	409	409	134	134	275	275		1
US HIGHWAY 380	COMMUNITY AVE	US HIGHWAY 75	2,357	0.45	3	3	6D	2441	2441	100%	780	780	1,044	1,044	1,090	1,090	-45	-45	45	45
HARDIN BLVD	US HIGHWAY 380	WHITE AVE	3,041	0.58	2	2	4D	536	536	50%	700	700	403	403	154	154	249	249		1
US HIGHWAY 380	HARDIN BLVD	COMMUNITY AVE	5,281	1.00	3	3	6D	2169	2169	100%	780	780	2,340	2,340	2,170	2,170	171	171		
COMMUNITY AVE	2,055' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	WHITE AVE	1,165	0.22	2	2	4U	453	453	100%	525	525	232	232	100	100	132	132		
US HIGHWAY 380	REDBUD BLVD	GRAVES ST	854	0.16	3	3	6D	1911	1911	100%	780	780	378	378	309	309	69	69		
WHITE AVE	HARDIN BLVD	BOIS D'ARC RD	904	0.17	2	2	4D	312	312	100%	700	700	240	240	53	53	186	186		
WHITE AVE	REDBUD BLVD	GRAVES ST	1,865	0.35	1	1	2U	263	258	100%	475	475	168	168	93	91	75	77		
VIRGINIA STREET	LOUISIANA ST	GRAVES ST	989	0.19	0	2	2UO	0	802	100%	475	475	0	178	0	150	0	28		
LOUISIANA ST	VIRGINIA STREET	GRAVES ST	1,050	0.20	2	0	2UO	631	0	100%	475	475	189	0	126	0	63	0		
ELDORADO PKWY	710' E OF US HIGHWAY 75	MEDICAL CENTER DR	559	0.11	2	2	4D	976	976	100%	700	700	148	148	103	103	45	45		
ELDORADO PKWY	495' W OF US HIGHWAY 75	US HIGHWAY 75	494	0.09	3	3	6D	979	979	100%	780	780	219	219	92	92	127	127		1
ELDORADO PKWY	370' E OF BARRANCA WAY	1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY	812	0.15	2	2	4D	656	656	100%	700	700	215	215	101	101	114	114		1
MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY	HARDIN BLVD	515' E OF HARDIN BLVD	512	0.10	2	2	4D	275	226	100%	700	700	136	136	27	22	109	114		1
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		2,100' E OF HARDIN BLVD	1,118	0.21	1	1	3U	100	100	100%	525	525	111	111	21	21	90	90		1
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY		720' W OF TEST DR	1,943	0.37	2	2	4U	86	86	100%	525	525	386	386	32	32	355	355		1
SUBTOTAL			99.731	18.89				1	1	i	1		26 500	26.652	4E E20	15,643	40.070	11.009	582	582

Service Area K	FROM	то	LENGTH	LENGTH	EXIST	TYPE	PE	M	% IN SERVICE	CAP	H-MI ACITY	SUF	H-MI PPLY	DEN	H-MI IAND	CAP	CESS	EXIS DEFICII	
			(ft)	(mi)	LANES			OUR OL	AREA		-HR R LN		-HR TAL	PK TO			K-HR :H-MI		-HR H-MI
					NB/EB SB/W			SB/WB		NB/EB	SB/WB			NB/EB	SB/WB			NB/EB	SB/W
	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	468	0.09	1 1	2U	22	22	50%	475	475	21	21	1	1	20	20		
	STATE HIGHWAY 121 HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	4,520' S OF STATE HIGHWAY 121 STATE HIGHWAY 121	4,519 3,109	0.86 0.59	1 1	2U 4D	640	640	100% 100%	475 700	475 700	407 824	407 824	548 1,219	548 1,219	-142 -395	-142 -395	142	142
ARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 5		AIRPORT DR	7.696	1.46	2 2	4D	2,071 195	2,071 195	100%	700	700	2,041	2,041	285	285	1,756		395	395
IRPORT DR	INDUSTRIAL BLVD	FM 546	2.266	0.43	2 2	4D	195	193	50%	700	700	300	300	41	41	260	260		
TATE HIGHWAY 5	INDUSTRIAL BLVD	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	1.456	0.43	2 2	4D	2,070	2,070	100%	700	700	386	386	571	571	-185	-185	185	185
	STATE HIGHWAY 5	AIRPORT DR	6.296	1.19	2 2	4D	912	912	100%	700	700	1,669	1,669	1,088	1,088	581	581	100	100
	TENNESSEE ST	INDUSTRIAL BLVD	537	0.10	2 2	4D	1,505	1,505	100%	700	700	142	142	153	153	-11	-11	11	11
	WILSON CREEK PKWY	385' N OF INDUSTRIAL BLVD	2,105	0.40	2 2	4D	843	843	50%	700	700	279	279	168	168	111	111		
TATE HIGHWAY 5	WILSON CREEK PKWY	TENNESSEE ST	2,055	0.39	2 2	5U	1,253	1,253	100%	625	625	487	487	488	488	-1	-1	1	1
LM ST	ROCKWALL ST	AIRPORT DR	3,314	0.63	0 0	4U	227	269	100%	525	525	0	0	143	169	-143	-169	143	169
	WILSON CREEK PKWY	STATE HIGHWAY 5	1,635	0.31	1 1	2U	152	165	100%	475	475	147	147	47	51	100	96		
	TENNESSEE ST	525' TENNESSEE ST	527	0.10	2 2	4U	145	185	100%	525	525	105	105	14	18	90	86		
	LOUISIANA ST	AIRPORT DR	1,451	0.27	1 1	2U	165	155	100%	475	475	131	131	45	43	85	88		
	LOUISIANA ST	WILSON CREEK PKWY	4,197	0.79	2 2	4D	923	923	50%	700	700	556	556	367	367	189	189	ļ <u>'</u>	Ь—
	THROCKMORTON ST	LOUISIANA ST	1,996	0.38	1 1	2U	165	155	100%	475	475	180	180	62	59	117	121	L'	Ь—
	LOUISIANA ST DAVIS ST	THROCKMORTON ST WILSON CREEK PKWY	373 3,874	0.07	1 1	2U 2U	165 172	155 125	100% 100%	475	475 475	34 349	34 349	12	11	22	23	-	├
OUISIANA ST	TENNESSEE ST	STATE HIGHWAY 5	791	0.73 0.15	2 0	2UO	279	0	100%	475 475	475	142	0	126 42	91	223 101	257		
TATE HIGHWAY 5	LOUISIANA ST	WILSON CREEK PKWY	4,415	0.15	2 2	5U	1,317	1,317	100%	625	625	1,045	1,045	1,102	1,102	-56	-56	50	
OUISIANA ST	STATE HIGHWAY 5	GREENVILLE RD	830	0.04	1 1	2U	165	155	100%	475	475	75	75	26	24	49	50	56	56
OUISIANA ST	GREENVILLE RD	THROCKMORTON ST	389	0.10	1 1	2U	100	100	100%	475	475	35	35	7	7	28	28		_
	LOUISIANA ST	GREENVILLE RD	249	0.05	1 1	2U	118	118	100%	475	475	22	22	6	6	17	17		
	THROCKMORTON ST	GREENVILLE RD	2,259	0.43	1 1	2U	100	100	100%	475	475	203	203	43	43	160	160		
	GRAVES ST	TENNESSEE ST	4,525	0.86	2 0	200	479	0	100%	475	475	814	0	410	0	404	0		
ENNESSEE ST	VIRGINIA STREET	LOUISIANA ST	249	0.05	2 0	2UO	283	0	100%	475	475	45	0	13	0	31	0		_
IRGINIA STREET	GRAVES ST	TENNESSEE ST	4,512	0.85	0 2	2UO	0	599	100%	475	475	0	812	0	512	0	300	 	
	1,445' S OF LOUISIANA ST	TENNESSEE ST	9,000	1.70	2 2	4D	273	344	100%	700	700	2,386	2,386	465	587	1,921	1,799		
OUISIANA ST	WILSON CREEK PKWY	VIRGINIA STREET	557	0.11	2 2	4D	0	802	100%	700	700	148	148	0	85	148	63		
	TENNESSEE ST	STATE HIGHWAY 5	841	0.16	0 2	2UO	0	250	100%	475	475	0	151	0	40	0	111	1	
	VIRGINIA STREET	LOUISIANA ST	260	0.05	2 2	5U	1,337	1,337	100%	625	625	61	61	66	66	-4	-4	4	4
	US HIGHWAY 75	WILSON CREEK PKWY	1,228	0.23	2 3	5D	977	1,018	100%	700	700	326	488	227	237	98	252		
	STATE HIGHWAY 5	THROCKMORTON ST	1,108	0.21	1 1	2U	79	112	100%	475	475	100	100	17	24	83	76		
	VIRGINIA STREET	LOUISIANA ST	267	0.05	1 1	2U	118	118	100%	475	475	24	24	6	6	18	18		
	THROCKMORTON ST	225' W OF AIRPORT DR	2,661	0.50	1 1	2U	79	112	100%	475	475	239	239	40	57	199	183		
IRPORT DR	VIRGINIA STREET	GREENVILLE RD VIRGINIA STREET	1,042	0.20	2 2	4D 4D	920 920	920 920	100%	700	700 700	276	276	182	182	95	95 85	ļ	<u> </u>
THROCKMORTON ST	355' S OF US HIGHWAY 380 US HIGHWAY 380	VIRGINIA STREET	1,863 3,783	0.35	2 2	2U	118	118	50% 100%	700 475	475	247 340	247 340	162 84	162 84	85 256	256		-
	THROCKMORTON ST	AIRPORT DR	2,452	0.72	3 3	6D	1,515	1,515	100%	780	780	1,087	1,087	703	703	383	383		-
	WHITE AVE	LAMAR ST	3,154	0.60	1 1	2U	122	115	100%	475	475	284	284	73	69	211	215		-
	GRAVES ST	TENNESSEE ST	4.118	0.78	1 1	2U	136	102	100%	475	475	370	370	106	79	264	291		
	US HIGHWAY 380	VIRGINIA STREET	4.974	0.94	2 2	5U	1,289	1,289	100%	625	625	1,177	1.177	1,214	1,214	-36	-36	36	36
	STATE HIGHWAY 5	THROCKMORTON ST	2,595	0.49	3 3	6D	1,492	1,492	100%	780	780	1,150	1,150	733	733	417	417	- 00	- 00
	US HIGHWAY 380	WHITE AVE	1,267	0.24	1 1	2U	225	198	100%	475	475	114	114	54	48	60	66		
IS HIGHWAY 380	TENNESSEE ST	STATE HIGHWAY 5	683	0.13	3 3	6D	1,638	1,638	100%	780	780	303	303	212	212	91	91		
IS HIGHWAY 380	GRAVES ST	TENNESSEE ST	4,618	0.87	3 3	6D	2,024	2,024	100%	780	780	2,047	2,047	1,770	1,770	277	277	—	
IS HIGHWAY 380	US HIGHWAY 75	REDBUD BLVD	1,479	0.28	3 3	6D	1,935	1,935	100%	780	780	655	655	542	542	114	114		
	LAMAR ST	VIRGINIA STREET	953	0.18	2 0	2UO	161	0	100%	475	475	171	0	29	0	142	0		
	LAMAR ST	VIRGINIA STREET	953	0.18	2 0	2UO	141	0	100%	475	475	171	0	25	0	146	0		
	LOUISIANA ST	DAVIS ST	468	0.09	2 0	200	162	0	100%	475	475	84	0	14	0	70	0		
	US HIGHWAY 380	355' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	354	0.07	2 2	4D	920	920	100%	700	700	94	94	62	62	32	32	ļ	<u> </u>
IRPORT DR	385' N OF INDUSTRIAL BLVD	INDUSTRIAL BLVD	387	0.07	2 2	4D	843	843	100%	700	700	103	103	62	62	41	41	'	
	FM 546	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD	1,656	0.31	2 2	4D 2UO	22	22	50%	700	700	220	220	3	3	216	216	<u> </u>	-
	VIRGINIA STREET		1,050	0.20		2UO 4U	631	0	100%	475	475	189		126	0	63	0	<u> </u>	-
	LOUISIANA ST	1,445' S OF LOUISIANA ST	1,444 436	0.27	2 2	4U 2U	218	330 185	100%	525	525 475	287	287	60	90	228	197	<u> </u>	—
	525' TENNESSEE ST STATE HIGHWAY 5	STATE HIGHWAY 5 ROCKWALL ST	1.507	0.08	1 1	2U	145 227	269	100% 100%	475 475	475	39 136	39 136	12 65	15 77	27 71	24 59		-
LDORADO PKWY	1,600' E OF BARRANCA WAY	STATE HIGHWAY 5	1,507	0.29	2 2	4D	625	625	100%	700	700	448	448	200	200	248	248	 '	-
LDORADO PKWY	1,180' E OF BARRANCA WAY	1,600' E OF BARRANCA WAY	419	0.08	2 2	4D	656	656	100%	700	700	111	111	52	52	59	59		₩
ARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 5		975' E OF AIRPORT DR	978	0.08	2 2	4D	195	195	100%	700	700	259	259	36	36	223	223		-
																		1 '	1

Service Area L

	ĺ							В	M	% IN	VE	H-MI	VE	н-мі	VEL	I-MI	EVC	ESS	EVIC	TING
ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH	LENGTH	EX	ет	TYPE	_	AK.	% IN SERVICE		ACITY		PPLY	DEM		-	ACITY	DEFICIE	
ROADWAT	PROW	10		_		NES	IIIFE		UR	AREA		-HR		-HR		-HR	-	-HR	-	-HR
			(ft)	(mi)	LAI	NES				AKEA								-nr H-MI		
						00000	ł		OL OL			RLN	TO			TAL				H-MI
					NB/EB	SB/WB			SB/WB		_		NR/ER		NR/ER	SB/WB			NB/EB	SB/W
AIRPORT DR	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVI	HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546	468	0.09	1	1	2U	22	22	50%	475	475	21	21	1	1	20	20		
AIRPORT DR	INDUSTRIAL BLVD	FM 546	2,266	0.43	2	2	4D	191	191	50%	700	700	300	300	41	41	260	260		Ì
INDUSTRIAL BLVD	AIRPORT DR	585' E OF AIRPORT DR	587	0.11	2	2	4D	33	33	100%	700	700	156	156	4	4	152	152	1	
AIRPORT DR	WILSON CREEK PKWY	385' N OF INDUSTRIAL BLVD	2,105	0.40	2	2	4D	843	843	50%	700	700	279	279	168	168	111	111		
AIRPORT DR	LOUISIANA ST	WILSON CREEK PKWY	4,197	0.79	2	2	4D	923	923	50%	700	700	556	556	367	367	189	189		
AIRPORT DR	VIRGINIA STREET	GREENVILLE RD	1,042	0.20	2	2	4D	920	920	100%	700	700	276	276	182	182	95	95		
AIRPORT DR	355' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	VIRGINIA STREET	1,863	0.35	2	2	4D	920	920	50%	700	700	247	247	162	162	85	85		
AIRPORT DR	US HIGHWAY 380	355' S OF US HIGHWAY 380	354	0.07	2	2	4D	920	920	100%	700	700	94	94	62	62	32	32	1	
AIRPORT DR	385' N OF INDUSTRIAL BLVD	INDUSTRIAL BLVD	387	0.07	2	2	4D	843	843	100%	700	700	103	103	62	62	41	41	1	
AIRPORT DR	FM 546	470' N OF HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD	1,656	0.31	2	2	4D `	22	22	50%	700	700	220	220	3	3	216	216		
US HIGHWAY 380	AIRPORT DR	940' E OF AIRPORT DR	939	0.18	2	2	4D	2249	2249	100%	700	700	249	249	400	400	-151	-151	151	151
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM :	AIRPORT DR	975' E OF AIRPORT DR	978	0.19	2	2	4D	195	195	100%	700	700	259	259	36	36	223	223		
SUBTOTAL			16,843	3.19									2,760	2,760	1,488	1,488	1,273	1,273	151	151
<u> </u>	<u> </u>										•	•	5,5	521	2,9	975	2,5	545	30	02

Service Area M	45		12/13/2019
Service Area IVI			12/13/2019

001 1100 7 ti 0a iii													
							PM	% IN	VEH-MI	VEH-MI	VEH-MI	EXCESS	EXISTING
ROADWAY	FROM	то	LENGTH	LENGTH	EXIST	TYPE	PEAK	SERVICE	CAPACITY	SUPPLY	DEMAND	CAPACITY	DEFICIENCIES
			(ft)	(mi)	LANES		HOUR	AREA	PK-HR	PK-HR	PK-HR	PK-HR	PK-HR
							VOL		PER LN	TOTAL	TOTAL	VEH-MI	VEH-MI
					NB/EB SB/WB		NB/EB SB/WB		NB/EB SB/WB	NB/EB SB/WB	NB/EB SB/WB	NB/EB SB/WB	NB/EB SB/WB
	No Thoroughfare Roadways within City Limits Currently Present within Service Area M												
SUBTOTAL			0	0.00						0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
-	•		·	·						0	0	0	0





Appendix D – Plan for Awarding the Roadway Impact Fee Credit Summary

AS PREPARED BY NEWGEN STRATEGIES & SOLUTIONS, LLC



Service Area B

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ \$ 9,638,193 Line 16, Maximum Fee Calculation		
Financing Costs	2,272,855	See Detail Below	
Existing Fund Balance	- Page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area		
Interest Earnings	(1,349,526)	Page 5 of Appendix E - Service Area B	
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 10,561,522	Sum of Above	
Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues	(82,337)	Page 8 of Appendix E - Service Area B	
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 10,479,185		

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees.

Reference is Service Area B column, line 16 on the Max Fee Calculation Table.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interests costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 7,091,951	(Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area B)
Existing Annual Debt Service	-	(Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area B)
Principal Component	(4,819,097)	(Page 4 of Appendix E - Service Area B)
Financing Costs	\$ 2,272,855	

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2020 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates. To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area B.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area B.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Interest Earnings.

Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues:

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes ad valorem revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects and assumes that all non-debt funded impact fee eligible project costs will be funded solely through impact fee revenues or non-ad valorem revenue sources. Reference is page 6 of Appendix E - Service Area B.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues.

Service Area C

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 42,187,190	Line 16, Maximum Fee Calculation Table
Financing Costs	9,640,068	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	(1,784,813)	Page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area C
Interest Earnings	(5,688,458)	Page 5 of Appendix E - Service Area C
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 44,353,987	Sum of Above
Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues	(601,239)	Page 8 of Appendix E - Service Area C
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 43,752,749	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is Service Area C column, line 16 on the Max Fee Calculation Table.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interests costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 27,286,745 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area C)
Existing Annual Debt Service	5,836,214 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area C)
Principal Component	(23,482,891) (Page 4 of Appendix E - Service Area C)
Financing Costs	\$ 9,640,068

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2020 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates. To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area C.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area C.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Interest Earnings.

Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues:

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes ad valorem revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects and assumes that all non-debt funded impact fee eligible project costs will be funded solely through impact fee revenues or non-ad valorem revenue sources. Reference is page 6 of Appendix E - Service Area C.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues.

Service Area D

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 132,127,064	Line 16, Maximum Fee Calculation Table
Financing Costs	32,193,283	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	(131,981)	Page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area D
Interest Earnings	(17,212,335)	Page 5 of Appendix E - Service Area D
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 146,976,031	Sum of Above
Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues	(5,004,090)	Page 8 of Appendix E - Service Area D
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 141,971,941	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is Service Area D column, line 16 on the Max Fee Calculation Table.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interests costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 88,915,117 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area D)
Existing Annual Debt Service	13,336,896 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area D)
Principal Component	(70,058,730) (Page 4 of Appendix E - Service Area D)
Financing Costs	\$ 32,193,283

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2020 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates. To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area D.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area D.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Interest Earnings.

Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues:

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes ad valorem revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects and assumes that all non-debt funded impact fee eligible project costs will be funded solely through impact fee revenues or non-ad valorem revenue sources. Reference is page 6 of Appendix E - Service Area D.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues.

Service Area E

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 36,477,811	Line 16, Maximum Fee Calculation Table
Financing Costs	8,560,746	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	(129,689)	Page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area E
Interest Earnings	(5,036,323)	Page 5 of Appendix E - Service Area E
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 39,872,546	Sum of Above
Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues	(578,212)	Page 8 of Appendix E - Service Area E
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 39,294,334	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is Service Area E column, line 16 on the Max Fee Calculation Table.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interests costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 26,604,431 (Page 3 of A	opendix E - Service Area E)
Existing Annual Debt Service	157,395 (Page 3 of A	opendix E - Service Area E)
Principal Component	(18,201,079) (Page 4 of A	pendix E - Service Area E)
Financing Costs	\$ 8,560,746	

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2020 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates. To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area E.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area E.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Interest Earnings.

Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues:

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes ad valorem revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects and assumes that all non-debt funded impact fee eligible project costs will be funded solely through impact fee revenues or non-ad valorem revenue sources. Reference is page 6 of Appendix E - Service Area E.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues. This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through impact fees.

Service Area G

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 8,041,653	Line 16, Maximum Fee Calculation Table
Financing Costs	2,552,974	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	(448,086)	Page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area G
Interest Earnings	(1,137,019)	Page 5 of Appendix E - Service Area G
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 9,009,522	Sum of Above
Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues	(67,194)	Page 8 of Appendix E - Service Area G
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 8,942,328	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is Service Area G column, line 16 on the Max Fee Calculation Table.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interests costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 4,050,969 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area G)
Existing Annual Debt Service	3,628,688 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area G)
Principal Component	(5,126,683) (Page 4 of Appendix E - Service Area G)
Financing Costs	\$ 2,552,974

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2020 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates. To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area G.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area G.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Interest Earnings.

Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues:

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes ad valorem revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects and assumes that all non-debt funded impact fee eligible project costs will be funded solely through impact fee revenues or non-ad valorem revenue sources. Reference is page 6 of Appendix E - Service Area G.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues.

Service Area H

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 10,213,823	Line 16, Maximum Fee Calculation Table
Financing Costs	2,942,747	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	(293,933)	Page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area H
Interest Earnings	(1,231,934)	Page 5 of Appendix E - Service Area H
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 11,630,704	Sum of Above
Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues	(333,123)	Page 8 of Appendix E - Service Area H
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 11,297,580	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is Service Area H column, line 16 on the Max Fee Calculation Table.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interests costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 4,752,546 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area H)
Existing Annual Debt Service	5,016,542 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area H)
Principal Component	(6,826,340) (Page 4 of Appendix E - Service Area H)
Financing Costs	\$ 2,942,747

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2020 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates. To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area H.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area H.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Interest Earnings.

Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues:

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes ad valorem revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects and assumes that all non-debt funded impact fee eligible project costs will be funded solely through impact fee revenues or non-ad valorem revenue sources. Reference is page 6 of Appendix E - Service Area H.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues.

Service Area I

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 25,021,841	Line 16, Maximum Fee Calculation Table
Financing Costs	7,106,451	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	(5,189,258)	Page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area I
Interest Earnings	(3,301,274)	Page 5 of Appendix E - Service Area I
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 23,637,760	Sum of Above
Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues	(449,003)	Page 8 of Appendix E - Service Area I
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 23,188,757	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is Service Area I column, line 16 on the Max Fee Calculation Table.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interests costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 9,394,797 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area I)
Existing Annual Debt Service	12,937,528 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area I)
Principal Component	(15,225,874) (Page 4 of Appendix E - Service Area I)
Financing Costs	\$ 7,106,451

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2020 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates. To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area I.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area I.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Interest Earnings.

Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues:

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes ad valorem revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects and assumes that all non-debt funded impact fee eligible project costs will be funded solely through impact fee revenues or non-ad valorem revenue sources. Reference is page 6 of Appendix E - Service Area I.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues. This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through impact fees.

Service Area J

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 9,621,608	Line 16, Maximum Fee Calculation Table
Financing Costs	2,915,731	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	(2,179,356)	Page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area J
Interest Earnings	(1,467,153)	Page 5 of Appendix E - Service Area J
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 8,890,830	Sum of Above
Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues	(257,003)	Page 8 of Appendix E - Service Area J
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 8,633,827	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is Service Area J column, line 16 on the Max Fee Calculation Table.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interests costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 4,864,097 (Pa	age 3 of Appendix E - Service Area J)
Existing Annual Debt Service	4,346,705 (Pa	age 3 of Appendix E - Service Area J)
Principal Component	(6,295,072) (Pa	age 4 of Appendix E - Service Area J)
Financing Costs	\$ 2,915,731	

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2020 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates. To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area J.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area J.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Interest Earnings.

Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues:

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes ad valorem revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects and assumes that all non-debt funded impact fee eligible project costs will be funded solely through impact fee revenues or non-ad valorem revenue sources. Reference is page 6 of Appendix E - Service Area J.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues.

Service Area K

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 9,904,669	Line 16, Maximum Fee Calculation Table
Financing Costs	2,590,329	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	(779,111)	Page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area K
Interest Earnings	(1,427,017)	Page 5 of Appendix E - Service Area K
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 10,288,870	Sum of Above
Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues	(80,502)	Page 8 of Appendix E - Service Area K
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 10,208,369	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is Service Area K column, line 16 on the Max Fee Calculation Table.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interests costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 6,321,850 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area K)
Existing Annual Debt Service	1,724,071 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area K)
Principal Component	(5,455,592) (Page 4 of Appendix E - Service Area K)
Financing Costs	\$ 2,590,329

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2020 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates. To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area K.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area K.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Interest Earnings.

Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues:

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes ad valorem revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects and assumes that all non-debt funded impact fee eligible project costs will be funded solely through impact fee revenues or non-ad valorem revenue sources. Reference is page 6 of Appendix E - Service Area K.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues.

SUMMARY OF ROADWAY IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

Service Area L

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 3,542,826	Line 16, Maximum Fee Calculation Table
Financing Costs	829,021	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	(5,532)	Page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area L
Interest Earnings	(491,577)	Page 5 of Appendix E - Service Area L
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 3,874,737	Sum of Above
Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues	(5,956)	Page 8 of Appendix E - Service Area L
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 3,868,782	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is Service Area L column, line 16 on the Max Fee Calculation Table.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interests costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 2,536,809	(Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area L)
Existing Annual Debt Service	73,147	(Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area L)
Principal Component	(1,780,935)	(Page 4 of Appendix E - Service Area L)
Financing Costs	\$ 829,021	

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2020 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates. To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area L.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area L.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Interest Earnings.

Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues:

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes ad valorem revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects and assumes that all non-debt funded impact fee eligible project costs will be funded solely through impact fee revenues or non-ad valorem revenue sources. Reference is page 6 of Appendix E - Service Area L.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues.

This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through impact fees.

SUMMARY OF ROADWAY IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

Service Area M

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 668,610	Line 16, Maximum Fee Calculation Table
Financing Costs	157,670	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	-	Page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area M
Interest Earnings	(94,613)	Page 5 of Appendix E - Service Area M
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 731,667	Sum of Above
Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues	(181)	Page 8 of Appendix E - Service Area M
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 731,486	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is Service Area M column, line 16 on the Max Fee Calculation Table.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interests costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 491,975 (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area M)
Existing Annual Debt Service	- (Page 3 of Appendix E - Service Area M)
Principal Component	(334,305) (Page 4 of Appendix E - Service Area M)
Financing Costs	\$ 157,670

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects that are included in the 2020 Impact Fee Update were also included in prior Impact Fee Updates. To avoid charging twice for the same project, the impact fee revenues collected but yet to be expended (i.e. fund balance) are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area M.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of recoverable costs. Reference is page 1 of Appendix E - Service Area M.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Interest Earnings.

Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues:

In 2001, the Impact Fee Statute was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes ad valorem revenues used to fund the debt service of debt financed impact fee eligible projects and assumes that all non-debt funded impact fee eligible project costs will be funded solely through impact fee revenues or non-ad valorem revenue sources. Reference is page 6 of Appendix E - Service Area M.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Ad Valorem Revenues.

This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through impact fees.





Appendix E – Plan for Awarding the Roadway Impact Fee Credit Summary Supporting Exhibits

AS PREPARED BY NEWGEN STRATEGIES & SOLUTIONS, LLC



Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area B

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits (1)
Annual Vehicle Mile Growth (2)
Existing Fund Balance (3)

2.00%
958
\$ -

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾ Non-debt Funded Project Cost⁽⁵⁾ New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁽⁶⁾ Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

\$ -
4,819,097
4,819,097
\$ 9,638,193

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 481,910	4.00%	20
2	481,910	4.00%	20
3	481,910	4.00%	20
4	481,910	4.00%	20
5	481,910	4.00%	20
6	481,910	4.00%	20
7	481,910	4.00%	20
8	481,910	4.00%	20
9	481,910	4.00%	20
10	481,910	4.00%	20
Total	\$ 4,819,097		

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual							
	Capital							
<u>Year</u>	Expenditures (10)							
1	\$ 481,910							
2	642,546							
3	803,183							
4	963,819							
5	963,819							
6	963,819							
7	963,819							
8	963,819							
9	963,819							
10	963,819							
11	481,910							
12	321,273							
13	160,637							
Total	\$ 9.638.193							

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- $(5) \quad \text{This assumes 50\% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise}$
- (6) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

Total

City of McKinney - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Study

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area B

I. New Debt Service Detail

	:	Series	Series	s	Series	Series		Series	Series	;	Series		Series	s	eries	4	Series	N	Annual ew Debt
Year		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>		<u>7</u>		<u>8</u>		9		<u>10</u>	3	Service
1	\$	35,460	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- :	\$ -	\$	-	\$	- \$		-	\$	-	\$	35,460
2		35,460	35,460		-	-		-	-		-		-		-		-		70,920
3		35,460	35,460		35,460	-		-	-		-		-				-		106,379
4		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		-	-		-		-				-		141,839
5		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	-		-		-	7	-		-		177,299
6		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		-		-		-		-		212,759
7		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460				-		-		248,218
8		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		-		-		283,678
9		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	4	35,460		35,460		-		319,138
10		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		354,598
11		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		354,598
12		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		354,598
13		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		354,598
14		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460	4	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		354,598
15		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		354,598
16		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		354,598
17		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		354,598
18		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		354,598
19		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		354,598
20		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		354,598
21		-	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		319,138
22		-	-		35,460	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		283,678
23		-	-		-	35,460		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		248,218
24		-	-		-	-		35,460	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		212,759
25		-	-		-	-	1	-	35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		177,299
26		-	-		-	-		-			35,460		35,460		35,460		35,460		141,839
27		-	-		-	-					-		35,460		35,460		35,460		106,379
28		-	-		-	-		-					-		35,460		35,460		70,920
29		-	-		-	-		-					-		-		35,460		35,460
	\$	709,195	\$ 709,195	\$	709,195	\$ 709,195	\$	709,195	\$ 709,195	\$	709,195	\$	709,195 \$,	709,195	\$	709,195	\$	7,091,951

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

		New			Existing			
		Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual			
		Debt	Capital	Bond	Debt	A	Innual	Total
Year	;	Service ⁽¹⁾	Expenditures (2)	Proceeds(2)	Service ⁽³⁾	C	redit ⁽⁴⁾	Expense
	-							<u> </u>
1	\$	35,460	\$ 481,910	\$ (481,910)	\$ -	\$	(56)	35,404
2		70,920	642,546	(481,910)	-		(218)	231,338
3	4	106,379	803,183	(481,910)	-		(476)	427,176
4		141,839	963,819	(481,910)	-		(824)	622,924
5		177,299	963,819	(481,910)	-		(1,254)	657,954
6		212,759	963,819	(481,910)	-		(1,760)	692,908
7		248,218	963,819	(481,910)	-		(2,336)	727,792
8		283,678	963,819	(481,910)	-		(2,977)	762,611
9		319,138	963,819	(481,910)	-		(3,678)	797,369
10		354,598	963,819	(481,910)	-		(4,436)	832,071
11		354,598	481,910	-	-		(4,436)	832,071
12		354,598	321,273	-	-		(4,436)	671,435
13		354,598	160,637	-	-		(4,436)	510,798
14		354,598	-	-	-		(4,436)	350,162
15		354,598	-	-	-		(4,436)	350,162
16		354,598	-	-	-		(4,436)	350,162
17		354,598	-	-	-		(4,436)	350,162
18		354,598	-	-	-		(4,436)	350,162
19		354,598	-	-	-		(4,436)	350,162
20		354,598	-	-	-		(4,436)	350,162
21		319,138	-	-	-		(3,992)	315,145
22		283,678	-	-	-		(3,549)	280,129
23		248,218	-	-	-		(3,105)	245,113
24		212,759	-	-	-		(2,662)	210,097
25		177,299	-	-	-		(2,218)	175,081
26		141,839	-	-	-		(1,774)	140,065
27		106,379	-	-	-		(1,331)	105,048
28		70,920	-	-	-		(887)	70,032
29		35,460	-	-	-		(444)	35,016
	\$	7,091,951	\$ 9,638,193	\$ (4,819,097)	\$ -	\$	(82,337)	11,828,711

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area B, Page 2 Section I
(2) Appendix E - Service Area B, Page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service
(4) Appendix E - Service Area B, Page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area B

<u>Year</u>	Impact <u>Fee</u>	Vehicle <u>Miles</u>	Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>	Annual <u>Expenses</u>	Sub-Total	Accumulated <u>Interest</u>	Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>
Initial							\$ -
1	\$ 1,094	958	\$ 1,047,918	\$ 35,404	\$ 1,012,515	\$ 10,125	1,022,640
2	1,094	958	1,047,918	231,338	816,580	28,619	1,867,839
3	1,094	958	1,047,918	427,176	620,743	43,564	2,532,146
4	1,094	958	1,047,918	622,924	424,994	54,893	3,012,032
5	1,094	958	1,047,918	657,954	389,964	64,140	3,466,137
6	1,094	958	1,047,918	692,908	355,010	72,873	3,894,020
7	1,094	958	1,047,918	727,792	320,126	81,082	4,295,228
8	1,094	958	1,047,918	762,611	285,308	88,758	4,669,294
9	1,094	958	1,047,918	797,369	250,549	95,891	5,015,734
10	1,094	958	1,047,918	832,071	215,847	102,473	5,334,055
11	-	-	-	832,071	(832,071)	98,360	4,600,344
12	-	-	-	671,435	(671,435)	85,293	4,014,202
13	-	-	-	510,798	(510,798)	75,176	3,578,579
14	-	-	-	350,162	(350, 162)	68,070	3,296,488
15	-	-	-	350,162	(350,162)	62,428	3,008,754
16	-	-	-	350,162	(350,162)	56,673	2,715,266
17	-	-	-	350,162	(350,162)	50,804	2,415,908
18	-	-	-	350,162	(350,162)	44,817	2,110,563
19	-	-	- \	350,162	(350,162)	38,710	1,799,111
20	-	-	-	350,162	(350,162)	32,481	1,481,430
21	-	-	-	315,145	(315,145)	26,477	1,192,762
22	-	-	-	280,129	(280,129)	21,054	933,687
23	-	-	-	245,113	(245,113)	16,223	704,796
24	-	-	-	210,097	(210,097)	11,995	506,694
25	-	-	-	175,081	(175,081)	8,383	339,996
26	-	-	-	140,065	(140,065)	5,399	205,331
27	-	- /	-	105,048	(105,048)	3,056	103,339
28	-	- 4	-	70,032	(70,032)	1,366	34,673
29	-	-	<u> </u>	35,016	(35,016)	343	-
			\$ 10,479,185	\$ 11,828,711		\$ 1,349,526	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area B

	Number of Years to	Interest Rate	Recovery Fee	Annual Vehicl	e Miles	Annual Expense				
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>E</u>	<u>scalated</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Escalated</u>			
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	958	1,684 \$	35,404	\$ 62,255			
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	958	1,651	231,338	398,817			
3	27	1.6902	1.0000	958	1,619	427,176	721,992			
4	26	1.6570	1.0000	958	1,587	622,924	1,032,193			
5	25	1.6245	1.0000	958	1,556	657,954	1,068,861			
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	958	1,525	692,908	1,103,573			
7	23	1.5614	1.0000	958	1,496	727,792	1,136,403			
8	22	1.5308	1.0000	958	1,466	762,611	1,167,422			
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	958	1,437	797,369	1,196,697			
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	958	1,409	832,071	1,224,292			
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	-	-	832,071	1,200,287			
12	18	1.4142	1.0000	·	-	671,435	949,572			
13 14	17 16	1.3865 1.3593	1.0000 1.0000			510,798	708,229			
15	15	1.3327	1.0000			350,162 350,162	475,984 466,651			
16	14	1.3065	1.0000			350,162	457,501			
17	13	1.2809	1.0000			350,162	448,530			
18	12	1.2558	1.0000	_	-	350,162	439,736			
19	11	1.2312	1.0000	_	_	350,162	431,113			
20	10	1.2070	1.0000	-	-	350,162	422,660			
21	9	1.1834	1.0000	-	-	315,145	372,936			
22	8	1.1602	1.0000	-	-	280,129	324,998			
23	7	1.1374	1.0000	-	-	245,113	278,798			
24	6	1.1151	1.0000	-	-	210,097	234,284			
25	5	1.0933	1.0000	-	-	175,081	191,408			
26	4	1.0718	1.0000	-	-	140,065	150,124			
27	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-	105,048	110,385			
28	2	1.0302	1.0000	-	-	70,032	72,147			
29	1	1.0100	1.0000		15 424	35,016	35,366			
					15,431		\$ 16,883,217			
		Annual Interest Rat	e:			2.00%				
		Present Value of In		Fund Balance	\$	_				
			10 000 017							
		Total Escalated Exp Less Future Value			\$	16,883,217 -				
		Sub-Total			\$	16,883,217				
		Total Escalated Ve	hicle Miles			15,431				
		Impact Fee For Se	rvice Area B		\$	1,094				

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area B

Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Impact Fee Project No. ⁽¹⁾	Cost In vice Area ⁽¹⁾	<u>R</u>	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost ⁽²⁾	Deb Existing		nded ⁽³⁾ Proposed		Non-Debt Funded ⁽³⁾	<u>F</u>	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost
UNNAMED 5 (4)	B-1, D-2	\$ 2,452,100	\$	2,433,532	\$	-	\$ 1,216,76	5 \$	1,216,766	\$	2,433,532
HARDIN BLVD (1)	B-2	438,900		435,577		-	217,78	3	217,788		435,577
HARDIN BLVD (2)	B-3	2,418,500		2,400,187		-	1,200,09	3	1,200,093		2,400,187
HARDIN BLVD (3)	B-4	4,090,450		4,059,477		-	2,029,73	3	2,029,738		4,059,477
Signal	1	150,000		148,864		- ,	74,43	2	74,432		148,864
Signal	3	150,000		148,864		-41	74,43	2	74,432		148,864
Impact Fee Study		11,692		11,692		٦.	5,84	3	5,846		11,692
Total		\$ 9,711,642	\$	9,638,193	\$	- "	\$ 4,819,09	7 \$	4,819,097	\$	9,638,193

⁽¹⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study



⁽²⁾ Line 11 of the Max Fee Table Report

⁽³⁾ Per discussions with City staff. For existing debt funding that does not have a specific bond identified, a 4.5% rate is used to coincide with the water and wastewater calculations.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area B

<u>Year</u>	Service ⁽¹⁾		Annual Vehicle <u>Miles</u>	Eligible Debt Service per Vehicle Mile	Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles (Cumulative)	Ad Valorem <u>Revenues</u>			
1	\$	35,460	606,072	\$ 0.06	958	\$	56		
2		70,920	623,802	0.11	1,916		218		
3		106,379	641,531	0.17	2,873		476		
4		141,839	659,260	0.22	3,831		824		
5		177,299	676,990	0.26	4,789		1,254		
6		212,759	694,719	0.31	5,747		1,760		
7		248,218	712,448	0.35	6,705		2,336		
8		283,678	730,177	0.39	7,662		2,977		
9		319,138	747,907	0.43	8,620		3,678		
10		354,598	765,636	0.46	9,578		4,436		
11		354,598	765,636	0.46	9,578		4,436		
12		354,598	765,636	0.46	9,578		4,436		
13		354,598	765,636	0.46	9,578		4,436		
14		354,598	765,636	0.46	9,578		4,436		
15		354,598	765,636	0.46	9,578		4,436		
16		354,598	765,636	0.46	9,578		4,436		
17		354,598	765,636	0.46	9,578		4,436		
18		354,598	765,636	0.46	9,578		4,436		
19		354,598	765,636	0.46	9,578		4,436		
20		354,598	765,636	 0.46	9,578		4,436		
21		319,138	765,636	0.42	9,578		3,992		
22		283,678	765,636	0.37	9,578		3,549		
23		248,218	765,636	0.32	9,578		3,105		
24		212,759	765,636	0.28	9,578		2,662		
25		177,299	765,636	0.23	9,578		2,218		
26		141,839	765,636	0.19	9,578		1,774		
27		106,379	765,636	0.14	9,578		1,331		
28		70,920	765,636	0.09	9,578		887		
29		35,460	765,636	0.05	9,578		444		
Total	\$	7,091,951				\$	82,337		

2019 Vehicle Miles (2)

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles in Service Area (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles In Other Service Areas (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Yea

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area B, Page 2 Section II

⁽²⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Line 8 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area C

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits (1)
Annual Vehicle Mile Growth (2)
Existing Fund Balance (3)

2.00%
1,558
\$ 1,784,813

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾
Non-debt Funded Project Cost⁽⁵⁾
New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁽⁶⁾
Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

\$ 4,941,103
18,704,299
18,541,789
\$ 42,187,190

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 1,854,179	4.00%	20
2	1,854,179	4.00%	20
3	1,854,179	4.00%	20
4	1,854,179	4.00%	20
5	1,854,179	4.00%	20
6	1,854,179	4.00%	20
7	1,854,179	4.00%	20
8	1,854,179	4.00%	20
9	1,854,179	4.00%	20
10	1,854,179	4.00%	20
Total	\$ 18,541,789		

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual	
	Capital	
<u>Year</u>	Expenditures (10)
		-
1	\$ 1,870,430)
2	2,488,489)
3	3,106,549)
4	3,724,609	,
5	3,724,609	,
6	3,724,609)
7	3,724,609	,
8	3,724,609	,
9	3,724,609)
10	3,724,609	,
11	1,854,179)
12	1,236,119	,
13	618,060)
Total	\$ 37,246,087	_

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- $(5) \quad \text{This assumes 50\% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise}$
- (6) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

Total

City of McKinney - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Study

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area C

I. New Debt Service Detail

V		ries	Series	\$	Series	Series		Series	Serie	s		Series		Series		Series	Series	N	Annual lew Debt
Year	<u>1</u>	<u>L</u>	<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>			<u>7</u>		<u>8</u>		9	<u>10</u>		Service
1	\$ 1	36,434	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$		- :	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	136,434
2	1	36,434	136,434		-	-		-		-		-		-		4	-		272,867
3	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	-		-		-		-		-	4	-	-		409,301
4	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		-		-		-		-			-		545,735
5	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434		-		-		-		-	-		682,169
6	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	,434		-		-		-	-		818,602
7	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	,434		136,434				-	-		955,036
8	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	,434		136,434		136,434		-	-		1,091,470
9	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	6,434		136,434	4	136,434		136,434	-		1,227,904
10	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,364,337
11	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,364,337
12	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,364,337
13	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,364,337
14	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	,434	4	136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,364,337
15	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	5,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,364,337
16	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,364,337
17	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,364,337
18	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,364,337
19	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,364,337
20	1	36,434	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,364,337
21		-	136,434		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,227,904
22		-	-		136,434	136,434		136,434	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		1,091,470
23		-	-		-	136,434		136,434	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		955,036
24		-	-		-	-		136,434	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		818,602
25		-	-		-	-	1	-	136	6,434		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		682,169
26		-	-		-	-		-		-		136,434		136,434		136,434	136,434		545,735
27		-	-		-	-				-		-		136,434		136,434	136,434		409,301
28		-	-		-	-				-				-		136,434	136,434		272,867
29								-						<u> </u>			136,434		136,434
_	\$ 2,7	28,675	\$ 2,728,675	\$:	2,728,675	\$ 2,728,675	\$	2,728,675 \$	2,728	3,675	\$	2,728,675	\$	2,728,675	\$	2,728,675	\$ 2,728,675	\$:	27,286,745

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

	New			Existing		
	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual		
	Debt	Capital	Bond	Debt	Annual	Total
Year	Service ⁽¹⁾	Expenditures (2)	Proceeds ⁽²⁾	Service ⁽³⁾	Credit ⁽⁴⁾	Expense
					· <u> </u>	
1	\$ 136,434	\$ 1,870,430	\$ (1,854,179) \$	573,496	\$ (1,825)	\$ 724,355
2	272,867	2,488,489	(1,854,179)	441,988	(3,571)	1,345,595
3	409,301	3,106,549	(1,854,179)	333,872	(5,415)	1,990,129
4	545,735	3,724,609	(1,854,179)	327,296	(8,254)	2,735,206
5	682,169	3,724,609	(1,854,179)	320,670	(11,541)	2,861,727
6	818,602	3,724,609	(1,854,179)	314,098	(15,243)	2,987,887
7	955,036	3,724,609	(1,854,179)	307,472	(19,329)	3,113,609
8	1,091,470	3,724,609	(1,854,179)	300,895	(23,770)	3,239,024
9	1,227,904	3,724,609	(1,854,179)	294,259	(28,542)	3,364,051
10	1,364,337	3,724,609	(1,854,179)	287,667	(33,621)	3,488,813
11	1,364,337	1,854,179	-	281,062	(33,487)	3,466,091
12	1,364,337	1,236,119	-	277,083	(33,406)	2,844,134
13	1,364,337	618,060	-	273,138	(33,325)	2,222,209
14	1,364,337	-	-	269,170	(33,245)	1,600,262
15	1,364,337	-	-	263,906	(33,138)	1,595,106
16	1,364,337	-	-	259,602	(33,050)	1,590,890
17	1,364,337	-	-	217,620	(32,196)	1,549,762
18	1,364,337	-	-	212,590	(32,093)	1,544,834
19	1,364,337	-	-	208,151	(32,003)	1,540,485
20	1,364,337	-	-	72,179	(29,236)	1,407,281
21	1,227,904	-	-	-	(24,990)	1,202,914
22	1,091,470	-	-	-	(22,213)	1,069,257
23	955,036	-	-	-	(19,437)	935,599
24	818,602	-	-	-	(16,660)	801,942
25	682,169	-	-	-	(13,883)	668,285
26	545,735	-	-	-	(11,107)	534,628
27	409,301	-	-	-	(8,330)	400,971
28	272,867	-	-	-	(5,553)	267,314
29	136,434	-	-	-	(2,777)	133,657
	\$ 27,286,745	\$ 37,246,087	\$ (18,541,789) \$	5,836,214	\$ (601,239)	\$ 51,226,020

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area C, Page 2 Section I
(2) Appendix E - Service Area C, Page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service
(4) Appendix E - Service Area C, Page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area C

<u>Year</u>	ı	mpact <u>Fee</u>	Impact Vehicle Fee <u>Miles Revenue</u>		Annual <u>Expenses</u>			Sub-Total		umulated nterest	Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>		
Initial										47		\$	1,784,813
1	\$	2,808	1,558	\$	4,375,275	\$	724,355	\$	3,650,920	\$	72,205		5,507,938
2		2,808	1,558		4,375,275		1,345,595		3,029,680		140,456		8,678,073
3		2,808	1,558		4,375,275		1,990,129		2,385,146		197,413		11,260,632
4		2,808	1,558		4,375,275		2,735,206		1,640,068		241,613	\neg	13,142,314
5		2,808	1,558		4,375,275		2,861,727		1,513,548		277,982		14,933,844
6		2,808	1,558		4,375,275		2,987,887	4	1,387,388		312,551		16,633,782
7		2,808	1,558		4,375,275		3,113,609		1,261,665		345,292		18,240,740
8		2,808	1,558		4,375,275		3,239,024		1,136,250		376,177		19,753,168
9		2,808	1,558		4,375,275		3,364,051		1,011,224		405,176		21,169,567
10		2,808	1,558		4,375,275		3,488,813		886,462		432,256		22,488,285
11		-	-		-		3,466,091		(3,466,091)		415,105		19,437,299
12		-	-		-		2,844,134		(2,844,134)		360,305		16,953,470
13		-	-		-		2,222,209		(2,222,209)		316,847		15,048,107
14		-	-		-		1,600,262		(1,600,262)		284,960		13,732,805
15		-	-		-		1,595,106		(1,595,106)		258,705		12,396,404
16		-	-		-		1,590,890		(1,590,890)		232,019		11,037,533
17		-	-		-		1,549,762		(1,549,762)		205,253		9,693,024
18		-	-		-		1,544,834		(1,544,834)		178,412		8,326,602
19		-	-		-		1,540,485		(1,540,485)		151,127		6,937,245
20		-	-		-		1,407,281		(1,407,281)		124,672		5,654,636
21		-	-		-		1,202,914		(1,202,914)		101,064		4,552,786
22		-	-		-		1,069,257		(1,069,257)		80,363		3,563,892
23		-	-		-		935,599		(935,599)		61,922		2,690,215
24		-	-		-		801,942		(801,942)		45,785		1,934,057
25		-	-		-		668,285		(668,285)		31,998		1,297,770
26		-	-		-		534,628		(534,628)		20,609		783,751
27		-	- 4		-		400,971		(400,971)		11,665		394,445
28		-	.		-		267,314		(267,314)		5,216		132,347
29		-	-		-		133,657	1	(133,657)		1,310		-
				\$	43,752,749	\$	51,226,020			\$	5,688,458		

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area C

	Number of Years to	Interest Rate	Recovery Fee	Annual Vehic	le Miles	Annual E	Expense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Escalated</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Escalated
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	1,558	2,740 \$	724,355	\$ 1,273,731
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	1,558	2,686	1,345,595	2,319,746
3	27	1.6902	1.0000	1,558	2,634	1,990,129	3,363,620
4	26	1.6570	1.0000	1,558	2,582	2,735,206	4,532,270
5	25	1.6245	1.0000	1,558	2,531	2,861,727	4,648,938
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	1,558	2,482	2,987,887	4,758,713
7	23	1.5614	1.0000	1,558	2,433	3,113,609	4,861,713
8	22	1.5308	1.0000	1,558	2,385	3,239,024	4,958,373
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	1,558	2,339	3,364,051	5,048,791
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	1,558	2,293	3,488,813	5,133,367
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	-	-	3,466,091	4,999,936
12	18	1.4142	1.0000	•	-	2,844,134	4,022,299
13	17	1.3865	1.0000		-	2,222,209	3,081,124
14	16	1.3593	1.0000	•	-	1,600,262	2,175,280
15	15	1.3327	1.0000	_		1,595,106	2,125,755
16	14	1.3065	1.0000		-	1,590,890	2,078,565
17	13	1.2809	1.0000		-	1,549,762	1,985,128
18 19	12 11	1.2558 1.2312	1.0000 1.0000	-	-	1,544,834	1,940,015
20	10	1.2070	1.0000	_	-	1,540,485 1,407,281	1,896,621 1,698,649
21	9	1.1834	1.0000		-	1,202,914	1,423,499
22	8	1.1602	1.0000		-	1,069,257	1,240,522
23	7	1.1374	1.0000		-	935,599	1,064,173
24	6	1.1151	1.0000	-	-	801,942	894,263
25	5	1.0933	1.0000	_	-	668,285	730,607
26	4	1.0718	1.0000	_	-	534,628	573,025
27	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-	400,971	421,342
28	2	1.0302	1.0000	-	-	267,314	275,387
29	1	1.0100	1.0000	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	133,657	134,994
					25,104	-	\$ 73,660,447
		Annual Interest Rat	e:			2.00%	
		Present Value of In	itial Impact Fee	Fund Balance	\$	1,784,813	
		Total Escalated Exp	nense for Entire	Period	\$	73,660,447	
		Less Future Value			Φ	3,169,551	
		Sub-Total	or irilliar IIIIpact I	CC I UIIU DAIAIICE	\$	70,490,896	
		Total Escalated Vel	hicle Miles			25,104	
		Impact Fee For Se	rvice Area C		\$	2,808	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area C

Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Total <u>Project Cost⁽¹⁾</u>	Cost In Service Area ⁽¹⁾	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost ⁽²⁾	Debt Fu Existing	unded ⁽³⁾ <u>Proposed</u>	Non-Debt Funded ⁽³⁾	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost
UNNAMED 5 (1)	\$ 7,715,900	\$ 3,857,950	\$ 1,392,232	s -	\$ 696,116	\$ 696,116	\$ 1,392,232
UNNAMED 5 (2)	3,137,400	1,568,700	566,102	· -	283,051	283,051	566,102
LAUD HOWELL PKWY (1)	665,000	332,500	119,990	_	59,995	59,995	119.990
LAUD HOWELL PKWY (2)	1,171,800	1,171,800	422,871	_	211,436	211,436	422,871
LAUD HOWELL PKWY (3)	808,500	404,250	145,883	_	72,942	72,942	145,883
LAUD HOWELL PKWY (4)	2,859,500	1,429,750	515,959	_	257,979	257,979	515,959
LAUD HOWELL PKWY (5)	3,073,000	1,536,500	554,482	_	277,241	277,241	554,482
BLOOMDALE RD (1)	3,179,400	3,179,400	1,147,361		573,680	573,680	1,147,361
BLOOMDALE RD (2)	4,838,400	2,419,200	873,025		436,512	436,512	873.025
BLOOMDALE RD (3)	13,314,000	13,314,000	4,804,669	_	2,402,334	2,402,334	4,804,669
BLOOMDALE RD (4)	3,292,800	1,646,400	594,142	_	297,071	297,071	594,142
BLOOMDALE RD (5)	1,453,200	1,453,200	524,421		262,211	262,211	524,421
BLOOMDALE RD (6)	4,650,800	2,325,400	839,175		419,588	419,588	839,175
WILMETH RD (1)	8,845,850	8,845,850	3,192,232		1,596,116	1,596,116	3,192,232
WILMETH RD (2)	6,200,600	3,100,300	1,118,816	_	559,408	559,408	1,118,816
WILMETH RD (3)	1,593,000	1,593,000	574,871	558,447	(0)		574,871
WILMETH RD (4)	708,000	708,000	255,498	248,198	-	7,300	255,498
CUSTER RD (1)	1,834,000	917,000	330,921	,	165,460	165,460	330.921
CUSTER RD (2)	2,869,825	2,869,825	1,035,644		517,822	517,822	1,035,644
CUSTER RD (3)	8,071,300	4,035,650	1,456,359	150,074	630,744	675,542	1,456,359
STONEBRIDGE DR (2)	2,763,650	2,763,650	997,328		498,664	498,664	997,328
STONEBRIDGE DR (3)	14,194,900	14,194,900	5,122,562		2,561,281	2,561,281	5,122,562
STONEBRIDGE DR (4)	2,117,300	1,058,650	382,039		191,019	191,019	382,039
STONEBRIDGE DR (5)	8,232,050	8,232,050	2,970,728	-	1,485,364	1,485,364	2,970,728
STONEBRIDGE DR (6)	1,030,750	1,030,750	371,970		185,985	185,985	371,970
RIDGE RD (1)	3,095,400	1,547,700	558,524		279,262	279,262	558,524
RIDGE RD (2)	7,267,950	7,267,950	2,622,810		1,311,405	1,311,405	2.622.810
RIDGE RD (3)	9,144,800	4,572,400	1,650,058	_ `	825,029	825,029	1,650,058
RIDGE RD (4)	3,756,200	1,878,100	677,756		338,878	338,878	677,756
RIDGE RD (5)	7,411,200	7,411,200	2,674,505	2,233,786	187,510	253,209	2,674,505
RIDGE RD (6)	1,455,000	727,500	262,535	217,174		25,875	262,535
RIDGE RD (7)	2,857,200	2,857,200	1,031,088	744,595	132,296	154,196	1,031,088
LAKE FOREST DR (2)	5,008,981	2,504,491	903,804	458,631	222,587	222,587	903,804
LAKE FOREST DR (3)	2,350,800	1,175,400	424,171	-	212,085	212,085	424,171
Signal	300,000	75,000	27,066	-	13,533	13,533	27,066
Signal	300,000	225,000	81,197	_	40,598	40,598	81,197
Signal	300,000	150,000	54,131		27,066	27,066	54,131
Intersection Improvement	150,000	75,000	27,066	_	13,533	13,533	27,066
Signal	300,000	300,000	108,262		54,131	54,131	108,262
Signal	300,000	150,000	54,131	_	27,066	27,066	54,131
Signal	300,000	75,000	27,066		13,533	13,533	27,066
Signal	300,000	300,000	108,262	-	54,131	54,131	108,262
Signal	300,000	300,000	108,262	_	54,131	54,131	108,262
Roundabout	1,830,000	915,000	330,199	330,199	-	-	330,199
Signal Mod	150,000	75,000	27,066	_	13,533	13,533	27,066
Signal	300,000	150,000	54,131	-	27,066	27,066	54,131
Signal	300,000	150,000	54,131	-	27,066	27,066	54,131
Impact Fee Study	11,692	11,692	11,692	-	5,846	5,846	11,692
Total	\$ 156,110,148	\$ 116,882,308	\$ 42,187,190	\$ 4,941,103	\$ 18,541,789	\$ 18,704,299	\$ 42,187,190

⁽¹⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽²⁾ Line 11 of the Max Fee Table Report

⁽³⁾ Per discussions with City staff. For existing debt funding that does not have a specific bond identified, a 4.5% rate is used to coincide with the water and wastewater calculations.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area C

<u>Year</u>	ligible Debt Service ⁽¹⁾	Annual Vehicle <u>Miles</u>		Eligible Debt Service per Vehicle Mile	Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles (Cumulative)	- 4	edit for Annual Ad Valorem <u>Revenues</u>
1	\$ 709,930	606,072	\$	1.17	1,558	\$	1,825
2	714,856	623,802		1.15	3,116		3,571
3	743,174	641,531		1.16	4,675		5,415
4	873,030	659,260		1.32	6,233		8,254
5	1,002,838	676,990		1.48	7,791		11,541
6	1,132,700	694,719		1.63	9,349		15,243
7	1,262,508	712,448		1.77	10,907		19,329
8	1,392,365	730,177		1.91	12,466		23,770
9	1,522,163	747,907		2.04	14,024		28,542
10	1,652,004	765,636		2.16	15,582		33,621
11	1,645,399	765,636		2.15	15,582		33,487
12	1,641,420	765,636		2.14	15,582		33,406
13	1,637,475	765,636		2.14	15,582		33,325
14	1,633,507	765,636		2.13	15,582		33,245
15	1,628,243	765,636		2.13	15,582		33,138
16	1,623,940	765,636		2.12	15,582		33,050
17	1,581,957	765,636		2.07	15,582		32,196
18	1,576,927	765,636		2.06	15,582		32,093
19	1,572,488	765,636		2.05	15,582		32,003
20	1,436,517	765,636	1	1.88	15,582		29,236
21	1,227,904	765,636		1.60	15,582		24,990
22	1,091,470	765,636		1.43	15,582		22,213
23	955,036	765,636		1.25	15,582		19,437
24	818,602	765,636		1.07	15,582		16,660
25	682,169	765,636		0.89	15,582		13,883
26	545,735	765,636		0.71	15,582		11,107
27	409,301	765,636		0.53	15,582		8,330
28	272,867	765,636		0.36	15,582		5,553
29	136,434	765,636		0.18	15,582		2,777
Total	\$ 33,122,960					\$	601,239

2019 Vehicle Miles (2)

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles in Service Area (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles In Other Service Areas (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Yea

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area C, Page 2 Section II

⁽²⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Line 8 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area D

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits (1)
Annual Vehicle Mile Growth (2)
Existing Fund Balance (3)

2.00%
4,130
\$ 131,981

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾
Non-debt Funded Project Cost⁽⁵⁾
New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁽⁶⁾
Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

\$ 9,639,457
62,068,334
60,419,273

\$ 132,127,064

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 6,041,927	4.00%	20
2	6,041,927	4.00%	20
3	6,041,927	4.00%	20
4	6,041,927	4.00%	20
5	6,041,927	4.00%	20
6	6,041,927	4.00%	20
7	6,041,927	4.00%	20
8	6,041,927	4.00%	20
9	6,041,927	4.00%	20
10	6,041,927	4.00%	20

Total \$ 60,419,273

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual Capital
<u>Year</u>	Expenditures (10)
1	\$ 6,206,833
2	8,220,809
3	10,234,785
4	12,248,761
5	12,248,761
6	12,248,761
7	12,248,761
8	12,248,761
9	12,248,761
10	12,248,761
11	6,041,927
12	4,027,952
13	2,013,976
Total	\$ 122,487,607

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- $(5) \quad \text{This assumes 50\% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise}$
- (6) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area D

I. New Debt Service Detail

<u>Year</u>	Series	Series	Series	Series		Series <u>5</u>	Series	Series		Series		Series <u>9</u>		Series		Total Annual New Debt Service	
1	\$ 444,576	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	444,576	
2	444,576	444,576	-	-		-	-	-		-		-		-		889,151	
3	444,576	444,576	444,576	-		-	-	-		-		-		-		1,333,727	
4	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		-	-	-		-				-		1,778,302	
5	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	-	-		-		-		-		2,222,878	
6	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	-		-		-		-		2,667,454	
7	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576				-	1	-		3,112,029	
8	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		-		-		3,556,605	
9	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		-		4,001,180	
10	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576	4	444,576		444,576		444,576		4,445,756	
11	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576	$\overline{}$	4,445,756	
12	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		4,445,756	
13	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		4,445,756	
14	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		4,445,756	
15	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		4,445,756	,
16	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	4	444,576		444,576		4,445,756	
17	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		4,445,756	
18	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		4,445,756	
19	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		4,445,756	
20	444,576	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		4,445,756	
21	-	444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		4,001,180	
22	-	-	444,576	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		3,556,605	
23	-	-	-	444,576		444,576	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		3,112,029	
24	-	-	-	-		444,576	444,576	444,576	\neg	444,576		444,576		444,576		2,667,454	
25	-	-	-	-		-	444,576	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		2,222,878	
26	-	-	-	-	- 1	-	-	444,576		444,576		444,576		444,576		1,778,302	
27	-	-	-	-				-		444,576		444,576		444,576		1,333,727	
28	-	-	-	-			-	-		-		444,576		444,576		889,151	
29	 -	-	-	-		-		·		-		-		444,576		444,576	
	\$ 8,891,512	\$ 8,891,512	\$ 8,891,512	\$ 8,891,512	\$	8,891,512	\$ 8,891,512	\$ 8,891,512	\$	8,891,512	\$	8,891,512	\$	8,891,512	\$ 8	88,915,117	

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

	New			Existing		
	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual		
	Debt	Capital	Bond	Debt	Annual	Total
<u>Year</u>	Service ⁽¹⁾	Expenditures ⁽²⁾	Proceeds(2)	Service ⁽³⁾	Credit ⁽⁴⁾	Expense
1	\$ 444,576	\$ 6,206,833		\$ 770,423	\$ (8,279)	
2	889,151	8,220,809	(6,041,927)	761,935	(21,862)	
3	1,333,727	10,234,785	(6,041,927)	754,379	(40,327)	
4	1,778,302	12,248,761	(6,041,927)	754,261	(63,460)	
5	2,222,878	12,248,761	(6,041,927)	753,586	(90,788)	
6	2,667,454	12,248,761	(6,041,927)	753,526	(122,020)	
7	3,112,029	12,248,761	(6,041,927)	752,852	(156,827)	9,914,888
8	3,556,605	12,248,761	(6,041,927)	752,733	(194,990)	10,321,182
9	4,001,180	12,248,761	(6,041,927)	751,942	(236,218)	10,723,737
10	4,445,756	12,248,761	(6,041,927)	751,648	(280,352)	11,123,885
11	4,445,756	6,041,927	-	751,208	(280,328)	10,958,563
12	4,445,756	4,027,952	-	750,768	(280,305)	8,944,171
13	4,445,756	2,013,976	-	750,708	(280,301)	6,930,139
14	4,445,756	-	-	750,386	(280,284)	4,915,858
15	4,445,756	-	-	750,267	(280,278)	4,915,746
16	4,445,756	-	-	749,864	(280,256)	4,915,364
17	4,445,756	-	-	321,768	(257,164)	4,510,359
18	4,445,756	-	-	321,432	(257,146)	4,510,042
19	4,445,756	-	-	321,136	(257,130)	4,509,762
20	4,445,756	-	-	312,072	(256,641	
21	4,001,180	-	-	-	(215,827	3,785,354
22	3,556,605	-	-	-	(191,846)	3,364,759
23	3,112,029	-	-	-	(167,865)	2,944,164
24	2,667,454	-	-	-	(143,885)	2,523,569
25	2,222,878	_	-	-	(119,904	
26	1,778,302	-	-	-	(95,923	1,682,379
27	1,333,727	_	-	-	(71,942	
28	889,151	-	-	-	(47,962	
29	444,576	_	-	-	(23,981	
	\$ 88,915,117	\$122,487,607	\$ (60,419,273)	\$ 13,336,896	\$ (5,004,090)	

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area D, Page 2 Section I
(2) Appendix E - Service Area D, Page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service

⁽⁴⁾ Appendix E - Service Area D, Page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area D

<u>Year</u>	ıpact <u>⁻ee</u>	Vehicle <u>Miles</u>		Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>	<u>!</u>	Annual Expenses	;	Sub-Total		cumulated nterest	Estimated Fund Balance
Initial									47		\$ 131,981
1	\$ 3,438	4,130	\$	14,197,194	\$	1,371,625	\$	12,825,569	\$	130,895	13,088,445
2	3,438	4,130		14,197,194		3,808,106		10,389,088		365,660	23,843,193
3	3,438	4,130		14,197,194		6,240,637		7,956,557		556,429	32,356,180
4	3,438	4,130		14,197,194		8,675,936		5,521,258		702,336	38,579,774
5	3,438	4,130		14,197,194		9,092,510		5,104,684		822,642	44,507,101
6	3,438	4,130		14,197,194		9,505,793	4	4,691,401		937,056	50,135,558
7	3,438	4,130		14,197,194		9,914,888		4,282,307		1,045,534	55,463,399
8	3,438	4,130		14,197,194		10,321,182		3,876,013		1,148,028	60,487,440
9	3,438	4,130		14,197,194		10,723,737		3,473,457		1,244,483	65,205,380
10	3,438	4,130		14,197,194		11,123,885		3,073,309		1,334,841	69,613,529
11	-	-		-		10,958,563		(10,958,563)		1,282,685	59,937,652
12	-	-		-		8,944,171		(8,944,171)		1,109,311	52,102,792
13	-	-		-		6,930,139		(6,930,139)		972,754	46,145,408
14	-	-		-		4,915,858		(4,915,858)		873,750	42,103,300
15	-	-		-	•	4,915,746		(4,915,746)		792,909	37,980,462
16	-	-		7		4,915,364		(4,915,364)		710,456	33,775,554
17	-	-		-		4,510,359		(4,510,359)		630,407	29,895,602
18	-	-		-		4,510,042		(4,510,042)		552,812	25,938,371
19	-	-		-		4,509,762		(4,509,762)		473,670	21,902,279
20	-	-		-		4,501,187		(4,501,187)		393,034	17,794,125
21	-	-		-		3,785,354		(3,785,354)		318,029	14,326,801
22	-	-		-		3,364,759		(3,364,759)		252,888	11,214,930
23	-	-		-		2,944,164		(2,944,164)		194,857	8,465,623
24	-	-		-		2,523,569		(2,523,569)		144,077	6,086,131
25		-		-		2,102,974		(2,102,974)		100,693	4,083,850
26	-	-		-		1,682,379		(1,682,379)		64,853	2,466,324
27	-	-	47	-		1,261,785		(1,261,785)		36,709	1,241,248
28	-	-4		-		841,190		(841,190)		16,413	416,471
29	-					420,595	_	(420,595)		4,123	-
			\$	141,971,941	\$ 1	59,316,257		·	\$	17,212,335	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area D

	Number of	Interest	Recovery				
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual Veh	icle Miles	Annual I	Expense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Escalated	Actual	<u>Escalated</u>
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	4,130	7,262 \$	1,371,625	\$ 2,411,913
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	4,130	7,120	3,808,106	6,565,005
3	27	1.6902	1.0000	4,130	6,980	6,240,637	10,547,627
4	26	1.6570	1.0000	4,130	6,843	8,675,936	14,376,130
5	25	1.6245	1.0000	4,130	6,709	9,092,510	14,770,979
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	4,130	6,578	9,505,793	15,139,574
7	23	1.5614	1.0000	4,130	6,449	9,914,888	15,481,497
8	22	1.5308	1.0000	4,130	6,322	10,321,182	15,799,902
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	4,130	6,198	10,723,737	16,094,259
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	4,130	6,077	11,123,885	16,367,454
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	-	-	10,958,563	15,808,041
12	18	1.4142	1.0000	-	-	8,944,171	12,649,239
13	17	1.3865	1.0000	•	-	6,930,139	9,608,731
14	16	1.3593	1.0000		-	4,915,858	6,682,258
15	15	1.3327	1.0000	_		4,915,746	6,551,084
16	14	1.3065	1.0000		-	4,915,364	6,422,133
17	13	1.2809	1.0000		-	4,510,359	5,777,429
18	12	1.2558	1.0000	-	-	4,510,042	5,663,747
19	11	1.2312	1.0000	-	-	4,509,762	5,552,349
20	10	1.2070	1.0000	-	-	4,501,187	5,433,129
21	9	1.1834	1.0000	-	-	3,785,354	4,479,496
22	8 7	1.1602	1.0000	-	-	3,364,759	3,903,701
23 24	6	1.1374 1.1151	1.0000 1.0000	-	-	2,944,164	3,348,763
2 4 25	5	1.0933	1.0000	_	-	2,523,569	2,814,086
26 26	4	1.0718	1.0000	_	-	2,102,974 1,682,379	2,299,090 1,803,208
26 27	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-	1,062,379	1,325,888
28	2	1.0300	1.0000	_	_	841,190	866,594
29	1	1.0100	1.0000		_	420,595	424,801
20		1.0100	1.0000		66,538	420,000	\$ 228,968,108
					33,333		ψ <u>=</u> ==0,000,100
		Annual Interest Ra	te:			2.00%	
		Present Value of In	itial Impact Fee	Fund Balance	\$	131,981	
		Total Escalated Ex	pense for Entire	Period	\$	228,968,108	
		Less Future Value	of Initial Impact	Fee Fund Balance		234,378	
		Sub-Total			\$	228,733,730	
		Total Escalated Ve	hicle Miles		_	66,538	
		Impact Fee For Se	ervice Area D		\$	3,438	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area D

Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Impact Fee Project No. ⁽¹⁾	Cost In Service Area (1)	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost ⁽²⁾	Debt Fu <u>Existing</u>	inded ⁽³⁾ <u>Proposed</u>	Non-Debt <u>Funded⁽³⁾</u>	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost
UNNAMED 5 (3)	A-2, D-1	3,758,750	\$ 2,627,229	\$ -	\$ 1,313,615	\$ 1,313,615	\$ 2,627,229
UNNAMED 5 (4)	B-1, D-2	2,452,100	1,713,928	-	856,964	856,964	1,713,928
LAUD HOWELL PKWY (6)	D-3	39,497,100	27,607,032	-	13,803,516	13,803,516	27,607,032
LAUD HOWELL PKWY (7)	D-4	8,774,550	6,133,090	-	3,066,545	3,066,545	6,133,090
LAUD HOWELL PKWY (8)	D-5	2,220,027	1,551,718	438,978	314,534	798,207	1,551,718
TRINITY FALLS PKWY (1)	D-6	3,243,800	2,267,298	-	1,133,649	1,133,649	2,267,298
TRINITY FALLS PKWY (2)	D-7	650,300	454,536	-	227,268	227,268	454,536
TRINITY FALLS PKWY (3)	D-8	2,988,400	2,088,783	-	1,044,391	1,044,391	2,088,783
TRINITY FALLS PKWY (4)	D-9	8,418,050	5,883,910	-	2,941,955	2,941,955	5,883,910
TRINITY FALLS PKWY (5)	D-10	7,622,400	5,327,780	3,497,455	881,533	948,792	5,327,780
BLOOMDALE RD (7)	D-11	1,899,800	1,327,891	-	663,945	663,945	1,327,891
BLOOMDALE RD (8)	D-12	3,206,000	2,240,877	-	1,120,439	1,120,439	2,240,877
BLOOMDALE RD (9)	D-13	1,500,800 5,885,810	1,049,004	1,939,066	524,502 1,021,605	524,502 1,153,295	1,049,004 4,113,966
BLOOMDALE RD (10) BLOOMDALE RD (11)	D-14 D-15	5,165,390	4,113,966 3,610,419	2,544,347	446,637	619,435	3,610,419
WILMETH RD (5)	D-16	5,495,525	3,841,172	2,544,547	1,920,586	1,920,586	3,841,172
WILMETH RD (6)	D-10 D-17	1,401,400	979,527		489,764	489,764	979,527
WILMETH RD (7)	D-17 D-18	411,600	287,693		143,847	143,847	287,693
WILMETH RD (8)	D-19	1,901,900	1,329,359		664,679	664,679	1,329,359
WILMETH RD (9)	D-20	2,799,600	1,956,818	_	978,409	978,409	1,956,818
LAKE FOREST DR (1)	D-21	3,224,200	2,253,598		1,126,799	1,126,799	2,253,598
LAKE FOREST DR (3)	C-34, D-22	1,175,400	821,562		410,781	410,781	821,562
UNNAMED A (1)	D-23	17,435,950	12,187,093	-	6,093,547	6,093,547	12,187,093
UNNAMED A (2)	D-24	2,299,425	1,607,214	_	803,607	803,607	1,607,214
UNNAMED A (3)	D-25	938,000	655,628	-	327,814	327,814	655,628
UNNAMED A (4)	D-26	5,969,050	4,172,148	-	2,086,074	2,086,074	4,172,148
TAYLOR-BURK DR (1)	D-27	2,038,250	1,424,662		712,331	712,331	1,424,662
HARDIN BLVD (4)	D-28	1,633,700	1,141,897	•	570,948	570,948	1,141,897
HARDIN BLVD (5)	D-29	21,290,800	14,881,493	-	7,440,746	7,440,746	14,881,493
HARDIN BLVD (6)	D-30	2,051,000	1,433,574	-	716,787	716,787	1,433,574
HARDIN BLVD (7)	D-31	4,538,400	3,172,176	-	1,586,088	1,586,088	3,172,176
HARDIN BLVD (8)	D-32	1,070,400	748,171	-	374,085	374,085	748,171
HARDIN BLVD (9)	D-33	1,124,900	786,264	-	393,132	393,132	786,264
HARDIN BLVD (10)	D-34	2,904,000	2,029,790	-	1,014,895	1,014,895	2,029,790
COMMUNITY AVE (1)	D-35	2,164,400	1,512,837	-	756,418	756,418	1,512,837
COMMUNITY AVE (2)	D-36	1,960,000	1,369,969	-	684,984	684,984	1,369,969
COMMUNITY AVE (3) Signal	D-37	1,174,600 150,000	821,003 104,845	-	410,501 52,422	410,501 52,422	821,003 104,845
ů .	3 6	150,000	104,845	-	52,422	52,422	104,845
Signal Signal	7	300,000	209,689	-	104,845	104,845	209,689
Signal	8	300,000	209,689	_	104,845	104,845	209,689
Signal	9	225,000	157,267	_	78,633	78,633	157,267
Roundabout	10	100,000	69,896	_	34,948	34,948	69,896
Interchange Signals	11	300,000	209,689	_	104,845	104,845	209,689
Signal	16	75,000	52,422	_	26,211	26,211	52,422
Signal	17	300,000	209,689	_	104,845	104,845	209,689
Signal	18	300,000	209,689	_	104,845	104,845	209,689
Interchange Signals	19	300,000	209,689	-	104,845	104,845	209,689
Roundabout	25	915,000	639,552	-	-	639,552	639,552
Roundabout	26	150,000	104,845	-	52,422	52,422	104,845
Roundabout	27	1,575,000	1,100,868	1,034,148	-	66,719	1,100,868
Roundabout	32	1,200,000	838,756	-	419,378	419,378	838,756
Under Construction	36	390,341	272,834	185,464	-	87,370	272,834
Impact Fee Study	_	11,692	11,692	-	5,846	5,846	11,692
Total	\$	189,027,810	\$ 132,127,064	\$ 9,639,457	\$ 60,419,273	\$ 62,068,334	\$ 132,127,064

⁽¹⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽²⁾ Line 11 of the Max Fee Table Report
(3) Per discussions with City staff. For existing debt funding that does not have a specific bond identified, a 4.5% rate is used to coincide with the water and wastewater calculations.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area D

	E	Eligible Debt	Annual Vehicle		Eligible Debt Service per	Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles	- 1	Ad Valorem
<u>Year</u>		Service ⁽¹⁾	<u>Miles</u>		Vehicle Mile	(Cumulative)		Revenues
1	\$	1,214,998	606,072	\$	2.00	4,130	\$	8,279
2		1,651,086	623,802		2.65	8,260		21,862
3		2,088,106	641,531		3.25	12,390		40,327
4		2,532,563	659,260		3.84	16,520		63,460
5		2,976,464	676,990		4.40	20,650		90,788
6		3,420,980	694,719		4.92	24,779		122,020
7		3,864,881	712,448		5.42	28,909		156,827
8		4,309,338	730,177		5.90	33,039		194,990
9		4,753,122	747,907		6.36	37,169		236,218
10		5,197,404	765,636		6.79	41,299		280,352
11		5,196,964	765,636		6.79	41,299		280,328
12		5,196,524	765,636		6.79	41,299		280,305
13		5,196,464	765,636		6.79	41,299		280,301
14		5,196,142	765,636		6.79	41,299		280,284
15		5,196,023	765,636		6.79	41,299		280,278
16		5,195,620	765,636		6.79	41,299	>	280,256
17		4,767,523	765,636		6.23	41,299		257,164
18		4,767,188	765,636		6.23	41,299		257,146
19		4,766,892	765,636	Т	6.23	41,299		257,130
20		4,757,828	765,636		6.21	41,299		256,641
21		4,001,180	765,636		5.23	41,299		215,827
22		3,556,605	765,636		4.65	41,299		191,846
23		3,112,029	765,636		4.06	41,299		167,865
24		2,667,454	765,636		3.48	41,299		143,885
25		2,222,878	765,636		2.90	41,299		119,904
26		1,778,302	765,636		2.32	41,299		95,923
27		1,333,727	765,636		1.74	41,299		71,942
28		889,151	765,636		1.16	41,299		47,962
29		444,576	765,636		0.58	41,299		23,981
Total	\$	102,252,013					\$	5,004,090

2019 Vehicle Miles⁽²⁾

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles in Service Area⁽³⁾

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles In Other Service Areas⁽³⁾

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

135,994

10 years

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

13,599

Credit Amount

5,004,090

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area D, Page 2 Section II

⁽²⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Line 8 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area E

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits (1)
Annual Vehicle Mile Growth (2)
Existing Fund Balance (3)

2.00%
1,785
\$ 129,689

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾
Non-debt Funded Project Cost⁽⁵⁾
New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁽⁶⁾
Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

\$ 122,934
18,276,732
18,078,145

\$ 36,477,811

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 1,807,814	4.00%	20
2	1,807,814	4.00%	20
3	1,807,814	4.00%	20
4	1,807,814	4.00%	20
5	1,807,814	4.00%	20
6	1,807,814	4.00%	20
7	1,807,814	4.00%	20
8	1,807,814	4.00%	20
9	1,807,814	4.00%	20
10	1,807,814	4.00%	20
Total	\$ 18,078,145		

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual Capital								
<u>Year</u>	Expenditures (10)								
1	\$ 1,827,673								
2	2,430,278								
3	3,032,883								
4	3,635,488								
5	3,635,488								
6	3,635,488								
7	3,635,488								
8	3,635,488								
9	3,635,488								
10	3,635,488								
11	1,807,814								
12	1,205,210								
13	602,605								
Total	\$ 36,354,877								

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- $(5) \quad \text{This assumes 50\% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise}$
- (6) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area E

I. New Debt Service Detail

														Total Annual	
	Series	Series	Series	Series		Series	Series		Series	Series	Series		Series	New Deb	
Year	1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>		<u>7</u>	8	9		<u>10</u>	Service	1
												4			
1	\$ 133,022		\$ -	\$ -	\$	- \$	-	\$	- :	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 133,0	
2	133,022	133,022		-		-	-		-	-	-		-	266,0	
3	133,022	133,022	133,022	-		-	-		-	-	-		-	399,0	
4	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		.	-		-	-			-	532,0	
5	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022			-	-	- `		-	665,	
6	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		-	-	-		-	798,	
7	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	-	-		•	931,1	
8	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	-		-	1,064,1	
9	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022		-	1,197,1	
10	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	1,330,2	
11	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	1,330,2	
12	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	1,330,2	
13	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	1,330,2	
14	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	1,330,2	
15	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	1,330,2	
16	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	4	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	1,330,2	
17	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022	2	133,022	1,330,2	222
18	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022	2	133,022	1,330,2	222
19	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022	2	133,022	1,330,2	222
20	133,022	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022	2	133,022	1,330,2	222
21	-	133,022	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022	2	133,022	1,197,1	199
22	-	-	133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022	2	133,022	1,064,1	177
23	-	-	-	133,022		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022	2	133,022	931,1	155
24	-	-	-	-		133,022	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022	2	133,022	798,1	133
25	-	-	-	-		•	133,022		133,022	133,022	133,022	2	133,022	665,1	111
26	-	-	-	-		4			133,022	133,022	133,022	2	133,022	532,0	089
27	-	-	-	-	-1		-		-	133,022	133,022	2	133,022	399,0	066
28	-	-	-	-	1	-	-		-	-	133,022	2	133,022	266,0	044
29		-	<u>-</u> -	<u> </u>					-	-	-		133,022	133,0	022
	\$ 2,660,443	\$ 2,660,443	\$ 2,660,443	\$ 2,660,443	\$	2,660,443 \$	2,660,443	\$	2,660,443	\$ 2,660,443	\$ 2,660,443	3 \$	2,660,443	\$ 26,604,4	131

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

		New					Existing		
		Annual		Annual		Annual	Annual		
4		Debt		Capital	V	Bond	Debt	Annual	Total
	Year	Service ⁽¹⁾	Ex	penditures(2)	E	Proceeds ⁽²⁾	Service ⁽³⁾	Credit ⁽⁴⁾	Expense
	_				,				
	1	\$ 133,022	\$	1,827,673	\$	(1,807,814)	\$ 9,832	\$ (421)	\$ 162,292
	2	266,044		2,430,278		(1,807,814)	9,838	(1,578)	896,768
	3	399,066		3,032,883		(1,807,814)	9,830	(3,412)	1,630,553
	4	532,089		3,635,488		(1,807,814)	9,838	(5,868)	2,363,732
	5	665,111		3,635,488		(1,807,814)	9,832	(8,896)	2,493,721
	6	798,133		3,635,488		(1,807,814)	9,841	(12,452)	2,623,195
	7	931,155		3,635,488		(1,807,814)	9,836	(16,499)	2,752,165
	8	1,064,177		3,635,488		(1,807,814)	9,843	(20,999)	2,880,695
	9	1,197,199		3,635,488		(1,807,814)	9,835	(25,920)	3,008,788
	10	1,330,222		3,635,488		(1,807,814)	9,838	(31,233)	3,136,500
	11	1,330,222		1,807,814		-	9,838	(31,233)	3,116,641
	12	1,330,222		1,205,210		-	9,834	(31,233)	2,514,032
	13	1,330,222		602,605		-	9,839	(31,233)	1,911,432
	14	1,330,222		-		-	9,838	(31,233)	1,308,826
k.	15	1,330,222		-		-	9,843	(31,233)	1,308,831
N.	16	1,330,222		-		-	9,840	(31,233)	1,308,828
	17	1,330,222		-		-	-	(31,004)	1,299,218
	18	1,330,222		-		-	-	(31,004)	1,299,218
	19	1,330,222		-		-	-	(31,004)	1,299,218
	20	1,330,222		-		-	-	(31,004)	1,299,218
	21	1,197,199		-		-	-	(27,904)	1,169,296
	22	1,064,177		-		-	-	(24,803)	1,039,374
7	23	931,155		-		-	-	(21,703)	909,452
	24	798,133		-		-	-	(18,602)	779,531
	25	665,111		-		-	-	(15,502)	649,609
	26	532,089		-		-	-	(12,402)	519,687
	27	399,066		-		-	-	(9,301)	389,765
	28	266,044		-		-	-	(6,201)	259,844
	29	 133,022		-		-	-	(3,100)	129,922
		\$ 26,604,431	\$	36,354,877	\$	(18,078,145)	\$ 157,395	\$ (578,212)	\$ 44,460,346

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area E, Page 2 Section I
(2) Appendix E - Service Area E, Page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service

⁽⁴⁾ Appendix E - Service Area E, Page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area E

<u>Year</u>	lr	npact <u>Fee</u>	Vehicle <u>Miles</u>		Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>		Annual Expenses	:	Sub-Total		umulated iterest	F	imated Fund alance
Initial										47		\$	129,689
1	\$	2,202	1,785	\$	3,929,433	\$	162,292	\$	3,767,141	\$	40,265		3,937,096
2		2,202	1,785		3,929,433		896,768		3,032,666		109,069		7,078,830
3		2,202	1,785		3,929,433		1,630,553		2,298,881		164,565		9,542,276
4		2,202	1,785		3,929,433		2,363,732		1,565,702		206,503	1	1,314,480
5		2,202	1,785		3,929,433		2,493,721		1,435,713		240,647	1:	2,990,840
6		2,202	1,785		3,929,433		2,623,195	4	1,306,239		272,879	1.	4,569,958
7		2,202	1,785		3,929,433		2,752,165		1,177,268		303,172	1	6,050,398
8		2,202	1,785		3,929,433		2,880,695		1,048,739		331,495	1	7,430,632
9		2,202	1,785		3,929,433		3,008,788		920,646		357,819	1	8,709,097
10		2,202	1,785		3,929,433		3,136,500		792,934		382,111	1	9,884,142
11		-	-		-		3,116,641		(3,116,641)		366,516	1	7,134,017
12		-	-		-		2,514,032		(2,514,032)		317,540	1	4,937,525
13		-	-		-		1,911,432		(1,911,432)		279,636	1	3,305,729
14		-	-		-		1,308,826		(1,308,826)		253,026	1	2,249,930
15		-	-		-		1,308,831		(1,308,831)		231,910	1	1,173,009
16		-	-		-		1,308,828		(1,308,828)		210,372	1	0,074,552
17		-	-		-	N	1,299,218		(1,299,218)		188,499		8,963,834
18		-	-		-		1,299,218		(1,299,218)		166,285		7,830,901
19		-	-		-		1,299,218		(1,299,218)		143,626		6,675,309
20		-	-		-		1,299,218		(1,299,218)		120,514		5,496,606
21		-	-		-		1,169,296		(1,169,296)		98,239		4,425,549
22		-	-		-	1	1,039,374		(1,039,374)		78,117		3,464,292
23		-	-		-		909,452		(909,452)		60,191		2,615,031
24		-	-		-		779,531		(779,531)		44,505		1,880,006
25			-		-		649,609		(649,609)		31,104		1,261,501
26		-	-	4	-		519,687		(519,687)		20,033		761,847
27		-	-		-		389,765		(389,765)		11,339		383,422
28		-			-		259,844		(259,844)		5,070		128,648
29		-	-		-		129,922		(129,922)		1,274		-
				\$	39,294,334	\$	44,460,346			\$	5,036,323		

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area E

	Number of	Interest	Recovery				
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual Veh	nicle Miles	Annual E	Expense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Escalated	Actual	Escalated
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	1,785	3,138 \$	162,292	\$ 285,380
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	1,785	3,076	896,768	1,545,987
3	27	1.6902	1.0000	1,785	3,016	1,630,553	2,755,883
4	26	1.6570	1.0000	1,785	2,957	2,363,732	3,916,732
5	25	1.6245	1.0000	1,785	2,899	2,493,721	4,051,103
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	1,785	2,842	2,623,195	4,177,879
7	23	1.5614	1.0000	1,785	2,786	2,752,165	4,297,339
8	22	1.5308	1.0000	1,785	2,732	2,880,695	4,409,834
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	1,785	2,678	3,008,788	4,515,609
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	1,785	2,626	3,136,500	4,614,981
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	-	-	3,116,641	4,495,844
12	18	1.4142	1.0000	-	-	2,514,032	3,555,455
13	17	1.3865	1.0000		-	1,911,432	2,650,226
14	16	1.3593	1.0000	•	-	1,308,826	1,779,122
15	15	1.3327	1.0000	-		1,308,831	1,744,245
16	14	1.3065	1.0000	-	-	1,308,828	1,710,040
17	13	1.2809	1.0000		-	1,299,218	1,664,199
18	12	1.2558	1.0000	-	-	1,299,218	1,631,568
19	11	1.2312	1.0000	-	-	1,299,218	1,599,576
20	10	1.2070	1.0000	-	-	1,299,218	1,568,212
21	9	1.1834	1.0000	-	-	1,169,296	1,383,717
22	8	1.1602	1.0000	-	-	1,039,374	1,205,853
23	7	1.1374	1.0000	-	-	909,452	1,034,433
24	6	1.1151	1.0000	-	-	779,531	869,271
25	5	1.0933	1.0000	-	-	649,609	710,189
26	4	1.0718	1.0000	-	-	519,687	557,011
27	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-	389,765	409,567
28 29	2	1.0302	1.0000	-	-	259,844	267,691
29		1.0100	1.0000	- <u>-</u>	28,750	129,922	131,221 \$ 63,538,166
					20,730		φ 03,336,100
		Annual Interest Ra	· ·			2.00%	
		A TITICAL TITLE TEST TO				2.0070	
		Present Value of In	itial Impact Fee	Fund Balance	\$	129,689	
		Total Encolated 5:	nonce for Entire	Dariad	\$	62 520 460	
		Total Escalated Ex	•		\$	63,538,166	
		Less Future Value	oi iiiiliai iiiipact	ree rund balance	<u> </u>	230,308	
		Sub-Total			\$	63,307,859	
		Total Escalated Ve	hicle Miles			28,750	
		Impact Fee For Se	ervice Area E		\$	2,202	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area E

	Impact Fee		Cost In		act Fee		Debt Fi				lon-Debt		Impact Fee
Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Project No. ⁽¹⁾	Se	rvice Area (1)	Recove	rable Cost ⁽²⁾		Existing	<u>P</u>	roposed	E	unded ⁽³⁾	Re	coverable Cost
BLOOMDALE RD (12)	E-1	\$	955,200	\$	472,488	\$	_	\$	236,244	\$	236,244	\$	472,488
BLOOMDALE RD (13)	E-2		3,361,400		1,662,710		_		831,355		831,355		1,662,710
WILMETH RD (10)	E-3		1,935,600		957,441		_		478,720		478,720		957,441
WILMETH RD (11)	E-4		2,608,200		1,290,141		-		645,070		645,070		1,290,141
WILMETH RD (12)	E-5		5,068,500		2,507,123		_	4	1,253,562		1,253,562		2,507,123
WILMETH RD (13)	E-6		2,804,200		1,387,092		-		693,546		693,546		1,387,092
WILMETH RD (14)	E-7		1,708,700		845,205		-		422,603		422,603		845,205
WILMETH RD (15)	E-8		1,614,900		798,807		-		399,404		399,404		798,807
REDBUD BLVD (1)	E-9		650,000		321,521		122,934		-		198,587		321,521
REDBUD BLVD (2)	E-10		852,600		421,737		-		210,868		210,868		421,737
LAUD HOWELL PKWY (9)	E-11		1,954,750		966,913	4	-		483,457		483,457		966,913
LAUD HOWELL PKWY (10)	E-12		1,876,700		928,306		-		464,153		464,153		928,306
LAUD HOWELL PKWY (11)	E-13		861,000		425,892		-		212,946		212,946		425,892
STATE HIGHWAY 5 (1)	E-14		347,550		171,915		-		85,957		85,957		171,915
STATE HIGHWAY 5 (2)	E-15		13,994,400		6,922,302		-	4	3,461,151		3,461,151		6,922,302
AIRPORT DR (1)	E-16		9,379,050		4,639,328				2,319,664		2,319,664		4,639,328
AIRPORT DR (2)	E-17		6,582,850		3,256,194		_		1,628,097		1,628,097		3,256,194
AIRPORT DR (3)	E-18		3,648,400		1,804,674		-		902,337		902,337		1,804,674
AIRPORT DR (4)	E-19		8,952,800		4,428,485		-		2,214,242		2,214,242		4,428,485
UNNAMED C (1)	E-20		986,400		487,921		-		243,960		243,960		487,921
UNNAMED C (2)	E-21		1,403,150		694,065		-		347,033		347,033		694,065
Interchange Signals	11		300,000		148,394		-		74,197		74,197		148,394
Interchange Signals	19		300,000		148,394		-		74,197		74,197		148,394
Signal	20		300,000		148,394		-		74,197		74,197		148,394
Signal	21		300,000		148,394		-		74,197		74,197		148,394
Signal Mod	22		150,000		74,197		-		37,099		37,099		74,197
Signal	28		300,000		148,394		-		74,197		74,197		148,394
Signal Mod	29		150,000		74,197				37,099		37,099		74,197
Signal	30		150,000		74,197		_		37,099		37,099		74,197
Signal	31		150,000		74,197		-		37,099		37,099		74,197
Signal Mod	41		75,000		37,099		-		18,549		18,549		37,099
Impact Fee Study			11,692		11,692		-		5,846		5,846		11,692
Total		\$	73,733,042	\$	36,477,811	\$	122,934	\$ 1	18,078,145	\$ '	18,276,732	\$	36,477,811

⁽¹⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽²⁾ Line 11 of the Max Fee Table Report

⁽³⁾ Per discussions with City staff. For existing debt funding that does not have a specific bond identified, a 4.5% rate is used to coincide with the water and wastewater calculations.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area E

<u>Year</u>	ligible Debt <u>Service⁽¹⁾</u>	Annual Vehicle <u>Miles</u>		Eligible Debt Service per <u>Vehicle Mile</u>	Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles (Cumulative)		edit for Annual Ad Valorem <u>Revenues</u>
1	\$ 142,854	606,072	\$	0.24	1,785	\$	421
2	275,883	623,802		0.44	3,569		1,578
3	408,897	641,531		0.64	5,354		3,412
4	541,926	659,260		0.82	7,138		5,868
5	674,943	676,990		1.00	8,923		8,896
6	807,974	694,719		1.16	10,707		12,452
7	940,991	712,448		1.32	12,492		16,499
8	1,074,020	730,177		1.47	14,276		20,999
9	1,207,034	747,907		1.61	16,061		25,920
10	1,340,060	765,636		1.75	17,845		31,233
11	1,340,060	765,636		1.75	17,845		31,233
12	1,340,056	765,636		1.75	17,845		31,233
13	1,340,060	765,636		1.75	17,845		31,233
14	1,340,059	765,636		1.75	17,845		31,233
15	1,340,065	765,636		1.75	17,845		31,233
16	1,340,062	765,636		1.75	17,845	·	31,233
17	1,330,222	765,636		1.74	17,845		31,004
18	1,330,222	765,636		1.74	17,845		31,004
19	1,330,222	765,636	Т	1.74	17,845		31,004
20	1,330,222	765,636		1.74	17,845		31,004
21	1,197,199	765,636		1.56	17,845		27,904
22	1,064,177	765,636		1.39	17,845		24,803
23	931,155	765,636		1.22	17,845		21,703
24	798,133	765,636		1.04	17,845		18,602
25	665,111	765,636		0.87	17,845		15,502
26	532,089	765,636		0.69	17,845		12,402
27	399,066	765,636		0.52	17,845		9,301
28	266,044	765,636		0.35	17,845		6,201
29	133,022	765,636		0.17	17,845		3,100
Total	\$ 26,761,826					\$	578,212

2019 Vehicle Miles (2)

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles in Service Area (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles In Other Service Areas (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Yea

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area E, Page 2 Section II

⁽²⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Line 8 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area G

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits ⁽¹⁾
Annual Vehicle Mile Growth ⁽²⁾
Existing Fund Balance ⁽³⁾

2.00% 774 \$ 448,086

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁴⁾
Non-debt Funded Project Cost⁵⁾
New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁶⁾
Total Recoverable Project Cost⁷⁾

\$ 2,373,984
2,914,970
2,752,700
\$ 8,041,653

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>			
1	\$ 275,270	4.00%	20			
2	275,270	4.00%	20			
3	275,270	4.00%	20			
4	275,270	4.00%	20			
5	275,270	4.00%	20			
6	275,270	4.00%	20			
7	275,270	4.00%	20			
8	275,270	4.00%	20			
9	275,270	4.00%	20			
10	275,270	4.00%	20			
Total	\$ 2,752,700					

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Exp	Annual Capital enditures ⁽¹⁰⁾
1	\$	291,497
2		383,254
3		475,010
4		566,767
5		566,767
6		566,767
7		566,767
8		566,767
9		566,767
10		566,767
11		275,270
12		183,513
13		91,757
Total	\$	5,667,669

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (5) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise
- (6) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area G

I. New Debt Service Detail

Year	Series	Series <u>2</u>	Series	Series		Series S		Series <u>6</u>		Series <u>7</u>	Series <u>8</u>						Series		Total Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>	
1	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- 4	\$	-	\$	20,255		
2	20,255	20,255	-	-		-		-	-			-		-	K	-		40,510		
3	20,255	20,255	20,255	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		60,765		
4	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		-		-		-		-	4			-		81,019		
5	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		-		-				-		-		101,274		
6	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		-				-		-		121,529		
7	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		•		-		-		141,784		
8	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		-		-		162,039		
9	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		-		182,294		
10	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255	4	20,255		20,255		20,255		202,548		
11	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255				20,255		20,255		20,255	\neg	202,548		
12	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255			20,255 20,255			20,255		20,255		202,548		
13	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255	20,255			20,255		20,255		20,255		202,548		
14	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255			20,255			20,255		202,548		
15	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255	4	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		202,548		
16	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255	4	20,255		20,255		202,548		
17	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		202,548		
18	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		202,548		
19	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		202,548		
20	20,255	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		202,548		
21	-	20,255	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		182,294		
22	-	-	20,255	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		162,039		
23	-	-	-	20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		141,784		
24	-	-	-	-		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		121,529		
25	-	-	-	-				20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		101,274		
26	-	-	-	-	- 1	-		-		20,255		20,255		20,255		20,255		81,019		
27	-	-	-	-	1	-				-		20,255		20,255		20,255		60,765		
28	-	-	-	-				-		-		-		20,255		20,255		40,510		
29	-	-	-	-		-				·		-		-		20,255		20,255		
	\$ 405,097	\$ 405,097	\$ 405,097	\$ 405,097	\$	405,097	\$	405,097	\$	405,097	\$	405,097	\$	405,097	\$	405,097	\$	4,050,969		

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

New									Existing		
4			Annual		Annual	v	Annual		Annual		
			Debt		Capital	А	Bond		Debt	Annual	Total
	Year		Service ⁽¹⁾	Ex	oenditures ⁽²⁾	<u> </u>	roceeds ⁽²⁾		Service ⁽³⁾	Credit ⁽⁴⁾	Expense
	1	\$	20,255	\$	291,497	\$	(275,270)	\$	182,760	\$ (259) \$	218,983
	2		40,510		383,254		(275,270)		182,765	(554)	330,704
	3	4	60,765		475,010		(275,270)		182,759	(882)	442,382
	4		81,019		566,767		(275,270)		182,764	(1,239)	554,041
	5		101,274		566,767		(275,270)		182,760	(1,624)	573,908
	6		121,529		566,767		(275,270)		182,766	(2,035)	593,758
	7		141,784		566,767		(275,270)		182,763	(2,469)	613,575
	8		162,039		566,767		(275,270)		182,768	(2,925)	633,379
7	9		182,294		566,767		(275,270)		182,762	(3,401)	653,152
	10		202,548		566,767		(275,270)		182,765	(3,896)	672,914
	11		202,548		275,270		-		182,765	(3,896)	656,687
	12		202,548		183,513		-		182,762	(3,896)	564,927
	13	$\overline{}$	202,548		91,757		-		182,765	(3,896)	473,174
	14		202,548		-		-		182,764	(3,896)	381,416
	15		202,548		-		-		182,768	(3,896)	381,420
	16		202,548		-		-		182,766	(3,896)	381,418
	17		202,548		-		-		176,117	(3,829)	374,836
	18		202,548		-		-		176,117	(3,829)	374,836
	19		202,548		_		-		176,117	(3,829)	374.836
	20		202,548		-		-		176,117	(3,829)	374,836
	21		182,294		-		-		-	(1,843)	180,450
	22		162,039		-		-		-	(1,639)	160,400
	23		141,784		-		-		-	(1,434)	140,350
	24		121,529		-		-		-	(1,229)	120,300
	25		101,274		_		-		-	(1,024)	100,250
	26		81,019		-		-		-	(819)	80,200
	27		60,765		-		-		-	(614)	60,150
	28		40,510		-		-		-	(410)	40,100
	29		20,255		-		-		-	(205)	20,050
		\$	4,050,969	\$	5,667,669	\$	(2,752,700)	\$	3,628,688	\$	10,527,433

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area G, Page 2 Section I
(2) Appendix E - Service Area G, Page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service

⁽⁴⁾ Appendix E - Service Area G, Page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area G

<u>Year</u>	Impact <u>Fee</u>	•			Impact Fee Annual <u>Revenue Expenses</u>			Sub-Total	Accumulated <u>Interest</u>	Sstimated Fund <u>Balance</u>
Initial										\$ 448,086
1	\$ 1,155	774	\$	894,233	\$	218,983	\$	675,250 \$	15,714	1,139,050
2	1,155	774		894,233		330,704		563,529	28,416	1,730,996
3	1,155	774		894,233		442,382		451,850	39,138	2,221,985
4	1,155	774		894,233		554,041		340,191	47,842	2,610,018
5	1,155	774		894,233		573,908		320,325	55,404	2,985,746
6	1,155	774		894,233		593,758		300,475	62,720	3,348,941
7	1,155	774		894,233		613,575		280,658	69,785	3,699,384
8	1,155	774		894,233		633,379		260,854	76,596	4,036,835
9	1,155	774		894,233		653,152		241,081	83,148	4,361,063
10	1,155	774		894,233		672,914		221,319	89,434	4,671,817
11	-	-		-		656,687		(656,687)	86,869	4,101,999
12	-	-		-		564,927		(564,927)	76,391	3,613,463
13	-	-		- \		473,174		(473,174)	67,538	3,207,826
14	-	-		-		381,416		(381,416)	60,342	2,886,752
15	-	-		-		381,420		(381,420)	53,921	2,559,253
16	-	-		-		381,418		(381,418)	47,371	2,225,206
17	-	-		-		374,836		(374,836)	40,756	1,891,126
18	-	-		-		374,836		(374,836)	34,074	1,550,364
19	-	-		_		374,836		(374,836)	27,259	1,202,786
20	-	-		-		374,836		(374,836)	20,307	848,258
21	-	-		-		180,450		(180,450)	15,161	682,968
22	-	-		-	1	160,400		(160,400)	12,055	534,623
23	-	-		-		140,350		(140,350)	9,289	403,562
24	4	-		-		120,300		(120,300)	6,868	290,130
25	-	-		-		100,250		(100,250)	4,800	194,680
26	- `			-		80,200		(80,200)	3,092	117,571
27	_	-		-		60,150		(60,150)	1,750	59,171
28	-	-		-		40,100		(40,100)	782	19,853
29		- "		-		20,050		(20,050)	197	-
			\$	8,942,328	\$ 1	0,527,433		\$	1,137,019	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area G

	Number of Years to	Interest Rate	Recovery Fee	Annual Vehic	cle Miles	Annual E	Expense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Escalated</u>	Actual	Escalated
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	774	1,361 \$	218,983	\$ 385,067
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	774	1,335	330,704	570,118
3	27	1.6902	1.0000	774	1,309	442,382	747,693
4	26	1.6570	1.0000	774	1,283	554,041	918,053
5	25	1.6245	1.0000	774	1,258	573,908	932,325
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	774	1,233	593,758	945,659
7	23	1.5614	1.0000	774	1,209	613,575	958,060
8	22	1.5308	1.0000	774	1,185	633,379	969,591
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	774	1,162	653,152	980,255
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	774	1,139	672,914	990,111
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	-	-	656,687	947,289
12	18	1.4142	1.0000		-	564,927	798,945
13	17	1.3865	1.0000		-	473,174	656,062
14	16	1.3593	1.0000			381,416	518,470
15 16	15 14	1.3327 1.3065	1.0000 1.0000		- '	381,420	508,308
17	13	1.2809	1.0000		_	381,418 374,836	498,339 480,137
18	12	1.2558	1.0000		-	374,836	470,722
19	11	1.2312	1.0000		-	374,836	461,492
20	10	1.2070	1.0000	_	_	374,836	452,444
21	9	1.1834	1.0000	-	-	180,450	213,541
22	8	1.1602	1.0000	-	-	160,400	186,092
23	7	1.1374	1.0000	-	-	140,350	159,638
24	6	1.1151	1.0000	-	-	120,300	134,149
25	5	1.0933	1.0000	-	-	100,250	109,599
26	4	1.0718	1.0000	-	-	80,200	85,960
27	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-	60,150	63,206
28	2	1.0302	1.0000	-	-	40,100	41,311
29	1	1.0100	1.0000	-	-	20,050	20,251
					12,473		\$ 15,202,888
	· ·						
		Annual Interest Rat	te:			2.00%	
		Present Value of In	itial Impact Fee	Fund Balance	\$	448,086	
		Total Escalated Ex	pense for Entire	Period	\$	15,202,888	
		Less Future Value			*	795,731	
		Sub-Total	20.1 0.10 20.0.100	\$	14,407,157		
		Total Escalated Ve	hicle Miles			12,473	
		Impact Fee For Se	ervice Area G		\$	1,155	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees

Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions

Service Area G

Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Impact Fee Project No. ⁽¹⁾	Cost In Service Area ⁽¹⁾	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost ⁽²⁾	Debt Fur Existing	nded ⁽³⁾ <u>Proposed</u>	Non-Debt Funded ⁽³⁾	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost
VIRGINIA PKWY (1)	G-1	\$ 2,158,800	\$ 573,853	\$ -	\$ 286,926	\$ 286,926	\$ 573,853
VIRGINIA PKWY (2)	G-2	397,200	105,584	-	52,792	52,792	105,584
VIRGINIA PKWY (3)	G-3	456,598	121,373	32,536	44,419	44,419	121,373
VIRGINIA PKWY (4)	G-4	1,661,863	441,757	116,074	162,841	162,841	441,757
VIRGINIA PKWY (5)	G-5	1,182,000	314,200	· -	157,100	157,100	314,200
VIRGINIA PKWY (6)	G-6	392,400	104,308	-	52,154	52,154	104,308
WESTRIDGE BLVD (1)	G-7	372,600	99,045	-	49,522	49,522	99,045
WESTRIDGE BLVD (2)	G-8	2,931,600	779,279	- ·	389,639	389,639	779,279
WESTRIDGE BLVD (3)	G-9	596,400	158,535	_	79,268	79,268	158,535
ELDORADO PKWY (1)	H-1, G-10	2,601,000	691,398	-	345,699	345,699	691,398
COIT RD (1)	G-11	1,840,800	489,322	-	244,661	244,661	489,322
COIT RD (2)	G-12	592,200	157,419	-	78,709	78,709	157,419
INDEPENDENCE PKWY (1)	G-13	951,600	252,955	-	126,477	126,477	252,955
INDEPENDENCE PKWY (2)	G-14	3,666,000	974,497	-	487,248	487,248	974,497
CUSTER RD (4)	G-15	3,260,945	866,825	826,396	-	40,430	866,825
CUSTER RD (5)	G-16	1,476,398	392,456	374,152	-	18,305	392,456
RIDGE RD (8)	G-17, I-8	275,407	73,209	11,207	-	62,002	73,209
Signal Mod	33	75,000	19,937	-	9,968	9,968	19,937
Signal	34	150,000	39,873	-	19,937	19,937	39,873
Signal	37	300,000	79,746	-	39,873	39,873	79,746
Signal	38	171,500	45,588	45,588	-	-	45,588
Signal	43	40,000	10,633	10,633	-	-	10,633
Under Construction	44	195,171	51,880	35,267	-	16,614	51,880
Signal	47	300,000	79,746	-	39,873	39,873	79,746
Under Construction	48	292,756	77,820	52,900	-	24,921	77,820
Roundabout	49	1,950,000	518,349	518,349	-	-	518,349
Roundabout	50	1,320,000	350,883	350,883	-	-	350,883
Signal	55	300,000	79,746	•	39,873	39,873	79,746
Signal	56	150,000	39,873	-	19,937	19,937	39,873
Signal	57	150,000	39,873	-	19,937	19,937	39,873
Impact Fee Study		11,692	11,692	<u> </u>	5,846	5,846	11,692
Total		\$ 30,219,929	\$ 8,041,653	\$ 2,373,984	\$ 2,752,700	\$ 2,914,970	\$ 8,041,653

⁽¹⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽²⁾ Line 11 of the Max Fee Table Report

⁽³⁾ Per discussions with City staff. For existing debt funding that does not have a specific bond identified, a 4.5% rate is used to coincide with the water and wastewater calculations.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area G

<u>Year</u>	igible Debt <u>Service⁽¹⁾</u>	Annual Vehicle <u>Miles</u>		Eligible Debt Service per <u>Vehicle Mile</u>	Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles (Cumulative)		edit for Annual Ad Valorem <u>Revenues</u>
1	\$ 203,015	606,072	\$	0.33	774	\$	259
2	223,274	623,802		0.36	1,548		554
3	243,524	641,531		0.38	2,323		882
4	263,783	659,260		0.40	3,097		1,239
5	284,035	676,990		0.42	3,871		1,624
6	304,295	694,719		0.44	4,645		2,035
7	324,547	712,448		0.46	5,419		2,469
8	344,807	730,177		0.47	6,194		2,925
9	365,056	747,907		0.49	6,968		3,401
10	385,313	765,636		0.50	7,742		3,896
11	385,313	765,636		0.50	7,742		3,896
12	385,310	765,636		0.50	7,742		3,896
13	385,313	765,636		0.50	7,742		3,896
14	385,313	765,636		0.50	7,742		3,896
15	385,316	765,636		0.50	7,742		3,896
16	385,314	765,636		0.50	7,742	,	3,896
17	378,665	765,636		0.49	7,742		3,829
18	378,665	765,636		0.49	7,742		3,829
19	378,665	765,636		0.49	7,742		3,829
20	378,665	765,636	1	0.49	7,742		3,829
21	182,294	765,636		0.24	7,742		1,843
22	162,039	765,636		0.21	7,742		1,639
23	141,784	765,636		0.19	7,742		1,434
24	121,529	765,636		0.16	7,742		1,229
25	101,274	765,636		0.13	7,742		1,024
26	81,019	765,636		0.11	7,742		819
27	60,765	765,636		0.08	7,742		614
28	40,510	765,636		0.05	7,742		410
29	20,255	765,636		0.03	7,742		205
Total	\$ 7,679,657					\$	67,194

2019 Vehicle Miles (2)

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles in Service Area (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles In Other Service Areas (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Yea

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area G, Page 2 Section II

⁽²⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Line 8 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area H

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits (1)
Annual Vehicle Mile Growth (2)
Existing Fund Balance (3)

2.00%
3,132
\$ 293,933

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾ Non-debt Funded Project Cost⁽⁵⁾ New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁽⁶⁾ Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

\$ 3,596,908
3,387,483
3,229,433

\$ 10,213,823

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 322,943	4.00%	20
2	322,943	4.00%	20
3	322,943	4.00%	20
4	322,943	4.00%	20
5	322,943	4.00%	20
6	322,943	4.00%	20
7	322,943	4.00%	20
8	322,943	4.00%	20
9	322,943	4.00%	20
10	322,943	4.00%	20

Total \$ 3,229,433

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	\	Annual Capital							
<u>Year</u>	Exp	enditures ⁽¹⁰⁾							
1	\$	338,748							
2		446,396							
3		554,044							
4		661,692							
5		661,692							
6		661,692							
7		661,692							
8		661,692							
9		661,692							
10		661,692							
11		322,943							
12		215,296							
13		107,648							
Total	\$	6,616,916							

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- $(5) \quad \text{This assumes 50\% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise}$
- (6) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area H

I. New Debt Service Detail

Year	Series			Series <u>4</u>	Series <u>5</u>	Series <u>6</u>	Series <u>7</u>	Series <u>8</u>	Series <u>9</u>	Series	Total Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>	
1	\$ 23,763		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 23,763	
2	23,763	23,763	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47,525	
3	23,763	23,763	23,763	-	-	-	-	-		-	71,288	
4	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	-	-	-	-	-	-	95,051	
5	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	-	-	-	-	-	118,814	
6	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	-	-	- 1	-	142,576	
7	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763		-	-	166,339	
8	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	-	-	190,102	
9	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	-	213,865	
10	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	237,627	
11	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	237,627	
12	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	237,627	
13	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	237,627	
14	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	237,627	
15	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	237,627	
16	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	237,627	
17	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	237,627	
18	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	237,627	
19	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	237,627	
20	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	237,627	
21	-	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	213,865	
22	-	-	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	190,102	
23	-	-	-	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	166,339	
24	-	-	-	-	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	142,576	
25	-	-	-	-		23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	118,814	
26	-	-	-	-	-		23,763	23,763	23,763	23,763	95,051	
27	-	-	-	-		- ·	-	23,763	23,763	23,763	71,288	
28	-	-	-	-				-	23,763	23,763	47,525	
29	-	-	-	-				-	-	23,763	23,763	
	\$ 475,255	\$ 475,255	\$ 475,255	\$ 475,255	\$ 475,255	\$ 475,255	\$ 475,255	\$ 475,255	\$ 475,255	\$ 475,255	\$ 4,752,546	

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

Armel						Existing					
	Ann			Annual	- 1	Annual		Annual			
	Del			Capital	1	Bond		Debt		Annual	Total
Year	Servi	ce ⁽¹⁾	Expe	enditures ⁽²⁾	P	roceeds ⁽²⁾		Service ⁽³⁾		Credit ⁽⁴⁾	Expense
											<u>_</u>
1	\$ 2	23,763	\$	338,748	\$	(322,943)	\$	484,617	\$	(2,627)	\$ 521,557
2	4	17,525		446,396		(322,943)		256,422		(3,053)	424,347
3	7	1,288		554,044		(322,943)		255,033		(4,780)	552,642
4	9	95,051		661,692		(322,943)		252,784		(6,611)	679,973
5	11	18,814		661,692		(322,943)		302,645		(9,750)	750,457
6	14	12,576		661,692		(322,943)		221,614		(9,853)	693,086
7	16	66,339		661,692		(322,943)		290,705		(14,066)	781,726
8	19	90,102		661,692		(322,943)		384,185		(19,709)	893,326
9	21	13,865		661,692		(322,943)		360,904		(21,665)	891,852
10	23	37,627		661,692		(322,943)		301,468		(22,056)	855,788
11	23	37,627		322,943		-		197,442		(17,800)	740,213
12	23	37,627		215,296		-		197,373		(17,797)	632,499
13	23	37,627		107,648		-		197,511		(17,803)	524,983
14	23	37,627		-		-		197,489		(17,802)	417,314
15	23	37,627		-		-		197,558		(17,804)	417,381
16	23	37,627		-		-		197,523		(17,803)	417,348
17	23	37,627		-		-		180,255		(17,097)	400,785
18	23	37,627		-		-		180,342		(17,100)	400,869
19	23	37,627		-		-		180,361		(17,101)	400,887
20	23	37,627		-		-		180,311		(17,099)	400,839
21	21	13,865		-		-		-		(8,750)	205,115
22	19	90,102		-		-		-		(7,778)	182,324
23	16	66,339		-		-		-		(6,805)	159,534
24	14	12,576		-		-		-		(5,833)	136,743
25	11	18,814		-		-		-		(4,861)	113,953
26	9	95,051		-		-		-		(3,889)	91,162
27	7	71,288		-		-		-		(2,917)	68,372
28		17,525		-		-		-		(1,944)	45,581
29		23,763		-		-		-		(972)	22,791
	\$ 4,75	52,546	\$	6,616,916	\$	(3,229,433)	\$	5,016,542	\$	(333,123)	\$ 12,823,447

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area H, Page 2 Section I
(2) Appendix E - Service Area H, Page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service
(4) Appendix E - Service Area H, Page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area H

<u>Year</u>	Impact <u>Fee</u>	Vehicle <u>Miles</u>	Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>	Annual Expenses	<u>Sub-Total</u>	Accumulated Interest	Estimated Fund Balance
Initial							\$ 293,933
1	\$ 36	1 3,132	\$ 1,129,758	\$ 521,557	\$ 608,201	\$ 11,961	914,095
2	36	1 3,132	1,129,758	424,347	705,411	25,336	1,644,841
3	36	1 3,132	1,129,758	552,642	577,116	38,668	2,260,626
4	36	1 3,132	1,129,758	679,973	449,785	49,710	2,760,121
5	36	1 3,132	1,129,758	750,457	379,301	58,995	3,198,418
6	36	1 3,132	1,129,758	693,086	436,672	68,335	3,703,426
7	36	1 3,132	1,129,758	781,726	348,032	77,549	4,129,007
8	36	1 3,132	1,129,758	893,326	236,432	84,944	4,450,383
9	36	1 3,132	1,129,758	891,852	237,906	91,387	4,779,676
10	36	1 3,132	1,129,758	855,788	273,970	98,333	5,151,979
11	-	-	-	740,213	(740,213)	95,637	4,507,403
12	-	-	-	632,499	(632,499)	83,823	3,958,727
13	-	-	-	524,983	(524,983)	73,925	3,507,669
14	-	-	-	417,314	(417,314)	65,980	3,156,335
15	-	-	-	417,381	(417,381)	58,953	2,797,907
16	-	-	-	417,348	(417,348)	51,785	2,432,344
17	-	-	-	400,785	(400,785)	44,639	2,076,197
18	-	-	-	400,869	(400,869)	37,515	1,712,843
19	-	-	-	400,887	(400,887)	30,248	1,342,204
20	-	-		400,839	(400,839)	22,836	964,200
21	-	-	-	205,115	(205,115)	17,233	776,318
22	-	-	-	182,324	(182,324)	13,703	607,697
23	-	-	-	159,534	(159,534)	10,559	458,722
24	-	-	-	136,743	(136,743)	7,807	329,786
25	-	-	-	113,953	(113,953)	5,456	221,289
26	-	-	-	91,162	(91,162)	3,514	133,641
27	-	- 4		68,372	(68,372)	1,989	67,259
28	-	-	-	45,581	(45,581)	889	22,567
29	-	-		22,791	(22,791)	223	-
			\$ 11,297,581	\$ 12,823,447		\$ 1,231,934	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area H

	Number of	Interest	Recovery				
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual V	ehicle Miles	Annual	Expense
Year	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	Actual 4	Escalated	Actual	Escalated
<u>1001</u>	<u> </u>	1 40101	1 40101	Hotuu	Localutou	Actual	Localatoa
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	3,132	5,508 \$	521,557	\$ 917,124
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	3,132	5,400	424,347	731,556
3	27		1.0000	3,132	5,294	552,642	934,049
4	26		1.0000	3,132	5,190	679,973	1,126,723
5	25		1.0000	3,132	5,089	750,457	1,219,133
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	3,132	4,989	693,086	1,103,856
7	23		1.0000	3,132	4,891	781,726	1,220,618
8	22		1.0000	3,132	4,795	893,326	1,367,524
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	3,132	4,701	891,852	1,338,497
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	3,132	4,609	855,788	1,259,189
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	0,102	4,000	740,213	1,067,779
12	18	1.4142	1.0000			632,499	894,507
13	17	1.3865	1.0000			524,983	727,897
14	16		1.0000			417,314	567,267
15	15		1.0000			417,381	556,232
16	14	1.3065	1.0000			417,348	545,282
17	13		1.0000			400,785	513,376
18	12		1.0000		_	400,869	503,415
19	11	1.2312	1.0000		_	400,887	493,566
20	10		1.0000		_	400,839	483,831
21	9		1.0000		_	205,115	242,728
22	.8		1.0000		_	182,324	211,528
23	7		1.0000		_	159,534	181,458
24	6		1.0000	_	_	136,743	152,485
25	5		1.0000		_	113,953	124,580
26	4		1.0000		_	91,162	97,709
27	3	1.0508	1.0000	_	_	68,372	71,845
28	2	1.0302	1.0000	_	_	45,581	46,958
29	1		1.0000	_	_	22,791	23,018
				_	50,467	,	\$ 18,723,729
					00,107		Ψ 10,120,120
		Annual Interest Ra	te:			2.00%	
		Present Value of In	itial Impact Fee	Fund Balance	\$	293,933	
			•		,	,	
		Total Escalated Ex	pense for Entire	Period	\$	18,723,729	
		Less Future Value			•	521,979	
		Sub-Total	,		\$	18,201,750	•
					,	, - ,	
		Total Escalated Ve	hicle Miles			50,467	
						·	•
		Impact Fee For Se	ervice Area H		\$	361	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area H

Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Impact Fee Project No. ⁽¹⁾	Cost In Service Area ⁽¹⁾		npact Fee verable Cost ⁽²⁾		Debt Fu Existing	t Funded ⁽³⁾ <u>Proposed</u>			Non-Debt Funded ⁽³⁾	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost		
ELDORADO PKWY (1)	H-1, G-10	\$	2,601,000	\$ 1,618,857	\$	-	\$	809,429	\$	809,429	\$	1,618,857	
SILVERADO TRL (1)	H-2		2,746,293	1,709,287		-		784,657		924,630		1,709,287	
SILVERADO TRL (2)	H-3		141,088	87,813		87,813		-		-		87,813	
STACY RD (1)	H-4		4,479,991	2,788,338		2,788,338		(0)		(0)		2,788,338	
CUSTER RD (6)	H-5		622,725	387,583		369,505	4	-		18,078		387,583	
ALMA DR (1)	H-6		239,850	149,282		149,282		-		-		149,282	
ALMA DR (2)	H-7		1,522,102	947,353		201,969		372,692		372,692		947,353	
RIDGE RD (9)	H-8, I-9		831,000	517,213		-		258,606		258,606		517,213	
MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (1)	H-9		1,857,600	1,156,167		_		578,083		578,083		1,156,167	
Signal	65		150,000	93,360	4	-		46,680		46,680		93,360	
Signal	66		300,000	186,719		-		93,360		93,360		186,719	
Signal	71		300,000	186,719		-		93,360		93,360		186,719	
Signal	75		150,000	93,360		-		46,680		46,680		93,360	
Signal	76		150,000	93,360		-		46,680		46,680		93,360	
Signal	77		300,000	186,719		-		93,360		93,360		186,719	
Impact Fee Study			11,692	11,692		- 4		5,846		5,846		11,692	
Total		\$	16,403,341	\$ 10,213,823	\$	3,596,908	\$	3,229,433	\$	3,387,483	\$	10,213,823	

⁽¹⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Per discussions with City staff. For existing debt funding that does not have a specific bond identified, a 4.5% rate is used to coincide with the water and wastewater calculations.



⁽²⁾ Line 11 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area H

<u>Year</u>	E	ligible Debt <u>Service⁽¹⁾</u>	Annual Vehicle <u>Miles</u>		Eligible Debt Service per <u>Vehicle Mile</u>	Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles (Cumulative)	edit for Annual Ad Valorem <u>Revenues</u>
1	\$	508,379	606,072	\$	0.84	3,132	\$ 2,627
2		303,947	623,802		0.49	6,265	3,053
3		326,321	641,531		0.51	9,397	4,780
4		347,835	659,260		0.53	12,530	6,611
5		421,459	676,990		0.62	15,662	9,750
6		364,190	694,719		0.52	18,794	9,853
7		457,044	712,448		0.64	21,927	14,066
8		574,287	730,177		0.79	25,059	19,709
9		574,769	747,907		0.77	28,192	21,665
10		539,096	765,636		0.70	31,324	22,056
11		435,070	765,636		0.57	31,324	17,800
12		435,000	765,636		0.57	31,324	17,797
13		435,138	765,636		0.57	31,324	17,803
14		435,116	765,636		0.57	31,324	17,802
15		435,185	765,636		0.57	31,324	17,804
16		435,151	765,636		0.57	31,324	17,803
17		417,882	765,636		0.55	31,324	17,097
18		417,969	765,636	Т	0.55	31,324	17,100
19		417,988	765,636		0.55	31,324	17,101
20		417,938	765,636	1	0.55	31,324	17,099
21		213,865	765,636		0.28	31,324	8,750
22		190,102	765,636		0.25	31,324	7,778
23		166,339	765,636		0.22	31,324	6,805
24		142,576	765,636		0.19	31,324	5,833
25		118,814	765,636		0.16	31,324	4,861
26		95,051	765,636		0.12	31,324	3,889
27		71,288	765,636		0.09	31,324	2,917
28		47,525	765,636		0.06	31,324	1,944
29		23,763	765,636		0.03	31,324	 972
Total	\$	9,769,088					\$ 333,123

2019 Vehicle Miles ⁽²⁾	588,343	
Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles in Service Area ⁽³⁾	31,324	
	10	years
Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles	 3,132	
Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles In Other Service Areas (3)	145,969	
	10	years
Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles	14,597	
Credit Amount	\$ 333.123	

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area H, Page 2 Section II

⁽²⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Line 8 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area I

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits (1)
Annual Vehicle Mile Growth (2)
Existing Fund Balance (3)

2.00%
1,833
\$ 5,189,258

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾
Non-debt Funded Project Cost⁽⁵⁾
New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁽⁶⁾
Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

\$ 8,841,956
9,795,967
6,383,918

25,021,841

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 638,392	4.00%	20
2	638,392	4.00%	20
3	638,392	4.00%	20
4	638,392	4.00%	20
5	638,392	4.00%	20
6	638,392	4.00%	20
7	638,392	4.00%	20
8	638,392	4.00%	20
9	638,392	4.00%	20
10	638,392	4.00%	20

Total \$ 6,383,918

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual Capital	
<u>Year</u>	Expenditures	s ⁽¹⁰⁾
	070	-0-
11	\$ 979,5	597
2	1,192,3	394
3	1,405,1	191
4	1,617,9	988
5	1,617,9	988
6	1,617,9	988
7	1,617,9	988
8	1,617,9	988
9	1,617,9	988
10	1,617,9	988
11	638,3	392
12	425,	595
13	212,7	797
Total	\$ 16,179,8	385

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- $(5) \quad \text{This assumes 50\% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise}$
- (6) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area I

I. New Debt Service Detail

Year	Se	ries 1	Deta	Series	Series	Series		Series	Series		Series 7		Series <u>8</u>		Series <u>9</u>	Series	N	Total Annual lew Debt Service
1	\$	46,974	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	46,974
2		46,974		46,974	-	-		-	-		-		-		4 -	-		93,948
3		46,974		46,974	46,974	-		-	-		-		-	4		-		140,922
4		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		-	-		-					-		187,896
5		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	-		-				-	-		234,870
6		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		-		-		-	-		281,844
7		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		. 7		-	•		328,818
8		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		-	-		375,792
9		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974	4	46,974		46,974	-		422,766
10		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		469,740
11		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974	•	469,740
12		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		469,740
13		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		469,740
14		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974	\mathcal{A}	46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		469,740
15		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		469,740
16		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		469,740
17		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		469,740
18		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974	$\overline{}$	46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		469,740
19		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		469,740
20		46,974		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		469,740
21		-		46,974	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		422,766
22		-		-	46,974	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		375,792
23		-		-	-	46,974		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		328,818
24		-		-	-	-		46,974	46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		281,844
25		-		-	-	-	1		46,974		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		234,870
26		-		-	-	-		-	-		46,974		46,974		46,974	46,974		187,896
27		-		-	-	-			-				46,974		46,974	46,974		140,922
28		-		-	-	-		-					-		46,974	46,974		93,948
29		-		-	-	-		-	-				`		-	46,974		46,974
	\$ 9	939,480	\$	939,480	\$ 939,480	\$ 939,480	\$	939,480	\$ 939,480	\$	939,480	\$	939,480	\$	939,480	\$ 939,480	\$	9,394,797

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

		New			М		Existing			
		Annual		Annual	- 1	Annual	Annual			
		Debt		Capital		Bond	Debt	Annual	Total	
Year	5	Service ⁽¹⁾	Ex	penditures ⁽²⁾	E	Proceeds ⁽²⁾	Service ⁽³⁾	Credit ⁽⁴⁾	Expense	
	-									
1	\$	46,974	\$	979,597	\$	(638,392)	\$ 848,125	\$ (2,707)	\$ 1,233,596	
2		93,948		1,192,394		(638,392)	666,815	(4,471)	1,310,293	
3		140,922		1,405,191		(638,392)	665,648	(6,914)	1,566,455	
4		187,896		1,617,988	-	(638,392)	663,919	(9,475)	1,821,937	
5		234,870		1,617,988		(638,392)	703,504	(12,705)	1,905,265	
6		281,844		1,617,988		(638,392)	639,172	(14,582)	1,886,030	
7		328,818		1,617,988		(638,392)	694,041	(18,423)	1,984,032	
8		375,792		1,617,988		(638,392)	768,394	(22,981)	2,100,801	
9		422,766		1,617,988		(638,392)	749,827	(25,867)	2,126,322	
10		469,740		1,617,988		(638,392)	702,616	(28,070)	2,123,883	
11		469,740		638,392		-	619,940	(26,091)	1,701,981	
12		469,740		425,595		-	619,853	(26,089)	1,489,099	
13		469,740		212,797		-	620,000	(26,092)	1,276,445	
14		469,740		-		-	619,972	(26,091)	1,063,620	
15		469,740		-		-	620,069	(26,094)	1,063,715	
16		469,740		-		-	620,020	(26,093)	1,063,668	
17		469,740		-		-	528,854	(23,910)	974,684	
18		469,740		-		-	528,924	(23,911)	974,752	
19		469,740		-		-	528,939	(23,912)	974,767	
20		469,740		-		-	528,899	(23,911)	974,728	
21		422,766		-		-	-	(10,122)	412,643	
22		375,792		-		-	-	(8,998)	366,794	
23		328,818		-		-	-	(7,873)	320,945	
24		281,844		-		-	-	(6,748)	275,096	
25		234,870		-		-	-	(5,624)	229,246	
26		187,896		-		-	-	(4,499)	183,397	
27		140,922		-		-	-	(3,374)	137,548	
28		93,948		-		-	-	(2,249)	91,699	
29		46,974		=		=	-	 (1,125)	 45,849	
	\$	9,394,797	\$	16,179,885	\$	(6,383,918)	\$ 12,937,528	\$ (449,003)	\$ 31,679,290	

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area I, Page 2 Section I
(2) Appendix E - Service Area I, Page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service
(4) Appendix E - Service Area I, Page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area I

<u>Year</u>	Impact Vehicle <u>Fee</u> <u>Miles</u>			Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>	<u> </u>	Annual <u>Expenses</u> <u>Sub-Total</u>				umulated <u>nterest</u>	Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>		
Initial									47		\$	5,189,258	
1	\$	1,265	1,833	\$ 2,318,876	\$	1,233,596	\$	1,085,279	\$	114,638		6,389,175	
2		1,265	1,833	2,318,876		1,310,293		1,008,582		137,869		7,535,627	
3		1,265	1,833	2,318,876		1,566,455		752,421		158,237		8,446,285	
4		1,265	1,833	2,318,876		1,821,937		496,939		173,895	\neg	9,117,119	
5		1,265	1,833	2,318,876		1,905,265		413,610		186,478		9,717,208	
6		1,265	1,833	2,318,876		1,886,030	4	432,846		198,673		10,348,726	
7		1,265	1,833	2,318,876		1,984,032		334,844		210,323		10,893,893	
8		1,265	1,833	2,318,876		2,100,801		218,074		220,059		11,332,026	
9		1,265	1,833	2,318,876		2,126,322		192,553		228,566		11,753,145	
10		1,265	1,833	2,318,876		2,123,883		194,993		237,013		12,185,151	
11		-	-	-		1,701,981		(1,701,981)		226,683		10,709,853	
12		-	-	-		1,489,099		(1,489,099)		199,306		9,420,061	
13		-	-	-		1,276,445		(1,276,445)		175,637		8,319,253	
14		-	-	-		1,063,620		(1,063,620)		155,749		7,411,381	
15		-	-	-		1,063,715		(1,063,715)		137,590		6,485,257	
16		-	-	-		1,063,668		(1,063,668)		119,068		5,540,658	
17		-	-	-		974,684		(974,684)		101,066		4,667,040	
18		-	-	-		974,752		(974,752)		83,593		3,775,881	
19		-	-	-		974,767		(974,767)		65,770		2,866,884	
20		-	-	-		974,728		(974,728)		47,590		1,939,747	
21		-	-	-		412,643		(412,643)		34,669		1,561,772	
22		-	-	-		366,794		(366,794)		27,568		1,222,545	
23		-	-	-		320,945		(320,945)		21,241		922,842	
24		-	-	-		275,096		(275,096)		15,706		663,452	
25		-	-	-		229,246		(229,246)		10,977		445,183	
26		-	-	-		183,397		(183,397)		7,070		268,855	
27		-	- /	-		137,548		(137,548)		4,002		135,309	
28		-	-	-		91,699		(91,699)		1,789		45,400	
29		-	-	 -		45,849	-	(45,849)		450		-	
				\$ 23,188,757	\$	31,679,290			\$	3,301,274			

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area I

	Number of	Interest	Recovery				
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual Vel	nicle Miles	Annual I	Expense
Year	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Escalated	Actual	<u>Escalated</u>
		<u> </u>					
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	1,833	3,224 \$	1,233,596	\$ 2,169,199
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	1,833	3,160	1,310,293	2,258,887
3	27	1.6902	1.0000	1,833	3,098	1,566,455	2,647,547
4	26	1.6570	1.0000	1,833	3,038	1,821,937	3,018,971
5	25	1.6245	1.0000	1,833	2,978	1,905,265	3,095,145
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	1,833	2,920	1,886,030	3,003,820
7	23	1.5614	1.0000	1,833	2,862	1,984,032	3,097,946
8	22	1.5308	1.0000	1,833	2,806	2,100,801	3,215,955
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	1,833	2,751	2,126,322	3,191,199
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	1,833	2,697	2,123,883	3,125,037
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	-	-	1,701,981	2,455,156
12	18	1.4142	1.0000	-	-	1,489,099	2,105,949
13	17	1.3865	1.0000		-	1,276,445	1,769,808
14	16	1.3593	1.0000	-	-	1,063,620	1,445,807
15	15	1.3327	1.0000	-		1,063,715	1,417,585
16	14	1.3065	1.0000	-	-	1,063,668	1,389,727
17	13	1.2809	1.0000		-	974,684	1,248,497
18	12	1.2558	1.0000	-	-	974,752	1,224,102
19	11	1.2312	1.0000	-	-	974,767	1,200,117
20	10	1.2070	1.0000	-	-	974,728	1,176,539
21	9	1.1834	1.0000	-	-	412,643	488,312
22	8	1.1602	1.0000	-	-	366,794	425,544
23	7	1.1374	1.0000	-	-	320,945	365,050
24	6	1.1151	1.0000	-	-	275,096	306,765
25	5	1.0933	1.0000	-	-	229,246	250,625
26	4	1.0718	1.0000	-	-	183,397	196,569
27	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-	137,548	144,536
28	2	1.0302	1.0000	-	-	91,699	94,468
29	1	1.0100	1.0000	- <u> </u>	<u>-</u>	45,849	46,308
					29,535		\$ 46,575,170
						0.000/	
		Annual Interest Ra	ie:			2.00%	
		Present Value of In	itial Impact Fee	Fund Balance	\$	5,189,258	
		resent value of in	iliai iiripact i ee	T dila Dalarice	Ψ	3,103,230	
		Total Escalated Ex	pense for Entire	Period	\$	46,575,170	
		Less Future Value	•			9,215,316	
		Sub-Total	·		\$	37,359,854	
		Total Escalated Ve	hicle Miles			29,535	
		Impact Fee For Se	ervice Area I		\$	1,265	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees

Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions

Service Area I

Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Impact Fee Project No. ⁽¹⁾	<u>s</u>	Cost In ervice Area ⁽¹⁾	Re	Impact Fee		Debt Funded ⁽³⁾ <u>Existing</u> <u>Proposed</u>			Non-Debt <u>Funded⁽³⁾</u>		<u> </u>	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost
VIRGINIA PKWY (7)	I-1	\$	2.567.378	œ	1,804,697	œ	886.767	œ		\$	917,931	œ	1,804,697
VIRGINIA PKWY (7) VIRGINIA PKWY (8)	I-1 I-2	φ	531.979	φ	373.946	φ	373,946	φ		Φ	917,931	φ	373,946
ELDORADO PKWY (2)	I-3		4,857,600		3,414,572		373,940		1,707,286		1,707,286		3,414,572
MCKINNEY RANCH PKWY (2)	I-3		4,338,000		3,049,328		_		1,707,200		1,524,664		3,049,328
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (2)	I-5		1,777,105		1,249,188		595,943	4	1,324,004		653,245		1,249,188
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (3)	I-6		3,221,002		2,264,152		1,080,146				1,184,006		2,264,152
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (4)	I-0 I-7		1,101,893		774,558		369,514		_		405,044		774,558
RIDGE RD (8)	G-17, I-8		275,407		193,593		29,636		-		163,957		193,593
RIDGE RD (8)	H-8, I-9		831,000		584,138		29,030		292,069		292,069		584,138
HARDIN BLVD (11)	I-10, I-9		6,792,049		4,774,362	A	2,935,487		919,438		919,438		4,774,362
HARDIN BLVD (12)	I-10, J-0		3,104,400		2,182,188		2,933,407		1,091,094		1,091,094		2,182,188
Signal	35		150,000		105,440				52,720		52,720		105,440
Under Construction	36		195,171		137,192		93,259		32,720		43,933		137,192
Signal	38		171.500		120,553		120,553				-0,000		120,553
Under Construction	44		195,171		137,192		93,259				43,933		137,192
Signal	45		300,000		210,880		50,255		105,440		105,440		210,880
Signal	46		300,000		210,880				105,440		105,440		210,880
Roundabout	50		1,320,000		927.873		927,873		-		-		927,873
Roundabout	51		1,900,000		1,335,575		1,335,575		_		_		1,335,575
Signal	56		150,000		105,440		-		52,720		52,720		105,440
Signal	57		150,000		105,440				52,720		52,720		105,440
Signal	58		150,000		105,440		-		52,720		52,720		105,440
Signal	59		300,000		210,880		_		105,440		105,440		210,880
Signal	60		300,000		210,880				105,440		105,440		210,880
Signal	72		300,000		210,880		_		105,440		105,440		210,880
Signal	73		150,000		105,440				52,720		52,720		105,440
Signal	76		150,000		105,440				52,720		52,720		105,440
Impact Fee Study			11,692		11,692				5,846		5,846		11,692
Total		\$	35,591,345	\$	25,021,841	\$	8,841,956	\$	6,383,918	\$	9,795,967	\$	25,021,841

⁽¹⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽²⁾ Line 11 of the Max Fee Table Report

⁽³⁾ Per discussions with City staff. For existing debt funding that does not have a specific bond identified, a 4.5% rate is used to coincide with the water and wastewater calculations.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area I

<u>Year</u>		Eligible Debt <u>Service⁽¹⁾</u>	Annual Vehicle <u>Miles</u>		Eligible Debt Service per <u>Vehicle Mile</u>	Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles (Cumulative)		edit for Annual Ad Valorem <u>Revenues</u>
1	\$	895,099	606,072	\$	1.48	1,833	\$	2,707
2		760,763	623,802		1.22	3,666		4,471
3		806,570	641,531		1.26	5,500		6,914
4		851,815	659,260		1.29	7,333		9,475
5		938,374	676,990		1.39	9,166		12,705
6		921,016	694,719		1.33	10,999		14,582
7		1,022,859	712,448		1.44	12,832		18,423
8		1,144,185	730,177		1.57	14,666		22,981
9		1,172,593	747,907		1.57	16,499		25,867
10		1,172,356	765,636		1.53	18,332		28,070
11		1,089,680	765,636		1.42	18,332		26,091
12		1,089,593	765,636		1.42	18,332		26,089
13		1,089,740	765,636		1.42	18,332		26,092
14		1,089,711	765,636		1.42	18,332		26,091
15		1,089,809	765,636		1.42	18,332		26,094
16		1,089,760	765,636		1.42	18,332	-	26,093
17		998,594	765,636		1.30	18,332		23,910
18		998,664	765,636		1.30	18,332		23,911
19		998,678	765,636	Т	1.30	18,332		23,912
20		998,639	765,636		1.30	18,332		23,911
21		422,766	765,636		0.55	18,332		10,122
22		375,792	765,636		0.49	18,332		8,998
23		328,818	765,636		0.43	18,332		7,873
24		281,844	765,636		0.37	18,332		6,748
25		234,870	765,636		0.31	18,332		5,624
26		187,896	765,636		0.25	18,332		4,499
27		140,922	765,636		0.18	18,332		3,374
28		93,948	765,636		0.12	18,332		2,249
29	_	46,974	765,636		0.06	18,332		1,125
Total	\$	22,332,326					\$	449,003

2019 Vehicle Miles (2)

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles in Service Area (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles In Other Service Areas (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Yea

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area I, Page 2 Section II

⁽²⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Line 8 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area J

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits (1)
Annual Vehicle Mile Growth (2)
Existing Fund Balance (3)

2.00%
2,486
\$ 2,179,356

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾
Non-debt Funded Project Cost⁽⁵⁾
New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁽⁶⁾
Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

\$ 2,989,838
3,326,536
3,305,234
\$ 9,621,608

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 330,523	4.00%	20
2	330,523	4.00%	20
3	330,523	4.00%	20
4	330,523	4.00%	20
5	330,523	4.00%	20
6	330,523	4.00%	20
7	330,523	4.00%	20
8	330,523	4.00%	20
9	330,523	4.00%	20
10	330,523	4.00%	20

3,305,234

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual	
	Capital	
<u>Year</u>	Expenditures (10))
1	\$ 332,654	1
2	442,828	3
3	553,003	3
4	663,177	7
5	663,177	7
6	663,177	7
7	663,177	7
8	663,177	7
9	663,177	7
10	663,177	7
11	330,523	3
12	220,349	9
13	110,174	1
Total	\$ 6,631,770)

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- $(5) \quad \text{This assumes 50\% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise}$
- (6) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area J

I. New Debt Service Detail

Year Year	Series	Series 2	Series <u>3</u>	Series <u>4</u>	Series <u>5</u>	Series <u>6</u>	Series <u>7</u>	Series <u>8</u>	Series <u>9</u>	Series	Total Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>
1	\$ 24,320	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - 5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$	- \$	-	\$ 24,320
2	24,320	24,320	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48,641
3	24,320	24,320	24,320	-	-	-	-	-		-	72,961
4	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	-	-	-	-		-	97,282
5	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	-	-	7	-	-	121,602
6	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	-	-	-		145,923
7	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320		-	-	170,243
8	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	-	-	194,564
9	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	-	218,884
10	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	243,205
11	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	243,205
12	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	243,205
13	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	243,205
14	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	243,205
15	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	243,205
16	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	243,205
17	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	243,205
18	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	243,205
19	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	243,205
20	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	243,205
21	-	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	218,884
22	-	-	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	194,564
23	-	-	-	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	170,243
24	-	-	-	-	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	145,923
25	-	-	-	-		24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	121,602
26	-	-	-	-	-		24,320	24,320	24,320	24,320	97,282
27	-	-	-	-	-	•		24,320	24,320	24,320	72,961
28	-	-	-	-	-			-	24,320	24,320	48,641
29	-	-	-	-	-				-	24,320	24,320
	\$ 486,410	\$ 486,410	\$ 486,410	\$ 486,410	\$ 486,410	\$ 486,410	\$ 486,410	\$ 486,410 \$	486,410	486,410	\$ 4,864,097

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

		New		- 1		Existing			
		Annual	Annual	1	Annual	Annual			
		Debt	Capital		Bond	Debt	Annual		Total
Year	3	Service ⁽¹⁾	Expenditures (2)	Proceeds ⁽²⁾	Service ⁽³⁾	Credit ⁽⁴⁾	1	Expense
		47 -							
1	\$	24,320	\$ 332,654	\$	(330,523)	\$ 232,863	\$ (1,055)	\$	258,259
2		48,641	442,828		(330,523)	232,914	(2,244)		391,615
3		72,961	553,003		(330,523)	232,850	(3,556)		524,735
4		97,282	663,177		(330,523)	232,909	(4,981)		657,863
5		121,602	663,177		(330,523)	232,866	(6,509)		680,613
6		145,923	663,177		(330,523)	232,935	(8,136)		703,376
7		170,243	663,177		(330,523)	232,893	(9,848)		725,941
8		194,564	663,177		(330,523)	232,951	(11,646)		748,523
9		218,884	663,177		(330,523)	232,888	(13,517)		770,908
10		243,205	663,177		(330,523)	232,914	(15,462)		793,311
11		243,205	330,523		-	232,914	(15,462)		791,180
12		243,205	220,349	1	-	232,882	(15,461)		680,975
13		243,205	110,174		-	232,919	(15,462)		570,837
14		243,205	-		-	232,909	(15,462)		460,652
15		243,205	-		-	232,951	(15,463)		460,693
16		243,205	-		-	232,930	(15,462)		460,672
17		243,205	-		-	155,054	(12,933)		385,325
18		243,205	-		-	155,054	(12,933)		385,325
19		243,205	-		-	155,054	(12,933)		385,325
20		243,205	-		-	155,054	(12,933)		385,325
21		218,884	-		-	-	(7,108)		211,776
22		194,564	-		-	-	(6,318)		188,245
23		170,243	-		-	-	(5,529)		164,715
24		145,923	-		-	-	(4,739)		141,184
25		121,602	-		-	-	(3,949)		117,653
26		97,282	-		-	-	(3,159)		94,123
27		72,961	-		-	-	(2,369)		70,592
28		48,641	-		-	-	(1,580)		47,061
29		24,320	-		-	-	(790)		23,531
	\$	4,864,097	\$ 6,631,770	\$	(3,305,234)	\$ 4,346,705	\$ (257,003)	\$	12,280,336

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area J, Page 2 Section I (2) Appendix E - Service Area J, Page 1

⁽³⁾ Eligible outstanding debt funded projects as a percent of outstanding principal times outstanding annual debt service (4) Appendix E - Service Area J, Page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area J

<u>Year</u>	pact ee	Impact t Vehicle Fee <u>Miles Revenue</u>			Annual kpenses	<u> </u>	Sub-Total	Accumulated <u>Interest</u>			Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>		
Initial											\$	2,179,356	
1	\$ 347	2,486	\$	863,383	\$	258,259	\$	605,124	5	49,638		2,834,118	
2	347	2,486		863,383		391,615		471,767		61,400		3,367,286	
3	347	2,486		863,383		524,735		338,648		70,732		3,776,666	
4	347	2,486		863,383		657,863		205,520		77,589		4,059,774	
5	347	2,486		863,383		680,613		182,770		83,023		4,325,567	
6	347	2,486		863,383		703,376	4	160,006		88,111		4,573,685	
7	347	2,486		863,383		725,941		137,441		92,848		4,803,974	
8	347	2,486		863,383		748,523		114,860		97,228		5,016,062	
9	347	2,486		863,383		770,908		92,474		101,246		5,209,782	
10	347	2,486		863,383		793,311		70,072		104,896		5,384,751	
11	-	-		-		791,180		(791,180)		99,783		4,693,354	
12	-	-		-		680,975	Ì	(680,975)		87,057		4,099,436	
13	-	-		-		570,837		(570,837)		76,280		3,604,880	
14	-	-		-		460,652		(460,652)		67,491		3,211,719	
15	-	-		-		460,693		(460,693)		59,627		2,810,653	
16	-	-		-		460,672		(460,672)		51,606		2,401,587	
17	-	-		-		385,325		(385,325)		44,178		2,060,440	
18	-	-		-		385,325		(385,325)		37,356		1,712,470	
19	-	-		-		385,325		(385, 325)		30,396		1,357,541	
20	-	-		-		385,325		(385,325)		23,298		995,514	
21	-	-		-		211,776		(211,776)		17,793		801,530	
22	-	-		-		188,245		(188, 245)		14,148		627,433	
23	-	-		-		164,715		(164,715)		10,902		473,619	
24	-	-		-		141,184		(141,184)		8,061		340,496	
25	-	-		-		117,653		(117,653)		5,633		228,476	
26		-		-		94,123		(94,123)		3,628		137,981	
27	-	- 4		-		70,592		(70,592)		2,054		69,443	
28	-	-		-		47,061		(47,061)		918		23,300	
29	-	-				23,531		(23,531)		231		-	
			\$	8,633,827	\$ 1	2,280,336		\$	6	1,467,153			

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area J

	Number of	Interest	Recovery				
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual Vel	nicle Miles	Annual E	Expense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Escalated	Actual	<u>Escalated</u>
		<u> </u>					
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	2,486	4,372 \$	258,259	\$ 454,131
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	2,486	4,286	391,615	675,127
3	27	1.6902	1.0000	2,486	4,202	524,735	886,882
4	26	1.6570	1.0000	2,486	4,120	657,863	1,090,087
5	25	1.6245	1.0000	2,486	4,039	680,613	1,105,670
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	2,486	3,960	703,376	1,120,245
7	23	1.5614	1.0000	2,486	3,882	725,941	1,133,514
8	22	1.5308	1.0000	2,486	3,806	748,523	1,145,856
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	2,486	3,732	770,908	1,156,985
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	2,486	3,658	793,311	1,167,261
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	-	-	791,180	1,141,300
12	18	1.4142	1.0000	-	-	680,975	963,065
13	17	1.3865	1.0000		-	570,837	791,473
14	16	1.3593	1.0000		-	460,652	626,177
15	15	1.3327	1.0000			460,693	613,953
16	14	1.3065	1.0000	\	-	460,672	601,888
17	13	1.2809	1.0000		-	385,325	493,572
18	12	1.2558	1.0000	_	-	385,325	483,895
19	11	1.2312	1.0000	_	-	385,325	474,406
20	10	1.2070	1.0000	-	-	385,325	465,104
21	9	1.1834	1.0000	-	-	211,776	250,611
22	8	1.1602	1.0000	-	-	188,245	218,397
23	7	1.1374	1.0000	-	-	164,715	187,351
24	6	1.1151	1.0000	-	-	141,184	157,437
25	5	1.0933	1.0000	-	-	117,653	128,625
26	4	1.0718	1.0000	-	-	94,123	100,883
27	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-	70,592	74,178
28	2	1.0302	1.0000	-	-	47,061	48,483
29	1	1.0100	1.0000	-	-	23,531	23,766
					40,059	-	\$ 17,780,324
		Annual Interest Ra	te:			2.00%	
		Present Value of In	itial Impact Foo	Fund Palance	\$	2,179,356	
		Fresent value of in	ıllar impacı Fee	ruilu balailice	Φ	2,179,330	
		Total Escalated Ex	pense for Entire	Period	\$	17,780,324	
		Less Future Value	•			3,870,198	
		Sub-Total			\$	13,910,126	
		Total Escalated Ve	hicle Miles			40,059	
		Immant Fac Fee O			•	247	
		Impact Fee For Se	ervice Area J		\$	347	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees


Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions

Service Area J

Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Impact Fee Project No. ⁽¹⁾	Se	Cost In rvice Area ⁽¹⁾	<u>R</u>	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost ⁽²⁾		Debt Funded ⁽³⁾ <u>Existing</u> <u>Proposed</u>			Non-Debt Funded ⁽³⁾		Impact Fee Recoverable Cos	
WHITE AVE (1)	J-1	\$	981,328	\$	334,478	\$	334,478	\$		\$	-	\$	334,478
WHITE AVE (2)	J-2		213,991		72,937		72,937		-		-		72,937
VIRGINIA PKWY (9)	J-3		3,267,873		1,113,829		1,113,829		-		-		1,113,829
ELDORADO PKWY (3)	J-4		4,047,600		1,379,593		-		689,797		689,797		1,379,593
COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY (5)	J-5		1,953,000		665,665		-	4	332,832		332,832		665,665
HARDIN BLVD (11)	I-10, J-6		6,792,049		2,315,017		1,423,374		445,822	\neg	445,822		2,315,017
HARDIN BLVD (12)	I-11, J-7		3,104,400		1,058,111				529,055		529,055		1,058,111
MEDICAL CENTER DR	J-8		2,240,000		763,487		-		381,743		381,743		763,487
STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)	J-9, K-7		2,323,650		791,998		-		395,999		395,999		791,998
STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5)	J-10, K-8		1,111,600		378,880	4	-		189,440		189,440		378,880
STATE HIGHWAY 5 (6)	J-11		313,950		107,007		-		53,504		53,504	\neg	107,007
Under Construction	36		195,171		66,522		45,220		-		21,303		66,522
Signal	39		300,000		102,253		-		51,126		51,126		102,253
Signal	58		150,000		51,126		-		25,563		25,563		51,126
Intersection Improvements	61		150,000		51,126		-	4	25,563		25,563		51,126
Signal	62		150,000		51,126		- 4		25,563		25,563		51,126
Signal	67		300,000		102,253		-		51,126		51,126		102,253
Signal	68		300,000		102,253		-		51,126		51,126		102,253
Signal	69		150,000		51,126		-		25,563		25,563		51,126
Signal	73		150,000		51,126		-		25,563		25,563		51,126
Impact Fee Study			11,692		11,692		-		5,846		5,846		11,692
Total		\$	28,206,303	\$	9,621,608	\$	2,989,838	\$	3,305,234	\$:	3,326,536	\$	9,621,608

⁽¹⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Per discussions with City staff. For existing debt funding that does not have a specific bond identified, a 4.5% rate is used to coincide with the water and wastewater calculations.



⁽²⁾ Line 11 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area J

<u>Year</u>	Service ⁽¹⁾ Miles		Annual Vehicle <u>Miles</u>		Eligible Debt Service per <u>Vehicle Mile</u>	Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles (Cumulative)	Ad Valorem <u>Revenues</u>			
1	\$	257,184	606,072	\$	0.42	2,486	\$	1,055		
2		281,555	623,802		0.45	4,973		2,244		
3		305,812	641,531		0.48	7,459		3,556		
4		330,191	659,260		0.50	9,946		4,981		
5		354,469	676,990		0.52	12,432		6,509		
6		378,858	694,719		0.55	14,918		8,136		
7		403,136	712,448		0.57	17,405		9,848		
8		427,515	730,177		0.59	19,891		11,646		
9		451,772	747,907		0.60	22,378		13,517		
10		476,119	765,636		0.62	24,864		15,462		
11		476,119	765,636		0.62	24,864		15,462		
12		476,087	765,636		0.62	24,864		15,461		
13		476,124	765,636		0.62	24,864		15,462		
14		476,114	765,636		0.62	24,864		15,462		
15		476,156	765,636		0.62	24,864		15,463		
16		476,135	765,636		0.62	24,864	•	15,462		
17		398,259	765,636		0.52	24,864		12,933		
18		398,259	765,636	Т	0.52	24,864		12,933		
19		398,259	765,636		0.52	24,864		12,933		
20		398,259	765,636	1	0.52	24,864		12,933		
21		218,884	765,636		0.29	24,864		7,108		
22		194,564	765,636		0.25	24,864		6,318		
23		170,243	765,636		0.22	24,864		5,529		
24		145,923	765,636		0.19	24,864		4,739		
25		121,602	765,636		0.16	24,864		3,949		
26		97,282	765,636		0.13	24,864		3,159		
27		72,961	765,636		0.10	24,864		2,369		
28		48,641	765,636		0.06	24,864		1,580		
29		24,320	765,636		0.03	24,864		790		
Total	\$	9,210,803					\$	257,003		

2019 Vehicle Miles (2)

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles in Service Area (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles In Other Service Areas (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Yea

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area J, Page 2 Section II

⁽²⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Line 8 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area K

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits (1)
Annual Vehicle Mile Growth (2)
Existing Fund Balance (3)

2.00%
853
\$ 779,111

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾
Non-debt Funded Project Cost⁽⁵⁾
New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁽⁶⁾
Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

\$ 1,159,792
4,449,077
4,295,800
\$ 9,904,669

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 429,580	4.00%	20
2	429,580	4.00%	20
3	429,580	4.00%	20
4	429,580	4.00%	20
5	429,580	4.00%	20
6	429,580	4.00%	20
7	429,580	4.00%	20
8	429,580	4.00%	20
9	429,580	4.00%	20
10	429,580	4.00%	20
Total	\$ 4,295,800		

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual Capital
<u>Year</u>	Expenditures ⁽¹⁰⁾
1	\$ 444,908
2	588,101
3	731,294
4	874,488
5	874,488
6	874,488
7	874,488
8	874,488
9	874,488
10	874,488
11	429,580
12	286,387
13	143,193
Total	\$ 8,744,877

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- $(5) \quad \text{This assumes 50\% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise}$
- (6) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

City of McKinney - 2019 Roadway Impact Fee Study
Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area K

I. New Debt Service Detail

Year	Series	Series <u>2</u>	Series <u>3</u>	Series <u>4</u>	;	Series <u>5</u>	Series <u>6</u>	Ser <u>7</u>		;	Series <u>8</u>	s	eries <u>9</u>	Seri		Total Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>
1	\$ 31,609		\$ -	\$ -	\$	- 5	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- 4	\$	-	\$ 31,609
2	31,609	31,609	-	-		-	-		-		-		-		-	63,218
3	31,609	31,609	31,609	-		-	-		-		-		-		-	94,828
4	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		-	-		-		-		-		-	126,437
5	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	-		-						-	158,046
6	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		-				-			189,655
7	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609				-		-	221,265
8	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		-		-	252,874
9	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		-	284,483
10	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609	4	31,609		31,609		1,609	316,092
11	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	316,092
12	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	316,092
13	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	316,092
14	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	316,092
15	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	316,092
16	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609	4	31,609		1,609	316,092
17	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	316,092
18	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	316,092
19	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	316,092
20	31,609	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609	3	1,609	316,092
21	-	31,609	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	284,483
22	-	-	31,609	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	252,874
23	-	-	-	31,609		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	221,265
24	-	-	-	-		31,609	31,609		31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	189,655
25	-	-	-	-			31,609	;	31,609		31,609		31,609	3	1,609	158,046
26	-	-	-	-		-	-	;	31,609		31,609		31,609		1,609	126,437
27	-	-	-	-					-		31,609		31,609		1,609	94,828
28	-	-	-	-			•		-		-		31,609		1,609	63,218
29	-	-	-	-		-			-				-		1,609	31,609
	\$ 632,185	\$ 632,185	\$ 632,185	\$ 632,185	\$	632,185	632,185	\$ 63	32,185	\$	632,185	\$	632,185	\$ 63	2,185	\$ 6,321,850

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

			New					Existing		
4			Annual		Annual	V	Annual	Annual		
			Debt		Capital	А	Bond	Debt	Annual	Total
	Year		Service ⁽¹⁾	Ex	oenditures ⁽²⁾	<u> </u>	roceeds (2)	Service ⁽³⁾	Credit ⁽⁴⁾	Expense
	1	\$	31,609	\$	444,908	\$	(429,580)	\$ 89,873	\$ (171) \$	136,639
	2		63,218		588,101		(429,580)	89,885	(419)	311,206
	3	-4	94,828		731,294		(429,580)	89,870	(737)	485,675
	4		126,437		874,488		(429,580)	89,884	(1,120)	660,109
	5		158,046		874,488		(429,580)	89,874	(1,562)	691,266
	6		189,655		874,488		(429,580)	89,890	(2,059)	722,394
	7		221,265		874,488		(429,580)	89,880	(2,608)	753,445
	8		252,874		874,488		(429,580)	89,894	(3,203)	784,472
	9		284,483		874,488		(429,580)	89,879	(3,843)	815,427
	10		316,092		874,488		(429,580)	89,885	(4,523)	846,362
	11		316,092		429,580		- '	89,885	(4,523)	831,035
	12		316,092		286,387		-	89,878	(4,523)	687,834
	13		316,092		143,193		-	89,886	(4,523)	544,649
	14		316,092		-		-	89,884	(4,523)	401,453
N.	15		316,092		-		-	89,894	(4,523)	401,463
	16		316,092		-		-	89,889	(4,523)	401,458
	17		316,092		-		-	71,485	(4,318)	383,260
	18		316,092		-		_	71,485	(4,318)	383,260
	19		316,092		-		_	71.485	(4,318)	383,260
	20		316,092		-		_	71,485	(4,318)	383,260
	21		284,483		_		_	-	(3,169)	281,314
	22		252,874		-		_	_	(2,817)	250,057
	23		221,265		_		_	_	(2,465)	218,800
	24		189,655		_		_	_	(2,113)	187,543
	25		158,046		_		_	_	(1,761)	156,285
	26		126,437		_		-	_	(1,409)	125,028
	27		94,828		_		-	_	(1,056)	93,771
	28		63,218		_		-	_	(704)	62,514
	29		31,609		_		-	_	(352)	31,257
	-	\$	6,321,850	\$	8,744,877	\$	(4,295,800)	\$ 1,724,071	\$	12,414,496

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area K, Page 2 Section I
(2) Appendix E - Service Area K, Page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service

⁽⁴⁾ Appendix E - Service Area K, Page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area K

<u>Year</u>	Impact <u>Fee</u>	Vehicle <u>Miles</u>	Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>	Annual Expenses	<u>Sub-Total</u>	Accumulated <u>Interest</u>	Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>
Initial							\$ 779,111
1	\$ 1,197	853	\$ 1,020,837	\$ 136,639	\$ 884,198	\$ 24,424	1,687,733
2	1,197	853	1,020,837	311,206	709,631	40,851	2,438,215
3	1,197	853	1,020,837	485,675	535,162	54,116	3,027,492
4	1,197	853	1,020,837	660,109	360,728	64,157	3,452,377
5	1,197	853	1,020,837	691,266	329,571	72,343	3,854,292
6	1,197	853	1,020,837	722,394	298,443	80,070	4,232,805
7	1,197	853	1,020,837	753,445	267,392	87,330	4,587,527
8	1,197	853	1,020,837	784,472	236,365	94,114	4,918,006
9	1,197	853	1,020,837	815,427	205,410	100,414	5,223,830
10	1,197	853	1,020,837	846,362	174,475	106,221	5,504,526
11	-	-	-	831,035	(831,035)	101,780	4,775,272
12	-	-	-	687,834	(687,834)	88,627	4,176,065
13	-	-	-	544,649	(544,649)	78,075	3,709,490
14	-	-	-	401,453	(401,453)	70,175	3,378,212
15	-	-	-	401,463	(401,463)	63,550	3,040,299
16	-	-	-	401,458	(401,458)	56,791	2,695,632
17	-	-	-	383,260	(383,260)	50,080	2,362,452
18	-	-		383,260	(383,260)	43,416	2,022,609
19	-	-	-	383,260	(383,260)	36,620	1,675,968
20	-	-	-	383,260	(383,260)	29,687	1,322,395
21	-	-	-	281,314	(281,314)	23,635	1,064,716
22	-	-	-	250,057	(250,057)	18,794	833,453
23	-	-	-	218,800	(218,800)	14,481	629,135
24	-	-	-	187,543	(187,543)	10,707	452,299
25	-	-	-	156,285	(156,285)	7,483	303,497
26	-	-	-	125,028	(125,028)	4,820	183,288
27	-	-	-	93,771	(93,771)	2,728	92,245
28		-	-	62,514	(62,514)	1,220	30,951
29	-		-	31,257	(31,257)	306	_
			\$ 10,208,369	\$ 12,414,496	-	\$ 1,427,017	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area K

	Number of Years to	Interest Rate	Recovery Fee	Annual Vehic	le Miles	Annual E	Expense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>E</u>	<u>Escalated</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Escalated</u>
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	853	1,500 \$	136,639	\$ 240,271
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	853	1,471	311,206	536,505
3	27	1.6902	1.0000	853	1,442	485,675	820,865
4	26	1.6570	1.0000	853	1,413	660,109	1,093,809
5	25	1.6245	1.0000	853	1,386	691,266	1,122,976
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	853	1,359	722,394	1,150,534
7	23	1.5614	1.0000	853	1,332	753,445	1,176,458
8	22	1.5308	1.0000	853	1,306	784,472	1,200,888
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	853	1,280	815,427	1,223,799
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	853	1,255	846,362	1,245,320
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	-	-	831,035	1,198,791
12	18	1.4142	1.0000		-	687,834	972,765
13 14	17 16	1.3865 1.3593	1.0000 1.0000		-	544,649	755,163
15	15	1.3327	1.0000			401,453 401,463	545,706 535,020
16	14	1.3065	1.0000			401,463	524,522
17	13	1.2809	1.0000			383,260	490,927
18	12	1.2558	1.0000	_	<u>-</u>	383,260	481,301
19	11	1.2312	1.0000	_	-	383,260	471,864
20	10	1.2070	1.0000	-	-	383,260	462,611
21	9	1.1834	1.0000	-	-	281,314	332,900
22	8	1.1602	1.0000	-	-	250,057	290,109
23	7	1.1374	1.0000	-	-	218,800	248,868
24	6	1.1151	1.0000	-	-	187,543	209,133
25	5	1.0933	1.0000	-	-	156,285	170,860
26	4	1.0718	1.0000	-	-	125,028	134,008
27	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-	93,771	98,535
28	2	1.0302	1.0000	-	-	62,514	64,402
29	1	1.0100	1.0000	-	10.740	31,257	\$1,570
					13,743		\$ 17,830,480
		Annual Interest Rat	e:			2.00%	
		Present Value of In	itial Impact Fee	Fund Balance	\$	779,111	
		Total Escalated Ex	pense for Entire	Period	\$	17,830,480	
		Less Future Value	of Initial Impact I	Fee Fund Balance		1,383,580	
		Sub-Total			\$	16,446,899	
		Total Escalated Ve	hicle Miles			13,743	
		Impact Fee For Se	ervice Area K		\$	1,197	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area K

Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Impact Fee Project No. ⁽¹⁾	Ser	Cost In vice Area ⁽¹⁾	Re	Impact Fee ecoverable Cost ⁽²⁾		Debt Fu Existing		ed ⁽³⁾ Proposed		Non-Debt Funded ⁽³⁾	<u>R</u>	Impact Fee ecoverable Cost
VIRGINIA STREET	K-1	\$	779,650	\$	398,340	\$	_	\$	199,170	\$	199,170	\$	398,340
ELDORADO PKWY (4)	K-2		1,699,200		868,158		_		434,079		434,079		868,158
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (1)	K-3		1,839,600		939,892		_		469,946		469,946		939,892
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (2)	K-4, L-1		117,300		59,931		-		29,966		29,966		59,931
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (3)	K-5, L-2		1,633,700		834,693		-	4	417,346	\neg	417,346		834,693
STATE HIGHWAY 5 (3)	K-6		2,064,300		1,054,696		-		527,348		527,348		1,054,696
STATE HIGHWAY 5 (4)	J-9, K-7		2,323,650		1,187,203		-		593,602		593,602		1,187,203
STATE HIGHWAY 5 (5)	J-10, K-8		1,111,600		567,941				283,970		283,970		567,941
AIRPORT DR (5)	K-9, L-6		3,161,400		1,615,228		-		807,614		807,614		1,615,228
AIRPORT DR (6)	K-10, L-7		1,462,600		747,274	4	229,915		182,041		335,318	•	747,274
Signal	40		300,000		153,277		-		76,638		76,638		153,277
Signal Mod	41		75,000		38,319		-		19,160		19,160		38,319
Roundabout	52		1,820,000		929,877		929,877		-		-		929,877
Signal	53		300,000		153,277		-	4	76,638		76,638		153,277
Signal	54		150,000		76,638		-		38,319		38,319		76,638
Signal	62		150,000		76,638		-		38,319		38,319		76,638
Signal	63		225,000		114,957				57,479		57,479		114,957
Signal	69		150,000		76,638		<u>-</u>		38,319		38,319		76,638
Impact Fee Study			11,692		11,692		-		5,846		5,846		11,692
Total		\$	19,374,692	\$	9,904,669	\$	1,159,792	\$	4,295,800	\$	4,449,077	\$	9,904,669

⁽¹⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Per discussions with City staff. For existing debt funding that does not have a specific bond identified, a 4.5% rate is used to coincide with the water and wastewater calculations.



⁽²⁾ Line 11 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area K

<u>Year</u>	ligible Debt <u>Service⁽¹⁾</u>	Annual Vehicle <u>Miles</u>		Eligible Debt Service per <u>Vehicle Mile</u>	Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles (Cumulative)	- 4	edit for Annual Ad Valorem <u>Revenues</u>
1	\$ 121,482	606,072	\$	0.20	853	\$	171
2	153,104	623,802		0.25	1,706		419
3	184,698	641,531		0.29	2,559		737
4	216,321	659,260		0.33	3,412		1,120
5	247,920	676,990		0.37	4,265		1,562
6	279,546	694,719		0.40	5,118		2,059
7	311,145	712,448		0.44	5,971		2,608
8	342,768	730,177		0.47	6,824		3,203
9	374,362	747,907		0.50	7,677		3,843
10	405,978	765,636		0.53	8,530		4,523
11	405,978	765,636		0.53	8,530		4,523
12	405,970	765,636		0.53	8,530		4,523
13	405,979	765,636		0.53	8,530		4,523
14	405,976	765,636		0.53	8,530		4,523
15	405,986	765,636		0.53	8,530		4,523
16	405,981	765,636		0.53	8,530		4,523
17	387,578	765,636		0.51	8,530		4,318
18	387,578	765,636		0.51	8,530		4,318
19	387,578	765,636	Т	0.51	8,530		4,318
20	387,578	765,636		0.51	8,530		4,318
21	284,483	765,636		0.37	8,530		3,169
22	252,874	765,636		0.33	8,530		2,817
23	221,265	765,636		0.29	8,530		2,465
24	189,655	765,636		0.25	8,530		2,113
25	158,046	765,636		0.21	8,530		1,761
26	126,437	765,636		0.17	8,530		1,409
27	94,828	765,636		0.12	8,530		1,056
28	63,218	765,636		0.08	8,530		704
29	 31,609	765,636		0.04	8,530		352
Total	\$ 8,045,921					\$	80,502

2019 Vehicle Miles (2)

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles in Service Area (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles In Other Service Areas (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Yea

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area K, Page 2 Section II

⁽²⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Line 8 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area L

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits⁽¹⁾
Annual Vehicle Mile Growth⁽²⁾
Existing Fund Balance⁽³⁾

2.00%
189
\$ 5,532

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾
Non-debt Funded Project Cost⁽⁵⁾
New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁽⁶⁾
Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

\$ 57,132
1,761,891
1,723,803
\$ 3,542,826

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>		
1	\$ 172,380	4.00%	20		
2	172,380	4.00%	20		
3	172,380	4.00%	20		
4	172,380	4.00%	20		
5	172,380	4.00%	20		
6	172,380	4.00%	20		
7	172,380	4.00%	20		
8	172,380	4.00%	20		
9	172,380	4.00%	20		
10	172,380	4.00%	20		
Total	¢ 1722 902				

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual Capital								
Year	Exp	enditures ⁽¹⁰⁾							
1	\$	176,189							
2		233,649							
3		291,109							
4		348,569							
5		348,569							
6		348,569							
7		348,569							
8		348,569							
9		348,569							
10		348,569							
11		172,380							
12		114,920							
13		57,460							
Total	\$	3,485,694							

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (5) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise
- (6) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area L

I. New Debt Service Detail

Year	Series	ice D	Series	Series <u>3</u>	Series <u>4</u>		Series <u>5</u>	s	Series <u>6</u>		Series <u>7</u>		Series <u>8</u>	Series <u>9</u>		Series	N	Total Annual ew Debt Service
1			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	-		\$ -	\$	12,684
2	12,		12,684	-	-		-		-		-		-	-		-		25,368
3	12,		12,684				-		-		-		-			-		38,052
4	12,		12,684				-		-		-		-	-		-		50,736
5	12,	684	12,684	12,684	12,68	34	12,684		-		-		-	-		-		63,420
6	12,	684	12,684	12,684	12,68	34	12,684		12,684		-		-	-		-		76,104
7	12,		12,684	12,684	12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		-	-		-		88,788
8	12,		12,684	12,684	12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684	4	12,684	-		-		101,472
9	12,	684	12,684	12,684	12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	-		114,156
10	12,	684	12,684	12,684	12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		126,840
11	12,	684	12,684	12,684	12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		126,840
12	12,	384	12,684	12,684	1 12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		126,840
13	12,	684	12,684	12,684	12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		126,840
14	12,	384	12,684	12,684	1 12,68	34	12,684		12,684	4	12,684		12,684	12,6	84	12,684		126,840
15	12,	684	12,684	12,684	12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		126,840
16	12,	384	12,684	12,684	1 12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,6	84	12,684		126,840
17	12,	384	12,684	12,684	1 12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		126,840
18	12,	384	12,684	12,684	1 12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		126,840
19	12,	384	12,684	12,684	1 12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		126,840
20	12,	384	12,684	12,684	1 12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		126,840
21		-	12,684	12,684	1 12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		114,156
22		-	-	12,684	1 12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		101,472
23		-	-	-	12,68	34	12,684		12,684		12,684	$\overline{}$	12,684	12,68	84	12,684		88,788
24		-	-	-	-		12,684		12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		76,104
25		-	-	-	-				12,684		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		63,420
26		-	-	-	-				-		12,684		12,684	12,68	84	12,684		50,736
27		-	-	-	-				· .				12,684	12,68	34	12,684		38,052
28		-	-	-	-		7 - 1		-				-	12,68	34	12,684		25,368
29		-	-	-	-		-		-	7	-		- 1	-		12,684		12,684
•	\$ 253,	381	\$ 253,681	\$ 253,681	1 \$ 253,68	31 \$	253,681	\$	253,681	\$	253,681	\$	253,681 \$	253,68	31 5	\$ 253,681	\$	2,536,809

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

<u>Year</u>	New Annual Debt <u>Service⁽¹⁾</u>	Annual Capital Expenditures ⁽²⁾	Annual Bond Proceeds ⁽²⁾	Existing Annual Debt Service ⁽³⁾	Annual <u>Credit⁽⁴⁾</u>	Total Expense
	40.004	6 470 400	6 (470,000)	. 4500	6 (5)	o 04.057
1	\$ 12,684	\$ 176,189	\$ (172,380)	\$ 4,569 4,572	\$ (5)	
2	25,368 38,052	233,649 291,109	(172,380) (172,380)	4,572	(18) (38)	91,191 161,312
4	50,736	348,569	(172,380)	4,572	(64)	231,434
5	63,420	348,569	(172,380)	4,572	(95)	244,084
6	76,104	348,569	(172,380)	4,573	(132)	256,735
7	88,788	348,569	(172,380)	4,571	(174)	269,375
8	101,472	348,569	(172,380)	4,574	(220)	282,016
9	114,156	348,569	(172,380)	4,571	(270)	294,646
10	126,840	348,569	(172,380)	4,572	(325)	307,277
11	126,840	172,380	-	4,572	(325)	303,468
12	126,840	114,920	_	4,570	(325)	246,006
13	126,840	57,460	-	4,573	(325)	188,548
14	126,840	-	-	4,572	(325)	131,087
15	126,840	-	-	4,574	(325)	131,090
16	126,840	-	-	4,573	(325)	131,089
17	126,840	-	-	-	(314)	126,527
18	126,840	-	-	-	(314)	126,527
19	126,840	-	-	-	(314)	126,527
20	126,840	-	-	-	(314)	126,527
21	114,156	-	-	-	(282)	113,874
22	101,472	-	-	-	(251)	101,221
23	88,788	-	-	-	(220)	88,569
24	76,104	-	-	-	(188)	75,916
25	63,420	-	-	-	(157)	63,263
26	50,736	-	-	-	(125)	50,611
27	38,052	-	-	-	(94)	37,958
28	25,368	-	-	-	(63)	25,305
29	12,684	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		(31)	12,653
	\$ 2,536,809	\$ 3,485,694	\$ (1,723,803)	\$ 73,147	\$ (5,956)	\$ 4,365,891

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area L, Page 2 Section I

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area L, Page 1
(2) Appendix E - Service Area L, Page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area L

<u>Year</u>	I	mpact <u>Fee</u>	Vehicle <u>Miles</u>	ļ	Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>	Annual Expenses		<u>Sub-Total</u>	mulated <u>erest</u>	timated Fund <u>alance</u>
Initial										\$ 5,532
1	\$	2,044	189	\$	386,878	\$ 21,057	\$	365,822	\$ 3,769	375,122
2		2,044	189		386,878	91,191		295,687	10,459	681,269
3		2,044	189		386,878	161,312		225,566	15,881	922,716
4		2,044	189		386,878	231,434		155,445	20,009	1,098,169
5		2,044	189		386,878	244,084		142,795	23,391	1,264,355
6		2,044	189		386,878	256,735	4	130,143	26,589	1,421,087
7		2,044	189		386,878	269,375		117,503	29,597	1,568,187
8		2,044	189		386,878	282,016		104,862	32,412	1,705,462
9		2,044	189		386,878	294,646		92,232	35,032	1,832,726
10		2,044	189		386,878	307,277		79,601	37,451	1,949,778
11		-	-		-	303,468		(303,468)	35,961	1,682,271
12		-	-		-	246,006		(246,006)	31,185	1,467,450
13		-	-		-	188,548		(188,548)	27,464	1,306,365
14		-	-		-	131,087		(131,087)	24,816	1,200,094
15		-	-		-	131,090		(131,090)	22,691	1,091,696
16		-	-		-	131,089		(131,089)	20,523	981,130
17		-	-		-	126,527		(126,527)	18,357	872,960
18		-	-		-	126,527		(126,527)	16,194	762,628
19		-	-		-	126,527		(126,527)	13,987	650,088
20		-	-		-	126,527		(126,527)	11,736	535,298
21		-	-		-	113,874		(113,874)	9,567	430,991
22		-	-		-	101,221		(101,221)	7,608	337,377
23		-	-		-	88,569		(88,569)	5,862	254,670
24		-	-		-	75,916		(75,916)	4,334	183,088
25		-	-		-	63,263		(63,263)	3,029	122,854
26		-	-		-	50,611		(50,611)	1,951	74,194
27		-	- 4		-	37,958		(37,958)	1,104	37,340
28		-			-	25,305		(25,305)	494	12,529
29		-	-			12,653		(12,653)_	124	-
				\$	3,868,782	\$ 4,365,891		-	\$ 491,577	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area L

	Number of Years to	Interest Rate	Recovery Fee	Annual Vehicle	e Miles	Annual E	Expense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	Actual Es	scalated	<u>Actual</u>	Escalated
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	189	333 \$	21,057	\$ 37,027
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	189	326	91,191	157,209
3	27	1.6902	1.0000	189	320	161,312	272,642
4	26	1.6570	1.0000	189	314	231,434	383,488
5	25	1.6245	1.0000	189	308	244,084	396,519
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	189	301	256,735	408,894
7	23	1.5614	1.0000	189	296	269,375	420,612
8	22	1.5308	1.0000	189	290	282,016	431,716
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	189	284	294,646	442,206
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	189	279	307,277	452,121
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	-	-	303,468	437,761
12	18	1.4142	1.0000	-	-	246,006	347,913
13	17	1.3865	1.0000		-	188,548	261,425
14	16	1.3593	1.0000			131,087	178,191
15	15	1.3327	1.0000	_		131,090	174,700
16 17	14 13	1.3065 1.2809	1.0000 1.0000		-	131,089 126,527	171,273
17	12	1.2558	1.0000		-	126,527	162,071 158,893
19	11	1.2312	1.0000		-	126,527	155,778
20	10	1.2070	1.0000		_	126,527	152,723
21	9	1.1834	1.0000		_	113,874	134,756
22	8	1.1602	1.0000	-	_	101,221	117,434
23	7	1.1374	1.0000	-	_	88,569	100,740
24	6	1.1151	1.0000	-	-	75,916	84,656
25	5	1.0933	1.0000	-	-	63,263	69,163
26	4	1.0718	1.0000	-	-	50,611	54,246
27	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-	37,958	39,886
28	2	1.0302	1.0000	-	-	25,305	26,070
29	1	1.0100	1.0000	-		12,653	12,779
					3,050		\$ 6,242,892
		Annual Interest Rat	e:			2.00%	
		Present Value of In	itial Impact Fee	Fund Balance	\$	5,532	
		Total Escalated Ex	pense for Entire	Period	\$	6,242,892	
		Less Future Value			*	9,824	
		Sub-Total	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$	6,233,068	
		Total Escalated Ve	hicle Miles			3,050	
		Impact Fee For Se	rvice Area L		\$	2,044	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees

Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions

Service Area L

Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Impact Fee Project No. ⁽¹⁾	Ser	Cost In vice Area ⁽¹⁾	R	Impact Fee ecoverable Cost ⁽²⁾		Debt Fu Existing		ed ⁽³⁾ Proposed		Non-Debt Funded ⁽³⁾	<u>R</u>	Impact Fee ecoverable Cost
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (2)	K-4, L-1	\$	117,300	\$	14,892	\$	-	\$	7,446	\$	7,446	\$	14,892
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (3)	K-5, L-2		1,633,700		207,415		-		103,707		103,707		207,415
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (1)	L-3		2,153,725		273,437		-		136,719		136,719		273,437
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (2)	L-4		3,843,225		487,937		-		243,968		243,968		487,937
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (1)	L-5		2,166,900		275,110		-		137,555	$\overline{}$	137,555		275,110
AIRPORT DR (5)	K-9, L-6		3,161,400		401,372				200,686		200,686		401,372
AIRPORT DR (6)	K-10, L-7		1,462,600		185,692		57,132		45,236		83,324		185,692
UNNAMED C (3)	L-8		5,807,850		737,366		-		368,683		368,683		737,366
UNNAMED C (4)	L-9, M-5		1,415,150		179,668		-		89,834		89,834		179,668
UNNAMED C (5)	L-10, M-6		5,001,075		634,937	4	-		317,469		317,469		634,937
Signal Mod	41		75,000		9,522		-		4,761		4,761		9,522
Signal	42		150,000		19,044		-		9,522		9,522		19,044
Signal	54		150,000		19,044		-		9,522		9,522		19,044
Signal	63		225,000		28,566		- ,	4	14,283		14,283		28,566
Signal	70		300,000		38,088		_		19,044		19,044		38,088
Signal	74		150,000		19,044		-		9,522		9,522		19,044
Impact Fee Study			11,692		11,692		-		5,846		5,846		11,692
Total		\$	27,824,617	\$	3,542,826	\$	57,132	\$	1,723,803	\$	1,761,891	\$	3,542,826

⁽¹⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study



⁽²⁾ Line 11 of the Max Fee Table Report

⁽³⁾ Per discussions with City staff. For existing debt funding that does not have a specific bond identified, a 4.5% rate is used to coincide with the water and wastewater calculations.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area L

<u>Year</u>	igible Debt Service ⁽¹⁾	Annual Vehicle <u>Miles</u>		Eligible Debt Service per Vehicle Mile	Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles (Cumulative)	dit for Annual Ad Valorem <u>Revenues</u>
1	\$ 17,253	606,072	\$	0.03	189	\$ 5
2	29,940	623,802		0.05	379	18
3	42,621	641,531		0.07	568	38
4	55,308	659,260		80.0	757	64
5	67,990	676,990		0.10	947	95
6	80,678	694,719		0.12	1,136	132
7	93,359	712,448		0.13	1,325	174
8	106,047	730,177		0.15	1,514	220
9	118,727	747,907		0.16	1,704	270
10	131,413	765,636		0.17	1,893	325
11	131,413	765,636		0.17	1,893	325
12	131,411	765,636		0.17	1,893	325
13	131,413	765,636		0.17	1,893	325
14	131,412	765,636		0.17	1,893	325
15	131,415	765,636		0.17	1,893	325
16	131,414	765,636		0.17	1,893	325
17	126,840	765,636		0.17	1,893	314
18	126,840	765,636		0.17	1,893	314
19	126,840	765,636		0.17	1,893	314
20	126,840	765,636	1	0.17	1,893	314
21	114,156	765,636		0.15	1,893	282
22	101,472	765,636		0.13	1,893	251
23	88,788	765,636		0.12	1,893	220
24	76,104	765,636		0.10	1,893	188
25	63,420	765,636		0.08	1,893	157
26	50,736	765,636		0.07	1,893	125
27	38,052	765,636		0.05	1,893	94
28	25,368	765,636		0.03	1,893	63
29	12,684	765,636		0.02	1,893	 31
Total	\$ 2,609,956					\$ 5,956

2019 Vehicle Miles (2)

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles in Service Area (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles In Other Service Areas (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Yea

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area L, Page 2 Section II

⁽²⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Line 8 of the Max Fee Table Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions
Service Area M

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits (1)
Annual Vehicle Mile Growth (2)
Existing Fund Balance (3)

2.00%
30
\$ -

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾ Non-debt Funded Project Cost⁽⁵⁾ New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁽⁶⁾ Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

\$ -
334,305
334,305
\$ 668,610

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 33,430	4.00%	20
2	33,430	4.00%	20
3	33,430	4.00%	20
4	33,430	4.00%	20
5	33,430	4.00%	20
6	33,430	4.00%	20
7	33,430	4.00%	20
8	33,430	4.00%	20
9	33,430	4.00%	20
10	33,430	4.00%	20
Total	\$ 334,305		

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	1	Annual Capital							
<u>Year</u>	Expe	Expenditures (10)							
1	\$	33,430							
2		44,574							
3		55,717							
4		66,861							
5		66,861							
6		66,861							
7		66,861							
8		66,861							
9		66,861							
10		66,861							
11		33,430							
12		22,287							
13		11,143							
Total	\$	668,610							

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- $(5) \quad \text{This assumes 50\% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise}$
- (6) This assumes 50% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe.

Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area M

I. New Debt Service Detail

Year	Series			Series <u>4</u>	Series <u>5</u>	Series <u>6</u>	Series <u>7</u>	Series <u>8</u>	Series <u>9</u>	Series <u>10</u>	Total Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>
1	\$ 2,460		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,460
2	2,460	2,460		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,920
3	2,460	2,460		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,380
4	2,460	2,460		2,460	-	-	-			-	9,839
5	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	-	-	-	-		12,299
6	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	-	-	-	-	14,759
7	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	_ , _	-	-	17,219
8	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	-	-	19,679
9	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	-	22,139
10	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	24,599
11	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	24,599
12	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	24,599
13	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	24,599
14	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	24,599
15	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	24,599
16	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	24,599
17	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	24,599
18	2,460	2,460		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	24,599
19	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	24,599
20	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	24,599
21	-	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	22,139
22	-	-	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	19,679
23	-	-	-	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	17,219
24	-	-	-	-	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	14,759
25	-	-	-	-	- (-	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	12,299
26	-	-	-	-	-		2,460	2,460	2,460	2,460	9,839
27	-	-	-	-	-			2,460	2,460	2,460	7,380
28	-	-	-	-	-			-	2,460	2,460	4,920
29	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	2,460	2,460
	\$ 49,197	\$ 49,197	\$ 49,197	\$ 49,197	\$ 49,197	\$ 49,197	\$ 49,197	\$ 49,197	\$ 49,197	\$ 49,197	\$ 491,975

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

	New			Existing		
	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual		
	Debt	Capital	Bond	Debt	Annual	Total
Year	Service ⁽¹⁾	Expenditures (2)	Proceeds ⁽²⁾	Service ⁽³⁾	Credit ⁽⁴⁾	Expense
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
1	\$ 2,460	\$ 33,430	\$ (33,430)	\$ -	\$ (0)	\$ 2,460
2	4,920	44,574	(33,430)	-	(0)	16,063
3	7,380	55,717	(33,430)	-	(1)	29,666
4	9,839	66,861	(33,430)	-	(2)	43,268
5	12,299	66,861	(33,430)	-	(3)	45,727
6	14,759	66,861	(33,430)	-	(4)	48,186
7	17,219	66,861	(33,430)	-	(5)	50,644
8	19,679	66,861	(33,430)	-	(7)	53,103
9	22,139	66,861	(33,430)	-	(8)	55,561
10	24,599	66,861	(33,430)	-	(10)	58,019
11	24,599	33,430	-	-	(10)	58,019
12	24,599	22,287	-	-	(10)	46,876
13	24,599	11,143	-	-	(10)	35,732
14	24,599	-	-	-	(10)	24,589
15	24,599	-	-	-	(10)	24,589
16	24,599	-	-	-	(10)	24,589
17	24,599	-	-	-	(10)	24,589
18	24,599	-	-	-	(10)	24,589
19	24,599	-	-	-	(10)	24,589
20	24,599	-	-	-	(10)	24,589
21	22,139	-	-	-	(9)	22,130
22	19,679	-	-	-	(8)	19,671
23	17,219		-	-	(7)	17,212
24	14,759		-	-	(6)	14,753
25	12,299	-	-	-	(5)	12,294
26	9,839	-	-	-	(4)	9,836
27	7,380	-	-	-	(3)	7,377
28	4,920	-	-	-	(2)	4,918
29	2,460		-	-	(1)	2,459
	\$ 491,975	\$ 668,610	\$ (334,305)	\$ -	\$ (181)	\$ 826,099

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area M, Page 2 Section I
(2) Appendix E - Service Area M, Page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service
(4) Appendix E - Service Area M, Page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area M

<u>Year</u>	Impac <u>Fee</u>	t Vehic <u>Miles</u>		Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>	Annual <u>Expenses</u>	<u>Sub-Total</u>	Accumulated Interest	Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>
Initial								\$ -
1	\$ 2,4	-06	30 \$	73,149	\$ 2,460	\$ 70,689	\$ 707	71,396
2	2,4	-06	30	73,149	16,063	57,086	1,999	130,480
3	2,4	-06	30	73,149	29,666	43,483	3,044	177,008
4	2,4	-06	30	73,149	43,268	29,880	3,839	210,727
5	2,4	-06	30	73,149	45,727	27,421	4,489	242,637
6	2,4	-06	30	73,149	48,186		5,102	272,703
7	2,4	-06	30	73,149	50,644	22,504	5,679	300,886
8	2,4	-06	30	73,149	53,103	20,046	6,218	327,150
9	2,4	-06	30	73,149	55,561	17,587	6,719	351,456
10	2,4	-06	30	73,149	58,019	15,129	7,180	373,765
11	-	-		-	58,019	(58,019)	6,895	322,641
12	-	· -		_	46,876	(46,876)	5,984	281,749
13	-	-		-	35,732	(35,732)	5,278	251,294
14	-			-	24,589	(24,589)	4,780	231,485
15	-	-		-	24,589	(24,589)	4,384	211,280
16	-	-		-	24,589	(24,589)	3,980	190,671
17	-			-	24,589	(24,589)	3,568	169,649
18	-	-		-	24,589	(24,589)	3,147	148,208
19	-	· -		-	24,589	(24,589)	2,718	126,337
20	-			-	24,589	(24,589)	2,281	104,029
21	-			-	22,130	(22,130)	1,859	83,758
22	-			-	19,671	(19,671)	1,478	65,565
23	-			-	17,212	(17,212)	1,139	49,492
24		-		-	14,753	(14,753)	842	35,581
25	-	-		-	12,294	(12,294)	589	23,875
26	-			-	9,836	(9,836)	379	14,419
27				-	7,377	(7,377)		7,257
28				-	4,918	, ,		2,435
29		-		<u>-</u>	2,459			<u> </u>
			\$	731,486	\$ 826,099		\$ 94,613	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area M

	Number of Years to	Interest Rate	Recovery Fee	Annual Vehicle	e Miles	Annual E	Expense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>E</u>	scalated	<u>Actual</u>	Escalated
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	30	53 \$	2,460	\$ 4,325
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	30	52	16,063	27,691
3	27	1.6902	1.0000	30	51	29,666	50,139
4	26	1.6570	1.0000	30	50	43,268	71,696
5	25	1.6245	1.0000	30	49	45,727	74,285
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	30	48	48,186	76,744
7	23	1.5614	1.0000	30	47	50,644	79,078
8	22	1.5308	1.0000	30	47	53,103	81,291
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	30	46	55,561	83,387
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	30	45	58,019	85,369
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	-	-	58,019	83,695
12	18	1.4142	1.0000		-	46,876	66,294
13	17	1.3865	1.0000		-	35,732	49,544
14 15	16 15	1.3593 1.3327	1.0000 1.0000			24,589 24,589	33,424
16	14	1.3065	1.0000		- 1	24,589	32,769 32,127
17	13	1.2809	1.0000			24,589	31,497
18	12	1.2558	1.0000	_	_	24,589	30,879
19	11	1.2312	1.0000	<u>-</u>	-	24,589	30,274
20	10	1.2070	1.0000	_	_	24,589	29,680
21	9	1.1834	1.0000	-	_	22,130	26,188
22	8	1.1602	1.0000	-	-	19,671	22,822
23	7	1.1374	1.0000	-	-	17,212	19,578
24	6	1.1151	1.0000	-	-	14,753	16,452
25	5	1.0933	1.0000	-	-	12,294	13,441
26	4	1.0718	1.0000	-	-	9,836	10,542
27	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-	7,377	7,751
28	2	1.0302	1.0000	-	-	4,918	5,066
29	1	1.0100	1.0000	- <u>-</u>	-	2,459	2,483
					490		\$ 1,178,511
		Annual Interest Rat	e:			2.00%	
		\$	-				
		\$	1,178,511				
		\$	1,178,511				
		Total Escalated Ve	hicle Miles			490	
		Impact Fee For Se	\$	2,406			

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees

Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions

Service Area M

	Impact Fee		Cost In		Impact Fee		De	bt F	unde	d ⁽³⁾	Ng	on-Debt		Impact Fee
Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Project No. (1)	Ser	vice Area (1)	R	ecoverable Cost ⁽²⁾		Existin	g	Pı	oposed	<u>Ft</u>	ınded ⁽³⁾	Re	coverable Cost
HARRY MORILLOR BLVD / EM E4C (N) (O)		c	98.038	æ	8.200	¢			æ	4.100	•	4.100	r.	9 200
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (2)	M-1	Ф	,	Ф	-,	Ф		-	\$		Ф	,	Ф	8,200
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (3)	M-2		180,575		15,103			-		7,551		7,551		15,103
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (N) (4)	M-3		139,500		11,667			-		5,834		5,834		11,667
HARRY MCKILLOP BLVD / FM 546 (S) (3)	M-4		719,975		60,217			-		30,109		30,109		60,217
UNNAMED C (4)	L-9, M-5		1,415,150		118,360			-		59,180		59,180		118,360
UNNAMED C (5)	L-10, M-6		5,001,075		418,279			-1		209,140		209,140		418,279
Signal	64		150,000		12,546			-		6,273		6,273		12,546
Signal	74		150,000		12,546			-	*	6,273		6,273		12,546
Impact Fee Study			11,692		11,692			-		5,846		5,846		11,692
Total		\$	7,866,005	\$	668,610	\$		-	\$	334,305	\$	334,305	\$	668,610

⁽¹⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study



⁽²⁾ Line 11 of the Max Fee Table Report

⁽³⁾ Per discussions with City staff. For existing debt funding that does not have a specific bond identified, a 4.5% rate is used to coincide with the water and wastewater calculations.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Appendix E - Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Service Area M

<u>Year</u>	igible Debt <u>Service⁽¹⁾</u>	Annual Vehicle <u>Miles</u>		Eligible Debt Service per Vehicle Mile	Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles (Cumulative)	A	lit for Annual d Valorem Revenues
1	\$ 2,460	606,072	\$	0.00	30	\$	0
2	4,920	623,802		0.01	61		0
3	7,380	641,531		0.01	91		1
4	9,839	659,260		0.01	122		2 3
5	12,299	676,990		0.02	152		3
6	14,759	694,719		0.02	182		4
7	17,219	712,448		0.02	213		5
8	19,679	730,177		0.03	243		7
9	22,139	747,907		0.03	274		8
10	24,599	765,636		0.03	304		10
11	24,599	765,636		0.03	304		10
12	24,599	765,636		0.03	304		10
13	24,599	765,636		0.03	304		10
14	24,599	765,636		0.03	304		10
15	24,599	765,636		0.03	304		10
16	24,599	765,636		0.03	304	,	10
17	24,599	765,636		0.03	304		10
18	24,599	765,636		0.03	304		10
19	24,599	765,636		0.03	304		10
20	24,599	765,636	1	0.03	304		10
21	22,139	765,636		0.03	304		9
22	19,679	765,636		0.03	304		8
23	17,219	765,636		0.02	304		7
24	14,759	765,636		0.02	304		6
25	12,299	765,636		0.02	304		5
26	9,839	765,636		0.01	304		4
27	7,380	765,636		0.01	304		3
28	4,920	765,636		0.01	304		2
29	2,460	765,636		0.00	304		11
Total	\$ 491,975					\$	181

2019 Vehicle Miles (2)

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles in Service Area (3)

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles

Ten Year Growth in Vehicle Miles In Other Service Areas (3)

176,989

10 years

Annual Growth in Vehicle Miles

17,699

Credit Amount

\$ 181

⁽¹⁾ Appendix E - Service Area M, Page 2 Section II

⁽²⁾ Per Kimley-Horn Impact Fee Study

⁽³⁾ Line 8 of the Max Fee Table Report



2019 – 2029 WATER & WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE UPDATE



Submitted To





Submitted By



BIRKHOFF, HENDRICKS & CARTER, L.L.P.



January 2020



CITY OF McKINNEY 2019 - 2029 WATER & WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE UPDATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SE	CTIC	ON I - INTRODUCTION	Page No
A.	Gen	neral	1
B.	Lan	d Use Assumptions	3
	• ′	Table No. 1: Residential and Non-Residential Growth from 2019 to 2029	3
SE	CTIC	ON II - WATER & WASTEWATER C.I.P. & IMPACT FEE ANALYSIS	
A.	Defi	inition of a Service Unit – Water and Wastewater	4
	• ′	Table No. 2: Living Unit Equivalencies for Various Types & Sizes of Water Meters	4
B.	Calc	culation of Water & Wastewater – Living Unit Equivalents	5
	• ′	Table No. 3: Water Living Unit Equivalents 2019 – 2029	5
	• ′	Table No. 4: Wastewater Living Unit Equivalents 2019 – 2029	6
C.	Cos	t of Facilities	6
D.	WA	TER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM	7
	1.	Existing Pump Stations, Ground Storage Reservoirs & Elevated Storage Tanks	7
		Table No. 5: Water Distribution System – Existing Pump Station and Ground Storage	7
		Table No. 6: Existing Elevated Storage Tanks	8
	2.	Distribution Lines	8
	3.	Water Supply	9
	4.	Water Distribution System Capital Improvement Projects for Impact Fees	9
		• Exhibit 1	10
		Table No. 7: Water Distribution System 10-Year C LP. Summary	11

	5.	Utilized Capacity	12
		Table No. 8: Summary of Eligible Water Distribution Project Cost and Utilized Capacity Cost	12
		Table No. 9: Water Pump Station Facilities	13
		Table No. 10: Ground Storage Reservoirs	14
		Table No. 11: Elevated Storage Tanks	15
		Table No. 12: Existing Impact Fee Water Lines	16
		Table No. 13: Proposed Impact Fee Water Lines	27
E.	WA	ASTEWATER COLLECTION SYSTEM	31
	1.	Collection Lines	31
	2.	Lift Stations	32
	3.	NTMWD Regional Wastewater Collection, Conveyance & Treatment	32
		• Table No. 14: NTMWD's 10-year C.I.P. for New Growth	33
	4.	Wastewater System Capital Improvement Projects for Impact Fees	33
		• Exhibit 2	34
		• Table No. 15: Wastewater Collection System 10-Year C.I.P. Summary	35
	5.	Utilized Capacity	35
		• Table No. 16: Summary of Eligible Capital Cost & Utilized Capacity Cost	36
		Table No. 17: Existing Wastewater Lift Stations	37
		Table No. 18: Proposed Wastewater Lift Stations	38
		Table No. 19: Existing Impact Fee Wastewater Lines	39
		Table No. 20: Proposed Impact Fee Wastewater Lines	57
F.	Cre	edit Calculation for Utility Service Revenues	61
G.	Ma	ximum Impact Fees – Water and Wastewater	64
	•	Table No. 21: Maximum Assessable Water & Wastewater Impact Fee by Meter Size	65

Appendix E: Utility Service Revenues Credit Analysis





CITY OF McKINNEY 2019 – 2029 WATER & WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE UPDATE

<u>SECTION I – INTRODUCTION</u>

A. GENERAL

In accordance with the requirements of Chapter 395.052 of the Local Government Code, this report establishes the City of McKinney's Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for water and wastewater impact fees and calculates the maximum allowable fee for each. Land use assumptions for development of the CIP were generated under a separate document by the City of McKinney's Planning Department using the City's 2018 Comprehensive Plan Update.

Chapter 395, of the Local Government Code is an act that provides guidelines for financing capital improvements required by new development in municipalities, counties, and certain other local governments. The basis for determination of an impact fee requires the preparation and adoption of a land use plan and growth assumption, and the preparation of a 10-year capital improvement plan. The capital improvement plan requires an analysis of total capacity, the level of current usage and commitments of capacity of existing capital improvements. From these two phases, a maximum impact fee is calculated.

The Act allows the maximum impact fee to be charged if revenues from future ad valorem taxes, and water and sewer bills are included as a credit in the analysis. If not, the Act allows the maximum fee to be set at 50% of the calculated maximum fee. The following items were included in the impact fee calculation:

- 1. The portion of the cost of the new infrastructure that is to be paid by the City, including property acquisition and construction cost.
- 2. Existing excess capacity in lines and facilities that will serve future growth and which were paid for in whole or part by the City.
- 3. Engineering and quality control fees for construction projects.
- 4. Interest and other finance charges on bonds issued by the City to cover its portion of the cost.

The engineering analysis portion of the Water and Wastewater Fee determines utilized capacity cost of the major water distribution and wastewater collection facilities between the year 2019 and the year 2029. Facilities in this analysis include, water pump stations, water storage tanks, water transmission lines, wastewater collection lines, wastewater lift stations and wastewater treatment and conveyance expansion costs associated with the North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) regional wastewater systems.

The NTMWD water treatment, water supply and distribution expansion components were excluded from this analysis. (NTMWD typically does not provide CIP data for their water supply systems.) NTMWD did, however, provide CIP data for their regional wastewater collection and wastewater treatment systems which support McKinney, and the cost data provided identified those projects which provide service capacity for new growth. The portion of McKinney's projected payments to NTMWD for wastewater collection, conveyance and treatment systems expansions for provision of service to new growth are included in this calculation of the maximum wastewater system impact fee.

The study period is a ten-year period with 2019 as the base year. The impact fee calculations for the water and wastewater systems are based on land use assumptions provided by the City of McKinney. Prior to this impact fee update, the City's Water Distribution and Wastewater Collection hydraulic models were updated for 2019, 2029 and buildout conditions. The hydraulic model results are available for review from the City of McKinney. The equivalency factors utilized in this analysis conform to McKinney's water meter manufacturer's maximum flow ratings.

B. LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS (Provided By: City of McKinney Planning Department)

The impact fee land use assumptions utilized in this update were prepared by the City of McKinney's Planning Department and are presented in a separate document. The land use assumptions projected an ultimate residential population of approximately 433,874 in the City of McKinney's ultimate planning boundary. This is a higher ultimate population than projected in the City's 2012 Water and Wastewater Impact Fee Update, which estimated a residential population of 357,967, an increase of 75,898 people.

The residential and non-residential growth provided by the City for the year 2019 through 2029 is summarized in **Table No. 1**.

TABLE NO. 1
Residential and Non-Residential Growth from 2019 to 2029

	Residential	Non-Resider	ntial Uses**
Year	Population*	Type	Developed Area (SF)
		Basic	13,324,039
2019	193,011	Service	16,601,750
		Retail	16,061,533
		Total:	45,987,322
		Basic	17,554,598
2029	262,084	Service	22,761,815
		Retail	22,197,558
		Total:	62,513,971
		Basic	49,159,884
BUILDOUT	433,874	Service	56,609,800
		Retail	47,811,292
		Total:	153,580,976
Res. Growth Rate	135.787%	Non-Res. Growth Rate	135.937%

^{*} Residential Population – Represent Estate, Low Density, Medium Density and High Density Residential Categories

As shown in **Table No. 1**, increases in the residential population and non-residential uses will occur during the 10-year capital recovery period within the planning area. The water demand and wastewater flows from the residential and non-residential uses dictate the ultimate size of facilities, while the rate of growth is important to determine the timing of system improvements to meet the City's growing needs.

The eligible water impact fee facilities are shown on **Exhibit 1**. The eligible wastewater facilities are shown on **Exhibit 2** in this report.

^{**} Basic – Industrial Land Uses

^{**} Service - Office & Institutional Land Uses

^{**} Retail - Commercial Land Uses

SECTION II

WATER & WASTEWATER C.I.P. AND IMPACT FEE ANALYSIS

A. <u>DEFINITION OF A SERVICE UNIT - WATER AND WASTEWATER</u>

Chapter 395 of the Local Government Code requires that impact fees be based on a defined service unit. A "service unit" means a standardized measure of consumption, use generation, or discharge attributable to an individual unit of development calculated in accordance with generally accepted engineering or planning standards. This impact fee defines a water and wastewater service unit to be a ¾-inch water meter and has referred to this service unit as a Single Family Living Unit Equivalent (SFLUE). The SFLUE is based on the continuous duty capacity of a ¾-inch water meter. This is the typical meter used for a single family detached dwelling, and therefore is considered to be equivalent to one "living unit". Other meter sizes can be compared to the ¾-inch meter through a ratio of water flow rate capacities, as published by the water meter manufacturer for McKinney as shown in **Table No. 2** below. This same ratio is then used to determine the proportional water and wastewater impact fee amount for each water meter size.

TABLE NO. 2
Living Unit Equivalencies For Various Types and Sizes of Water Meters

Meter Type	Meter Size	Continuous Duty Maximum Rate (gpm) (a)	Ratio to 3/4" Meter
Multijet	3/4"	30	1.00
Multijet	1"	50	1.67
Multijet	1½"	100	3.33
Ultrasonic	2"	250	8.33
Ultrasonic	3"	500	16.67
Ultrasonic	4"	1,000	33.33
Ultrasonic	6"	1,600	53.33
Ultrasonic	8"	2,800	93.33
Ultrasonic	12"	5,500	183.33

⁽a) Source: Master Meter Performance Data

B. <u>CALCULATION OF WATER & WASTEWATER - LIVING UNIT EQUIVALENTS</u>

The City of McKinney provided the existing water meter count by size category as of September 2019. In total, there are 60,645 domestic water and irrigation meters serving an existing population of 193,011 residents and the existing business. **Table No. 3** shows the number of existing meters, the living unit equivalent factor and the total number of living unit equivalents for each sized water meter.

The residential growth rate of 135.787% in **Table 1** was applied to ¾-inch and 1-inch meters. The non-residential growth rate of 135.937% in **Table 1** was applied to 1½-inch through 12-inch meters. Utilizing these growth rates in a straight-line extrapolation of the existing water and wastewater accounts, the numbers of new accounts was calculated for the year 2029. Living unit equivalents were calculated for the water meters and wastewater accounts for 2019 and 2029, resulting in a total number of living units. The difference in the total number of 2019 and 2029 living units results in the new living unit equivalents during the impact fee period. The calculation of living unit equivalents is summarized in **Table 3 and Table 4**.

TABLE NO. 3
Water Living Unit Equivalents 2019 – 2029

		2019			2029		New
Meter Size	Meter Count	Living Units per Meter	Total Living Units	Meter Count	Living Units per Meter	Total Living Units	Living Units During Impact Fee Period
3/4''	43,303	1.00	43,303	58,800	1.00	58,799	15,496
1"	14,015	1.67	23,405	19,031	1.67	31,781	8,376
1½"	468	3.33	1,558	636	3.33	2,118	560
2"	2,533	8.33	21,099	3,443	8.33	28,682	7,583
3"	225	16.67	3,750	306	16.67	5,098	1,348
4"	68	33.33	2,266	92	33.33	3,080	814
6"	22	53.33	1,173	30	53.33	1,594	421
8"	9	93.33	839	12	93.33	1,141	302
12"	2	183.33	366	3	183.33	498	132
Totals:	60,645		97,759	82,353		132,791	35,032

The number of wastewater accounts was determined by subtracting the number of irrigation meters from the number of domestic water meters. This equates to 58,099 existing wastewater accounts. **Table No. 4** illustrates the existing wastewater accounts and the SFLUE's.

TABLE NO. 4
Wastewater Living Unit Equivalents 2019 – 2029

		2019			2029		New
Meter Size	Meter Count	Living Units per Meter	Total Living Units	Meter Count	Living Units per Meter	Total Living Units	Living Units During Impact Fee Period
3/4"	43,084	1.00	43,084	58,503	1.00	58,502	15,418
1"	13,171	1.67	21,995	17,885	1.67	29,867	7,872
1½"	287	3.33	955	390	3.33	1,299	344
2"	1,246	8.33	10,379	1,694	8.33	14,109	3,730
3"	215	16.67	3,584	292	16.67	4,872	1,288
4"	65	33.33	2,166	88	33.33	2,945	779
6"	20	53.33	1,066	27	53.33	1,449	383
8"	9	93.33	839	12	93.33	1,141	302
12"	2	183.33	366	3	183.33	498	132
Totals:	58,099		84,434	78,894		114,682	30,248

C. COST OF FACILITIES

Unit cost for proposed water and wastewater lines larger than 12 inches in diameter that are anticipated to be constructed by private development include only the City's oversize cost participation. These water and wastewater lines are colored Green on Exhibits 1 through 2. Oversize cost participation from City is when funds are available. For City participation, the developer must bid the 12-inch as a base and the oversize as an additive alternate. City initiated water and wastewater lines include the full cost of the proposed facility. These water and wastewater lines are colored Red on Exhibits 1 through 2. Existing water and wastewater lines that the City funded or participated in the cost of the project that were assessed for utilized capacity are colored Dark Blue on Exhibits 1 through 2. Developer initiated water and wastewater line projects which are 12 inches or less in diameter are not included in this Impact Fee analysis, as the cost for these size lines are the responsibility of the developer. These water and wastewater lines are colored Light Blue on Exhibits 1 through 2.

Actual construction costs of the various elements of the water and wastewater systems were utilized where the information was known. The existing cost of facilities was determined from Contractor's final pay requests, City purchase orders, bid tabulation forms and developer's agreements. Most of the cost data for existing water and wastewater lines included in the impact fee analysis have been located. A 4.5% debt service, over a period of 20-years, has been added to all projects. Actual costs were used for those existing projects where records were available.

D. WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

Computer models for the years 2019, 2029 and Buildout were prepared and analyzed by Birkhoff, Hendricks & Carter, LLP. The models were developed and water demand distributed from residential population and non-residential land use projections provided by the City of McKinney's Planning Department. The projected developed land areas from the City's Land Use Assumptions follow closely to the construction of major facilities in the system. These facilities include pump stations, storage tanks, and major distribution lines. All computer models were run for the Maximum Hourly Demands in a three-day extended period simulation to ensure proper sizing of the facilities to meet peak demands.

1. Existing Pump Stations, Ground Storage Reservoirs & Elevated Storage Tanks

The existing pump station, ground storage and elevated storage facilities of the water distribution system are summarized in **Table No. 5** and **Table No. 6**. These facilities are included in the impact fee analysis as additional capacity is available.

TABLE NO. 5
Water Distribution System - Existing Pump Stations & Ground Storage

Pump Station	Number of Pumps	Rated Capacity (MGD)	Number of Ground Storage Tanks	Total Ground Storage Available (Gallons)
McKinney Ranch	11	56.5	2	16,000,000
University	6	50.0	3	26,000,000
Gerrish	4	18.1	1	2,000,000
Total:	21	124.6	6	44,000,000

TABLE NO. 6
Existing Elevated Storage Tanks

Elevated Storage Tanks	Capacity in Million Gallons
Industrial Elevated Storage Tank	2.0
U.S. 380 Elevated Storage Tank	1.5
Hardin Elevated Storage Tank	2.0
Wilmeth Elevated Storage Tank	2.0
Virginia Elevated Storage Tank	1.5
Independence Elevated Storage Tank	3.0
Community Elevated Storage Tank	3.0
Total	15.0

The existing McKinney Ranch 850 Pump Station 1 pumps and the Chestnut Elevated Storage Tank are no longer utilized and were not included in the impact fee calculation.

The pump stations and ground storage facilities were analyzed with the maximum daily demand, while the dynamic hydraulics of elevated storage facilities were analyzed utilizing the difference between the Maximum Hourly Demand and the Maximum Daily Demand.

2. <u>Distribution Lines</u>

The distribution lines consist of all lines within the Service Area planning boundary supplying water to customers in the City of McKinney. Existing and proposed distribution lines vary in size from 3/4-inch services to 72-inch transmission lines. The cost of water lines includes construction cost, appurtenances (water valves, fire hydrants, taps and the like), utility relocations, purchase of easements and engineering costs. Financing cost over a 20-year term is included for each project.

Unit cost for proposed capital improvement water lines that are classified as City-initiated include the City's full cost of the proposed facility. CIP projects classified as City-participation in oversize are reduced in cost by the unit cost for 12-inches water line. Developer's initiated water line projects, 12 inches or less in diameter were not included in this Impact Fee analysis, as the cost for these size lines is the responsibility of the developer.

3. Water Supply

The City of McKinney currently receives all of its water supply from the North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD). McKinney's allocation of the capital cost of services as a Member of the NTMWD was specifically excluded from the impact fee analysis.

4. Water Distribution System Capital Improvement Projects for Impact Fees

In order to meet the demands of the anticipated growth over the next 10-years, as provided in the Land Use Assumptions prepared by the City of McKinney, certain water distribution system improvements are required. **Exhibit 1** shows the recommended water system improvements and **Table No. 7** itemizes each project and the project cost in 2019 dollars. These recommended improvements form the basis for the water system impact fee calculation.

The capital improvement plan for impact fees provides for system improvements within the defined Service Area Planning Boundary where the land use assumptions show growth.

Table No. 7

Water Distribution System 10-Year Capital Improvement Plan Summary

PROPOSED WATER LINES

		1=City Participation in Cost Oversize				
		2=City Initiated and Funded				
				Opinion of		
Proj. No.	Year	Project	Size	onstruction Cost (A)	Debt Service (B)	Total Project Cost
140.				` /	. ,	
1	2020	2 REDBUD 794 PUMP STATION 54" DISCHARGE LINE	54"	\$ 4,496,262		\$ 6,913,106
2	2019	2 REDBUD 850 PUMP STATION 42" DISCHARGE LINE	42"	\$ 8,137,350	\$ 4,374,012	\$ 12,511,362
3	2020	2 US 380 / INDEPENDENCE LOOP	12", 16", 24"	\$ 2,203,102	\$ 1,184,218	\$ 3,387,320
4	2021	2 HARRY McKILLOP BLVD. 24" WATER LINE	12", 24"	\$ 8,350,000	\$ 4,488,315	\$ 12,838,315
5	2021	2 CUSTER 24" NORTH WATER LINE	18", 24"	\$ 11,888,125	\$ 6,390,139	\$ 18,278,264
6	2021	1 HARDIN SOUTH 16" WATER LINE	16"	\$ 108,900	\$ 58,536	\$ 167,436
7	2022	2 INDUSTRIAL BLVD. 12" WATER LINE (PIPE BURST 8" to 12")	12"	\$ 569,109	\$ 305,909	\$ 875,018
8	2022	1 HARDIN 24" & 16" (TRINITY FALLS WEST FEED NORTH)	16", 24"	\$ 691,392	\$ 371,639	\$ 1,063,031
9	2022	2 INDEPENDENCE CONNECTION TO US 380	24"	\$ 561,120	\$ 301,615	\$ 862,735
10	2023	2 REDBUD PUMP STATION 850 DISCHARGE LINE (T-FALLS EAST FEED)	42"	\$ 737,100	\$ 396,208	\$ 1,133,308
11	2024	1 STONEBRIDGE 42" WATER LINE	42"	\$ 5,342,040	\$ 2,871,468	\$ 8,213,508
12	2025	1 F.M. 1461 (FUTURE E/W THOROUGHFA RE)	16"	\$ 289,560	\$ 155,645	\$ 445,205
13	2025	1 COUNTY ROAD 228 16" WATER LINE	16"	\$ 125,100	\$ 67,244	\$ 192,344
14	2026	2 AIRPORT WATER LINE NORTH LOOP	30", 36"	\$ 4,821,900	\$ 2,591,882	\$ 7,413,782
15	2027	1 LAKE FOREST 16" WATER LINE	16"	\$ 337,138	\$ 181,219	\$ 518,357
16	2027	1 BLOOMDALE 16" WATER LINE	16"	\$ 200,220	\$ 107,623	\$ 307,843
17	2029	1 FUT. 850 EAST / WEST THOROUGHFARE WATER LINE	12", 20", 24"	\$ 2,245,020	\$ 1,206,749	\$ 3,451,769
		Subtotal: Proposed Water Lines	_	\$ 51,103,438	\$ 27,469,265	\$ 78,572,703

PUMPING AND STORAGE FACILITIES

Proj. No.	Year	Project	Capacity	Opinion of Construction Cost (A)	Debt Service (B)]	Total Project Cost		
18	2020	Redbud Pump Station - Phase I Improvements (850)	20 MGD	\$ 12,600,000	\$ 6,772,788	\$	19,372,788		
19	2020	Redbud Pump Station - Phase I Improvements (794)	20 MGD	\$ 12,600,000	\$ 6,772,788	\$	19,372,788		
20	2020	Redbud Pump Station 8-MG Ground Storage Reservoir No. 1	8 MG	\$ 3,828,000	\$ 2,057,638	\$	5,885,638		
21	2021	University Pump Station Phase III Improvements - Add Pump 920 PS2 Pump 8	15-MGD	\$ 2,482,830	\$ 1,334,578	\$	3,817,408		
22	2022	McK. Ranch P.S Phase I - Replace PS 1 PMPs 6-8, Add 9, PS 2 Pumps 1 & 2	25.5 MGD	\$ 10,574,487	\$ 5,684,029	\$	16,258,516		
23	2023	Stacy 2-MG Elevated Storage Tank	2 MG	\$ 5,500,000	\$ 2,956,376	\$	8,456,376		
24	2029	University Pump Station Phase III Improvements - Add Pump 920 PS2 Pump 8	15-MGD	\$ 2,420,000	\$ 1,300,805	\$	3,720,805		
		Subtotal: Pumping and Storage Facilities		\$ 50,005,317	\$ 26,879,002	\$	76,884,319		
		GRAND TOTAL: Water Distribution System CIP		\$ 101,108,755	\$ 54,348,267	\$	155,457,022		

- (A) Opinion of Cost includes:
 - a) Engineer's Opinion of Construction Cost
 - b) Professional Services Fees (Survey, Engineering, Testing, Legal)
 - c) Cost of Easement or Land Acquisitions
- (B) Debt Service based on 20-year simple interest bonds at 4.5%

5. <u>Utilized Capacity</u>

Utilized capacity for the water distribution system was calculated based on the water line peak flow rate for each model year (2019, 2029 and buildout). The proposed water distribution lines are sized for the maximum flow rates reported by the hydraulic model at buildout. Pump station capacity is generally based on the maximum daily system demand. Peak flow rates in the water system lines can be observed during either the maximum hourly demand or during the minimum hourly demand, during refilling of elevated storage tanks, for a particular water line, whichever demand generates the greater flow rate.

The percent utilized capacity was then calculated for each year based on the buildout capacity. The utilized capacity during the Impact Fee period is the difference between the year 2029 capacity and the year 2019 capacity. **Table No. 8** below summarizes the project cost and utilized cost over the impact fee period of 2019 - 2029 for each element of the Water Distribution System. The utilized capacity for each water distribution facility, both existing and proposed, is presented in detail in Impact Fee Capacity Calculation **Table Nos. 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13.**

<u>Table No. 8</u> Summary of Eligible Water Distribution Project Cost and Utilized Capacity Cost

Water System Facility	<u>20-Year</u> <u>Project Cost</u>	<u>Utilized</u> <u>Capacity in the</u> <u>CRP Period</u>
Existing Pump Stations	\$30,631,427	\$9,408,650
Existing Ground Storage Reservoirs	\$34,931,412	\$12,013,850
Existing Elevated Storage Tanks	\$29,760,105	\$9,552,229
Existing Transmission/Distribution Lines	\$64,978,704	\$11,094,827
Proposed Pump Stations	\$62,542,305	\$21,078,674
Proposed Ground Storage Reservoirs	\$5,885,638	\$2,471,968
Proposed Elevated Storage Tanks	\$8,456,376	\$6,257,718
Proposed Transmission/Distribution Lines	\$78,572,703	\$28,836,729
Planning Expenses	\$204,417	\$204,417
Total:	\$315,963,087	\$100,919,062

TABLE NO. 9 Water Pump Station Facilities

					Pump Stat	on Cost (\$)		Capa	city Utilize	ed (%)		Capacity Utilized (\$)	
Pump Station Improvements		Year Const.	Projected Capacity (MGD)	Const.	Engineering & Testing	20 Year Debt Service Interest Rate	Total 20 Yr. Project Cost \$ 4.5%	2019	2029	In The CRF Period	2019	2029	In The CRF Period
					EXISTIN	IG PUMP STA	ATIONS						
McKinney Ranch Pump Station													
Original Construction (920)	[3] *	1987	14.3	\$ 189,700	\$ 18,970	\$ 112,165	\$ 320,835	88.0%	100.0%	12.0%	\$ 282,335	\$ 320,835	\$ 38,500
Phase I Improvements (920)	[4]	1999	20.1	\$ 1,020,172	\$ 103,000	\$ 603,731	\$ 1,726,903	39.0%	83.0%	44.0%	\$ 673,492	\$ 1,433,329	\$ 759,837
Phase II Improvements (920)	[1]	2002	5.0	\$ 157,929	\$ 40,000	\$ 106,391	\$ 304,320	39.0%	83.0%	44.0%	\$ 118,685	\$ 252,586	\$ 133,901
850 Service Area Pumps (850)	[3]	2007	15.0	\$ 4,184,997	\$ 303,285	\$ 2,412,554	\$ 6,900,836	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	\$ 6,900,836	\$ 6,900,836	\$ -
Emergency Generator (2 Sets)		2008		\$ 1,875,964	\$ 222,263	\$ 1,127,845	\$ 3,226,071	50.0%	100.0%	50.0%	\$ 1,613,036	\$ 3,226,071	\$ 1,613,036
University Pump Station													
Phase IA Improvements (920)	[2]	2004	20.0	\$2,380,738	\$166,880	\$ 1,369,403	\$ 3,917,021	49.00%	100.00%	51.0%	\$ 1,919,340	\$ 3,917,021	\$ 1,997,681
Phase II Improvements (850)	[2]	2007	30.0	\$2,949,246	\$189,113	\$ 1,686,939	\$ 4,825,297	67.00%	100.00%	33.0%	\$ 3,232,949	\$ 4,825,297	\$ 1,592,348
Phase II Improvements (920)	[2]	2007	30.0	\$2,949,246	\$189,113	\$ 1,686,939	\$ 4,825,297	67.00%	93.00%	26.0%	\$ 3,232,949	\$ 4,487,526	\$ 1,254,577
Emergency Generator - Set 1		2008		\$2,024,937	\$148,017	\$ 1,168,012	\$ 3,340,966	50.00%	100.00%	50.0%	\$ 1,670,483	\$ 3,340,966	\$ 1,670,483
Gerrish Pump Station													
(1) Replace Pump 4 + Electrical	[1]	2017	4.8	\$ 740,877	\$ 68,140	\$ 434,865	\$ 1,243,882	72.0%	100.0%	28.0%	\$ 895,595	\$ 1,243,882	\$ 348,287
SU	BTOTAL	EXISTING:		\$ 18,473,804	\$ 1,448,779	\$ 10,708,844	\$ 30,631,427						\$ 9,408,650
					PROPOS	ED PUMP ST	ATIONS						
University Pump Station													
Phase III - Add 920 PS 2 Pump 8	[1]	2021	15.0	\$2,369,065	\$113,765	\$ 1,334,578	\$ 3,817,408	0.0%	93.0%	93.0%	\$ -	\$ 3,550,189	\$ 3,550,189
1 PhaseIV - Add 850 PS2 Pump 3	[1]	2029	15.0	\$2,300,000	\$120,000	\$ 1,300,805	\$ 3,720,805	0.0%	37.0%	37.0%	\$ -	\$ 1,376,698	\$ 1,376,698
McKinney Ranch Pump Station													
1 Phase 1 & 2	[6]	2022	25.5	\$9,613,170	\$961,317	\$ 5,684,029	\$ 16,258,516	54.0%	89.0%	35.0%	\$ 8,779,599	\$ 14,470,079	\$ 5,690,481
Redbud Pump Station													
Phase I Improvements (850)	[2] *	2020	20.0	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 6,772,788	\$ 19,372,788	0.0%	26.0%	26.0%	\$ -	\$ 5,036,925	\$ 5,036,925
Phase I Improvements (794)	[2] *	2020	20.0	\$ 12,000,000	\$ 600,000	\$ 6,772,788	\$ 19,372,788	0.0%	28.0%	28.0%	\$ -	\$ 5,424,381	\$ 5,424,381
SUI	STOTAL P	ROPOSED:		\$ 38,282,235	\$ 2,395,082	\$ 21,864,988	\$ 62,542,305						\$ 21,078,674
EXISTING +	PROPOSI	ED TOTAL:					\$ 93,173,732						\$ 30,487,324

^{* 10%} of Construction Assumed for Engineering and Testing

⁽¹⁾ Estimated Cost in 2019 Dollars[#] Number of Proposed Pumps

TABLE NO. 10 **Ground Storage Reservoirs**

				Capital	Cost (\$)		Capa	city Utilize	ed (%)		Capacity Utilized (\$)	1
Pump Station	Year Const.	Capacity (MG)	Const.	Eng. & Testing	Total 20 Yr. Project Cost \$ 4.5%	Total 20 Yr. Project Cost \$	2009	2019	In the CRF Period	2019	2029	In the CRF Period
			EX	ISTING GR	OUND STOR	AGE RESER	VOIRS	5				
McKinney Ranch No. 1 *	1987	6.0	\$ 2,910,000	\$ 291,000	\$ 1,720,611	\$ 4,921,611	44.2%	84.6%	40.4%	\$ 2,177,704	\$ 4,163,770	\$ 1,986,066
McKinney Ranch No. 2	2007	10.0	\$ 3,748,480	\$ 335,500	\$ 2,195,233	\$ 6,279,213	44.2%	84.6%	40.4%	\$ 2,778,413	\$ 5,312,325	\$ 2,533,913
University No. 1	2003	6.0	\$ 2,008,499	\$ 150,544	\$ 1,160,535	\$ 3,319,578	52.6%	84.2%	31.6%	\$ 1,747,146	\$ 2,795,434	\$ 1,048,288
University No. 2	2007	10.0	\$ 5,921,753	\$ 257,689	\$ 3,321,592	\$ 9,501,034	52.6%	84.2%	31.6%	\$ 5,000,544	\$ 8,000,871	\$ 3,000,327
University No. 3	2014	10.0	\$ 6,740,817	\$ 354,997	\$ 3,814,162	\$ 10,909,976	52.6%	84.2%	31.6%	\$ 5,742,093	\$ 9,187,348	\$ 3,445,256
SUBTOTAL E	XISTING:	42.0				\$ 34,931,412						\$ 12,013,850
			PRO	OPOSED GR	ROUND STOI	RAGE RESER	RVOIR	S				
Redbud No. 1 1*	2020	8.0	\$ 3,445,200	\$ 382,800	\$ 2,057,638	\$ 5,885,638	0.0%	42.0%	42.0%	\$ -	\$ 2,471,968	\$ 2,471,968
SUBTOTAL PR	OPOSED:	8.0				\$ 5,885,638						\$ 2,471,968
EXISTING + PROPOSEI) TOTAL:	50.0				\$ 40,817,050						\$ 14,485,818

^{* 10%} of Construction Assumed for Engineering and Testing (1) Actual Cost

TABLE NO. 11 Elevated Storage Tanks

				g.		Capital	Cost (\$)		Capa	acity Utiliz	ed (%)		Capacity Utiliz	ed (\$)
Elevated Storage		Pressure Divide	Year Const.	Storage Capacity (MGD)	Const.	Eng. & Testing	Total 20 Yr. Project Cost \$ 4.5%	Total 20 Yr. Project Cost \$	2019	2029	In the CRF Period	2019	2029	In the CRF Period
						EXISTIN	G ELEVATE	D STORAGE	TANK	S				
U.S. 380	2*	794	Unknown	1.5	\$ 550,000	\$ 55,000	\$ 325,201	\$ 930,201	84%	87%	3%	\$ 781,369	\$ 809,275	\$ 27,906
Virginia	1*	920	1993	1.5	\$ 1,234,301	\$ 123,430	\$ 729,812	\$ 2,087,543	90%	100%	10%	\$ 1,878,789	\$ 2,087,543	\$ 208,754
Community	1	920	2002	3.0	\$ 3,313,500	\$ 105,000	\$ 1,837,522	\$ 5,256,022	0%	96%	96%	\$ -	\$ 5,045,781	\$ 5,045,781
Industrial	1	794	2002	2.0	\$ 1,787,500	\$ 70,000	\$ 998,449	\$ 2,855,949	68%	84%	16%	\$ 1,942,045	\$ 2,398,997	\$ 456,952
Wilmeth	1	850	2006	2.0	\$ 2,400,000	\$ 280,137	\$ 1,440,635	\$ 4,120,772	81%	84%	3%	\$ 3,337,825	\$ 3,461,448	\$ 123,623
Hardin	1	850	2013	2.0	\$ 4,682,481	\$ 374,585	\$ 2,718,289	\$ 7,775,355	77%	95%	18%	\$ 5,987,023	\$ 7,386,587	\$ 1,399,564
Independence	1	920	2008	3.0	\$ 4,218,250	\$ 161,693	\$ 2,354,320	\$ 6,734,263	65%	99%	34%	\$ 4,377,271	\$ 6,666,920	\$ 2,289,649
	5	SUBTOTAL I	EXISTING:	15.0				\$ 29,760,105						\$ 9,552,229
						PROPOSI	ED ELEVATE	ED STORAGE	TANK	S				
Stacy	2*	920	2023	2.0	\$ 5,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 2,956,376	\$ 8,456,376	0%	74%	74%	s -	\$ 6,257,718	\$ 6,257,718
SUBTOTAL PROPOSED: 2.0						\$ 8,456,376						\$ 6,257,718		
EX	STING	5 +PROPOSE	D TOTAL:	17.0			·	\$ 38,216,481					·	\$ 15,809,947

^{* 15%} of Construction Assumed for Engineering and Testing

⁽¹⁾ Actual Cost

⁽²⁾ Estimated Cost in 2019 Dollars

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

								20 Year		(%) U	tilized Ca	apacity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	city
Pipe Number	Pressure Plane	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	Date of Const.	Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.)	Total Capital Cost (\$)	Debt Service Interest Rate %	Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	During Fee Period	2019	2029	During Fee Period
F.M. 720 PUM	P STATI	ION 30"	WATE	R LIN	E										
Pump Station Name Cha	nge to McKini	ney Ranch - P	roject from M	1cKinney	Ranch Pump St	ation to Hardin	Rd.								
1 P5573	920	2,282	30		\$61.63	\$140,651		\$75,603	\$216,254	57%	91%	34%	\$123,265	\$196,791	\$73,526
1 P5574	920	287	30		\$61.63	\$17,689		\$9,508	\$27,197	51%	90%	39%	\$13,870	\$24,477	\$10,607
Subtotal:		2,569		1990		\$158,340	4.5%	\$85,111	\$243,451				\$137,135	\$221,268	\$84,133
VIRGINIA PA	RKWAY	Z 24" W.	ATER L	INE											
From Stonebridge Dr. W	est to the Virg	inia Elevated	Storage Tank												
1 P5069	920	976	24		\$6.01	\$5,864		\$3,152	\$9,016	79%	91%	12%	\$7,123	\$8,205	\$1,082
1 P5070	920	299	24		\$6.01	\$1,796		\$965	\$2,761	94%	100%	6%	\$2,595	\$2,761	\$166
1 P5071	920	582	24		\$6.01	\$3,497		\$1,880	\$5,377	95%	100%	5%	\$5,108	\$5,377	\$269
1 P5072	920	1,109	24		\$6.01	\$6,663		\$3,582	\$10,245	99%	100%	1%	\$10,143	\$10,245	\$102
1 P5073	920	298	24		\$6.01	\$1,790		\$962	\$2,752	100%	100%	0%	\$2,752	\$2,752	\$0
1 P5074	920	919	24		\$6.01	\$5,521		\$2,968	\$8,489	100%	100%	0%	\$8,489	\$8,489	\$0
1 P5075	920	636	24		\$6.01	\$3,821		\$2,054	\$5,875	100%	100%	0%	\$5,875	\$5,875	\$0
1 P5076	920	1,148	24		\$6.01	\$6,897		\$3,707	\$10,604	100%	100%	0%	\$10,604	\$10,604	\$0
1 P5077	920	552	24		\$6.01	\$3,316		\$1,782	\$5,098	100%	100%	0%	\$5,098	\$5,098	\$0
1 P5078	920	469	24		\$6.01	\$2,818		\$1,515	\$4,333	100%	100%	0%	\$4,333	\$4,333	\$0
1 P5079	920	376	24		\$6.01	\$2,259		\$1,214	\$3,473	100%	100%	0%	\$3,473	\$3,473	\$0
1 P5747	920	98	24		\$6.01	\$589		\$317	\$906	100%	100%	0%	\$906	\$906	\$0
1 P5761	920	454	12		\$6.01	\$2,728		\$1,466	\$4,194	39%	100%	61%	\$1,636	\$4,194	\$2,558
1 P6198	920	387	24		\$6.01	\$2,325		\$1,250	\$3,575	93%	100%	7%	\$3,325	\$3,575	\$250
Subtotal:		8,303		1992		\$49,884	4.5%	\$26,814	\$76,698				\$71,460	\$75,887	\$4,427
CUSTER 16" V	WATER	LINE													
From Stacy Rd. to Stonel	oridge Dr.														
1 P5399	920	483	16		\$62.34	\$30,112		\$16,186	\$46,298	100%	100%	0%	\$46,298	\$46,298	\$0
1 P5400	920	1,289	16		\$62.34	\$80,360		\$43,195	\$123,555	100%	100%	0%	\$123,555	\$123,555	\$0
1 P5401	920	1,311	16		\$62.34	\$81,732		\$43,933	\$125,665	100%	100%	0%	\$125,665	\$125,665	\$0
1 P5402	920	1,287	16		\$62.34	\$80,236		\$43,129	\$123,365	100%	100%	0%	\$123,365	\$123,365	\$0
Subtotal:		4,370		1996		\$272,440	4.5%	\$146,443	\$418,883				\$418,883	\$418,883	\$0
F.M. 720 PAR	ALLEL 4	12'' WAT	TER LI	NE											
F.M. 720 Now Called Mo	cKinney Rancl	h Pkwy Proje	ect Begins at	McKinne	y Ranch Pump	Station and End	s at Lake For	est Dr.							
2 P5544	920	59	20		\$173.14	\$10,215		\$5,491	\$15,706	60%	88%	28%	\$9,424	\$13,821	\$4,398
2 P5545	920	42	42		\$173.14	\$7,272		\$3,909	\$11,181	60%	88%	28%	\$6,709	\$9,839	\$3,131
2 P5578	920	8,018	42		\$173.14	\$1,388,205		\$746,192	\$2,134,397	56%	89%	33%	\$1,195,262	\$1,899,613	\$704,351
Subtotal:		8,119		1999		\$1,405,692	4.5%	\$755,592	\$2,161,284				\$1,211,395	\$1,923,273	\$711,880
INDUSTRIAL	2-MG E	LEVAT	ED STO	RAG	E TANK	WATER	LINE								
From Industrial Elevated															
2 P1304	794	385	24		\$334.79	\$128,893		\$69,283	\$198,176	67%	100%	33%	\$132,778	\$198,176	\$65,398
Subtotal:		385		2002		\$128,893	4.5%	\$69,283	\$198,176		, -		\$132,778	\$198,176	\$65,398

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

Ì									20 Year		(%) U	tilized Ca	pacity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	ity
	Pipe Number	Pressure Plane	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	Date of Const.	Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.)	Total Capital Cost (\$)	Debt Service Interest Rate %	Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	During Fee Period	2019	2029	During Fee Period
	ALMA ROAD	24-INCI	H WATI	ER LINE]											
1	rom Eldorado Pkwy. So	outh to Commi	unity 3-MG E	levated Storag	ge Tank											
]	P5403	920	1,146	24		\$157.44	\$180,423		\$96,981	\$277,404	100%	100%	0%	\$277,404	\$277,404	\$0
]	P5404	920	897	24		\$157.44	\$141,221		\$75,910	\$217,131	100%	100%	0%	\$217,131	\$217,131	\$0
1	P5405	920	674	24		\$157.44	\$106,112		\$57,038	\$163,150	100%	100%	0%	\$163,150	\$163,150	\$0
]	P5406	920	140	24		\$157.44	\$22,041		\$11,848	\$33,889	100%	100%	0%	\$33,889	\$33,889	\$0
	Subtotal:		2,857		2005		\$449,797	4.5%	\$241,777	\$691,574				\$691,574	\$691,574	\$0
]	ELDORADO 2	0-INCH	WATE	R LINE												
1	From Alma Rd. to Custer	Rd.														
]	P5301	920	1,367	20		\$14.56	\$19,897		\$10,695	\$30,592	100%	100%	0%	\$30,592	\$30,592	\$0
]	P5370	920	1,863	20		\$14.56	\$27,117		\$14,576	\$41,693	100%	100%	0%	\$41,693	\$41,693	\$0
]	P5371	920	116	20		\$14.56	\$1,688		\$907	\$2,595	100%	100%	0%	\$2,595	\$2,595	\$0
1	P6154	920	2,061	20		\$14.56	\$29,999		\$16,125	\$46,124	100%	100%	0%	\$46,124	\$46,124	\$0
	Subtotal:		5,407		2005		\$78,702	4.5%	\$42,303	\$121,004				\$121,004	\$121,004	\$0
(GERRISH PU	MP STA	TION / A	AIRPOF	RT BL	VD. 36-II	NCH WA	TER LI	NES							
1	From Gerrish Pump Stati	on East to Air	port Blvd. and	d Airport Blvo	d. from U.	S. 380 to Indus	trial Blvd.									
2	P1059	794	532	36		\$115.52	\$61,455		\$33,033	\$94,488	100%	100%	0%	\$94,488	\$94,488	\$0
2	P1192	794	1,366	36		\$115.52	\$157,795		\$84,818	\$242,613	42%	95%	53%	\$101,897	\$230,482	\$128,585
2	P1193	794	952	36		\$115.52	\$109,971		\$59,112	\$169,083	48%	83%	35%	\$81,160	\$140,339	\$59,179
2	P1194	794	2,918	36		\$115.52	\$337,076		\$181,186	\$518,262	50%	70%	20%	\$259,131	\$362,783	\$103,652
2	P1195	794	2,574	36		\$115.52	\$297,338		\$159,826	\$457,164	20%	56%	36%	\$91,433	\$256,012	\$164,579
2	P1360	794	2,110	36		\$115.52	\$243,739		\$131,015	\$374,754	49%	100%	51%	\$183,629	\$374,754	\$191,125
2	P1395	794	1,300	36		\$115.52	\$150,171		\$80,720	\$230,891	24%	57%	33%	\$55,414	\$131,608	\$76,194
2	P1408	794	831	36		\$115.52	\$95,994		\$51,599	\$147,593	42%	96%	54%	\$61,989	\$141,689	\$79,700
L	Subtotal:		12,583		2003		\$1,453,539	4.5%	\$781,309	\$2,234,848				\$929,141	\$1,732,155	\$803,014

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

2 - City Initiated and Funder								20 Year		(%) U	tilized Ca	pacity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	eity
							Debt	Debt Service							
				Date	Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Year			During			
Pipe	Pressure	Length	Diameter	of	Cost	Capital	Interest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	Plane	(Ft.)	(Inches)	Const.	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
UNIVERSITY	36-INCI	H WATE	ER LINE	E - DIS	SCHARG	E LINE 1									
From University Pump St	tation to U.S.		ng U.S. 380 t	o Stonebr	idge Dr.										
2 P5000	920	1,878	36		\$313.15	\$588,092		\$316,113	\$904,205	75%	100%	25%	\$678,154	\$904,205	\$226,051
2 P5004	920	60	36		\$313.15	\$18,789		\$10,100	\$28,889	75%	100%	25%	\$21,667	\$28,889	\$7,222
Subtotal:		1,938		2003		\$606,881	4.5%	\$326,213	\$933,094				\$699,821	\$933,094	\$233,273
HARDIN BLV	D. 36-IN	CH WA	TER LI	NE - (VIRGINI	A TO US	380)								
From Virginia Pkwy. to U	J.S. 380														
2 P3090	850	2,375	36		\$161.00	\$382,368		\$205,532	\$587,900	85%	100%	15%	\$499,715	\$587,900	\$88,185
2 P3091	850	3,645	36		\$161.00	\$586,834		\$315,437	\$902,271	85%	100%	15%	\$766,930	\$902,271	\$135,341
2 P3092	850	2,005	36		\$161.00	\$322,799		\$173,512	\$496,311	87%	100%	13%	\$431,791	\$496,311	\$64,520
Subtotal:		8,025		2003		\$1,292,000	4.5%	\$694,481	\$1,986,482				\$1,698,436	\$1,986,482	\$288,046
HARDIN NOR	TH WA	TER LI	NE - (US	380 T	TO BUCH	IANAN)									
From U.S. 380 North to E	Buchanan St. (Constructed v	with President	t's Point)											
1 P3036	850	599	36		\$272.00	\$162,928		\$87,578	\$250,506	100%	100%	0%	\$250,506	\$250,506	\$0
1 P3037	850	1,264	36		\$272.00	\$343,808		\$184,805	\$528,613	100%	100%	0%	\$528,613	\$528,613	\$0
Subtotal:		1,863		2002		\$347,000	4.5%	\$272,383	\$779,119				\$779,119	\$779,119	\$0
850 WILMETH	I WATE	R MAIN	N - PHA	SE 1											
Along Hardin Blvd from	Buchanan St.	to Wilmeth R	ld.												
2 P3038	850	3,414	36		\$145.90	\$498,086		\$267,733	\$765,819	100%	100%	0%	\$765,819	\$765,819	\$0
2 P3039	850	783	36		\$145.90	\$114,236		\$61,404	\$175,640	98%	100%	2%	\$172,127	\$175,640	\$3,513
2 P3040	850	97	36		\$145.90	\$14,152		\$7,607	\$21,759	85%	100%	15%	\$18,495	\$21,759	\$3,264
2 P3041	850	723	20		\$145.90	\$105,482		\$56,699	\$162,181	96%	100%	4%	\$155,694	\$162,181	\$6,487
Subtotal:		5,017		2005		\$731,955	4.5%	\$393,443	\$1,125,399				\$1,112,135	\$1,125,399	\$13,264
850 WILMETH	I WATE	R MAI	N - PHA	SE 2											
Along Wilmeth Rd. from				C.R. 943 2			r.; South Alo	.~							
2 P3010	850	1,443	36		\$145.90	\$210,527		\$113,163	\$323,690	62%	100%	38%	\$200,688	\$323,690	\$123,002
2 P3011	850	1,083	36		\$145.90	\$158,004		\$84,931	\$242,935	61%	100%	39%	\$148,190	\$242,935	\$94,745
2 P3026	850	2,897	24		\$145.90	\$422,658		\$227,188	\$649,846	100%	100%	0%	\$649,846	\$649,846	\$0
2 P3027	850	2,285	24		\$145.90	\$333,370		\$179,194	\$512,564	100%	100%	0%	\$512,564	\$512,564	\$0
2 P3028	850	1,848	24		\$145.90	\$269,614		\$144,924	\$414,538	100%	100%	0%	\$414,538	\$414,538	\$0
2 P3030	850	910	24		\$145.90	\$132,764		\$71,364	\$204,128	80%	91%	11%	\$163,302	\$185,756	\$22,454
2 P3031	850	2,760	24		\$145.90	\$402,670		\$216,444	\$619,114	86%	86%	0%	\$532,438	\$532,438	\$0
2 P3369	850	302	36		\$145.90	\$44,060		\$23,683	\$67,743	62%	100%	38%	\$42,001	\$67,743	\$25,742
Subtotal:		13,528		2005		\$1,973,668	4.5%	\$1,060,891	\$3,034,558				\$2,663,567	\$2,929,510	\$265,943

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

	nitiated and Funded										(61) II	''' 1.C	•,	(4)	There is a	•4
									20 Year		(%) U	tilized Ca	pacity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	eity
					ъ.		TD 4.1	Debt	Debt Service	T . 100 Y			During			
	D.	_	T 4	D: .	Date	Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Year			Fee			During
١,	Pipe	Pressure	Length	Diameter	of Const.	Cost (\$/Ft.)	Capital	Interest	Simple	Project	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
	Number	Plane	(Ft.)	(Inches)	Collst.	(Þ/F t.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2023	1 criou	2019	2023	1 cc 1 cliou
850 I	LOOPED S	SYSTEM	I NORT	Н												
From U			_		iture Ridg			ast Along Wi	meth Rd. to Lake							
2	P3000	850	1,830	36		\$145.90	\$266,988		\$143,512	\$410,500	68%	100%	32%	\$279,140	\$410,500	\$131,360
2	P3002	850	1,373	36		\$145.90	\$200,314		\$107,673	\$307,987	69%	100%	31%	\$212,511	\$307,987	\$95,476
2	P3003	850	663	36		\$145.90	\$96,728		\$51,994	\$148,722	70%	100%	30%	\$104,105	\$148,722	\$44,617
2	P3004	850	4,325	36		\$145.90	\$630,996		\$339,175	\$970,171	55%	100%	45%	\$533,594	\$970,171	\$436,577
2	P3005	850	1,694	36		\$145.90	\$247,146		\$132,847	\$379,993	56%	100%	44%	\$212,796	\$379,993	\$167,197
2	P3006	850	2,602	36		\$145.90	\$379,619		\$204,054	\$583,673	68%	100%	32%	\$396,898	\$583,673	\$186,775
2	P3007	850	522	36		\$145.90	\$76,157		\$40,936	\$117,093	67%	100%	33%	\$78,452	\$117,093	\$38,641
2	P3008	850	1,032	36		\$145.90	\$150,564		\$80,932	\$231,496	64%	100%	36%	\$148,157	\$231,496	\$83,339
2	P3009	850	1,172	36		\$145.90	\$170,989		\$91,911	\$262,900	61%	100%	39%	\$160,369	\$262,900	\$102,531
2	P3461	850	1,049	36		\$145.90	\$153,044		\$82,265	\$235,309	68%	100%	32%	\$160,010	\$235,309	\$75,299
2	P3462	850	625	36		\$145.90	\$91,184		\$49,013	\$140,197	68%	100%	32%	\$95,334	\$140,197	\$44,863
	ıbtotal:		16,887		2005		\$2,463,730	4.5%	\$1,324,312	\$3,788,041				\$2,381,366	\$3,788,041	\$1,406,675
STO	NEBRIDG	E 48-IN	CH WA	TERMA	IN											
U.S. 380	0 to Lacima Dr.															
2	P5686	920	52	30		\$406.96	\$21,162		\$11,375	\$32,537	100%	100%	0%	\$32,537	\$32,537	\$0
2	P5687	920	866	48		\$406.96	\$352,425		\$189,437	\$541,862	100%	100%	0%	\$541,862	\$541,862	\$0
2	P5688	920	1,087	48		\$406.96	\$442,363		\$237,780	\$680,143	100%	100%	0%	\$680,143	\$680,143	\$0
2	P5690	920	874	48		\$406.96	\$355,681		\$191,187	\$546,868	100%	100%	0%	\$546,868	\$546,868	\$0
Sı	ıbtotal:		2,879		2006		\$1,171,630	4.5%	\$629,779	\$1,801,410				\$1,801,410	\$1,801,410	\$0
36-I	NCH & 48I	NCH W	ATERI	INE FR	OM V	TRGINI	A TO STO	NEBRI	DGE							
										l ristol Dr. to Virgini	l ia Pkwy					
2	P5034	920	. to Bristor D 49	48	101 111. 110	\$406.96	\$19,941	I., Mong St. V	\$10,719	\$30,660	100%	100%	0%	\$30,660	\$30,660	\$0
2	P5691	920	740	48		\$406.96	\$301,148		\$161,874	\$463,022	100%	100%	0%	\$463,022	\$463,022	\$0 \$0
2	P5692	920	212	48		\$406.96	\$86,275		\$46,375	\$132,650	100%	100%	0%	\$132,650	\$132,650	\$0
2	P5693	920	2,726	36		\$406.96	\$1,109,365		\$596,309	\$1,705,674	100%	100%	0%	\$1,705,674	\$1,705,674	\$0
2	P5694	920	390	36		\$406.96	\$158,713		\$85,312	\$244,025	100%	100%	0%	\$244,025	\$244,025	\$0
Sı	ıbtotal:		4,117		2006	4.0000	\$1,675,443	4.5%	\$900,589	\$2,576,031			* / -	\$2,576,031	\$2,576,031	\$0
ALN	IA ROAD	24-INCE	I WATI	ER LINE	CCR/	IG RAN	, ,	TH)		. , ,						•
	ommunity 3-MG I				` .											
1	P5407	920	ige Talik 30u 772	24	ĺ	\$596.59	\$460,564		\$0	\$460,564	100%	100%	0%	\$460,564	\$460,564	\$0
1	P5408	920	154	24		\$596.59	\$91,874		\$0	\$91,874	100%	100%	0%	\$91,874	\$91,874	\$0 \$0
1	P5409	920	831	24		\$596.59	\$495,763		\$0	\$495,763	100%	100%	0%	\$495,763	\$495,763	\$0 \$0
1	P5410	920	265	24		\$596.59	\$158,095		\$0	\$158,095	100%	100%	0%	\$158,095	\$158,095	\$0
1	P5411	920	704	24		\$596.59	\$419,996		\$0	\$419,996	100%	100%	0%	\$419,996	\$419,996	\$0
1	P5412	920	77	24		\$596.59	\$45,937		\$0	\$45,937	100%	100%	0%	\$45,937	\$45,937	\$0
Sı	ıbtotal:		2,803	= -	2002	4	\$1,672,230	0.0%	\$0	\$1,672,229		/0	- 70	\$1,672,229	\$1,672,229	\$0

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

2 - City Initiated and Funded	.4					1		ı	1						
								20 Year		(%) U	tilized Ca	pacity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	ity
							Debt	Debt Service							
				Date	Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Year			During			
Pipe	Pressure	Length	Diameter	of	Cost	Capital	Interest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	Plane	(Ft.)	(Inches)	Const.	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
LAKE FORES	T DRIV	E 30-IN	CH WA	TER I	INE (WA	L-MAR	Γ)								
From McKinney Ranch Pl	kwy. South 1.	,400-ft													
1 P5582	920	1,373	30		\$148.02	\$203,232		\$109,242	\$312,474	63%	90%	27%	\$196,859	\$281,227	\$84,368
Subtotal:		1,373		2004		\$203,232	4.5%	\$109,242	\$312,474				\$196,859	\$281,227	\$84,368
VILLAGE PARK	- PHASE	1 - 20",	30" & 36	'' WAT	TER LINE	(LAKE FO	REST D	R., COLLIN	MCKINNEY	PKWY.	& RID	GE RD.)		
20" - Ridge Road from St	tacy Rd. to M	cKinney Rand	ch Pkwy.; 30"	' -Lake Fo	orest Dr. from 1	,400-ft South of	McKinney F	anch Pkwy. to Co	llin McKinney Pkw	y.;					
36"- Collin McKinney Pky	wy. from Lak	e Forest Dr. to	o 1,900-ft We	st				_					_		
1 P5583	920	1,087	30		\$66.01	\$71,758		\$38,572	\$110,330	63%	89%	26%	\$69,508	\$98,194	\$28,686
1 P5584	920	711	30		\$66.01	\$46,936		\$25,229	\$72,165	63%	89%	26%	\$45,464	\$64,227	\$18,763
1 P5606	920	666	36		\$66.01	\$43,966		\$23,633	\$67,599	56%	85%	29%	\$37,855	\$57,459	\$19,604
1 P5586	920	1,054	20		\$66.01	\$69,580		\$37,401	\$106,981	28%	81%	53%	\$29,955	\$86,655	\$56,700
1 P5587	920	434	20		\$66.01	\$28,650		\$15,400	\$44,050	21%	79%	58%	\$9,251	\$34,800	\$25,549
1 P5588	920	1,331	20		\$66.01	\$87,866		\$47,230	\$135,096	39%	99%	60%	\$52,687	\$133,745	\$81,058
1 P6017	920	690	20		\$66.01	\$45,550		\$24,484	\$70,034	32%	85%	53%	\$22,411	\$59,529	\$37,118
Subtotal:		5,973		2004		\$394,306	4.5%	\$211,949	\$606,255				\$267,131	\$534,609	\$267,478
COLLIN MCK	INNEY	30" & 3	6'' WAT	TER L	INE (CR.	AIG RAN	CH INE	RASTRUC	CTURE) (V	CIM 1)					
From 1,900-ft West of Lal	ke Forest Dr.	to Alma Dr.													
1 P5607	920	3,484	36		\$71.56	\$249,309		\$0	\$249,309	54%	82%	28%	\$134,627	\$204,433	\$69,807
1 P5608	920	2,844	30		\$71.56	\$203,512		\$0	\$203,512	53%	77%	24%	\$107,861	\$156,704	\$48,843
1 P5609	920	603	30		\$71.56	\$43,150		\$0	\$43,150	53%	75%	22%	\$22,870	\$32,363	\$9,493
1 P5682	920	358	30		\$71.56	\$25,618		\$0	\$25,618	54%	81%	27%	\$13,834	\$20,751	\$6,917
1 P5696	920	895	30		\$71.56	\$64,045		\$0	\$64,045	53%	77%	24%	\$33,944	\$49,315	\$15,371
Subtotal:		8,184		2004		\$585,633	0.0%	\$0	\$585,634				\$313,136	\$463,566	\$150,431

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

2 - City Initiated and Funde								20.37		(%) II	tilized Ca	nocity	(2)	Utilized Capac	ity
							D 14	20 Year		(%) 0	unzeu Ca	ірасіту	(4)	Othizeu Capac	ity
				D 4	A TT *4	TD 4 1	Debt	Debt Service	T 4 1 20 37			During			
D.	D	T 41	D: 4	Date	Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Year			Fee			During
Pipe	Pressure	Length	Diameter	of	Cost	Capital	Interest	Simple	Project	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
Number	Plane	(Ft.)	(Inches)	Const.	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)		2029	Terrou	2019	2029	Tee Teriou
COLLIN MCK	INNEY	20" & 2	4'' WAT	ER L	INE (CR.	AIG RAN	CH INF	TRASTRU	CTURE) (V	CIM 1)					
From Alma Dr. to TPC D	Pr.														
1 P5610	920	299	20		\$71.56	\$21,396		\$0	\$21,396	53%	73%	20%	\$11,340	\$15,619	\$4,279
1 P5618	920	495	24		\$71.56	\$35,421		\$0	\$35,421	43%	54%	11%	\$15,231	\$19,127	\$3,896
1 P5619	920	307	24		\$71.56	\$21,968		\$0	\$21,968	47%	60%	13%	\$10,325	\$13,181	\$2,856
1 P5620	920	294	24		\$71.56	\$21,038		\$0	\$21,038	61%	76%	15%	\$12,833	\$15,989	\$3,156
1 P5621	920	238	24		\$71.56	\$17,031		\$0	\$17,031	61%	76%	15%	\$10,389	\$12,944	\$2,555
1 P5622	920	290	24		\$71.56	\$20,752		\$0	\$20,752	62%	76%	14%	\$12,866	\$15,772	\$2,905
1 P5623	920	298	24		\$71.56	\$21,324		\$0	\$21,324	62%	76%	14%	\$13,221	\$16,206	\$2,985
1 P5624	920	290	24		\$71.56	\$20,752		\$0	\$20,752	63%	77%	14%	\$13,074	\$15,979	\$2,905
1 P5625	920	296	24		\$71.56	\$21,181		\$0	\$21,181	64%	78%	14%	\$13,556	\$16,521	\$2,965
1 P5626	920	220	24		\$71.56	\$15,743		\$0	\$15,743	46%	52%	6%	\$7,242	\$8,186	\$945
1 P5627	920	586	24		\$71.56	\$41,933		\$0	\$41,933	44%	50%	6%	\$18,451	\$20,967	\$2,516
1 P5628	920	597	24		\$71.56	\$42,720		\$0	\$42,720	42%	44%	2%	\$17,942	\$18,797	\$854
1 P5629	920	922	24		\$71.56	\$65,977		\$0	\$65,977	41%	44%	3%	\$27,051	\$29,030	\$1,979
Subtotal:		5,132		2004		\$367,237	0.0%	\$0	\$367,236				\$183,521	\$218,318	\$34,796
ALMA ROAD	20-INCI	H WATI	ER LINE	CCRA	AIG RAN	CH INFF	RASTRU	(CTURE)	VCIM 1)						
From Collin McKinney P	arkway to S.F	I. 121													
1 P5611	920	879	20		\$71.56	\$62,900		\$0	\$62,900	26%	100%	74%	\$16,354	\$62,900	\$46,546
1 P5612	920	349	20		\$71.56	\$24,974		\$0	\$24,974	25%	32%	7%	\$6,244	\$7,992	\$1,748
1 P5613	920	347	20		\$71.56	\$24,831		\$0	\$24,831	26%	64%	38%	\$6,456	\$15,892	\$9,436
1 P5616	920	624	20		\$71.56	\$44,652		\$0	\$44,652	29%	42%	13%	\$12,949	\$18,754	\$5,805
1 P5617	920	583	20		\$71.56	\$41,719		\$0	\$41,719	29%	32%	3%	\$12,099	\$13,350	\$1,252
Subtotal:		2,782		2004		\$199,075	0.0%	\$0	\$199,076				\$54,102	\$118,888	\$64,787
WESTRIDGE	WATER	LINE													
From Custer Rd. to the In			l ge Tank												
1 P5148	920	1,100	20		\$47.44	\$52,179		\$28,047	\$80,226	100%	100%	0%	\$80,226	\$80,226	\$0
1 P5149	920	578	20		\$47.44	\$27,418		\$14,738	\$42,156	100%	100%	0%	\$42,156	\$42,156	\$0
1 P5150	920	1,106	18		\$47.44	\$52,464		\$28,201	\$80,665	100%	100%	0%	\$80,665	\$80,665	\$0
1 P5151	920	2,736	18		\$47.44	\$129,784		\$69,762	\$199,546	100%	100%	0%	\$199,546	\$199,546	\$0
Subtotal:		5,520		2002		\$261,844	4.5%	\$140,748	\$402,593				\$402,593	\$402,593	\$0
INDEPENDEN	CE 20-I	NCH W	ATER L	INE				. ,					. ,	. /	
From Westridge Blvd. to			i i	,											
1 P5136	920	1,245	20		\$46.76	\$58,212		\$31,290	\$89,502	75%	100%	25%	\$67,127	\$89,502	\$22,376
1 P5137	920	1,005	20		\$46.76	\$46,991		\$25,259	\$72,250	82%	100%	18%	\$59,245	\$72,250	\$13,005
1 P5138	920	259	20		\$46.76	\$12,110		\$6,509	\$18,619	86%	100%	14%	\$16,012	\$18,619	\$2,607
1 P5567	920	1,205	20		\$46.76	\$56,342		\$30,285	\$86,627	60%	100%	40%	\$51,976	\$86,627	\$34,651
1 P5695	920	920	20		\$46.76	\$43,016		\$23,122	\$66,138	61%	100%	39%	\$40,344	\$66,138	\$25,794
Subtotal:		4,634		2002		\$216,672	4.5%	\$116,465	\$333,136				\$234,704	\$333,136	\$98,433

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

2 - City Initiated and Funded								20 Year		(%) U	tilized Ca	pacity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	city
							Debt	Debt Service				D			
7.1	_			Date	Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Year			During Fee			During
Pipe	Pressure	Length	Diameter	of	Cost	Capital	Interest	Simple	Project	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
Number	Plane	(Ft.)	(Inches)	Const.	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	1 CHOU	2019	2029	recreiou
STACY ROAD		R LINE													
From S.H. 121 to Old FM															
1 P6013	920	485	20		\$55.40	\$26,869		\$14,443	\$41,312	51%	100%	49%	\$21,069	\$41,312	\$20,243
1 P6014	920	1,553	20		\$55.40	\$86,036		\$46,246	\$132,282	44%	98%	54%	\$58,204	\$129,636	\$71,432
1 P6016	920	2,084	20		\$54.65	\$113,891		\$61,219	\$175,110	51%	74%	23%	\$89,306	\$129,581	\$40,275
1 P6018	920	1,376	24		\$82.11	\$112,983		\$60,731	\$173,714	31%	67%	36%	\$53,851	\$116,388	\$62,537
1 P6019	920	1,423	24		\$82.11	\$116,843		\$62,806	\$179,649	28%	68%	40%	\$50,302	\$122,161	\$71,860
Subtotal:		6,921		2007		\$456,622	4.5%	\$245,445	\$702,067				\$272,732	\$539,078	\$266,347
MCKINNEY R	ANCH 1	16-INCE	I WATE	RLI	IE										
From Ridge Rd. to Stacy	Rd.														
1 P6024	920	1,666	16		\$34.40	\$57,310		\$30,805	\$88,115	25%	68%	43%	\$22,029	\$59,918	\$37,889
1 P6026	920	2,331	16		\$34.40	\$80,186		\$43,102	\$123,288	21%	72%	51%	\$25,890	\$88,767	\$62,877
Subtotal:		3,997		2007		\$137,496	4.5%	\$73,907	\$211,403				\$47,919	\$148,685	\$100,766
COLLIN MCK	INNEY	20-INCI	H WATI	ER LI	NE - (CR	AIG RAN	ICH INI	FRASTRU	CTURE) (V	CIM 2))				
From Boston Rd. to Custe	er Rd.														
1 P5678	920	1,001	20		\$130.87	\$131,001		\$0	\$131,001	35%	100%	65%	\$45,850	\$131,001	\$85,151
1 P5679	920	1,391	20		\$130.87	\$182,040		\$0	\$182,040	32%	100%	68%	\$58,253	\$182,040	\$123,787
Subtotal:		2,392		2007		\$313,041	0.0%	\$0	\$313,041				\$104,103	\$313,041	\$208,938
ALMA ROAD	24-INCE	I WATE	ER LINE	- (CF	RAIG RA	NCH INF	RASTR	UCTURE)	(VCIM 2)						
From Stacy Road to Collin		i		`				ĺ							
1 P6027	920	147	24		\$130.87	\$19,238		\$10,341	\$29,579	100%	100%	0%	\$29,579	\$29,579	\$0
1 P6028	920	684	24		\$130.87	\$89,515		\$48,116	\$137,631	46%	64%	18%	\$63,310	\$88,084	\$24,774
1 P6029	920	626	24		\$130.87	\$81,925		\$44,037	\$125,962	47%	65%	18%	\$59,202	\$81,875	\$22,673
1 P6030	920	727	24		\$130.87	\$95,143		\$51,142	\$146,285	47%	64%	17%	\$68,754	\$93,622	\$24,868
1 P6031	920	472	24		\$130.87	\$61,771		\$33,203	\$94,974	47%	65%	18%	\$44,638	\$61,733	\$17,095
1 P6171	920	1,014	24		\$130.87	\$132,702		\$71,330	\$204,032	44%	65%	21%	\$89,774	\$132,621	\$42,847
Subtotal:		3,670		2007		\$480,293	4.5%	\$258,169	\$738,463				\$355,257	\$487,514	\$132,257
CUSTER ROA	D 16-IN	CH WA'	TER LI	NE - (CRAIG R	ANCH I	NFRAST	FRUCTUR	E) (VCIM 2	3)					
From Stacy Rd. to Town				Ì					´ `	ĺ					
1 P5665	920	1,561	16		\$130.87	\$204,288		\$109,809	\$314,097	86%	100%	14%	\$270,123	\$314,097	\$43,974
1 P5666	920	1,113	16		\$130.87	\$145,658		\$78,295	\$223,953	86%	100%	14%	\$192,600	\$223,953	\$31,353
1 P5667	920	917	16		\$130.87	\$120,008		\$64,507	\$184,515	69%	100%	31%	\$127,315	\$184,515	\$57,200
1 P6037	920	1,290	16		\$130.87	\$168,822		\$90,746	\$259,568	47%	100%	53%	\$121,997	\$259,568	\$137,571
1 P6038	920	1,430	16		\$130.87	\$187,144		\$100,594	\$287,738	26%	100%	74%	\$74,812	\$287,738	\$212,926
Subtotal:		6,311		2007		\$825,921	4.5%	\$443,951	\$1,269,871				\$786,847	\$1,269,871	\$483,024

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

								20 Year		(%) U	tilized Ca	apacity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	city
Pipe Number	Pressure Plane	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	Date of Const.	Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.)	Total Capital Cost (\$)	Debt Service Interest Rate %	Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	During Fee Period	2019	2029	During Fee Period
COLLIN MCK	INNEY	20-INCI	H WATI	ER LI	NE - ROV	VLETT (CREEK	BRIDGE							
From TPC Dr. to Boston 1	Rd.														
2 P6041	920	1,324	20		\$18.35	\$24,289		\$13,056	\$37,345	37%	43%	6%	\$13,818	\$16,058	\$2,241
Subtotal:		1,324		2006		\$24,289	4.5%	\$13,056	\$37,345				\$13,818	\$16,058	\$2,241
BRISTOL / CU	STER 4	2-INCH	WATE	R LIN	E										
Bristol Dr. from Lacima I	Or. to Custer F	Rd. & Custer I	Rd. from Bris	tol Dr. to	Virginia Pkwy.										
2 P6150	920	4,864	42		\$ 507.59	\$2,468,898		\$1,327,089	\$3,795,987	100%	100%	0%	\$3,795,987	\$3,795,987	\$0
2 P6151	920	610	42		\$ 507.59	\$309,627		\$166,432	\$476,059	100%	100%	0%	\$476,059	\$476,059	\$0
2 P6152	920	871	42		\$ 507.59	\$442,107		\$237,643	\$679,750	100%	100%	0%	\$679,750	\$679,750	\$0
2 P6222	920	900	42		\$ 507.59	\$456,827		\$245,555	\$702,382	100%	100%	0%	\$702,382	\$702,382	\$0
Subtotal:		7,245		2008		\$3,677,460	4.5%	\$1,976,719	\$5,654,178				\$5,654,178	\$5,654,178	\$0
CUSTER ROA	D UTIL	ITY REI	LOCAT	ION											
From Virginia Pkwy. to E	ldorado Pkwy	·.													
2 P5130	920	275	36		\$ 432.41	\$118,913.0		\$63,918	\$182,831	100%	100%	0%	\$182,831	\$182,831	\$0
2 P5132	920	260	36		\$ 432.41	\$112,427		\$60,432	\$172,859	100%	100%	0%	\$172,859	\$172,859	\$0
2 P5754	920	487	30		\$ 432.41	\$210,584		\$113,194	\$323,778	100%	100%	0%	\$323,778	\$323,778	\$0
2 P6153	920	841	36		\$ 432.41	\$363,657		\$195,474	\$559,131	100%	100%	0%	\$559,131	\$559,131	\$0
2 P6155	920	1,717	36		\$ 432.41	\$742,448		\$399,083	\$1,141,531	100%	100%	0%	\$1,141,531	\$1,141,531	\$0
2 P6156	920	2,392	36		\$ 432.41	\$1,034,324		\$555,973	\$1,590,297	100%	100%	0%	\$1,590,297	\$1,590,297	\$0
2 P6168	920	1,347	30		\$ 432.41	\$582,456		\$313,083	\$895,539	100%	100%	0%	\$895,539	\$895,539	\$0
2 P6169	920	2,744	30		\$ 432.41	\$1,186,532		\$637,788	\$1,824,320	100%	100%	0%	\$1,824,320	\$1,824,320	\$0
2 P6170	920	1,007	30		\$ 432.41	\$435,437		\$234,057	\$669,494	100%	100%	0%	\$669,494	\$669,494	\$0
Subtotal:		11,070		2010		\$4,786,776	4.5%	\$2,573,002	\$7,359,780				\$7,359,780	\$7,359,780	\$0
ELDORADO P	KWY./	STONE	BRIDG	E DRI	VE INTE	CRSECTI	ON 20-I	NCH WAT	ER LINE						
Intersection of Eldorado P	kwy. and Sto	nebridge Dr.	- Replace Exi	sting 12"	Water Line with	20" Water Lin	e by Bore								1
2 P6183	920	182	20		\$1,202.14	\$218,789		\$117,604	\$336,393	100%	100%	0%	\$336,393	\$336,393	\$0
Subtotal:		182		2012		\$218,789	4.5%	\$218,789	\$218,789				\$336,393	\$336,393	\$0

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

2 - City Initiated and Funded								20 Year		(%) U	tilized Ca	apacity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	city
Pipe Number	Pressure Plane	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	Date of Const.	Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.)	Total Capital Cost (\$)	Debt Service Interest Rate %	Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	During Fee Period	2019	2029	During Fee Period
U.S. 380 36-INC	CH WAT	ER LIN	IE												
From University Pump St	ation to Hardi	n Rd.													
2 P3423	850	30	36		\$361.33	\$10,840		\$5,827	\$16,667	87%	100%	13%	\$14,500	\$16,667	\$2,167
2 P4084	850	5,597	36		\$361.33	\$2,022,341		\$1,087,055	\$3,109,396	71%	100%	29%	\$2,207,671	\$3,109,396	\$901,725
2 P4085	850	3,643	36		\$361.33	\$1,316,310		\$707,547	\$2,023,857	87%	100%	13%	\$1,760,756	\$2,023,857	\$263,101
2 P4086	850	1,082	36		\$361.33	\$390,955		\$210,147	\$601,102	93%	100%	7%	\$559,025	\$601,102	\$42,077
2 P4087	850	320	36		\$361.33	\$115,624		\$62,151	\$177,775	97%	100%	3%	\$172,442	\$177,775	\$5,333
2 P4088	850	129	30		\$361.33	\$46,611		\$25,054	\$71,665	97%	100%	3%	\$69,515	\$71,665	\$2,150
2 P4089	850	1,451	30		\$361.33	\$524,284		\$281,815	\$806,099	100%	100%	0%	\$806,099	\$806,099	\$0
2 P4090	850	926	30		\$361.33	\$334,588		\$179,849	\$514,437	100%	100%	0%	\$514,437	\$514,437	\$0
2 P4183	850	441	36		\$361.33	\$159,345		\$85,652	\$244,997	95%	100%	5%	\$232,747	\$244,997	\$12,250
2 P4184	850	3,229	30		\$361.33	\$1,166,722		\$627,140	\$1,793,862	100%	100%	0%	\$1,793,862	\$1,793,862	\$0
2 P4196	850	366	36		\$361.33	\$132,245		\$71,085	\$203,330	95%	100%	5%	\$193,164	\$203,330	\$10,167
Subtotal:		17,214		2012		\$6,219,865	4.5%	\$3,343,322	\$9,563,187				\$8,324,218	\$9,563,187	\$1,238,970
UNIVERSITY	PUMP S	TATIO	N DISC	HARG	E LINE	NO. 2									
From University Pump St	ation West to	Future Stone	bridge Dr.; So	outh Along	Future Stoneb	ridge Dr. to U.S	s. 380; U.S. 3	80 to Custer Rd.							
2 P6090	920	184	30		\$689.46	\$126,860		\$68,190	\$195,050	100%	100%	0%	\$195,050	\$195,050	\$0
2 P6091	920	112	48		\$689.46	\$77,219		\$41,507	\$118,726	11%	33%	22%	\$13,060	\$39,180	\$26,120
2 P6148	920	2,145	66		\$689.46	\$1,478,883		\$794,933	\$2,273,816	54%	88%	34%	\$1,227,861	\$2,000,958	\$773,097
2 P6149	920	2,784	30		\$689.46	\$1,919,445		\$1,031,746	\$2,951,191	48%	100%	52%	\$1,416,572	\$2,951,191	\$1,534,619
2 P6235	920	623	30		\$689.46	\$429,531		\$230,883	\$660,414	46%	100%	54%	\$303,790	\$660,414	\$356,624
Subtotal:		5,848		2009		\$4,031,938	4.5%	\$2,167,259	\$6,199,197				\$3,156,333	\$5,846,793	\$2,690,460
STACY ROAD	24-INC	H WAT	ER LINI	E											
From Alma Rd. East 2,75	6-ft														
1 P6020	920	1,033	24		193.1679939	\$199,543		\$107,259	\$306,802	52%	100%	48%	\$159,537	\$306,802	\$147,265
1 P5744	920	1,604	24		193.1679939	\$309,841		\$166,547	\$476,388	48%	100%	52%	\$228,666	\$476,388	\$247,722
Subtotal:		2,637		2009		\$509,384	4.5%	\$273,806	\$783,190				\$388,203	\$783,190	\$394,987
HARDIN 36-IN	ICH WA	TER LI	NE (TIN	ABER	CREEK	ACCESS	IMPRO)VEMENT	S)						
From Wilmeth Rd. to Hol	ly Ridge Wav]											
1 P4015	850	1,200	36		\$249.82	\$299,782		\$161,140	\$460,922	58%	100%	42%	\$267,335	\$460,922	\$193,587
1 P4016	850	1,606	36		\$249.82	\$401,208		\$215,658	\$616,866	53%	100%	47%	\$326,939	\$616,866	\$289,927
Subtotal:		2,806		2010		\$700,990	4.5%	\$376,798	\$1,077,788				\$594,274	\$1,077,788	\$483,514
LAKE FORES	Γ 20-ΙΝ	CH WA	TER LIN	IE					-				-	•	
From Collin McKinney Pl															
1 P6012	920	1.879	20		\$302.70	\$568,772		\$305,728	\$874,500	100%	100%	0%	\$874,500	\$874,500	\$0
Subtotal:	,20	1.879		2010	\$502.70	\$568,772	4.5%	\$305,728	\$874,500	100%	10070	570	\$874,500	\$874,500 \$874.500	\$0 \$0

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

								20 Year		(%) U	tilized Ca	pacity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	ity
							Debt	Debt Service							
				Date	Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Year			During			
Pipe	Pressure	Length	Diameter	of	Cost	Capital	Interest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	Plane	(Ft.)	(Inches)	Const.	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
VALOR POINT	FE AT V	VESTRI	DGE, P	HASE	10 - 16-I	NCH WA	TER LI	NES							
Along Virginia Parkway V	West 1,250-ft	to Future We	stridge Subdi	vision; So	uth & Southwe	st in Future Wes	stridge Subdiv	vision							
1 P6069	920	1,243	16		\$18.30	\$22,741		\$12,224	\$34,965	28%	100%	72%	\$9,790	\$34,965	\$25,175
1 P6079	920	643	16		\$18.30	\$11,764		\$6,323	\$18,087	26%	100%	74%	\$4,703	\$18,087	\$13,384
Subtotal:		1,886		2012		\$34,505	4.5%	\$18,547	\$53,052				\$14,493	\$53,052	\$38,559
920 VIRGINIA	PKWY	. 12-INC	CH PARA	ALLE	L LINE										
From Adriatic Pkwy. to R	idge Rd.														
2 P5232	920	949	12		\$67.51	\$64,065		\$34,436	\$98,501	93%	100%	7%	\$91,606	\$98,501	\$6,895
2 P5702	920	620	8		\$67.51	\$41,855		\$22,498	\$64,353	100%	100%	0%	\$64,353	\$64,353	\$0
2 P5736	920	949	12		\$67.51	\$64,065		\$34,436	\$98,501	94%	100%	6%	\$92,591	\$98,501	\$5,910
2 P5737	920	389	8		\$67.51	\$26,261		\$14,116	\$40,377	100%	100%	0%	\$40,377	\$40,377	\$0
2 P6172	920	1,095	12		\$67.51	\$73,921		\$39,734	\$113,655	100%	100%	0%	\$113,655	\$113,655	\$0
Subtotal:		4,002		2011		\$270,166	4.5%	\$145,220	\$415,387				\$402,582	\$415,387	\$12,805
WESTRIDGE 2	24-INCH	I WATE	ER LINE	;											
Westridge Blvd. Phase 4A	& 4B (Custo	er West Partne	ers) From Ind	ependence	Elevated Stora	ige Tank to Wil	lard Dr.								
1 P5158	920	1,163	24		\$50.72	\$58,988		\$31,707	\$90,695	88%	100%	12%	\$79,812	\$90,695	\$10,883
1 P5159	920	632	24		\$50.72	\$32,055		\$17,230	\$49,285	89%	100%	11%	\$43,864	\$49,285	\$5,421
1 P5160	920	867	24		\$50.72	\$43,975		\$23,638	\$67,613	86%	100%	14%	\$58,147	\$67,613	\$9,466
1 P5683	920	287	24		\$50.72	\$14,557		\$7,825	\$22,382	88%	100%	12%	\$19,696	\$22,382	\$2,686
1 P6062	920	1,289	24		\$50.72	\$65,379		\$35,143	\$100,522	70%	100%	30%	\$70,365	\$100,522	\$30,157
Subtotal:		4,238		2007		\$214,953	4.5%	\$115,543	\$330,497				\$271,884	\$330,497	\$58,613

TABLE NO. 12

Existing Impact Fee Water Lines

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

								20 Year		(%) U	tilized Ca	pacity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	ity
							Debt	Debt Service				ъ.			
				Date	Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Year			During			ъ .
Pipe	Pressure	Length	Diameter	of	Cost	Capital	Interest	Simple	Project	2010	***	Fee	***	***	During
Number	Plane	(Ft.)	(Inches)	Const.	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
LAKE FORES'	T 36-IN0	CH WA	TER LI	NE											
From Willmeth Phase 2 V	Vater Line to 1	Bloomdale Ro	i.												
1 P4013	850	1,650	36		\$421.05	\$694,738		\$373,438	\$1,068,176	10%	27%	17%	\$106,818	\$288,408	\$181,590
1 P4189	850	892	36		\$421.05	\$375,579		\$201,882	\$577,461	18%	29%	11%	\$103,943	\$167,464	\$63,521
Subtotal:		2,542		2010		\$1,070,317	4.5%	\$575,320	\$1,645,637				\$210,761	\$455,872	\$245,111
COUCH DRIV	E 12" W	ATER I	LINE LO	OOP											
From Airport Rd. to Couc	ch Dr.														
2 P1406 *	794	3,454	12		\$94.38	\$326,000		\$175,232	\$501,232	38%	66%	28%	\$190,468	\$330,813	\$140,345
		3,454		2014		\$326,000	4.5%	\$175,232	\$501,232				\$190,468	\$330,813	\$140,345
HARDIN ELEV	VATED	STORA	GE TAN	K W	ATER LI	NES									
From Mallard Lakes 12"	WL to Hardin	Blvd.													
2 P3359	850	464	24		\$407.34	\$189,006		\$101,595	\$290,601	96%	100%	4%	\$278,977	\$290,601	\$11,624
2 P3371	850	369	12		\$169.32	\$62,479		\$33,584	\$96,063	100%	100%	0%	\$96,063	\$96,063	\$0
2 P4116	850	1,072	24		\$300.92	\$322,586		\$173,397	\$495,983	98%	100%	2%	\$486,063	\$495,983	\$9,920
Subtotal:		1,905		2012		\$574,071	4.5%	\$308,576	\$882,647				\$861,103	\$882,647	\$21,544
EXISTING	EXISTING TOTAL: 223,317								\$64,978,704						\$11,094,827

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded
- ! Average Unit Costs are Based on Bid Tabulation or Design Opinion of Cost, plus Engineering and Easements
- *Average Unit Costs are Based in 2019 Dollars Unless Otherwise Indicated and Includes 20% for Engineering and Easements.

								20 Year		(%) L	Itilized Ca	pacity		(\$) Utilized Cap	acity
Pipe Number	Pressure Plane	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	Date of Const.	Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.)	Total Capital Cost (\$)	Debt Service Interest Rate %	Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	During Fee Period	2019	2029	During Fee Period
REDBUD 79	94 PUMI	P STATI	ON 54"	DISCH	ARGE L	INE									
From Future Redbud	d Pump Statio	n to S.H. 5													
2 P2114 *	794	4,739	54		\$948.78	\$4,496,262		\$2,416,844	\$6,913,106	0%	26%	26%	\$0	\$1,797,408	\$1,797,408
Subtotal:		4,739		2020		\$4,496,262	4.5%	\$2,416,844	\$6,913,106				\$0	\$1,797,408	\$1,797,408
REDBUD 85	50 PUMI	P STATI	ON 42"	DISCH	ARGE L	INE									
From Future Redbud	d Pump Statio	n to Commun	ity Ave.												
2 P3503!	850	4,768	42		\$1,112.42	\$5,304,017		\$2,851,031	\$8,155,048	0%	30%	30%	\$0	\$2,446,514	\$2,446,514
2 P4024 !	850	2,547	42		\$1,112.42	\$2,833,333		\$1,522,981	\$4,356,314	0%	28%	28%	\$0	\$1,219,768	\$1,219,768
Subtotal:		7,315		2019		\$8,137,350	4.5%	\$4,374,012	\$12,511,362				\$0	\$3,666,282	\$3,666,282
US 380 / INI	DEPENI	ENCE I	LOOP			\$8,137,350									
From Custer Rd. to	Independence	Pkwy. & Ind	ependence Pk	wy. from US	380 to Virgin	ia Pkwy. (Pipe 5	757 is a Bore	Across Custer)							
2 P5757 !	920	146	16		\$268.02	\$39,131		\$21,034	\$60,165	0%	78%	78%	\$0	\$46,929	\$46,929
2 P5758 !	920	449	12		\$268.02	\$120,340		\$64,686	\$185,026	0%	100%	100%	\$0	\$185,026	\$185,026
2 P5763 !	920	329	12		\$268.02	\$88,178		\$47,398	\$135,576	0%	51%	51%	\$0	\$69,144	\$69,144
2 P6083 !	920	1,523	24		\$268.02	\$408,190		\$219,411	\$627,601	0%	100%	100%	\$0	\$627,601	\$627,601
2 P6086 !	920	1,215	12		\$268.02	\$325,641		\$175,039	\$500,680	0%	100%	100%	\$0	\$500,680	\$500,680
2 P6087 !	920	4,099	24		\$268.02	\$1,098,603		\$590,524	\$1,689,127	0%	100%	100%		\$1,689,127	\$1,689,127
2 P6251	920	459	12		\$268.02	\$123,020		\$66,126	\$189,146	0%	100%	100%		\$189,146	\$189,146
		8,220		2020		\$2,203,102	4.5%	\$1,184,218	\$3,387,320				\$0	\$3,307,653	\$3,307,653
HARRY Mo	KILLO	P BLVD	. 24'' W	ATER L	INE										
From McDonald St.	to Future Air	port Blvd.													
2 P2082 !	794	2,051	24		\$629.95	\$1,292,029		\$694,495	\$1,986,524	0%	63%	63%	\$0	\$1,251,510	\$1,251,510
2 P2083 !	794	1,631	24		\$629.95	\$1,027,450		\$552,278	\$1,579,728	0%	63%	63%	\$0	\$995,229	\$995,229
2 P2085 !	794	4,142	24		\$629.95	\$2,609,257		\$1,402,535	\$4,011,792	0%	65%	65%		\$2,607,665	\$2,607,665
2 P2087 !	794	1,135	24		\$629.95	\$714,994		\$384,326	\$1,099,320	0%	57%	57%		\$626,612	\$626,612
2 P2088 !	794	900	12		\$629.95	\$566,956		\$304,752	\$871,708	0%	19%	19%		\$165,625	\$165,625
2 P2120 !	794	2,296	24		\$629.95	\$1,446,367		\$777,455	\$2,223,822	0%	52%	52%		\$1,156,387	\$1,156,387
2 P2121 !	794	1,100	24		\$629.95	\$692,946		\$372,474	\$1,065,420	0%	52%	52%		\$554,018	\$554,018
Subtotal:		13,255		2021		\$8,350,000	4.5%	\$4,488,315	\$12,838,315				\$0	\$7,357,046	\$7,357,046

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded

^{*}Average Unit Costs are Based in 2019 Dollars Unless Otherwise Indicated and Includes 20% for Engineering and Easements.

								20 Year		(%) Utilized Capacity				(\$) Utilized Capacity			
							Debt	Debt Service	Total								
					Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	20 Year			During			Desire		
Pipe	Pressure	Length	Diameter	Date	Cost	Capital	Interest	Simple	Project	2010	2020	Fee Period	2010	2020	During Fee Period		
Number	Plane	(Ft.)	(Inches)	of Const.	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	ree Period		
CUSTER 24	'' NOR'	TH WAT	TER LIN	Œ													
From U.S. 380 Nort	h to FM 1461	(Future E / V	V Thoroughfa	re)													
2 P6052 !	920	1,426	18		\$742.50	\$1,058,801		\$569,130	\$1,627,931	0%	36%	36%	\$0	\$586,055	\$586,055		
2 P6093 !	920	2,204	24		\$742.50	\$1,636,464		\$879,637	\$2,516,101	0%	32%	32%	\$0	\$805,152	\$805,152		
2 P6096 !	920	2,587	24		\$742.50	\$1,920,841		\$1,032,496	\$2,953,337	0%	26%	26%	\$0	\$767,868	\$767,868		
2 P6097 !	920	1,392	24		\$742.50	\$1,033,556		\$555,560	\$1,589,116	0%	27%	27%	\$0	\$429,061	\$429,061		
2 P6102 !	920	3,111	24		\$742.50	\$2,309,909		\$1,241,629	\$3,551,538	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$674,792	\$674,792		
2 P6103 !	920	2,357	24		\$742.50	\$1,750,066		\$940,701	\$2,690,767	0%	20%	20%	\$0	\$538,153	\$538,153		
2 P6210 !	920	1,925	24		\$742.50	\$1,429,307		\$768,285	\$2,197,592	0%	33%	33%	\$0	\$725,205	\$725,205		
2 P6211 !	920	1,009	24		\$742.50	\$749,180		\$402,701	\$1,151,881	0%	32%	32%	\$0	\$368,602	\$368,602		
Subtotal:		16,011		2021		\$11,888,125	4.5%	\$6,390,139	\$18,278,264				\$0	\$4,894,888	\$4,894,888		
HARDIN SO	HARDIN SOUTH 16" WATER LINE																
From McKinney Ra	nch Pkwy. to	Collin McKir	nney Pkwy.														
1 P6010 *	920	1,815	16		\$60.00	\$108,900		\$58,536	\$167,436	0%	84%	84%	\$0	\$140,646	\$140,646		
Subtotal:		1,815		2021		\$108,900	4.5%	\$58,536	\$167,436				\$0	\$140,646	\$140,646		
INDUSTRIA	AL BLV	D. 12'' V	VATER	LINE (P	IPE BUI	RST 8" to	12")										
From Industrial Ele	vated Tank Ea	st 1,540-ft &	from Union I	Pacific RR to	Lavon Dr.	ĺ											
2 P1402 *	794	630	12		\$261.90	\$164,997		\$88,690	\$253,687	0%	33%	33%	\$0	\$83,717	\$83,717		
2 P1403 *	794	1,543	12		\$261.90	\$404,112		\$217,219	\$621,331	0%	0%	0%	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Subtotal:		2,173		2022		\$569,109	4.5%	\$305,909	\$875,018				\$0	\$83,717	\$83,717		
HARDIN 24	'' & 16''	(TRINI	TY FAI	LS WE	ST FEEI) NORTH)										
"Trinity Falls West	Feed" From F	.M. 546 to Tr	inity Falls No	orth Loop	[[
1 P4069 *	850	3,359	20		\$108.00	\$362,772		\$194,998	\$557,770	0%	33%	33%	\$0	\$184,064	\$184,064		
1 P4070 *	850	5,477	16		\$60.00	\$328,620		\$176,641	\$505,261	0%	33%	33%	\$0	\$166,736	\$166,736		
Subtotal:		8,836		2022		\$691,392	4.5%	\$371,639	\$1,063,031				\$0	\$350,800	\$350,800		
INDEPEND	ENCE (CONNEC	CTION T	TO US 3	80												
From Existing Pipe	P6083 to U.S	. 380															
2 P6084 *	920	2,338	24		\$240.00	\$561,120		\$301,615	\$862,735	0%	100%	100%	\$0	\$862,735	\$862,735		
Subtotal:		2,338		2022		\$561,120	4.5%	\$301,615	\$862,735				\$0	\$862,735	\$862,735		
REDBUD P	UMP ST	ATION	850 DIS	CHARC	E LINE	(T-FALL	S EAST	FEED)	·						·		
From Redbud Pump						(= 21222		- ,									
2 P4118 *	850	1.890	36	Biooi	\$390.00	\$737,100		\$396,208	\$1,133,308	0%	30%	30%	\$0	\$339,992	\$339,992		
Subtotal:	050	1.890	50	2023	ψ570.00	\$737,100 \$737,100	4.5%	\$396,208	\$1,133,308	0,0	50 /0	3370	\$0	\$339,992	\$339,992		

[!] Average Unit Costs are Based on Bid Tabulation or Design Opinion of Cost, plus Engineering and Easements

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded
- $!\ Average\ Unit\ Costs\ are\ Based\ on\ Bid\ Tabulation\ or\ Design\ Opinion\ of\ Cost,\ plus\ Engineering\ and\ Easements$
- *Average Unit Costs are Based in 2019 Dollars Unless Otherwise Indicated and Includes 20% for Engineering and Easements.

								20 Year		(%) Utilized Capacity				(\$) Utilized Capacity			
Pipe Number	Pressure Plane	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	Date of Const.	Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.)	Total Capital Cost (\$)	Debt Service Interest Rate %	Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	During Fee Period	2019	2029	During Fee Period		
STONEBRI	•				(12 - 22)												
From U.S. 380 to F.																	
1 P6092 *	920	6,831	42	ĺ	\$330.00	\$2,254,230		\$1,211,700	\$3,465,930	0%	9%	9%	\$0	\$311,934	\$311,934		
1 P6100 *	920	1,500	42		\$330.00	\$495,000		\$266,074	\$761,074	0%	6%	6%	\$0	\$45,664	\$45,664		
1 P6101 *	920	2,380	42		\$330.00	\$785,400		\$422,170	\$1,207,570	0%	6%	6%	\$0	\$72,454	\$72,454		
1 P6111 *	920	3,289	42		\$330.00	\$1,085,370		\$583,411	\$1,668,781	0%	4%	4%	\$0	\$66,751	\$66,751		
1 P6112 *	920	2,188	42		\$330.00	\$722,040		\$388,113	\$1,110,153	0%	4%	4%	\$0	\$44,406	\$44,406		
Subtotal:		16,188		2024		\$5,342,040	4.5%	\$2,871,468	\$8,213,508				\$0	\$541,209	\$541,209		
F.M. 1461 (I	FUTURE	E E/W T	HOROU	IGHFAI	RE)												
From Custer Rd. to	Future Stoneb	ridge Dr.															
1 P6119 *	920	1,932	16		\$60.00	\$115,920		\$62,310	\$178,230	0%	69%	69%	\$0	\$122,979	\$122,979		
1 P6120 *	920	2,894	16		\$60.00	\$173,640		\$93,335	\$266,975	0%	71%	71%	\$0	\$189,552	\$189,552		
Subtotal:		4,826		2025		\$289,560	4.5%	\$155,645	\$445,205				\$0	\$312,531	\$312,531		
COUNTY R	COUNTY ROAD 228 16" WATER LINE																
From CR 227 (Futur	re Hardin Rd.	East to Trinit	y Falls)														
1 P4072 *	850	2,085	16		\$60.00	\$125,100		\$67,244	\$192,344	0%	43%	43%	\$0	\$82,708	\$82,708		
Subtotal:		2,085		2025		\$125,100	4.5%	\$67,244	\$192,344				\$0	\$82,708	\$82,708		
AIRPORT V	WATER	LINE N	ORTH I	LOOP													
Along Future Airpor	t Blvd. From	Bloomdale R	d. to U.S. 380)													
2 P2017 *	794	3,911	42		\$450.00	\$1,759,950		\$946,013	\$2,705,963	0%	30%	30%	\$0	\$811,789	\$811,789		
2 P2018 *	794	1,729	42		\$450.00	\$778,050		\$418,220	\$1,196,270	0%	31%	31%	\$0	\$370,844	\$370,844		
2 P2043 *	794	4,941	30		\$276.00	\$1,363,716		\$733,029	\$2,096,745	0%	73%	73%	\$0	\$1,530,624	\$1,530,624		
2 P2044 *	794	3,334	30		\$276.00	\$920,184		\$494,620	\$1,414,804	0%	77%	77%	\$0	\$1,089,399	\$1,089,399		
Subtotal:		13,915		2026		\$4,821,900	4.5%	\$2,591,882	\$7,413,782				\$0	\$3,802,656	\$3,802,656		
LAKE FOR	EST 16"	WATE	R LINE														
From Bloomdale Rd	l. to Future E/	W Thoroughf	are at C.R. 16	66 and F.M. 1	461												
1 P4025 *	850	2,317	16		\$60.00	\$139,020		\$74,726	\$213,746	0%	21%	21%	\$0	\$44,887	\$44,887		
1 P4026 *	850	1,780	16		\$60.00	\$106,800		\$57,407	\$164,207	0%	22%	22%	\$0	\$36,126	\$36,126		
1 P4027 *	850	1,522	16		\$60.00	\$91,318		\$49,086	\$140,404	0%	21%	21%	\$0	\$29,485	\$29,485		
Subtotal:		5,619		2027		\$337,138	4.5%	\$181,219	\$518,357				\$0	\$110,498	\$110,498		
BLOOMDA	BLOOMDALE 16" WATER LINE																
From Future Ridge l	Rd. to West si	de of Bloomr	idge Subdivis	ion													
1 P4020 *	850	3,337	16		\$60.00	\$200,220		\$107,623	\$307,843	0%	16%	16%	\$0	\$49,255	\$49,255		
Subtotal:		3,337		2027		\$200,220	4.5%	\$107,623	\$307,843				\$0	\$49,255	\$49,255		

- 1 City Participation in Cost Oversize
- 2 City Initiated and Funded
- ! Average Unit Costs are Based on Bid Tabulation or Design Opinion of Cost, plus Engineering and Easements
- *Average Unit Costs are Based in 2019 Dollars Unless Otherwise Indicated and Includes 20% for Engineering and Easements.

								20 Year		(%) U	Utilized Capacity			acity	
Pipe Number	Pressure Plane	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	Date of Const.	Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.)	Total Capital Cost (\$)	Debt Service Interest Rate %	Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	During Fee Period	2019	2029	During Fee Period
FUT. 850 EAST / WEST THOROUGHFARE WATER LINE															
From U.S. 75 to Fut	ture Lake Fore	est Dr.													i l
1 P4037 *	850	2,361	24		\$120.00	\$283,320		\$152,291	\$435,611	0%	28%	28%	\$0	\$121,971	\$121,971
1 P4038 *	850	3,220	20		\$108.00	\$347,760		\$186,929	\$534,689	0%	37%	37%	\$0	\$197,835	\$197,835
1 P4039 *	850	4,465	20		\$108.00	\$482,220		\$259,204	\$741,424	0%	35%	35%	\$0	\$259,498	\$259,498
1 P4047 *	850	5,383	24		\$120.00	\$645,960		\$347,218	\$993,178	0%	30%	30%	\$0	\$297,953	\$297,953
1 P4048 *	850	3,089	24		\$120.00	\$370,680		\$199,249	\$569,929	0%	30%	30%	\$0	\$170,979	\$170,979
1 P4107 *	850	1,918	16		\$60.00	\$115,080		\$61,858	\$176,938	0%	50%	50%	\$0	\$88,469	\$88,469
Subtotal:		20,436		2029		\$2,245,020	4.5%	\$1,206,749	\$3,451,769				\$0	\$1,136,705	\$1,136,705
PROPOSEI) TOTAL:	128,487							\$78,572,703						\$28,836,729

E. WASTEWATER COLLECTION SYSTEM

Hydraulic wastewater collection system models for the years 2019, 2029 and Buildout were prepared by Birkhoff, Hendricks & Carter, LLP. The models were developed with the peak flows calculated from the residential population and non-residential land use projections provided by the City of McKinney's Planning Department. The models were simulated to determine peak wet weather flow to ensure proper sizing of the collection system and to determine utilized capacities.

1. Collection Lines

Wastewater generated by the City of McKinney is collected through the installed system of collection lines that flow into the geographic area serviced by the North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD).

The wastewater collection system analysis covered all of the drainage basins in the Service Area planning boundary. Each branch of the collection system was analyzed, and future lines were sized to accommodate ultimate wastewater flows. Generally, sewer lines larger than 12-inches in diameter, that are proposed to be constructed within the 10-year period, were included in the Capital Improvements Plan (CIP), shown on **Exhibit 2**. Wastewater lines 12-inches in diameter and smaller, being generally the responsibility of developers, are excluded from the impact fee calculation. The wastewater project costs include necessary appurtenances (manholes, lift stations, aerial crossings and the like), purchase of easements, utility relocation, pavement removal and replacement, and engineering costs. For existing Impact Fee projects, actual costs were used where known. CIP project cost estimates were based on 2019 average unit costs or were provided by the City based on preliminary engineering design budgets.

Eligible wastewater collection line projects in the Service Area planning boundary were included in the impact fee analysis. The eligible existing and proposed wastewater collection lines and facilities are shown on **Exhibit 2**.

2. <u>Lift Stations</u>

The City of McKinney owns and operates seven (7) existing lift stations. The highest-capacity lift station, known as the Stonebridge Lift Station, is approaching a need for additional capacity. The wastewater system CIP proposes a bypass sanitary sewer line which will relieve peak flows to the lift station. Timing for the full relief or abandonment of Stonebridge Lift Station is dependent on the provision of additional capacity to the existing NTMWD trunk sewers along Wilson Creek by NTMWD.

The Rutherford Branch East Lift Station will also require additional pumping capacity to support the peak flow rates generated during the study period. Replacement of the two (2) existing pumps for larger-capacity pumps is included in the CIP.

The Sloan Creek Lift Station is a newer City Lift Station which was placed in service in 2018. Although this lift station was projected to be developer-constructed in the last impact fee CIP, the City did contribute financially to the installation. The Sloan Creek Lift Station is considered an existing lift station in this study and its initial existing utilized capacity is based on existing land uses in the service area.

3. NTMWD Regional Wastewater Collection, Conveyance and Treatment

The North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) provides the City of McKinney with a significant portion of its wastewater collection and conveyance system. NTMWD also owns and operates the Wilson Creek Treatment Plant and provides all of McKinney's wastewater treatment. McKinney pays NTMWD for the cost of this service according to the City's proportional contribution of wastewater flows in any given year.

This Impact Fee study includes McKinney's share of the cost to expand NTMWD's regional wastewater collection, conveyance and treatment facilities to accommodate the new growth. NTMWD provided their 10-year CIP costs for the required collection system and treatment plant expansions which are specified to serve the new growth, being labeled "Expanding / New Growth". McKinney's estimated share of NTMWD's Regional Wastewater System (RWWS) and NTMWD's Upper East Fork Interceptor System (UEFIS) expansion costs are summarized in **Table 14**. Approximately 32-percent of McKinney's estimated payments to NTMWD over the next 10-years are projected to be used for expansion of the capacity of the two (2) regional wastewater systems which serve

McKinney in order to provide service to the anticipated new growth. One element of NTMWD's CIP is the proposed 48-inch to 72-inch McKinney-Prosper Transfer Sewer (MPTS) along Wilson Creek that is currently under design to provide the necessary wastewater conveyance capacity to serve new growth in the City.

Table No. 14

NTMWD's 10-Year C.I.P. for New Growth

(McKinney's Share of Regional Collection & Treatment Systems CIP)

NTMWD Regional Collection & Treatment System	NTMWD Total 10-Year Member Charges	McKinney's Total 10-Year Estimated Charge	McKinney's Overall 10-Year Cost Share (%)	⁽¹⁾ NTMWD Total 10-Year CIP Growth Charges	McKinney's Share of 10-year Capacity Expansion for new Growth
* RWWS	\$1,219,574,169	\$213,425,660	17.5%	\$476,957,590	\$83,467,649
** UEFIS	\$604,645,159	\$149,871,738	24.8%	\$135,899,000	\$33,684,913
Total:	\$1,824,219,328	\$363,297,398		\$612,856,590	\$117,152,561

^{*} RWWS - NTMWD's "Regional Wastewater System"

It should be noted, the 10-year CIP provided by NTMWD included additional projects that were designated as projects for "Peak Flows / New Growth", but these projects were excluded from this wastewater impact fee CIP.

4. Wastewater System Capital Improvement Projects for Impact Fees

The 10-year Wastewater System CIP for Impact Fees was developed by Birkhoff, Hendricks & Carter for the proposed lines and facilities that will be owned and operated by the City. **Exhibit 2** shows the recommended system improvements along with improvements that are currently under design or construction, and **Table No. 15** itemizes each proposed CIP project and the project cost. These recommended improvements form the basis for the Wastewater System Impact Fee Calculation.

Referencing **Exhibit 2**, the Dark Blue colored sewer lines represent existing infrastructure that was assessed for utilized capacity. The Green and Red colored facilities are proposed CIP projects; with Red representing City-initiated and funded projects and Green representing projects that the City intends to participate in oversize costs. Projects colored Light Blue are considered fully developer-funded lines that are generally 12-inches in diameter and smaller.

^{**} UEFIS - NTMWD's "Upper East Fork Interceptor System"

⁽¹⁾ - Based on Summation of NTMWD's 10-year CIP Elements for 'Expanding/ New Growth'

Table No. 15
Wastewater Collection System 10-Year Capital Improvement Plan Summary

WASTEWATER COLLECTION C.I.P.

Project LD.	Year		City Participation in Cost Oversize City Initiated and Funded Project	Size		Total Capital Cost (A)	,	Debt Service (B)	Total Project Cost (\$)		
PROPOSED WASTEWATER COLLECTION LINES											
1P	2022	(2)	Honey Creek Parallel Trunk Sewer	42" - 48"	\$	11,000,000	\$	5,912,750	\$	16,912,750	
2P	2020	(1)	36" Honey Creek Extension Trunk Sewer	36"	\$	1,018,593	\$	547,518	\$	1,566,111	
3P	2020	(1)	The Preserve at Honey Creek	15" - 21"	\$	307,836	\$	165,468	\$	473,304	
4P	2021	(1)	Upper East Fork Trunk Sewer	15" - 18"	\$	324,625	\$	174,493	\$	499,118	
5P	2020	(1)	Stover Creek Trunk Sewer Phase 2	27"	\$	1,240,000	\$	666,526	\$	1,906,527	
6P	2020	(2)	Old Mill Road Sewer (WW 1858)	8"	\$	2,000,000	\$	1,075,046	\$	3,075,046	
7P	2022	(1)	Franklin Branch Trunk Sewer	15" - 21"	\$	696,949	\$	374,626	\$	1,071,575	
8P	2024	(2)	Stonebridge Lift Station No. 1 Bypass Sewer	24"	\$	4,000,000	\$	2,150,092	\$	6,150,092	
9P	2022	(1)	Upper Wilson Creek Sewer	15"	\$	224,864	\$	120,870	\$	345,734	
10P	2027	(1)	Honey Creek Extension Trunk Sewer Phase 2	36"	\$	1,331,872	\$	715,911	\$	2,047,783	
11P	2025	(1)	Clemons Creek Trunk Sewer	24" - 27"	\$	1,183,662	\$	636,245	\$	1,819,907	
12P	2026	(1)	Big Branch Trunk Sewer	30"	\$	894,445	\$	480,785	\$	1,375,230	
13P	2026	(1)	Honey Creek Branch Sewer	15" - 18"	\$	343,825	\$	184,814	\$	528,639	
			PROPOSED WASTEWATER COLLECTION LINES SUB	TOTAL:	\$	24,566,671	\$	13,205,144	\$	37,771,816	
	PROPOS	ED V	VASTEWATER LIFT STATIONS								
PWWF-1	2023	(2)	Rutherford Branch East Pumping Capacity Expansion	5.9-MGD	\$	440,000	\$	236,510	\$	676,510	
			PROPOSED WASTEWATER LIFT STATIONS SUB	TOTAL:	\$	440,000	\$	236,510	\$	676,510	
			CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PLAN	TOTAL:	\$	25,006,671	\$	13,441,654	\$	38,448,326	

- (A) Opinion of Cost includes:
 - a) Engineer's Opinion of Construction Cost
 - b) Professional Services Fees (Survey, Engineering, Testing, Legal)
 - c) Cost of Easement or Land Acquisitions
- (B) Debt Service based on 20-year simple interest bonds at 4.5%

5. <u>Utilized Capacity</u>

Utilized capacity for the wastewater collection system was calculated based on land use assumptions provided by the City of McKinney. Future wastewater flow rates were calculated utilizing the City's projections of population and non-residential growth in each wastewater drainage basin. These growth rates were utilized to calculate 2019, 2029 and buildout peak wastewater design flows.

The percent-utilized capacity was calculated from the wastewater design flow of each study year based on the required buildout capacity. The utilized capacity during the Impact Fee period is the difference between the year 2029 required capacity and the year 2019 required capacity. **Table No. 16** summarizes the project cost and utilized cost over the impact fee period of 2019 – 2029.

TABLE NO. 16
Summary of Eligible Capital Cost and Utilized Capacity Cost

Waste water System Facility	<u>20-Year</u> <u>Project Cost</u>	Utilized Capacity in the CRP Period
Existing Lift Stations	\$2,862,087	\$137,870
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines	\$21,637,663	\$1,557,169
Proposed Lift Stations	\$676,510	\$539,821
Proposed Wastewater Collection Lines	\$37,771,816	\$17,806,099
Proposed NTMWD Systems CIP	\$117,152,561	\$117,152,561
Planning Expenses	\$294,000	\$294,000
Total:	\$180,394,637	\$137,487,520

The details of the utilized capacity calculations for the eligible existing and proposed wastewater lift station projects are presented in **Table Nos. 17 and 18**. The details of the utilized capacity calculations for each eligible existing wastewater collection line are presented in **Table No. 19**, and the calculation details for each proposed City of McKinney wastewater collection line are presented in **Table No. 20**.

TABLE 17 Existing Wastewater Lift Stations

					C	ost (\$)			Capa	city Utili	ized (%)	Ca	pacity Utilized (\$)
	Year	Estimated			Total Project	Debt Service Interest	20 Year Debt Service Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			In The CRF	***		In The CRF
Pump Station Improvements	Const.	Capacity	Const.	Engineering	Cost	Rate %	Simple Interest	Project Cost \$	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Period
Existing Lift Station Facilities														
(2) Sloan Lift Station & Force Main (WW1623)	2018	1.4-MGD	\$1,861,492	\$0	\$1,861,492	4.5%	\$1,000,595	\$2,862,087	62%	67%	5%	\$1,768,486	\$1,906,356	\$137,870
TOTAL EXISTING WASTEWATER L	IFT ST	'ATIONS:	\$1,861,492	\$0	\$1,861,492		\$1,000,595	\$2,862,087				\$1,768,486	\$1,906,356	\$137,870

- Opinion of Probable Cost
 Cost Obtained from the City of McKinney
 Cost Obtained from Final Pay Request
 Cost from Bid Tabulation

TABLE 18
Proposed Wastewater Lift Stations

					Cost (\$)			Capa	city Utiliz	zed (%)	Cap	acity Utilized	1 (\$)
Waste Water Lift Station Facility Improvements	Projected Year	Estimated Capacity	Const.	Engineering		Interest Rate	20 Year Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Yr.	2019	2029	In The CRF Period	2019	2029	In The CRF Period
Proposed Lift Station Facilities							F	,						
•								. 1						
(1) Rutherford Branch East Pumping Capacity Expansion	2023	5.9-MGD	\$400,000	\$40,000	\$440,000	4.5%	\$236,510	\$676,510	0%	80%	80%	\$0	\$539,821	\$539,821
TOTAL PROPOSED WASTEWATE	R LIFT S	TATIONS:	\$400,000	\$40,000	\$440,000	\$0	\$236,510	\$676,510		•	·	\$0	\$539,821	\$539,821

⁽¹⁾ Opinion of Probable Cost

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year				_			1
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) U	tilized Capa	city	(\$)	Utilized Capaci	ty
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
						k (1987) Line							
1 - 5101				k Main Interceptor)	on Cite	K (1 <i>9</i> 07) Lille	AI						
440	378	ginia Parkway 27	\$46.24	\$17,491	4.5%	\$9,402	\$26,893	100%	22%	0%	\$26,893	\$5,843	\$0
446	189	27	\$46.24	\$8,731	4.5%	\$4,693	\$13,424	100%	12%	0%	\$13,424	\$1,557	\$0
464	297	27	\$46.24	\$13,756	4.5%	\$7,394	\$21,150	100%	12%	0%	\$21,150	\$2,454	\$0
18443	178	27	\$46.24	\$8,230	4.5%	\$4,424	\$12,654	100%	12%	0%	\$12,654	\$1,468	\$0
498	302	27	\$46.24	\$13,945	4.5%	\$7,496	\$21,441	100%	7%	0%	\$21,441	\$1,489	\$0
496	425	27	\$46.24	\$19,633	4.5%	\$10,553	\$30,186	100%	7%	0%	\$30,186	\$2,097	\$0
501	301	27	\$46.24	\$13,899	4.5%	\$7,471	\$21,370	100%	7%	0%	\$21,370	\$1,484	\$0
539	283	27	\$46.24	\$13,092	4.5%	\$7,037	\$20,129	100%	7%	0%	\$20,129	\$1,398	\$0
587	146	27	\$46.24	\$6,769	4.5%	\$3,638	\$10,407	100%	7%	0%	\$10,407	\$723	\$0
588	464	27	\$46.24	\$21,451	4.5%	\$11,530	\$32,981	100%	7%	0%	\$32,981	\$2,291	\$0 \$0
612	63	27	\$46.24	\$2,924	4.5%	\$1,572	\$4,496	100%	2%	0%	\$4,496	\$111	\$0
613	333	27	\$46.24	\$15,393	4.5%	\$8,274	\$23,667	100%	2%	0%	\$23,667	\$583	\$0
615	274	27	\$46.24	\$12,662	4.5%	\$6,806	\$19,468	100%	2%	0%	\$19,468	\$479	\$0
665	302	27	\$46.24	\$13,949	4.5%	\$7,498	\$21,447	100%	2%	0%	\$21,447	\$528	\$0 \$0 \$0
695	501	27	\$46.24	\$23,175	4.5%	\$12,457	\$35,632	100%	2%	0%	\$35,632	\$878	\$0
713	411	27	\$46.24	\$19,026	4.5%	\$10,227	\$29,253	100%	2%	0%	\$29,253	\$721	\$0
714	493	27	\$46.24	\$22,814	4.5%	\$12,263	\$35,077	100%	23%	0%	\$35,077	\$8,136	\$0
710	298	27	\$46.24	\$13,787	4.5%	\$7,411	\$21,198	100%	23%	0%	\$21,198	\$4,917	\$0
720	501	27	\$46.24	\$23,160	4.5%	\$12,449	\$35,609	100%	23%	0%	\$35,609	\$8,259	\$0
727	178	27	\$46.24	\$8,250	4.5%	\$4,435	\$12,685	100%	23%	0%	\$12,685	\$2,942	\$0
734	410	27	\$46.24	\$18,978	4.5%	\$10,201	\$29,179	100%	23%	0%	\$29,179	\$6,768	\$0
749	502	27	\$46.24	\$23,222	4.5%	\$12,482	\$35,704	100%	25%	0%	\$35,704	\$8,969	\$0
778	494	27	\$46.24	\$22,864	4.5%	\$12,290	\$35,154	100%	25%	0%	\$35,154	\$8,831	\$0 \$0
817	140	27	\$46.24	\$6,455	4.5%	\$3,470	\$9,925	100%	25%	0%	\$9,925	\$2,493	\$0
834	126	27	\$46.24	\$5,814	4.5%	\$3,125	\$8,939	100%	25%	0%	\$8,939	\$2,246	\$0
867	286	27	\$46.24	\$13,247	4.5%	\$7,121	\$20,368	100%	25%	0%	\$20,368	\$5,117	\$0 \$0
906	351	27	\$46.24	\$16,223	4.5%	\$8,720	\$24,943	100%	25%	0%	\$24,943	\$6,266	\$0
952	505	27	\$46.24	\$23,353	4.5%	\$12,553	\$35,906	100%	25%	0%	\$35,906	\$9,021	\$0
42293	202	27	\$46.24	\$9,336	4.5%	\$5,018	\$14,354	100%	25%	0%	\$14,354	\$3,606	\$0 \$0
18435	326	27	\$46.24	\$15,070	4.5%	\$8,100	\$23,170	100%	2%	0%	\$23,170	\$571	\$0
Subtotal:	9,659			\$446,699	4.5%	\$240,110	\$686,809				\$686,809	\$102,246	\$0
		1011 0. 1	1!! Offa:4	e Sewer (198		,	4000,007		1		1000,007	+102,270	Ψ0
2 - Stol	0			,		W1-1			1				
700	Main Interce			way (Wilson Creek I		\$10,606	\$20.505	9601	Q O 01.	201.	\$26 221	¢26 001	¢550
799	376	21 21	\$44.94 \$44.94	\$19,899	4.5%	\$10,696 \$0,072	\$30,595 \$25,050	86%	88%	2%	\$26,331	\$26,881	\$550 \$466
873				\$16,878	4.5%	\$9,072	\$25,950	86%	88%	2%	\$22,334	\$22,800	\$466
920 980	318 381	21 21	\$44.94 \$44.94	\$14,307 \$17,146	4.5% 4.5%	\$7,690 \$9,216	\$21,997 \$26,362	86% 86%	88% 88%	2% 2%	\$18,931 \$22,688	\$19,327 \$23,162	\$396 \$474
1059	329	21	\$44.94 \$44.94	\$17,146 \$14,765	4.5%	\$9,216 \$7,937	\$20,362 \$22,702	87%	88%	2%	\$22,688 \$19,650	\$20,047	\$474 \$397
1164	379	18	\$44.94 \$44.94	\$14,765 \$17.046	4.5% 4.5%	\$7,937 \$9,163	\$22,702 \$26,209	87% 87%	88% 89%	2% 2%	\$19,650 \$22,821	\$20,047 \$23,267	\$397 \$446
1212	354	18	\$44.94 \$44.94	\$17,046 \$15,908	4.5% 4.5%	\$9,163 \$8,551	\$26,209 \$24,459	87% 87%	89% 89%	2% 2%	\$22,821 \$21,303	\$23,267 \$21,721	\$446 \$418
1212	162	18	\$44.94 \$44.94	\$13,908 \$7,298	4.5%	\$3,923	\$24,439 \$11,221	87% 87%	89%	2%	\$21,303 \$9,776	\$9,969	\$193
1254	164	18	\$44.94 \$44.94	\$7,298 \$7,384	4.5%	\$3,923 \$3,969		87% 87%	89%	2%	\$9,776 \$9,894	\$9,969 \$10,090	\$193 \$196
1200	104	10	φ 44 .94	\$1,384	4.5%	\$3,909	\$11,353	0/70	09%	270	\$9,894	\$10,090	\$190

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

	<u> </u>			1		20 Year	1			_			. 1
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) L	Itilized Capa	city	(\$)	Utilized Capaci	ty
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
1262	61	18	\$44.94	\$2,749	4.5%	\$1,478	\$4,227	87%	89%	2%	\$3,685	\$3,758	\$73
1314	166	18	\$44.94	\$7,463	4.5%	\$4,012	\$11,475	87%	89%	2%	\$10,007	\$10,206	\$199
1343	140	18	\$44.94	\$6,313	4.5%	\$3,393	\$9,706	87%	89%	2%	\$8,467	\$8,636	\$169
1358	63	18	\$44.94	\$2,851	4.5%	\$1,532	\$4,383	87%	89%	2%	\$3,826	\$3,903	\$77
1363	119	18	\$44.94	\$5,336	4.5%	\$2,868	\$8,204	87%	89%	2%	\$7,159	\$7,303	\$144
1458	362	18	\$44.94	\$16,253	4.5%	\$8,736	\$24,989	87%	89%	2%	\$21,821	\$22,261	\$440
1473	111	18	\$44.94	\$4,968	4.5%	\$2,670	\$7,638	87%	89%	2%	\$6,672	\$6,807	\$135
1533	198	18	\$44.94	\$8,901	4.5%	\$4,784	\$13,685	87%	89%	2%	\$11,958	\$12,201	\$243
1550	114	18	\$44.94	\$5,131	4.5%	\$2,758	\$7,889	87%	89%	2%	\$6,896	\$7,037	\$141
1623	228	18	\$44.94	\$10,231	4.5%	\$5,499	\$15,730	87%	89%	2%	\$13,755	\$14,036	\$281
1619	388	18	\$44.94	\$17,452	4.5%	\$9,381	\$26,833	88%	90%	2%	\$23,636	\$24,051	\$415
1682	457	18	\$44.94	\$20,543	4.5%	\$11,042	\$31,585	88%	90%	1%	\$27,871	\$28,344	\$473
1686	125	18	\$44.94	\$5,607	4.5%	\$3,014	\$8,621	89%	90%	1%	\$7,634	\$7,759	\$125
1688	225	18	\$44.94	\$10,112	4.5%	\$5,435	\$15,547	88%	90%	1%	\$13,750	\$13,979	\$229
1715	341	18	\$44.94	\$15,331	4.5%	\$8,241	\$23,572	88%	90%	1%	\$20,823	\$21,173	\$350
1732	127	18	\$44.94	\$5,709	4.5%	\$3,069	\$8,778	91%	92%	1%	\$7,998	\$8,073	\$75
1810	208	18	\$44.94	\$9,350	4.5%	\$5,026	\$14,376	91%	92%	1%	\$13,099	\$13,221	\$122
1829	79	18	\$44.94	\$3,551	4.5%	\$1,909	\$5,460	91%	92%	1%	\$4,975	\$5,022	\$47
1830	53	18	\$44.94	\$2,382	4.5%	\$1,280	\$3,662	91%	92%	1%	\$3,337	\$3,368	\$31
1905	203	18	\$44.94	\$9,122	4.5%	\$4,903	\$14,025	91%	92%	1%	\$12,780	\$12,900	\$120
1971	155	18	\$44.94	\$6,984	4.5%	\$3,754	\$10,738	91%	92%	1%	\$9,789	\$9,888	\$99
1981	86	18	\$44.94	\$3,884	4.5%	\$2,088	\$5,972	92%	92%	0%	\$5,480	\$5,487	\$7
2071	345	18	\$44.94	\$15,508	4.5%	\$8,336	\$23,844	92%	92%	0%	\$21,876	\$21,904	\$28
2153	338	18	\$44.94	\$15,194	4.5%	\$8,167	\$23,361	92%	92%	0%	\$21,430	\$21,458	\$28
2182	128	18	\$44.94	\$5,731	4.5%	\$3,081	\$8,812	92%	92%	0%	\$8,082	\$8,093	\$11
2279	310	18	\$44.94	\$13,933	4.5%	\$7,489	\$21,422	92%	92%	0%	\$19,646	\$19,672	\$26
2323	282	18	\$44.94	\$12,685	4.5%	\$6,818	\$19,503	92%	92%	0%	\$17,882	\$17,908	\$26
2372	190	18	\$44.94	\$8,551	4.5%	\$4,596	\$13,147	92%	92%	0%	\$12,052	\$12,070	\$18
2426	239	18	\$44.94	\$10,741	4.5%	\$5,774	\$16,515	92%	92%	0%	\$15,138	\$15,160	\$22
Subtotal:	8,749			\$393,197	4.5%	\$211,350	\$604,547				\$535,252	\$542,942	\$7,690
3 - Stor	nebridge	30" Offs	ite Sewe	r (1987) Line	e B 1								
	U	Branch (Wilson		` /									
458	156	33	\$52.35	\$8,156	4.5%	\$4,384	\$12,540	100%	100%	0%	\$12,540	\$12,540	\$0
474	231	33	\$52.35	\$12,089	4.5%	\$6,498	\$18,587	100%	100%	0%	\$18,587	\$18,587	\$0
475	237	33	\$52.35	\$12,400	4.5%	\$6,665	\$19,065	100%	100%	0%	\$19,065	\$19,065	\$0
518	274	33	\$52.35	\$14,355	4.5%	\$7,716	\$22,071	100%	100%	0%	\$22,071	\$22,071	\$0
532	239	30	\$52.35	\$12,533	4.5%	\$6,737	\$19,270	100%	100%	0%	\$19,270	\$19,270	\$0 \$0 \$0
554	235	30	\$52.35	\$12,317	4.5%	\$6,621	\$18,938	100%	100%	0%	\$18,938	\$18,938	\$0
557	390	30	\$52.35	\$20,399	4.5%	\$10,965	\$31,364	100%	100%	0%	\$31,364	\$31,364	\$0
558	252	30	\$52.35	\$13,182	4.5%	\$7,086	\$20,268	100%	100%	0%	\$20,268	\$20,268	\$0 \$0
589	454	30	\$52.35	\$23,772	4.5%	\$12,778	\$36,550	100%	100%	0%	\$36,550	\$36,550	\$0
593	368	30	\$52.35	\$19,271	4.5%	\$10,359	\$29,630	100%	100%	0%	\$29,630	\$29,630	\$0
604	368	30	\$52.35	\$19,261	4.5%	\$10,353	\$29,614	100%	100%	0%	\$29,614	\$29,614	\$0 \$0
630	331	30	\$52.35	\$17,335	4.5%	\$9,318	\$26,653	100%	100%	0%	\$26,653	\$26,653	\$0

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year		(M) I	W. 1 C	.*4	(4)	TIME A Commit	
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) (Utilized Capa	city	(\$)	Utilized Capac	ity
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
718	331	30	\$52.35	\$17,344	4.5%	\$9,323	\$26,667	100%	100%	0%	\$26,667	\$26,667	\$0
741	282	30	\$52.35	\$17,344 \$14,754	4.5%	\$7,931	\$20,685	100%	100%	0%	\$22,685	\$20,007	\$0 \$0
780	297	30	\$52.35	\$15,557	4.5%	\$8,362	\$23,919	100%	100%	0%	\$23,919	\$23,919	\$0 \$0
809	259	30	\$52.35	\$13,565	4.5%	\$7,291	\$20,856	100%	100%	0%	\$20,856	\$20,856	\$0
805	267	30	\$52.35	\$13,996	4.5%	\$7,523	\$21,519	100%	100%	0%	\$21,519	\$21,519	\$0
822	217	30	\$52.35	\$11,369	4.5%	\$6,111	\$17,480	100%	100%	0%	\$17,480	\$17,480	\$0
868	366	30	\$52.35	\$19,171	4.5%	\$10,305	\$29,476	100%	100%	0%	\$29,476	\$29,476	\$0
674	267	30	\$52.35	\$13,973	4.5%	\$7,511	\$21,484	100%	100%	0%	\$21,484	\$21,484	\$0
							·						
Subtotal:	5,823			\$304,799	4.5%	\$163,837	\$468,636				\$468,636	\$468,636	\$0
4 - Bra	y Centra	l 2 - Off	Site Sew	er									
	Trinity River	Lateral #6	1										
43272	381	18	\$6.62	\$2,521	4.5%	\$1,355	\$3,876	91%	100%	9%	\$3,527	\$3,876	\$349
21	443	18	\$6.62	\$2,929	4.5%	\$1,574	\$4,503	91%	100%	9%	\$4,098	\$4,503	\$405
18	329	18	\$6.62	\$2,175	4.5%	\$1,169	\$3,344	91%	100%	9%	\$3,043	\$3,344	\$301
25	576	21	\$6.62	\$3,811	4.5%	\$2,048	\$5,859	91%	100%	9%	\$5,332	\$5,859	\$527
29	473	15	\$6.62	\$3,127	4.5%	\$1,681	\$4,808	99%	100%	1%	\$4,782	\$4,808	\$26
30	498	15	\$6.62	\$3,294	4.5%	\$1,771	\$5,065	99%	100%	1%	\$5,038	\$5,065	\$27
31	195	15	\$6.62	\$1,293	4.5%	\$695	\$1,988	99%	100%	1%	\$1,965	\$1,988	\$23
32	430	15	\$6.62	\$2,846	4.5%	\$1,530	\$4,376	99%	100%	1%	\$4,325	\$4,376	\$51
34	235	15	\$6.62	\$1,556	4.5%	\$836	\$2,392	99%	100%	1%	\$2,364	\$2,392	\$28
12498	191	15	\$6.62	\$1,266	4.5%	\$681	\$1,947	99%	100%	1%	\$1,924	\$1,947	\$23
35	187	15	\$6.62	\$1,236	4.5%	\$664	\$1,900	99%	100%	1%	\$1,877	\$1,900	\$23
12496	148	15	\$6.62	\$976	4.5%	\$525	\$1,501	99%	100%	1%	\$1,482	\$1,501	\$19
40	167	15	\$6.62	\$1,106	4.5%	\$595	\$1,701	99%	100%	1%	\$1,679	\$1,701	\$22
42 39	204 340	15	\$6.62	\$1,352	4.5%	\$727	\$2,079	99% 99%	100%	1%	\$2,051	\$2,079	\$28
41	119	15 15	\$6.62 \$6.62	\$2,246 \$787	4.5% 4.5%	\$1,207 \$423	\$3,453 \$1,210	99%	100% 100%	1% 1%	\$3,406 \$1,193	\$3,453 \$1,210	\$47 \$17
41	448	16	\$6.62 \$6.62	\$787 \$2,967	4.5% 4.5%	\$423 \$1,595	\$4,562	99%	100%	1%	\$1,193 \$4,495	\$1,210 \$4,562	\$67
49	301	15	\$6.62	\$1,992	4.5%	\$1,071	\$3,063	99%	100%	1%	\$3,018	\$3,063	\$45
45	402	16	\$6.62	\$2,663	4.5%	\$1,431	\$4,094	99%	100%	1%	\$4,035	\$4,094	\$59
50	342	12	\$6.62	\$2,265	4.5%	\$1,431	\$3,482	99%	100%	1%	\$3,433	\$3,482	\$49
52	132	12	\$6.62	\$871	4.5%	\$468	\$1,339	99%	100%	1%	\$1,321	\$1,339	\$18
51	551	12	\$6.62	\$3,645	4.5%	\$1,959	\$5,604	99%	100%	1%	\$5,527	\$5,604	\$77
53	109	12	\$6.62	\$723	4.5%	\$389	\$1,112	99%	100%	1%	\$1,098	\$1,112	\$14
24022	140	12	\$6.62	\$926	4.5%	\$498	\$1,424	99%	100%	1%	\$1,407	\$1,424	\$17
56	478	12	\$6.62	\$3,163	4.5%	\$1,700	\$4,863	99%	100%	1%	\$4,806	\$4,863	\$57
47	146	16	\$6.62	\$964	4.5%	\$518	\$1,482	98%	100%	2%	\$1,460	\$1,482	\$22
Subtotal:	7,965			\$52,700	4.5%	\$28,327	\$81,027				\$78,686	\$81,027	\$2,341
5 - 36"	Wilson (Treek In	tercentor	Phase 2									
3 - 30			-	tment Plant (Wilson	Crook Main I	ntargantor)							
13612	Along Wilson	1 Стеек 10 wa 36	\$46.52	s3,423	Стеек маіп I 4.5%	\$1,840	\$5,263	60%	18%	0%	\$3,160	\$954	\$0
13612	259	36	\$46.52 \$46.52	\$3,423 \$12,045	4.5%	\$6,474	\$3,263 \$18,519		18%	0%	\$11,119	\$3,355	\$0 \$0

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year		(Ø ₂) I	Itilized Capa	oity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	·
					Debt	Debt Service		(70)	Tinzeu Capa	l	(Φ)	Cunzeu Capac	ity
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
13610	142	36	\$46.52	\$6,628	4.5%	\$3,563	\$10,191	61%	18%	0%	\$6,187	\$1,857	\$0
13634	382	36	\$46.52	\$17,773	4.5%	\$9,553	\$27,326	51%	29%	0%	\$13,830	\$7,820	\$0
18421	24	36	\$46.52	\$1,111	4.5%	\$597	\$1,708	44%	54%	11%	\$746	\$927	\$181
18422	20	36	\$46.52	\$922	4.5%	\$496	\$1,418	44%	54%	11%	\$619	\$770	\$151
13633	653	36	\$46.52	\$30,393	4.5%	\$16,337	\$46,730	59%	31%	0%	\$27,636	\$14,518	\$0
13631	626	36	\$46.52	\$29,115	4.5%	\$15,650	\$44,765	59%	31%	0%	\$26,474	\$13,906	\$0
13630	210	36	\$46.52	\$9,785	4.5%	\$5,260	\$15,045	59%	16%	0%	\$8,897	\$2,337	\$0
13628	453	36	\$46.52	\$21,068	4.5%	\$11,325	\$32,393	59%	16%	0%	\$19,156	\$5,031	\$0
13626	113	36	\$46.52	\$5,265	4.5%	\$2,830	\$8,095	60%	17%	0%	\$4,823	\$1,401	\$0
13627	746	36	\$46.52	\$34,709	4.5%	\$18,657	\$53,366	60%	17%	0%	\$31,796	\$9,234	\$0
1743	137	36	\$46.52	\$6,389	4.5%	\$3,434	\$9,823	60%	16%	0%	\$5,853	\$1,536	\$0
13625	225	36	\$46.52	\$10,471	4.5%	\$5,628	\$16,099	60%	16%	0%	\$9,591	\$2,518	\$0
13624	87	36	\$46.52	\$4,061	4.5%	\$2,183	\$6,244	60%	16%	0%	\$3,720	\$977	\$0
1834	391	36	\$46.52	\$18,178	4.5%	\$9,771	\$27,949	60%	16%	0%	\$16,652	\$4,371	\$0
13622	174	36	\$46.52	\$8,097	4.5%	\$4,352	\$12,449	60%	16%	0%	\$7,417	\$1,947	\$0
13620	236	36	\$46.52	\$10,990	4.5%	\$5,907	\$16,897	61%	20%	0%	\$10,327	\$3,445	\$0
13619	794	36	\$46.52	\$36,939	4.5%	\$19,856	\$56,795	61%	20%	0%	\$34,710	\$11,579	\$0
13618	601	36	\$46.52	\$27,942	4.5%	\$15,019	\$42,961	61%	20%	0%	\$26,256	\$8,758	\$0
13617	752	36	\$46.52	\$35,003	4.5%	\$18,815	\$53,818	61%	20%	0%	\$32,891	\$10,972	\$0
13616	712	36	\$46.52	\$33,109	4.5%	\$17,797	\$50,906	61%	20%	0%	\$31,111	\$10,378	\$0
13615	730	36	\$46.52	\$33,956	4.5%	\$18,252	\$52,208	61%	20%	0%	\$31,907	\$10,643	\$0
13613	474	36	\$46.52	\$22,050	4.5%	\$11,852	\$33,902	59%	16%	0%	\$20,098	\$5,563	\$0
13614	19	36	\$46.52	\$899	4.5%	\$483	\$1,382	59%	16%	0%	\$819	\$227	\$0
13632	17	36	\$46.52	\$796	4.5%	\$428	\$1,224	59%	31%	0%	\$724	\$380	\$0
13621	352	36	\$46.52	\$16,390	4.5%	\$8,810	\$25,200	61%	20%	0%	\$15,401	\$5,138	\$0
13623	398	36	\$46.52	\$18,494	4.5%	\$9,941	\$28,435	60%	16%	0%	\$16,940	\$4,447	\$0
Subtotal:	9,801			\$456,001	4.5%	\$245,110	\$701,111				\$418,860	\$144,989	\$332
6 - 15"	Mckinne	ey North	west Out	fall Sewer (1	1982)								
	From Wilson	Creek To Wa	stewater Treat	ment Plant (Wilson	Creek Lateral	(#20)							
265	355	15	\$33.72	\$11,975	4.5%	\$6,437	\$18,412	87%	95%	7%	\$16,054	\$17,421	\$1,367
311	499	15	\$33.72	\$16,841	4.5%	\$9,052	\$25,893	87%	95%	7%	\$22,587	\$24,504	\$1,917
348	456	15	\$33.72	\$15,382	4.5%	\$8,268	\$23,650	87%	95%	7%	\$20,641	\$22,385	\$1,744
376	461	15	\$33.72	\$15,531	4.5%	\$8,348	\$23,879	87%	95%	7%	\$20,858	\$22,606	\$1,748
435	508	15	\$33.72	\$17,117	4.5%	\$9,201	\$26,318	87%	95%	7%	\$23,007	\$24,920	\$1,913
483	604	15	\$33.72	\$20,370	4.5%	\$10,949	\$31,319	87%	95%	7%	\$27,401	\$29,660	\$2,259
542	423	15	\$33.72	\$14,257	4.5%	\$7,663	\$21,920	87%	94%	7%	\$19,052	\$20,693	\$1,641
600	346	15	\$33.72	\$11,676	4.5%	\$6,276	\$17,952	85%	94%	8%	\$15,327	\$16,806	\$1,479
658	127	15	\$33.72	\$4,267	4.5%	\$2,294	\$6,561	86%	94%	8%	\$5,610	\$6,148	\$538
669	226	15	\$33.72	\$7,616	4.5%	\$4,094	\$11,710	86%	94%	8%	\$10,028	\$10,983	\$955
698	58	15	\$33.72	\$1,970	4.5%	\$1,059	\$3,029	86%	94%	8%	\$2,598	\$2,843	\$245
701	216	15	\$33.72	\$7,296	4.5%	\$3,922	\$11,218	86%	94%	8%	\$9,634	\$10,540	\$906
730	125	15	\$33.72	\$4,204	4.5%	\$2,260	\$6,464	86%	94%	8%	\$5,558	\$6,078	\$520
Subtotal:	4,404			\$148,502	4.5%	\$79,823	\$228,325				\$198,355	\$215,587	\$17,232

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year		(Ø) I	Itilized Capa	a.*4	(4)	Utilized Capaci	-4
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) (Tilized Capa	City	(\$)	Utilized Capaci	ity
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
7 - Jea	ns Creek	Intercep	tor Line										
		Creek (Wilson											
1081	410	15	\$27.90	\$11,434	4.5%	\$6,146	\$17,580	93%	94%	2%	\$16,290	\$16,589	\$299
1186	284	15	\$27.90	\$7,914	4.5%	\$4,254	\$12,168	93%	94%	2%	\$11,275	\$11,482	\$207
1248	101	15	\$27.90	\$2,805	4.5%	\$1,508	\$4,313	93%	94%	2%	\$3,997	\$4,068	\$71
1278	411	15	\$27.90	\$11,473	4.5%	\$6,167	\$17,640	93%	94%	2%	\$16,346	\$16,638	\$292
1376	125	15	\$27.90	\$3,494	4.5%	\$1,878	\$5,372	93%	94%	2%	\$4,978	\$5,067	\$89
1395	189	15	\$27.90	\$5,265	4.5%	\$2,830	\$8,095	93%	94%	2%	\$7,496	\$7,628	\$132
1460	168	15	\$27.90	\$4,688	4.5%	\$2,520	\$7,208	93%	94%	2%	\$6,675	\$6,792	\$117
1528	152	15	\$27.90	\$4,235	4.5%	\$2,276	\$6,511	93%	94%	2%	\$6,029	\$6,135	\$106
1565	177	15	\$27.90	\$4,927	4.5%	\$2,648	\$7,575	93%	94%	2%	\$7,015	\$7,138	\$123
1618	116	15	\$27.90	\$3,233	4.5%	\$1,738	\$4,971	93%	94%	2%	\$4,605	\$4,682	\$77
1643	360	15	\$27.90	\$10,058	4.5%	\$5,406	\$15,464	93%	94%	2%	\$14,324	\$14,567	\$243
1763	321	15	\$27.90	\$8,968	4.5%	\$4,821	\$13,789	93%	94%	2%	\$12,773	\$12,989	\$216
1868	54	15	\$27.90	\$1,510	4.5%	\$812	\$2,322	93%	94%	1%	\$2,155	\$2,190	\$35
42764	257	15	\$27.90	\$7,170	4.5%	\$3,854	\$11,024	93%	94%	1%	\$10,231	\$10,395	\$164
1963	215	15	\$27.90	\$6,007	4.5%	\$3,229	\$9,236	93%	94%	1%	\$8,572	\$8,709	\$137
2034	151	15	\$27.90	\$4,211	4.5%	\$2,264	\$6,475	93%	94%	1%	\$6,009	\$6,106	\$97
2073	118	18	\$27.90	\$3,285	4.5%	\$1,766	\$5,051	93%	94%	1%	\$4,688	\$4,763	\$75
2072	560	18	\$27.90	\$15,637	4.5%	\$8,405	\$24,042	93%	94%	1%	\$22,313	\$22,671	\$358
2222	604	18	\$27.90	\$16,841	4.5%	\$9,052	\$25,893	93%	94%	1%	\$24,031	\$24,416	\$385
2381	227	18	\$27.90	\$6,335	4.5%	\$3,405	\$9,740	93%	94%	1%	\$9,040	\$9,185	\$145
2387	237	18	\$27.90	\$6,603	4.5%	\$3,549	\$10,152	93%	94%	1%	\$9,422	\$9,573	\$151
2389	80	18	\$27.90	\$2,244	4.5%	\$1,206	\$3,450	93%	94%	1%	\$3,202	\$3,253	\$51
2409	146	18	\$27.90	\$4,068	4.5%	\$2,187	\$6,255	93%	94%	1%	\$5,805	\$5,898	\$93
2439	409	15	\$27.90	\$11,409	4.5%	\$6,133	\$17,542	93%	94%	1%	\$16,287	\$16,547	\$260
2698	384	15	\$27.90	\$10,711	4.5%	\$5,757	\$16,468	93%	94%	1%	\$15,296	\$15,539	\$243
43060	397	15	\$27.90	\$11,075	4.5%	\$5,953	\$17,028	93%	94%	1%	\$15,816	\$16,068	\$252
Subtotal:	6,652			\$185,600	4.5%	\$99,764	\$285,364				\$264,670	\$269,088	\$4,418
8 - 36"	-48" Wil	son Cree	k Interce	eptor Sewer	Phase 1								
		oad To West Si											
6109	148	42	\$211.76	\$31,336	4.5%	\$16,844	\$48,180	62%	24%	0%	\$29,939	\$11,582	\$0
6110	411	42	\$211.76	\$87,081	4.5%	\$46,808	\$133,889	62%	24%	0%	\$83,199	\$32,185	\$0
6111	95	42	\$211.76	\$20,056	4.5%	\$10,781	\$30,837	81%	40%	0%	\$24,835	\$12,226	\$0
6112	865	42	\$211.76	\$183,278	4.5%	\$98,516	\$281,794	81%	40%	0%	\$226,944	\$111,722	\$0
6176	548	42	\$211.76	\$116,000	4.5%	\$62,353	\$178,353	81%	40%	0%	\$143,636	\$70,711	\$0
6175	370	42	\$211.76	\$78,344	4.5%	\$42,112	\$120,456	81%	40%	0%	\$97,008	\$47,757	\$0
6174	263	48	\$211.76	\$55,660	4.5%	\$29,919	\$85,579	81%	40%	0%	\$68,921	\$33,930	\$0
6173	364	48	\$211.76	\$77,072	4.5%	\$41,428	\$118,500	81%	40%	0%	\$95,433	\$46,982	\$0
6172	293	48	\$211.76	\$62,002	4.5%	\$33,327	\$95,329	81%	40%	0%	\$76,770	\$37,795	\$0
3153	560	48	\$211.76	\$118,604	4.5%	\$63,752	\$182,356	69%	34%	0%	\$126,665	\$62,466	\$0
3238	744	48	\$211.76	\$157,655	4.5%	\$84,743	\$242,398	68%	37%	0%	\$165,653	\$90,848	\$0
3425	231	48	\$211.76	\$48,831	4.5%	\$26,248	\$75,079	68%	37%	0%	\$51,308	\$28,139	\$0
3486	293	48	\$211.76	\$61,983	4.5%	\$33,317	\$95,300		37%	0%	\$65,127	\$35,717	\$0

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

	ı					20 Year	ĺ				ı		
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) U	Itilized Capa	city	(\$)	Utilized Capaci	ity
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Dina	Longth	Diameter	Cost	Capital		Simple	Project			Fee			During
Pipe	Length			_	Intersest		Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
Number 3553	(Ft.) 663	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.) \$211.76	Cost (\$) \$140,300	Rate %	Interest \$75,414	\$215.714	69%	36%	0%	\$148,592	\$76,697	\$0
3700	232	48	\$211.76	\$49,197	4.5% 4.5%	\$75,414 \$26,445	\$215,714 \$75,642	70%	38%	0%	\$148,392 \$52,690	\$28,801	\$0 \$0
6182	170	48	\$211.76	\$36,094	4.5%	\$20,443 \$19,401	\$75,042 \$55,495	70% 70%	38%	0%	\$32,690 \$38,656	\$20,001	\$0 \$0
6183	376	48	\$211.76	\$79,707	4.5%	\$42,844	\$122,551	70%	38%	0%	\$85,365	\$46,661	\$0 \$0
6184	435	48	\$211.76	\$92,099	4.5%	\$49,505	\$141,604	70%	38%	0%	\$98,636	\$53,915	\$0 \$0
6185	359	48	\$211.76	\$76,001	4.5%	\$40,852	\$116,853	70%	38%	0%	\$81,395	\$44,491	\$0
6186	302	48	\$211.76	\$64,006	4.5%	\$34,405	\$98,411	70%	38%	0%	\$68,552	\$37,467	\$0
6187	273	48	\$211.76	\$57,770	4.5%	\$31,053	\$88,823	70%	38%	0%	\$61,862	\$33,817	\$0
6228	212	48	\$211.76	\$44,978	4.5%	\$24,177	\$69,155	76%	61%	0%	\$52,841	\$42,226	\$0
6103	508	36	\$211.76	\$107,601	4.5%	\$57,838	\$165,439	62%	21%	0%	\$102,087	\$34,804	\$0
6104	80	42	\$211.76	\$16,899	4.5%	\$9,084	\$25,983	62%	21%	0%	\$16,033	\$5,466	\$0
6105	62	42	\$211.76	\$13,213	4.5%	\$7,102	\$20,315	59%	23%	0%	\$12,041	\$4,732	\$0
2828	525	42	\$211.76	\$111,074	4.5%	\$59,705	\$170,779	57%	22%	0%	\$98,105	\$37,633	\$0
2870	169	42	\$211.76	\$35,854	4.5%	\$19,272	\$55,126	57%	22%	0%	\$31,667	\$12,148	\$0
2906	587	42	\$211.76	\$124,265	4.5%	\$66,795	\$191,060	57%	22%	0%	\$109,752	\$42,101	\$0
20740	42	42	\$211.76	\$8,840	4.5%	\$4,752	\$13,592	59%	23%	0%	\$8,057	\$3,166	\$0
Subtotal:	10,180			\$2,155,800	4.5%	\$1,158,792	\$3,314,592				\$2,321,769	\$1,147,315	\$0
9 - 18''	Diversio	n Sewer	Line: W	est. Davis, I	onisiana	& Woodleig	h St.						
		morton To 27											
1365	1 777	18	\$64.24	\$49,933	4.5%	\$26,840	\$76,773	100%	100%	0%	\$76,681	\$76,773	\$92
1369	346	18	\$64.24	\$22,251	4.5%	\$11,960	\$34,211	100%	100%	0%	\$34,211	\$34,211	\$0
1372	496	18	\$64.24	\$31,876	4.5%	\$17,134	\$49.010	100%	100%	0%	\$49,010	\$49,010	\$0
1374	336	18	\$64.24	\$21,592	4.5%	\$11,606	\$33,198	100%	100%	0%	\$33,198	\$33,198	\$0
1389	276	18	\$64.24	\$17,720	4.5%	\$9,525	\$27,245	100%	100%	0%	\$27,245	\$27,245	\$0
1401	207	18	\$64.24	\$13,278	4.5%	\$7,137	\$20,415	100%	100%	0%	\$20,399	\$20,415	\$16
13982	268	18	\$64.24	\$17,216	4.5%	\$9,254	\$26,470	100%	100%	0%	\$26,451	\$26,470	\$19
1397	228	18	\$64.24	\$14,658	4.5%	\$7,879	\$22,537	100%	100%	0%	\$22,520	\$22,537	\$17
15341	495	18	\$64.24	\$31,775	4.5%	\$17,080	\$48,855	100%	100%	0%	\$48,855	\$48,855	\$0
Subtotal:	3,429			\$220,299	4.5%	\$118,415	\$338,714				\$338,570	\$338,714	\$144
10 - 12	" Provin	e Farm E	Estates										
		Boulevard To		k Interceptor									
2723	174	12	\$48.75	\$8,463	4.5%	\$4,549	\$13,012	100%	100%	0%	\$13,012	\$13,012	\$0
2750	185	12	\$48.75	\$9,007	4.5%	\$4,841	\$13,848	100%	100%	0%	\$13,848	\$13,848	\$0
2769	306	12	\$48.75	\$14,904	4.5%	\$8,011	\$22,915	100%	100%	0%	\$22,915	\$22,915	\$0
2788	295	12	\$48.75	\$14,400	4.5%	\$7,740	\$22,140	100%	100%	0%	\$22,140	\$22,140	\$0
2803	295	12	\$48.75	\$14,384	4.5%	\$7,732	\$22,116	100%	100%	0%	\$22,116	\$22,116	\$0
2820	297	12	\$48.75	\$14,458	4.5%	\$7,772	\$22,230	100%	100%	0%	\$22,230	\$22,230	\$0
2858	329	12	\$48.75	\$16,057	4.5%	\$8,631	\$24,688	100%	100%	0%	\$24,688	\$24,688	\$0
2139	422	15	\$48.75	\$20,552	4.5%	\$11,047	\$31,599	98%	99%	1%	\$30,884	\$31,205	\$321
2154	107	16	\$48.75	\$5,196	4.5%	\$2,793	\$7,989	98%	99%	1%	\$7,828	\$7,907	\$79
2316	521	12	\$48.75	\$25,413	4.5%	\$13,660	\$39,073	100%	100%	0%	\$39,073	\$39,073	\$0
2388	329	12	\$48.75	\$16,039	4.5%	\$8,621	\$24,660	100%	100%	0%	\$24,660	\$24,660	\$0

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year		(Ø/) I	Itilized Capa	alt.	(4)	Utilized Capac	:4
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) (Tilizeu Capa	Lity	(Ф)	Othizeu Capac	ity
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
2502	499	12	\$48.75	\$24,317	4.5%	\$13,071	\$37,388	100%	100%	0%	\$37,388	\$37,388	\$0
2493	149	12	\$48.75	\$7,284	4.5%	\$3,915	\$11,199	100%	100%	0%	\$11,199	\$11,199	\$0
2638	480	12	\$48.75	\$23,404	4.5%	\$12,580	\$35,984	100%	100%	0%	\$35,984	\$35,984	\$0
2650	150	12	\$48.75	\$7,307	4.5%	\$3,928	\$11,235	100%	100%	0%	\$11,235	\$11,235	\$0
2709	486	12	\$48.75	\$23,713	4.5%	\$12,746	\$36,459	100%	100%	0%	\$36,459	\$36,459	\$0
Subtotal:	5,023			\$244,898	4.5%	\$131,637	\$376,535				\$375,659	\$376,059	\$400
11 - 18	" Cotton	wood Cr	eek Sanit	tary Sewer									
			f Eldorado Pa										
4741	278	18	\$88.25	\$24,540	4.5%	\$13,191	\$37,731	91%	94%	3%	\$34,257	\$35,348	\$1,091
42290	86	18	\$88.25	\$7,619	4.5%	\$4,095	\$11,714	90%	93%	3%	\$10,589	\$10,951	\$362
4720	123	18	\$88.25	\$10,893	4.5%	\$5,855	\$16,748	93%	94%	1%	\$15,579	\$15,769	\$190
4723	785	18	\$88.25	\$69,236	4.5%	\$37,216	\$106,452	92%	94%	2%	\$98,290	\$100,058	\$1,768
4729	762	18	\$88.25	\$67,252	4.5%	\$36,149	\$103,401	92%	94%	2%	\$94,809	\$97,035	\$2,226
4733	481	18	\$88.25	\$42,405	4.5%	\$22,794	\$65,199	91%	94%	3%	\$59,387	\$61,093	\$1,706
5419	721	18	\$88.25	\$63,630	4.5%	\$34,203	\$97,833	91%	94%	3%	\$89,292	\$91,858	\$2,566
4738	401	18	\$88.25	\$35,424	4.5%	\$19,041	\$54,465	91%	94%	3%	\$49,672	\$51,135	\$1,463
Subtotal:	3,637			\$320,999	4.5%	\$172,544	\$493,543				\$451,875	\$463,247	\$11,372
12 - 24	" Mckini	ney Nortl	hwest Ou	itfall Sewer	Along W	ilson Creek (1982)						
				th Of Virginia Parky		Ì	,						
P6226	54	24	\$100.73	\$5,449	4.5%	\$2,929	\$8,378	59%	9%	0%	\$4,902	\$724	\$0
2789	277	24	\$100.73	\$27,949	4.5%	\$15,023	\$42,972	60%	12%	0%	\$25,745	\$5,124	\$0
2795	134	24	\$100.73	\$13,503	4.5%	\$7,258	\$20,761	60%	21%	0%	\$12,445	\$4,258	\$0
751	252	15	\$100.73	\$25,373	4.5%	\$13,639	\$39,012	86%	94%	8%	\$33,590	\$36,716	\$3,126
784	597	15	\$100.73	\$60,114	4.5%	\$32,313	\$92,427	87%	95%	8%	\$80,446	\$87,389	\$6,943
897	635	15	\$100.73	\$63,939	4.5%	\$34,369	\$98,308	88%	95%	7%	\$86,702	\$93,442	\$6,740
1050	378	24	\$100.73	\$38,104	4.5%	\$20,482	\$58,586	100%	33%	0%	\$58,586	\$19,085	\$0
1157	22	24	\$100.73	\$2,266	4.5%	\$1,218	\$3,484	100%	33%	0%	\$3,484	\$1,135	\$0
1307	714	24	\$100.73	\$71,922	4.5%	\$38,660	\$110,582	28%	2%	0%	\$31,239	\$1,974	\$0
1466	122	24	\$100.73	\$12,243	4.5%	\$6,581	\$18,824	56%	36%	0%	\$10,569	\$6,702	\$0
1499	458	24	\$100.73	\$46,134	4.5%	\$24,798	\$70,932	56%	36%	0%	\$39,806	\$25,254	\$0
1608	109	24	\$100.73	\$10,962	4.5%	\$5,892	\$16,854	57%	17%	0%	\$9,576	\$2,920	\$0
1675	402	24	\$100.73	\$40,528	4.5%	\$21,785	\$62,313	57%	17%	0%	\$35,397	\$10,795	\$0
10219	345	24	\$100.73	\$34,722	4.5%	\$18,664	\$53,386	57%	17%	0%	\$30,321	\$9,248	\$0
1808	57	24	\$100.73	\$5,788	4.5%	\$3,111	\$8,899	57%	18%	0%	\$5,094	\$1,625	\$0
10217	54	24	\$100.73	\$5,426	4.5%	\$2,917	\$8,343	57%	18%	0%	\$4,775	\$1,523	\$0
1781	533	24	\$100.73	\$53,698	4.5%	\$28,864	\$82,562	57%	18%	0%	\$47,247	\$15,072	\$0
2024	595	24	\$100.73	\$59,945	4.5%	\$32,222	\$92,167	57%	18%	0%	\$52,737	\$16,825	\$0
2074	550	24	\$100.73	\$55,410	4.5%	\$29,784	\$85,194	58%	15%	0%	\$49,293	\$12,542	\$0 \$0
2132	800	24	\$100.73	\$80,610	4.5%	\$43,330	\$123,940	58%	15%	0%	\$71,698	\$18,246	\$0
2272	600	24	\$100.73	\$60,397	4.5%	\$32,465	\$92,862	58%	15%	0%	\$53,719	\$13,671	\$0
2386	751 704	24 24	\$100.73 \$100.73	\$75,659 \$70,058	4.5%	\$40,668 \$38,142	\$116,327 \$100,100	58%	15%	0% 0%	\$67,276 \$63,088	\$17,124 \$16,060	\$0 \$0
2506	/04	24	\$100.73	\$70,958	4.5%	\$38,142	\$109,100	58%	15%	0%	\$63,088	\$16,060	\$0

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year		(%) I	Utilized Capa	city	(2)	Utilized Capaci	ity
					Debt	Debt Service		(70)	Capa	City	(ψ)	Cunzeu Capac	ity
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
2677	716	24	\$100.73	\$72,134	4.5%	\$38,774	\$110,908	58%	15%	0%	\$64,125	\$16,326	\$0
2671	16	24	\$100.73	\$1,657	4.5%	\$891	\$2,548	58%	15%	0%	\$1,473	\$375	\$0
13614MH2	507	24	\$100.73	\$51,080	4.5%	\$27,457	\$78,537	59%	23%	0%	\$46,557	\$17,927	\$0
136322	667	24	\$100.73	\$67,202	4.5%	\$36,123	\$103,325	28%	2%	0%	\$29,192	\$1,848	\$0
Subtotal:	11,051			\$1,113,172	4.5%	\$598,359	\$1,711,531				\$1,019,082	\$453,930	\$16,809
	,	00 Sanita	ry Sewei	, ,		, ,	. , , ,				. , , ,		,,,,,,,,
13 - 13	_		•										
3795	481	Creek Interce	ptor To S.H. 7	\$24,829	4.5%	¢12.246	¢20 175	700	016	19%	¢27.624	¢24.770	¢7 120
3795 43486	115	15	\$51.59 \$51.59	\$24,829 \$5,940	4.5% 4.5%	\$13,346 \$3,193	\$38,175 \$9,133	72% 72%	91% 91%	19%	\$27,634 \$6,612	\$34,772	\$7,138 \$1,707
II I	184											\$8,319	
3843	310	15	\$51.59	\$9,475	4.5%	\$5,093	\$14,568	72%	91%	19%	\$10,547	\$13,269	\$2,722
3885 3925	310	15 15	\$51.59	\$16,006	4.5%	\$8,604	\$24,610	72% 72%	91%	19% 19%	\$17,816	\$22,416	\$4,600
3925 3962	375	-	\$51.59	\$17,408	4.5%	\$9,357	\$26,765	72%	91%	19%	\$19,377	\$24,379	\$5,002
	333	15	\$51.59	\$19,349	4.5%	\$10,401	\$29,750		91%		\$21,538	\$27,098	\$5,560
3990	82	15	\$51.59	\$17,197	4.5%	\$9,244	\$26,441	72%	91%	19%	\$18,991	\$24,138	\$5,147
4003	312	15 15	\$51.59	\$4,218	4.5% 4.5%	\$2,267	\$6,485	71%	92% 92%	20%	\$4,618	\$5,934	\$1,316
4001			\$51.59	\$16,072		\$8,639	\$24,711	70%		22%	\$17,253	\$22,737	\$5,484
21027	494 228	15	\$51.59	\$25,491	4.5%	\$13,702	\$39,193	69%	92%	23%	\$27,051	\$36,175	\$9,124
4130		15	\$51.59	\$11,758	4.5%	\$6,320	\$18,078	68%	93%	24%	\$12,317	\$16,743	\$4,426
4169	280 338	15	\$51.59	\$14,448	4.5%	\$7,766	\$22,214	67%	93%	26%	\$14,919	\$20,652	\$5,733
4221	380	15	\$51.59	\$17,435	4.5%	\$9,372	\$26,807	66%	93%	27%	\$17,710	\$25,028	\$7,318
4272	373	15	\$51.59	\$19,613	4.5%	\$10,542	\$30,155	65%	94%	29%	\$19,551	\$28,287	\$8,736
4316	373 489	15	\$51.59	\$19,256	4.5%	\$10,351	\$29,607	63%	94%	31%	\$18,784	\$27,925	\$9,141
4396 21029	309	15 15	\$51.59 \$51.59	\$25,236 \$15,917	4.5% 4.5%	\$13,565 \$8,556	\$38,801 \$24,473	62% 71%	95% 92%	33% 21%	\$24,000 \$17,266	\$36,814 \$22,454	\$12,814 \$5,188
21029	307	13	\$31.39	\$13,917	4.5 /6	\$6,550	\$24,473	7176	9270	2170	\$17,200	\$22,434	ψ3,100
Subtotal:	5,420			\$279,648	4.5%	\$150,318	\$429,966				\$295,984	\$397,140	\$101,156
14 - 12'	'' Herdor	n Branch	Trunk S	Sewer									
	From Hills C	Creek Drive To	Wilson Creek	Interceptor									
2973	530	12	\$60.00	\$31,799	4.5%	\$17,093	\$48,892	92%	93%	1%	\$45,095	\$45,378	\$283
2731	249	12	\$60.00	\$14,960	4.5%	\$8,041	\$23,001	92%	93%	1%	\$21,088	\$21,363	\$275
2719	223	12	\$60.00	\$13,389	4.5%	\$7,197	\$20,586	92%	93%	1%	\$18,856	\$19,121	\$265
6062	64	12	\$60.00	\$3,821	4.5%	\$2,054	\$5,875	92%	93%	1%	\$5,391	\$5,456	\$65
2758	234	12	\$60.00	\$14,019	4.5%	\$7,536	\$21,555	92%	93%	1%	\$19,747	\$20,020	\$273
2768	479	12	\$60.00	\$28,739	4.5%	\$15,448	\$44,187	92%	93%	1%	\$40,487	\$41,022	\$535
2814	267	12	\$60.00	\$16,023	4.5%	\$8,613	\$24,636	92%	93%	1%	\$22,649	\$22,877	\$228
2850	408	12	\$60.00	\$24,457	4.5%	\$13,146	\$37,603	92%	93%	1%	\$34,602	\$34,911	\$309
2857	188	12	\$60.00	\$11,255	4.5%	\$6,050	\$17,305	92%	93%	1%	\$15,942	\$16,065	\$123
1614	480	12	\$60.00	\$28,827	4.5%	\$15,495	\$44,322	78%	83%	5%	\$34,610	\$36,747	\$2,137
1755	306	12	\$60.00	\$18,370	4.5%	\$9,874	\$28,244	78%	83%	5%	\$22,057	\$23,417	\$1,360
1737	340	12	\$60.00	\$20,390	4.5%	\$10,960	\$31,350	79%	83%	5%	\$24,627	\$26,124	\$1,497
1767	138	12	\$60.00	\$8,273	4.5%	\$4,447	\$12,720	79%	84%	5%	\$10,055	\$10,656	\$601
1875	342	12	\$60.00	\$20,531	4.5%	\$11,036	\$31,567	80%	84%	5%	\$25,116	\$26,592	\$1,476
1880	96	12	\$60.00	\$5,740	4.5%	\$3,085	\$8,825	80%	84%	5%	\$7,028	\$7,444	\$416

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

				I		20 Year		(M) T	V.III. 1.0	•,		TURN 1.0	
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) L	tilized Capa	city	(\$)	Utilized Capaci	ty
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
6059	288	12	\$60.00	\$17,265	4.5%	\$9,280	\$26,545	80%	84%	5%	\$21,155	\$22,419	\$1,264
2080	503	12	\$60.00	\$30,194	4.5%	\$16,230	\$46,424	80%	85%	5%	\$37,033	\$39,266	\$2,233
2118	441	12	\$60.00	\$26,458	4.5%	\$14,222	\$40,680	80%	85%	5%	\$32,492	\$34,466	\$1,974
2292	623	12	\$60.00	\$37,403	4.5%	\$20,105	\$57,508	82%	86%	5%	\$46,908	\$49,575	\$2,667
2309	60	12	\$60.00	\$3,612	4.5%	\$1,942	\$5,554	83%	88%	4%	\$4,631	\$4,876	\$245
2288	165	12	\$60.00	\$9,885	4.5%	\$5,313	\$15,198	85%	89%	4%	\$12,967	\$13,599	\$632
2407	574	12	\$60.00	\$34,445	4.5%	\$18,515	\$52,960	87%	91%	4%	\$46,287	\$48,348	\$2,061
2509	620	12	\$60.00	\$37,200	4.5%	\$19,996	\$57,196	90%	93%	4%	\$51,238	\$53,304	\$2,066
6060 2695	309 239	12 12	\$60.00 \$60.00	\$18,520	4.5% 4.5%	\$9,955 \$7,712	\$28,475 \$22,062	90% 91%	93% 93%	3% 2%	\$25,684 \$20,047	\$26,509	\$825 \$466
43024	239	12	\$60.00	\$14,349 \$14,675	4.5% 4.5%	\$7,713 \$7,888	\$22,563	78%	83%	2% 5%	\$20,047 \$17,619	\$20,513 \$18,707	\$1,088
43024	243	12	\$00.00	\$14,073	4.5%	\$7,000	\$22,303	18%	85%	3%	\$17,019	\$10,707	\$1,000
Subtotal:	8,411			\$504,599	4.5%	\$271,234	\$775,833				\$663,411	\$688,775	\$25,364
15 - 18	" Jeans (Creek Re	lief Sewe	er									
	Along S.H 75	To Wilson Cr	reek Intercepto	or									
P7273	371	18	\$66.59	\$24,714	4.5%	\$13,284	\$37,998	89%	91%	2%	\$33,852	\$34,704	\$852
6100	500	18	\$66.59	\$33,300	4.5%	\$17,900	\$51,200	93%	94%	1%	\$47,540	\$48,298	\$758
6101	102	18	\$66.59	\$6,772	4.5%	\$3,640	\$10,412	93%	94%	1%	\$9,668	\$9,822	\$154
P7273	371	18	\$66.59	\$24,714	4.5%	\$13,284	\$37,998	89%	91%	2%	\$33,852	\$34,704	\$852
Subtotal:	1,344			\$89,500	4.5%	\$48,108	\$137,608				\$124,912	\$127,528	\$2,616
16 - Ea	gles Nest	Sewer S	Service										
				& 3 / Eagle'S Nest	4								
13437	315	18	\$3.63	\$1,145	4.5%	\$615	\$1,760	100%	100%	0%	\$1,754	\$1,757	\$3
13438	122	18	\$3.63	\$443	4.5%	\$238	\$681	100%	100%	0%	\$679	\$680	\$1
13440	196	18	\$3.63	\$713	4.5%	\$383	\$1,096	100%	100%	0%	\$1,092	\$1,094	\$2
13441	23	18	\$3.63	\$83	4.5%	\$45	\$128	100%	100%	0%	\$127	\$128	\$1
13451	269	18	\$3.63	\$977	4.5%	\$525	\$1,502	100%	100%	0%	\$1,496	\$1,499	\$3
13452	272	18	\$3.63	\$988	4.5%	\$531	\$1,519	100%	100%	0%	\$1,513	\$1,516	\$3
13458	285	15	\$3.63	\$1,035	4.5%	\$556	\$1,591	100%	100%	0%	\$1,584	\$1,588	\$4 \$5
13457	378	15	\$3.63	\$1,375	4.5%	\$739	\$2,114	100%	100%	0%	\$2,105	\$2,110	\$5
13456	353 339	15 15	\$3.63 \$3.63	\$1,281	4.5% 4.5%	\$689 \$662	\$1,970	100% 100%	100% 100%	0% 0%	\$1,962 \$1,886	\$1,967 \$1,891	\$5 \$5
13468	364	15		\$1,232 \$1,322	4.5% 4.5%	·	\$1,894 \$2,033		100%	0%		\$2,029	\$5 \$5
13466 14284	143	15	\$3.63 \$3.63	\$1,322 \$519	4.5% 4.5%	\$711 \$279	\$2,033 \$798	100% 99%	100%	0%	\$2,024 \$794	\$2,029 \$796	\$3 ¢2
P9227	27	15	\$3.63	\$519 \$519	4.5% 4.5%	\$279 \$279	\$798 \$798	100%	100%	0%	\$794 \$794	\$796 \$796	\$2 \$2
14286	116	15	\$3.63	\$422	4.5%	\$279 \$227	\$649	100%	100%	0%	\$646	\$648	\$2 \$2
14287	276	15	\$3.63	\$1,003	4.5%	\$539	\$1,542	99%	100%	0%	\$1,534	\$1,539	\$2 \$5
14289	275	15	\$3.63	\$1,000	4.5%	\$538	\$1,538	99%	100%	0%	\$1,529	\$1,535	\$6
14290	340	15	\$3.63	\$1,237	4.5%	\$665	\$1,902	99%	100%	0%	\$1,891	\$1,898	\$7
14326	450	15	\$3.63	\$1,636	4.5%	\$879	\$2,515	99%	100%	0%	\$2,499	\$2,509	\$10
14327	500	15	\$3.63	\$1,817	4.5%	\$977	\$2,794	99%	100%	0%	\$2,777	\$2,787	\$10
15492	476	15	\$3.63	\$1,731	4.5%	\$930	\$2,661	100%	100%	0%	\$2,649	\$2,656	\$7
15493	487	15	\$3.63	\$1,768	4.5%	\$950	\$2,718	100%	100%	0%	\$2,706	\$2,713	\$7

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year		(Ø/) T	Itilized Capa	a ! 4	(4)	Utilized Capaci	4
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) (tilizea Capa	City	(\$)	Otmzea Capac	ity
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
41257	183	15	\$3.63	\$665	4.5%	\$357	\$1,022	100%	100%	0%	\$1,017	\$1,020	\$3
13654	190	15	\$3.63	\$689	4.5%	\$370	\$1,059	100%	100%	0%	\$1,054	\$1,057	\$3
13436	423	18	\$3.63	\$1,536	4.5%	\$826	\$2,362	100%	100%	0%	\$2,354	\$2,358	\$4
13439	397	18	\$3.63	\$1,441	4.5%	\$775	\$2,216	100%	100%	0%	\$2,209	\$2,213	\$4
Subtotal:	7,198			\$26,577	4.5%	\$14,285	\$40,862				\$40,675	\$40,784	\$109
17 - W	estridge l	Blvd. Sev	ver										
				l wv To Custer Rd (W	l Vestridøe Blvd	l . Phase 1 (County Roo	ad 115) / Westridge I	l Blvd Phase 2)					
5052	265	18	\$2.99	\$792	4.5%	\$426	\$1,218		58%	0%	\$1,203	\$702	\$0
5072	265	18	\$2.99	\$792	4.5%	\$426	\$1,218	99%	58%	0%	\$1,203	\$702	\$0
5076	500	18	\$2.99	\$1,493	4.5%	\$803	\$2,296	99%	48%	0%	\$2,270	\$1,106	\$0
5077	408	18	\$2.99	\$1,219	4.5%	\$655	\$1,874	99%	100%	1%	\$1,853	\$1,869	\$16
5078	311	18	\$2.99	\$928	4.5%	\$499	\$1,427	99%	100%	1%	\$1,410	\$1,423	\$13
13653	310	18	\$2.99	\$925	4.5%	\$497	\$1,422	99%	100%	1%	\$1,405	\$1,418	\$13
41370	531	18	\$2.99	\$1,586	4.5%	\$853	\$2,439	99%	100%	1%	\$2,410	\$2,432	\$22
5089	475	18	\$2.99	\$1,419	4.5%	\$763	\$2,182	98%	100%	2%	\$2,133	\$2,172	\$39
5090	490	15	\$2.99	\$1,464	4.5%	\$787	\$2,251	98%	100%	2%	\$2,199	\$2,240	\$41
5091	499	15	\$2.99	\$1,490	4.5%	\$801	\$2,291	98%	100%	2%	\$2,237	\$2,280	\$43
12522	159	15	\$2.99	\$474	4.5%	\$255	\$729	98%	100%	2%	\$712	\$725	\$13
14739	316	15	\$2.99	\$945	4.5%	\$508	\$1,453	98%	99%	2%	\$1,417	\$1,445	\$28
14740	360	15	\$2.99	\$1,075	4.5%	\$578	\$1,653	97%	99%	2%	\$1,611	\$1,644	\$33
Subtotal:	4,888			\$14,602	4.5%	\$7,851	\$22,453				\$22,063	\$20,158	\$261
18 - 15	'' Craig I	Ranch No	orth 6										
10 10	Phase 6												
14651	664	15	\$302.19	\$200,690	4.5%	\$107,875	\$308,565	94%	94%	0%	\$288,864	\$288,965	\$101
14654	265	15	\$302.19	\$80,067	4.5%	\$43,038	\$123,105	94%	94%	0%	\$115,155	\$115,194	\$39
14655	265	15	\$302.19	\$80,083	4.5%	\$43,046	\$123,129	93%	94%	0%	\$115,094	\$115,131	\$37
14656	372	15	\$302.19	\$112,467	4.5%	\$60,454	\$172,921	93%	93%	0%	\$161,527	\$161,552	\$25
14657	264	15	\$302.19	\$79,782	4.5%	\$42,885	\$122,667	93%	93%	0%	\$114,511	\$114,529	\$18
14658	422	15	\$302.19	\$127,438	4.5%	\$68,501	\$195,939	93%	93%	0%	\$182,801	\$182,855	\$54
14676	474	15	\$302.19	\$143,152	4.5%	\$76,947	\$220,099	93%	93%	0%	\$205,225	\$205,255	\$30
Subtotal:	2,726			\$823,679	4.5%	\$442,746	\$1,266,425				\$1,183,177	\$1,183,481	\$304
	,		-1- 337 4		7.3 /0	₽ 11 2,740	φ1,200,425		1		φ1,103,1//	φ1,103, 4 01	ф304
19 - 15	'' - 24 '' C 1 Phase 1	raig Kan	cn west	1 (VCIM2)									
41584	374	24	\$132.06	\$49,340	4.5%	\$26,521	\$75,861	70%	84%	15%	\$52,897	\$63,979	\$11,082
41585	76	24	\$132.06	\$9,997	4.5%	\$5,374	\$15,371	70%	84%	15%	\$10,718	\$12,964	\$2,246
41590	236	15	\$132.06	\$31,115	4.5%	\$16,725	\$47,840	73%	83%	11%	\$34,696	\$39,828	\$5,132
41592	229	15	\$132.06	\$30,245	4.5%	\$16,257	\$46,502	77%	81%	4%	\$35,660	\$37,601	\$1,941
41591	128	15	\$132.06	\$16,870	4.5%	\$9,068	\$25,938	77%	81%	4%	\$19,924	\$21,020	\$1,096
41593	220	15	\$132.06	\$29,004	4.5%	\$15,590	\$44,594	75%	79%	4%	\$33,409	\$35,133	\$1,724
41606	410	15	\$132.06	\$54,121	4.5%	\$29,091	\$83,212	74%	78%	4%	\$61,662	\$64,744	\$3,082
41610	439	15	\$132.06	\$57,964	4.5%	\$31,157	\$89,121		78%	4%	\$66,303	\$69,663	\$3,360

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

	I					20 Year							
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) U	tilized Capa	city	(\$)	Utilized Capaci	ity
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
D:	Lamath	Diameter	U			8				Fee			During
Pipe	Length		Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)						
41609	356	15	\$132.06	\$47,061	4.5%	\$25,296	\$72,357	75%	78%	4%	\$54,026	\$56,799	\$2,773
41602	332	15	\$132.06	\$43,889	4.5%	\$23,591	\$67,480	73%	77%	4%	\$49,576	\$52,021	\$2,445
41604	332	15	\$132.06	\$43,872	4.5%	\$23,582	\$67,454	74%	77%	4%	\$49,781	\$52,253	\$2,472
42899	510	15	\$132.06	\$67,353	4.5%	\$36,204	\$103,557	72%	83%	11%	\$74,702	\$86,423	\$11,721
42898	752 645	18	\$132.06	\$99,313	4.5%	\$53,383	\$152,696	72%	83%	11%	\$110,149	\$127,432	\$17,283
42897 42896	332	18 24	\$132.06	\$85,119	4.5%	\$45,753	\$130,872	72%	83% 85%	11% 15%	\$94,418 \$47,087	\$109,233	\$14,815
II I	647	24	\$132.06	\$43,880	4.5%	\$23,587	\$67,467	70%				\$57,229	\$10,142
42895	047	24	\$132.06	\$85,401	4.5%	\$45,905	\$131,306	70%	85%	15%	\$91,643	\$111,381	\$19,738
Subtotal:	6,017			\$794,544	4.5%	\$427,084	\$1,221,628				\$886,651	\$997,703	\$111,052
20 - 15'	"- 24" C	raig Ran	ch Infras	tructure 1 (VCIM1)								
	Phase 1 15"		 	(
20930	465	24	\$62.21	\$28,951	4.5%	\$15,562	\$44,513	50%	73%	23%	\$22,375	\$32,545	\$10,170
20928	317	24	\$62.21	\$19,748	4.5%	\$10,615	\$30,363	50%	74%	24%	\$15,271	\$22,425	\$7,154
20929	205	24	\$62.21	\$12,742	4.5%	\$6,849	\$19,591	50%	73%	23%	\$9,831	\$14,317	\$4,486
20927	289	24	\$62.21	\$17,954	4.5%	\$9,651	\$27,605	50%	74%	24%	\$13,857	\$20,380	\$6,523
20926	298	21	\$62.21	\$18,526	4.5%	\$9,958	\$28,484	50%	74%	24%	\$14,272	\$21,020	\$6,748
20899	291	21	\$62.21	\$18,078	4.5%	\$9,717	\$27,795	50%	74%	24%	\$13,908	\$20,623	\$6,715
20908	528	21	\$62.21	\$32,832	4.5%	\$17,648	\$50,480	50%	76%	26%	\$25,144	\$38,158	\$13,014
20898	456	21	\$62.21	\$28,337	4.5%	\$15,232	\$43,569	50%	76%	26%	\$21,666	\$33,167	\$11,501
20897	238	21	\$62.21	\$14,777	4.5%	\$7,943	\$22,720	50%	77%	27%	\$11,277	\$17,427	\$6,150
20909	555	18	\$62.21	\$34,497	4.5%	\$18,543	\$53,040	54%	80%	26%	\$28,519	\$42,329	\$13,810
20910	425	18	\$62.21	\$26,462	4.5%	\$14,224	\$40,686	53%	80%	26%	\$21,765	\$32,463	\$10,698
20911	594	18	\$62.21	\$36,974	4.5%	\$19,874	\$56,848	53%	80%	27%	\$30,264	\$45,360	\$15,096
20895	299	15	\$62.21	\$18,573	4.5%	\$9,983	\$28,556	45%	74%	29%	\$12,754	\$20,994	\$8,240
20896	295	15	\$62.21	\$18,350	4.5%	\$9,864	\$28,214	44%	74%	29%	\$12,535	\$20,804	\$8,269
20893	294	15	\$62.21	\$18,298	4.5%	\$9,836	\$28,134	44%	74%	30%	\$12,353	\$20,879	\$8,526
20894	300	15	\$62.21	\$18,684	4.5%	\$10,043	\$28,727	44%	74%	30%	\$12,692	\$21,248	\$8,556
20892	300	15	\$62.21	\$18,636	4.5%	\$10,017	\$28,653	51%	69%	18%	\$14,476	\$19,646	\$5,170
20891	226	15	\$62.21	\$14,041	4.5%	\$7,547	\$21,588	50%	69%	18%	\$10,864	\$14,847	\$3,983
Subtotal:	6,373			\$396,460	4.5%	\$213,106	\$609,566				\$303,823	\$458,632	\$154,809
21 - 18'	'' - 24'' H	larvest B	end 1 Of	fsite S.S. Lir	ne								
	Stacy Road T		-										
17572	126	24	\$25.64	\$3,240	4.5%	\$1,742	\$4,982	64%	81%	17%	\$3,192	\$4,054	\$862
17571	223	24	\$25.64	\$5,720	4.5%	\$3,075	\$8,795	67%	80%	13%	\$5,884	\$7,057	\$1,173
17570	130	24	\$25.64	\$3,343	4.5%	\$1,797	\$5,140	66%	80%	14%	\$3,415	\$4,113	\$698
17569	274	24	\$25.64	\$7,039	4.5%	\$3,784	\$10,823	66%	80%	14%	\$7,139	\$8,635	\$1,496
17568	447	24	\$25.64	\$11,453	4.5%	\$6,156	\$17,609	65%	80%	14%	\$11,529	\$14,007	\$2,478
42633	598	24	\$25.64	\$15,324	4.5%	\$8,237	\$23,561	65%	79%	14%	\$15,305	\$18,682	\$3,377
17567	596	24	\$25.64	\$15,285	4.5%	\$8,216	\$23,501	64%	79%	15%	\$15,142	\$18,572	\$3,430
19754	243	24	\$25.64	\$6,238	4.5%	\$3,353	\$9,591	64%	79%	15%	\$6,104	\$7,560	\$1,456
19755	481	24	\$25.64	\$12,335	4.5%	\$6,630	\$18,965	63%	78%	15%	\$12,032	\$14,834	\$2,802
19756	584	24	\$25.64	\$14,987	4.5%	\$8,056	\$23,043	64%	78%	14%	\$14,779	\$17,931	\$3,152

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year		(%) I	Itilized Capa	city	(\$)	Utilized Capac	itv
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Avg. Unit Cost	Total Capital	Debt Service Intersest	Debt Service Utilizing Simple	Total 20 Yr. Project			During Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
19757	501	24	\$25.64	\$12,836	4.5%	\$6,900	\$19,736	65%	77%	12%	\$12,810	\$15,274	\$2,464
19758	234	24	\$25.64	\$6,010	4.5%	\$3,231	\$9,241	66%	77%	11%	\$6,076	\$7,108	\$1,032
19759	397	24	\$25.64	\$10,184	4.5%	\$5,474	\$15,658	67%	76%	10%	\$10,438	\$11,964	\$1,526
19760	262	24	\$25.64	\$6,714	4.5%	\$3,609	\$10,323	68%	76%	8%	\$6,988	\$7,829	\$841
44524	438	24	\$25.64	\$11,238	4.5%	\$6,041	\$17,279	69%	75%	6%	\$11,889	\$12,997	\$1,108
19763	423	18	\$25.64	\$10,858	4.5%	\$5,836	\$16,694	71%	76%	6%	\$11,839	\$12,768	\$929
19762	485	18	\$25.64	\$12,434	4.5%	\$6,684	\$19,118	75%	79%	4%	\$14,379	\$15,115	\$736
44410	296	18	\$25.64	\$7,590	4.5%	\$4,080	\$11,670	76%	79%	3%	\$8,827	\$9,175	\$348
44173	298	18	\$25.64	\$7,641	4.5%	\$4,107	\$11,748	76%	78%	2%	\$8,942	\$9,182	\$240
14629	245	18	\$25.64	\$6,278	4.5%	\$3,375	\$9,653	77%	78%	1%	\$7,399	\$7,495	\$96
19750	546	24	\$25.64	\$13,994	4.5%	\$7,522	\$21,516	65%	81%	16%	\$13,900	\$17,445	\$3,545
Subtotal:	7,828			\$200,741	4.5%	\$107,905	\$308,646				\$208,008	\$241,797	\$33,789
22 - Wa	al-Mart S	Super Ce	nter Off	-Site Sanitar	y Sewer								
	720 & Lake I	Forest Drive											
13959	295	24	\$31.84	\$9,398	4.5%	\$5,052	\$14,450	91%	94%	3%	\$13,121	\$13,614	\$493
14098	444	24	\$31.84	\$14,122	4.5%	\$7,591	\$21,713	91%	94%	3%	\$19,716	\$20,457	\$741
13957	283	24	\$31.84	\$9,009	4.5%	\$4,843	\$13,852	91%	94%	3%	\$12,578	\$13,050	\$472
42975	312	24	\$31.84	\$9,942	4.5%	\$5,344	\$15,286	91%	94%	3%	\$13,880	\$14,401	\$521
14096	199	24	\$31.84	\$6,325	4.5%	\$3,400	\$9,725	91%	94%	3%	\$8,830	\$9,162	\$332
13955	597	24	\$31.84	\$19,002	4.5%	\$10,214	\$29,216	94%	97%	2%	\$27,514	\$28,239	\$725
13954	367	24	\$31.84	\$11,694	4.5%	\$6,286	\$17,980	95%	97%	2%	\$17,027	\$17,431	\$404
13953	230	24	\$31.84	\$7,321	4.5%	\$3,935	\$11,256	95%	97%	2%	\$10,720	\$10,946	\$226
13952	560	24	\$31.84	\$17,829	4.5%	\$9,583	\$27,412	96%	98%	2%	\$26,259	\$26,742	\$483
13950	154	21	\$31.84	\$4,898	4.5%	\$2,633	\$7,531	96%	98%	2%	\$7,258	\$7,371	\$113
13949	114	18	\$31.84	\$3,641	4.5%	\$1,957	\$5,598	96%	98%	2%	\$5,375	\$5,466	\$91
13940	151	18	\$31.84	\$4,820	4.5%	\$2,591	\$7,411	96%	98%	2%	\$7,115	\$7,236	\$121
Subtotal:	3,706			\$118,001	4.5%	\$63,429	\$181,430				\$169,393	\$174,115	\$4,722
23 - 27	" Creekv	iew Esta	tes 1 Off	site Sanitary	Sewer								
	Franklin Bra	ınch - From W	Vilson Creek T	o Franklin Branch T	Trunk Sewer								
43014	258	27	\$56.35	\$14,563	4.5%	\$7,828	\$22,391	15%	61%	46%	\$3,354	\$13,709	\$10,355
34636	136	27	\$56.35	\$7,674	4.5%	\$4,125	\$11,799	15%	61%	46%	\$1,792	\$7,239	\$5,447
34638	383	27	\$56.35	\$21,554	4.5%	\$11,586	\$33,140	15%	61%	46%	\$5,103	\$20,371	\$15,268
34640	375	27	\$56.35	\$21,106	4.5%	\$11,345	\$32,451	16%	62%	46%	\$5,064	\$19,986	\$14,922
34642	484	27	\$56.35	\$27,247	4.5%	\$14,646	\$41,893	23%	62%	39%	\$9,604	\$25,985	\$16,381
34644	231	27	\$56.35	\$12,999	4.5%	\$6,987	\$19,986	23%	62%	39%	\$4,622	\$12,426	\$7,804
34646	359	27	\$56.35	\$20,245	4.5%	\$10,882	\$31,127	23%	62%	39%	\$7,260	\$19,397	\$12,137
34648	443	27	\$56.35	\$24,966	4.5%	\$13,420	\$38,386	24%	63%	39%	\$9,091	\$24,015	\$14,924
34652	377	27	\$56.35	\$21,260	4.5%	\$11,428	\$32,688	24%	63%	39%	\$7,806	\$20,495	\$12,689
34650	209	27	\$56.35	\$11,755	4.5%	\$6,319	\$18,074	24%	63%	39%	\$4,316	\$11,332	\$7,016
Subtotal:	3,254			\$183,369	4.5%	\$98,566	\$281,935				\$58,012	\$174,955	\$116,943
	/	l. 1 Off	24- C- •			+- 3,200	7-3-,700				7-2,012	+	T,
24 - 111	mber Cro	eek 1 Off	site Sani	tary Sewer I	viain								

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year		(%) I	tilized Capa	city	(4)	Utilized Capaci	ity
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) U	linzeu Capa	l	(4)	Cunzeu Capac	ity
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
	Lower Honey	Creek											
14459	766	21	\$52.34	\$40,109	4.5%	\$21,560	\$61,669	77%	62%	0%	\$47,380	\$38,058	\$0
14460	725	21	\$52.34	\$37,952	4.5%	\$20,400	\$58,352	100%	70%	0%	\$58,352	\$40,977	\$0
14461	716	24	\$52.34	\$37,457	4.5%	\$20,134	\$57,591	46%	77%	31%	\$26,587	\$44,511	\$17,924
14462	204	21	\$52.34	\$10,694	4.5%	\$5,748	\$16,442	46%	77%	31%	\$7,592	\$12,708	\$5,116
14463	137	21	\$52.34	\$7,185	4.5%	\$3,862	\$11,047	46%	77%	31%	\$5,102	\$8,546	\$3,444
14464	225	21	\$52.34	\$11,777	4.5%	\$6,330	\$18,107	47%	78%	31%	\$8,471	\$14,053	\$5,582
14465	718	21	\$52.34	\$37,566	4.5%	\$20,193	\$57,759	47%	78%	30%	\$27,406	\$44,994	\$17,588
14466	569	18	\$52.34	\$29,776	4.5%	\$16,005	\$45,781	55%	81%	26%	\$25,111	\$36,935	\$11,824
14467	360	18	\$52.34	\$18,845	4.5%	\$10,130	\$28,975	55%	81%	26%	\$15,900	\$23,382	\$7,482
14468	407	18	\$52.34	\$21,328	4.5%	\$11,464	\$32,792	55%	81%	26%	\$18,017	\$26,479	\$8,462
26019	360	18	\$52.34	\$18,868	4.5%	\$10,142	\$29,010	55%	81%	26%	\$15,940	\$23,430	\$7,490
14469	392	18	\$52.34	\$20,525	4.5%	\$11,033	\$31,558	55%	81%	26%	\$17,369	\$25,496	\$8,127
26021	480	18	\$52.34	\$25,105	4.5%	\$13,495	\$38,600	55%	81%	26%	\$21,260	\$31,219	\$9,959
43200	479	18	\$52.34	\$25,079	4.5%	\$13,481	\$38,560	60%	83%	23%	\$23,030	\$31,819	\$8,789
14470	471	18	\$52.34	\$24,649	4.5%	\$13,249	\$37,898	60%	83%	23%	\$22,671	\$31,278	\$8,607
14471	590	18	\$52.34	\$30,897	4.5%	\$16,608	\$47,505	70%	97%	27%	\$33,467	\$46,202	\$12,735
34446	211	21	\$52.34	\$11,065	4.5%	\$5,948	\$17,013	46%	77%	31%	\$7,843	\$13,144	\$5,301
	= 0.10			\$ 400 OFF	4.50	\$\$40 # 0\$	\$ < \$ 0 < \$ 0				4204 400	0.402.224	* 4.20.420
Subtotal:	7,812			\$408,877	4.5%	\$219,782	\$628,659		-		\$381,498	\$493,231	\$138,430
25 - Ro	binson R	Ridge 1 O	offsite Se	wer									
	Wilmeth Roa	d To Wilson C	reek .										
14517	443	18	\$37.30	\$16,510	4.5%	\$8,875	\$25,385	100%	100%	0%	\$25,385	\$25,385	\$0
14518	383	18	\$37.30	\$14,299	4.5%	\$7,686	\$21,985	100%	100%	0%	\$21,985	\$21,985	\$0
14512	319	21	\$37.30	\$11,895	4.5%	\$6,394	\$18,289	100%	100%	0%	\$18,289	\$18,289	\$0
14513	134	21	\$37.30	\$4,990	4.5%	\$2,682	\$7,672	100%	100%	0%	\$7,672	\$7,672	\$0
14514	202	21	\$37.30	\$7,535	4.5%	\$4,050	\$11,585	100%	100%	0%	\$11,585	\$11,585	\$0
14516	190	18	\$37.30	\$7,077	4.5%	\$3,804	\$10,881	100%	100%	0%	\$10,881	\$10,881	\$0
14515	498	18	\$37.30	\$18,575	4.5%	\$9,984	\$28,559	100%	100%	0%	\$28,559	\$28,559	\$0
14530	110	18	\$37.30	\$4,096	4.5%	\$2,202	\$6,298	100%	100%	0%	\$6,298	\$6,298	\$0
14532	393	18	\$37.30	\$14,646	4.5%	\$7,873	\$22,519	100%	100%	0%	\$22,519	\$22,519	\$0
14528	505	18	\$37.30	\$18,830	4.5%	\$10,122	\$28,952	100%	100%	0%	\$28,952	\$28,952	\$0
14527	454	18	\$37.30	\$16,926	4.5%	\$9,098	\$26,024	100%	100%	0%	\$26,024	\$26,024	\$0
14526	407	18	\$37.30	\$15,164	4.5%	\$8,151	\$23,315	100%	100%	0%	\$23,315	\$23,315	\$0
14525	253	18	\$37.30	\$9,435	4.5%	\$5,072	\$14,507	100%	100%	0%	\$14,507	\$14,507	\$0
14524	300	18	\$37.30	\$11,208	4.5%	\$6,025	\$17,233	100%	100%	0%	\$17,233	\$17,233	\$0
14523	379	18	\$37.30	\$14,149	4.5%	\$7,605	\$21,754	100%	100%	0%	\$21,754	\$21,754	\$0
14522	442	18	\$37.30	\$16,502	4.5%	\$8,870	\$25,372	100%	100%	0%	\$25,372	\$25,372	\$0
14511	434	24	\$37.30	\$16,189	4.5%	\$8,702	\$24,891	100%	100%	0%	\$24,891	\$24,891	\$0
14510	285	24	\$37.30	\$10,628	4.5%	\$5,713	\$16,341	100%	100%	0%	\$16,341	\$16,341	\$0
14509	179	24	\$37.30	\$6,685	4.5%	\$3,593	\$10,278	100%	100%	0%	\$10,278	\$10,278	\$0
14519	76	18	\$37.30	\$2,826	4.5%	\$1,519	\$4,345	100%	100%	0%	\$4,345	\$4,345	\$0
14520	401	18	\$37.30	\$14,950	4.5%	\$8,036	\$22,986	100%	100%	0%	\$22,986	\$22,986	\$0
14521	282	18	\$37.30	\$10,503	4.5%	\$5,646	\$16,149	100%	100%	0%	\$16,149	\$16,149	\$0
14531	31	18	\$37.30	\$1,145	4.5%	\$615	\$1,760	100%	100%	0%	\$1,760	\$1,760	\$0

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year		(%) U	Utilized Capa	city	(\$)	Utilized Capaci	tv
Pipe Number	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.)	Total Capital Cost (\$)	Debt Service Intersest Rate %	Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Yr. Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	During Fee Period	2019	2029	During Fee Period
Subtotal:	7,098			\$264,763	4.5%	\$142,317	\$407,080				\$407,080	\$407,080	\$0
26 - NT	MWD N	Ackinnev	Prosper	· Interceptor	Sewer								
		n Creek - Pros											
42016	404	42	\$20.25	\$8,189	4.5%	\$4,402	\$12,591	31%	59%	28%	\$3,868	\$7,457	\$3,589
43412	478	24	\$20.25	\$9,678	4.5%	\$5,202	\$14,880	90%	95%	6%	\$13,364	\$14,207	\$843
43402	481	24	\$20.25	\$9,739	4.5%	\$5,235	\$14,974	100%	100%	0%	\$14,974	\$14,974	\$0
43411	500	24	\$20.25	\$10,125	4.5%	\$5,442	\$15,567	90%	95%	6%	\$13,981	\$14,863	\$882
43410	614	24	\$20.25	\$12,439	4.5%	\$6,686	\$19,125	90%	95%	6%	\$17,176	\$18,260	\$1,084
43409	271	24	\$20.25	\$5,490	4.5%	\$2,951	\$8,441	90%	95%	6%	\$7,581	\$8,059	\$478
42015	267	42	\$20.25	\$5,405	4.5%	\$2,905	\$8,310	47%	82%	36%	\$3,893	\$6,849	\$2,956
43408	773	24	\$20.25	\$15,657	4.5%	\$8,416	\$24,073	90%	95%	6%	\$21,620	\$22,984	\$1,364
10153	693	24	\$20.25	\$14,028	4.5%	\$7,540	\$21,568	92%	96%	4%	\$19,759	\$20,639	\$880
43406	197	24	\$20.25	\$4,000	4.5%	\$2,150	\$6,150	92%	96%	4%	\$5,634	\$5,885	\$251
43405	134	24	\$20.25	\$2,721	4.5%	\$1,463	\$4,184	92%	96%	4%	\$3,833	\$4,004	\$171
43404	735	24	\$20.25	\$14,885	4.5%	\$8,001	\$22,886	92%	96%	4%	\$20,967	\$21,900	\$933
43403	505	24	\$20.25	\$10,234	4.5%	\$5,501	\$15,735	92%	96%	4%	\$14,415	\$15,057	\$642
43401	332	24	\$20.25	\$6,730	4.5%	\$3,618	\$10,348	100%	100%	0%	\$10,348	\$10,348	\$0
42014	417	42	\$20.25	\$8,444	4.5%	\$4,539	\$12,983	47%	82%	36%	\$6,077	\$10,703	\$4,626
41800	452	24	\$20.25	\$9,147	4.5%	\$4,917	\$14,064	87%	95%	8%	\$12,244	\$13,341	\$1,097
41799	318	24	\$20.25	\$6,447	4.5%	\$3,465	\$9,912	90%	95%	6%	\$8,902	\$9,464	\$562
41798	167	24	\$20.25	\$3,377	4.5%	\$1,815	\$5,192	90%	95%	6%	\$4,663	\$4,957	\$294
42025	247	42	\$20.25	\$5,004	4.5%	\$2,690	\$7,694	31%	59%	28%	\$2,366	\$4,554	\$2,188
42021	693	42	\$20.25	\$14,041	4.5%	\$7,547	\$21,588	47%	82%	36%	\$10,095	\$17,800	\$7,705
42020	788	24	\$20.25	\$15,969	4.5%	\$8,584	\$24,553	47%	82%	36%	\$11,467	\$20,256	\$8,789
42019	589	24	\$20.25	\$11,934	4.5%	\$6,415	\$18,349	47%	83%	36%	\$8,601	\$15,184	\$6,583
42018	252	24	\$20.25	\$5,105	4.5%	\$2,744	\$7,849	48%	83%	36%	\$3,736	\$6,528	\$2,792
42017	352	24	\$20.25	\$7,130	4.5%	\$3,833	\$10,963	48%	83%	36%	\$5,210	\$9,141	\$3,931
41848	650	24	\$20.25	\$13,170	4.5%	\$7,079	\$20,249	48%	83%	36%	\$9,621	\$16,884	\$7,263
41824	617	24	\$20.25	\$12,504	4.5%	\$6,721	\$19,225	47%	84%	36%	\$9,059	\$16,068	\$7,009
42024	341	42	\$20.25	\$6,906	4.5%	\$3,712	\$10,618	31%	59%	28%	\$3,264	\$6,286	\$3,022
41807	613	24	\$20.25	\$12,421	4.5%	\$6,677	\$19,098	46%	84%	38%	\$8,872	\$16,128	\$7,256
41806	682	24	\$20.25	\$13,820	4.5%	\$7,429	\$21,249	69%	89%	20%	\$14,633	\$18,817	\$4,184
41805	343	24	\$20.25	\$6,951	4.5%	\$3,736	\$10,687	69%	89%	20%	\$7,360	\$9,464	\$2,104
41804	368	24	\$20.25	\$7,451	4.5%	\$4,005	\$11,456	69%	89%	20%	\$7,889	\$10,145	\$2,256
41803	586	24	\$20.25	\$11,868	4.5%	\$6,379	\$18,247	72%	90%	18%	\$13,145	\$16,415	\$3,270
41802	298	24	\$20.25	\$6,042	4.5%	\$3,248	\$9,290	72%	90%	18%	\$6,692	\$8,357	\$1,665
41801	450	24	\$20.25	\$9,109	4.5%	\$4,896	\$14,005	72%	90%	18%	\$10,089	\$12,599	\$2,510
Subtotal:	15,610			\$316,160	4.5%	\$169,943	\$486,103				\$335,398	\$428,577	\$93,179
27 - 15	" I acime	a Sanitar	v Sower										
27-13													
40000		100		To Wilson Creek (I					400-	0-4	1		
13830	160	15	\$5.43	\$870	4.5%	\$468	\$1,338	100%	100%	0%	\$1,338	\$1,338	\$0
13797	50	15	\$5.43	\$270	4.5%	\$145	\$415	100%	100%	0%	\$414	\$415	\$1

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year		(%) I	tilized Capa	city	(4)	Utilized Capaci	ity
					Debt	Debt Service		(70)	Linzeu Capa	l	(Ф)	Cilizeu Capac	ity
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
13798	307	15	\$5.43	\$1,668	4.5%	\$897	\$2,565	100%	100%	0%	\$2,559	\$2,565	\$6
13799	345	15	\$5.43	\$1,870	4.5%	\$1,005	\$2,875	100%	100%	0%	\$2,875	\$2,875	\$0
13802	334	15	\$5.43	\$1,811	4.5%	\$973	\$2,784	100%	100%	0%	\$2,784	\$2,784	\$0
13808	131	15	\$5.43	\$713	4.5%	\$383	\$1,096	100%	100%	0%	\$1,096	\$1,096	\$0
13810	129	15	\$5.43	\$702	4.5%	\$377	\$1,079	100%	100%	0%	\$1,079	\$1,079	\$0
13827	339	15	\$5.43	\$1,840	4.5%	\$989	\$2,829	100%	100%	0%	\$2,829	\$2,829	\$0
13828	329	15	\$5.43	\$1,784	4.5%	\$959	\$2,743	100%	100%	0%	\$2,743	\$2,743	\$0
13829	81	15	\$5.43	\$438	4.5%	\$235	\$673	100%	100%	0%	\$673	\$673	\$0
13796	64	15	\$5.43	\$346	4.5%	\$186	\$532	100%	100%	0%	\$530	\$532	\$2
Subtotal:	2,269			\$12,312	4.5%	\$6,617	\$18,929				\$18,920	\$18,929	\$9
28 - W	esterra S	tonebrid	ge Trunl	x Main ''H''	& ''H-2'	•							
	Line H & H-												
42026	177	42	\$35.06	\$6,199	4.5%	\$3,332	\$9,531	47%	53%	6%	\$4,481	\$5,058	\$577
5595	284	30	\$35.06	\$9,962	4.5%	\$5,355	\$15,317	100%	100%	0%	\$15,317	\$15,293	\$0
5657	500	24	\$35.06	\$17,518	4.5%	\$9,416	\$26,934	90%	90%	0%	\$24,265	\$24,291	\$26
5647	346	30	\$35.06	\$12,129	4.5%	\$6,520	\$18,649	100%	100%	0%	\$18,649	\$18,649	\$0
5645	391	30	\$35.06	\$13,707	4.5%	\$7,368	\$21,075	100%	100%	0%	\$21,075	\$21,075	\$0
5621	246	30	\$35.06	\$8,622	4.5%	\$4,635	\$13,257	100%	100%	0%	\$13,257	\$13,246	\$0
5648	493	30	\$35.06	\$17,300	4.5%	\$9,299	\$26,599	100%	100%	0%	\$26,599	\$26,599	\$0
5651	495	30	\$35.06	\$17,341	4.5%	\$9,321	\$26,662	100%	100%	0%	\$26,662	\$26,662	\$0
5620	278	30	\$35.06	\$9,748	4.5%	\$5,240	\$14,988	100%	100%	0%	\$14,988	\$14,940	\$0
5619	208	30	\$35.06	\$7,280	4.5%	\$3,913	\$11,193	100%	100%	0%	\$11,193	\$11,137	\$0
5618	488	30	\$35.06	\$17,123	4.5%	\$9,204	\$26,327	100%	100%	0%	\$26,327	\$26,201	\$0
5652	287	30	\$35.06	\$10,047	4.5%	\$5,400	\$15,447	100%	100%	0%	\$15,447	\$15,430	\$0
5653	291	30	\$35.06	\$10,206	4.5%	\$5,486	\$15,692	100%	98%	0%	\$15,692	\$15,327	\$0
5617	233	30	\$35.06	\$8,168	4.5%	\$4,390	\$12,558	100%	100%	0%	\$12,558	\$12,498	\$0
5655	363	24	\$35.06	\$12,726	4.5%	\$6,841	\$19,567	89%	89%	0%	\$17,352	\$17,370	\$18
5656	132	24	\$35.06	\$4,612	4.5%	\$2,479	\$7,091	90%	90%	0%	\$6,386	\$6,395	\$9
5664	487	24	\$35.06	\$17,068	4.5%	\$9,174	\$26,242	92%	92%	0%	\$24,091	\$24,110	\$19
5654 5663	138 14	24	\$35.06	\$4,852 \$492	4.5%	\$2,608	\$7,460						
5594	384	15 30	\$35.06 \$35.06	\$492 \$13,448	4.5% 4.5%	\$264 \$7,229	\$756 \$20,677	100%	100%	0%	\$20,677	\$20,628	\$0
5591	470	30	\$35.06	\$15,446 \$16,485	4.5%	\$8,861	\$25,346	100%	100%	0%	\$20,077 \$25,346	\$20,028 \$25,277	\$0 \$0
5665	499	24	\$35.06	\$17,499	4.5%	\$9,406	\$26,905	93%	93%	0%	\$23,340	\$25,277 \$25,001	\$3
12526	223	30	\$35.06	\$7,831	4.5%	\$4,209	\$12,040	100%	100%	0%	\$12,040	\$12,016	\$0 \$0
5587	338	42	\$35.06	\$11,866	4.5%	\$6,378	\$18,244	100%	100%	0%	\$18,244	\$18,244	\$0 \$0
5586	180	42	\$35.06	\$6,294	4.5%	\$3,383	\$9,677	47%	53%	6%	\$4,535	\$5,136	\$601
5588	457	30	\$35.06	\$16,032	4.5%	\$8,618	\$24,650	100%	99%	0%	\$24,650	\$24,466	\$0
5666	482	24	\$35.06	\$16,889	4.5%	\$9,078	\$25,967	94%	94%	0%	\$24,287	\$24,305	\$18
5589	449	30	\$35.06	\$15,724	4.5%	\$8,452	\$24,176	100%	99%	0%	\$24,176	\$24,019	\$0
5590	514	30	\$35.06	\$18,017	4.5%	\$9,685	\$27,702	100%	100%	0%	\$27,702	\$27,590	\$0 \$0
5667	430	24	\$35.06	\$15,067	4.5%	\$8,099	\$23,166	94%	94%	0%	\$21,816	\$21,836	\$20
13770	159	24	\$35.06	\$5,558	4.5%	\$2,988	\$8,546	98%	98%	0%	\$8,340	\$8,347	\$7
5585	290	42	\$35.06	\$10,164	4.5%	\$5,463	\$15,627	47%	53%	6%	\$7,302	\$8,295	\$993

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

						20 Year		(67) I	WII - 1 C		(4)	IVII - 1 C 1	
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) L	Itilized Capa	city	(\$)	Utilized Capaci	ity
			Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project			Fee			During
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
5584	405	42	\$35.06	\$14,208	4.5%	\$7,637	\$21,845	47%	53%	6%	\$10,170	\$11,590	\$1,420
5583	362	42	\$35.06	\$12,707	4.5%	\$6,830	\$19,537	46%	53%	7%	\$9,064	\$10,368	\$1,304
5582	216	42	\$35.06	\$7,578	4.5%	\$4,073	\$11,651	46%	53%	7%	\$5,368	\$6,193	\$825
5581	148	42	\$35.06	\$5,184	4.5%	\$2,787	\$7,971	46%	53%	7%	\$3,669	\$4,237	\$568
5577	155	42	\$35.06	\$5,449	4.5%	\$2,929	\$8,378	46%	53%	7%	\$3,831	\$4,453	\$622
5576	446	42	\$35.06	\$15,650	4.5%	\$8,412	\$24,062	46%	53%	7%	\$10,990	\$12,790	\$1,800
5578	398	42	\$35.06	\$13,936	4.5%	\$7,491	\$21,427	46%	53%	7%	\$9,825	\$11,389	\$1,564
5579	59	42	\$35.06	\$2,082	4.5%	\$1,119	\$3,201	46%	53%	7%	\$1,471	\$1,702	\$231
5580	69	42	\$35.06	\$2,406	4.5%	\$1,293	\$3,699	46%	53%	7%	\$1,701	\$1,967	\$266
5575	396	42	\$35.06	\$13,872	4.5%	\$7,457	\$21,329	46%	53%	7%	\$9,739	\$11,338	\$1,599
5574	136	42	\$35.06	\$4,784	4.5%	\$2,572	\$7,356	48%	55%	7%	\$3,558	\$4,071	\$513
5573	316	42	\$35.06	\$11,090	4.5%	\$5,961	\$17,051	48%	55%	7%	\$8,248	\$9,438	\$1,190
5572	198	42	\$35.06	\$6,951	4.5%	\$3,736	\$10,687	48%	55%	7%	\$5,164	\$5,914	\$750
13769	126	24	\$35.06	\$4,428	4.5%	\$2,380	\$6,808	96%	96%	0%	\$6,545	\$6,552	\$7
5662	207	8	\$35.06	\$7,274	4.5%	\$3,910	\$11,184	97%	98%	1%	\$10,853	\$10,937	\$84
5668	98	24	\$35.06	\$3,443	4.5%	\$1,851	\$5,294	99%	99%	0%	\$5,233	\$5,236	\$3
5658	178	8	\$35.06	\$6,246	4.5%	\$3,357	\$9,603	97%	98%	1%	\$9,312	\$9,402	\$90
5659	64	8	\$35.06	\$2,246	4.5%	\$1,207	\$3,453	97%	98%	1%	\$3,348	\$3,376	\$28
46453	239	8	\$35.06	\$8,386	4.5%	\$4,508	\$12,894	97%	98%	1%	\$12,502	\$12,603	\$101
5661	74	8	\$35.06	\$2,585	4.5%	\$1,389	\$3,974	97%	98%	1%	\$3,857	\$3,888	\$31
Subtotal:	15,018			\$526,479	4.5%	\$282,993	\$809,472				\$672,900	\$686,885	\$15,287
29 - Fr	anklin B	ranch Tr	unk Sew	er									
					l klin Branch Te	l o U.S. 380 And East T	To Bois D' Arc Road						
42799	102	30	\$205.57	\$20,910	4.5%	\$11,240	\$32,150	15%	61%	46%	\$4,748	\$19,644	\$14,896
42800	38	30	\$205.57	\$7,796	4.5%	\$4,191	\$11,987	14%	61%	47%	\$1,702	\$7,331	\$5,629
16012	60	30	\$205.57	\$12,405	4.5%	\$6,668	\$19,073	14%	61%	48%	\$2,600	\$11,674	\$9,074
42801	381	27	\$205.57	\$78,378	4.5%	\$42,130	\$120,508	13%	61%	48%	\$15,733	\$73,826	\$58,093
43011	800	27	\$205.57	\$164,470	4.5%	\$88,406	\$252,876	12%	61%	49%	\$31,551	\$155,063	\$123,512
43012	60	27	\$205.57	\$12,335	4.5%	\$6,630	\$18,965	12%	61%	49%	\$2,254	\$11,641	\$9,387
43013	209	18	\$205.57	\$43,004	4.5%	\$23,116	\$66,120	1%	64%	64%	\$568	\$42,640	\$42,072
43010	229	18	\$205.57	\$47,053	4.5%	\$25,292	\$72,345	43%	67%	24%	\$31,042	\$48,527	\$17,485
43009	300	18	\$205.57	\$61,619	4.5%	\$33,122	\$94,741	42%	67%	25%	\$39,551	\$63,138	\$23,587
43007	192	18	\$205.57	\$39,425	4.5%	\$21,192	\$60,617	40%	66%	26%	\$24,547	\$40,112	\$15,565
43008	308	18	\$205.57	\$63,364	4.5%	\$34,060	\$97,424	39%	66%	27%	\$38,144	\$63,979	\$25,835
34518	140	18	\$205.57	\$28,779	4.5%	\$15,469	\$44,248	38%	65%	27%	\$16,681	\$28,821	\$12,140
43026	775	12	\$205.57	\$159,327	4.5%	\$85,642	\$244,969	73%	77%	3%	\$180,015	\$188,366	\$8,351
Subtotal:	3,594			\$738,865	4.5%	\$397,158	\$1,136,023				\$389,136	\$754,762	\$365,626
30 - 15	'' Airpor	t Sewer 1	Phase 2 S	Sewer Main									
	_			strial Blvd To North	ı Mckinnev Inte	erceptor							
43296	25	15	\$149.89	\$3,816	4.5%	\$2,051	\$5,867	80%	82%	2%	\$4,716	\$4,834	\$118
43295	43	15	\$149.89	\$6,454	4.5%	\$3,469	\$9,923	80%	82%	2%	\$7,977	\$8,175	\$198
43294	438	15	\$149.89	\$65,671	4.5%	\$35,300	\$100,971		82%	2%	\$81,166	\$83,186	\$2,020

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

	ı	1		1		20 Year							
					Debt	Debt Service		(%) U	Itilized Capa	city	(\$)	Utilized Capaci	ity
			Ava IInit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			
TD:	T (1	D: 4	Avg. Unit			O				Fee			During
Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project	2010	2020		2010	2020	0
Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
43293	500	15	\$149.89	\$74,974	4.5%	\$40,300	\$115,274	80%	82%	2%	\$92,663	\$94,976	\$2,313
43292	500	15	\$149.89	\$74,882	4.5%	\$40,251	\$115,133	80%	82%	2%	\$92,550	\$94,860	\$2,310
43291	500	15	\$149.89	\$75,012	4.5%	\$40,321	\$115,333	80%	82%	2%	\$92,711	\$95,025	\$2,314
43290	183	15	\$149.89	\$27,499	4.5%	\$14,781	\$42,280	80%	82%	2%	\$33,987	\$34,833	\$846
43289	498	15	\$149.89	\$74,701	4.5%	\$40,153	\$114,854	80%	82%	2%	\$92,327	\$94,624	\$2,297
43288	499	15	\$149.89	\$74,768	4.5%	\$40,190	\$114,958	80%	82%	2%	\$92,404	\$94,710	\$2,306
43287	523	15	\$149.89	\$78,400	4.5%	\$42,142	\$120,542	80%	82%	2%	\$96,906	\$99,315	\$2,409
43286	296	15	\$149.89	\$44,350	4.5%	\$23,839	\$68,189	80%	82%	2%	\$54,825	\$56,188	\$1,363
43285	532	15	\$149.89	\$79,816	4.5%	\$42,903	\$122,719	80%	82%	2%	\$98,687	\$101,140	\$2,453
43284	357	15	\$149.89	\$53,464	4.5%	\$28,738	\$82,202	80%	82%	2%	\$66,099	\$67,741	\$1,642
43283	282	15	\$149.89	\$42,255	4.5%	\$22,713	\$64,968	80%	82%	2%	\$52,253	\$53,551	\$1,298
43282	445	15	\$149.89	\$66,709	4.5%	\$35,858	\$102,567	80%	82%	2%	\$82,480	\$84,529	\$2,049
43298	24	15	\$149.89	\$3,667	4.5%	\$1,971	\$5,638	80%	82%	2%	\$4,537	\$4,649	\$112
Subtotal:	5,647			\$846,438	4.5%	\$454,980	\$1,301,418				\$1,046,288	\$1,072,336	\$26,048
31 - 12	1 Grand	Offsite S	ewer										
				l sinney Place Drive T	o Laka Forasi	Drive							
43763	402	15	8114.35	\$45,976	4.5%	\$24,713	\$70,689	98%	99%	1%	\$69,166	\$69,846	\$680
43762	398	15	\$114.35	\$45,504	4.5%	\$24,713 \$24,459	\$69,963	98%	99%	1%	\$68,472	\$69,146	\$674
43766	385	15	\$114.35	\$44,055	4.5%	\$23,681	\$67,736	98%	99%	1%	\$66,294	\$66,945	\$651
43700	363	13	\$114.33	\$44,033	4.5%	\$25,061	\$07,730	9070	9970	1 70	\$00,294	\$00,943	\$031
Subtotal:	1,185			\$135,535	4.5%	\$72,853	\$208,388				\$203,932	\$205,937	\$2,005
32 - 21	'' Lake F	orest & 1	Hwv 121	Offsite Utili	ties								
				y Place Drive To La		110							
42098	203	21	\$507.26	\$103,049	4.5%	\$55,391	\$158,440	58%	68%	11%	\$91,207	\$108,279	\$17,072
42099	226	21	\$507.26	\$114,481	4.5%	\$61,536	\$176,017	58%	68%	11%	\$101,325	\$120,291	\$18,966
42100	223	21	\$507.26	\$113,296	4.5%	\$60,899	\$174,195	58%	68%	11%	\$100,277	\$120,291 \$119,046	\$18,769
42100	420	21	\$507.26	\$212,903	4.5%	\$114,440	\$327,343	58%	68%	11%	\$188,437	\$223,708	\$35,271
45799	91	21	\$507.26	\$46,081	4.5%	\$24,770	\$70,851	58%	68%	11%	\$40,786	\$48,420	\$7,634
43799	91	21	\$307.20	\$40,081	4.570	\$24,770	\$70,631	36%	0670	1170	\$40,780	φ46,420	\$7,034
Subtotal:	1,163			\$589,810	4.5%	\$317,036	\$906,846				\$522,032	\$619,744	\$97,712
33 - W	ilmeth Ri	idge (Sto	ver Cree	k) Sanitary S	Sewer M	ain 1 (WW42	213)						
	Wilmeth Road	d To Wilson C	reek										
45715	374	30	\$163.30	\$61,103	4.5%	\$32,844	\$93,947	2%	20%	18%	\$2,160	\$18,676	\$16,516
45716	270	30	\$163.30	\$44,114	4.5%	\$23,712	\$67,826	2%	20%	18%	\$1,559	\$13,483	\$11,924
45717	902	30	\$163.30	\$147,276	4.5%	\$79,164	\$226,440	2%	20%	18%	\$5,206	\$45,014	\$39,808
45718	64	33	\$163.30	\$10,518	4.5%	\$5,654	\$16,172	2%	20%	18%	\$365	\$3,244	\$2,879
45719	104	33	\$163.30	\$16,965	4.5%	\$9,119	\$26,084	2%	20%	18%	\$589	\$5,233	\$4,644
45720	213	33	\$163.30	\$34,769	4.5%	\$18,689	\$53,458	2%	20%	18%	\$1,208	\$10,724	\$9,516
45721	346	33	\$163.30	\$56,480	4.5%	\$30,359	\$86,839	2%	20%	18%	\$1,962	\$17,421	\$15,459
45722	493	33	\$163.30	\$80,524	4.5%	\$43,283	\$123,807	2%	20%	18%	\$2,797	\$24,837	\$22,040
45723	293	33	\$163.30	\$47,893	4.5%	\$25,744	\$73,637	2%	20%	18%	\$1,664	\$14,773	\$13,109
45724	155	33	\$163.30	\$25,379	4.5%	\$13,642	\$39,021	2%	20%	18%	\$882	\$7,828	\$6,946
45725	134	33	\$163.30	\$21,809	4.5%	\$11,723	\$33,532	2%	20%	18%	\$757	\$6,727	\$5,970
11		!	1 /	+==,00>		,,,20	,002				1 -,0,1	,,-,	+=,>,0

TABLE 19
Existing Wastewater Collection Lines

					Dala	20 Year		(%) U	tilized Capa	city	(\$)	Utilized Capaci	ty
Pipe Number	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.)	Total Capital Cost (\$)	Debt Service Intersest Rate %	Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Yr. Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	During Fee Period	2019	2029	During Fee Period
45726	237	33	\$163.30	\$38,686	4.5%	\$20,795	\$59,481	2%	20%	18%	\$1,343	\$11,933	\$10,590
45727	267	33	\$163.30	\$43,522	4.5%	\$23,394	\$66,916	2%	20%	18%	\$1,511	\$13,425	\$11,914
45728	148	33	\$163.30	\$24,234	4.5%	\$13,026	\$37,260	2%	20%	18%	\$842	\$7,475	\$6,633
45729	225	33	\$163.30	\$36,744	4.5%	\$19,751	\$56,495	2%	20%	18%	\$1,276	\$11,334	\$10,058
45730	304	33	\$163.30	\$49,717	4.5%	\$26,724	\$76,441	2%	20%	18%	\$1,726	\$15,335	\$13,609
45731	121	33	\$163.30	\$19,709	4.5%	\$10,594	\$30,303	2%	20%	18%	\$684	\$6,079	\$5,395
Subtotal:	4,651			\$759,442	4.5%	\$408,217	\$1,167,659				\$26,531	\$233,541	\$207,010
TOTAL E	EXISTING	COLLECT	TON LINE	S:									
	207,586			14,073,067		7,564,596	21,637,663				15,118,047	14,029,870	1,557,169

TABLE 20 Proposed Wastewater Collection Lines

B - Bore Across State Highway or Interstate

							20 Year		(%) Utilized Cap	acity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	ity
	Pipe Number	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	*Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.)	Total Capital Cost (\$)	Debt Service Intersest Rate %	Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Yr. Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	During Fee Period	2019	2029	During Fee Period
	1P - Ho	ney Cre	ek Paral	lel Trunk	Sewer									
(2) *	110100	489	48	\$1,464.81	\$716,398	4.5%	\$385,080	\$1,101,478	0%	38%	38%	\$0	\$421,442	\$421,442
(2) *	110102	712	48	\$1,464.81	\$1,042,744	4.5%	\$560,499	\$1,603,243	0%	35%	35%	\$0	\$566,953	\$566,953
(2) *	110104	154	48	\$1,464.81	\$226,285	4.5%	\$121,633	\$347,918	0%	35%	35%	\$0	\$122,462	\$122,462
(2) *	HC106	1,098	48	\$1,464.81	\$1,608,459	4.5%	\$864,584	\$2,473,043	0%	35%	35%	\$0	\$870,584	\$870,584
(2) *	HC108	716	48	\$1,464.81	\$1,049,519	4.5%	\$564,140	\$1,613,659	0%	35%	35%	\$0	\$563,432	\$563,432
(2) *	110110	823	48	\$1,464.81	\$1,206,156	4.5%	\$648,336	\$1,854,492	0%	35%	35%	\$0	\$647,790	\$647,790
(2) *	110112	413	42	\$1,464.81	\$605,442	4.5%	\$325,439	\$930,881	0%	35%	35%	\$0	\$323,298	\$323,298
(2) *	IICI14 B	430	42	\$1,464.81	\$629,955	4.5%	\$338,615	\$968,570	0%	35%	35%	\$0	\$336,495	\$336,495
(2) *	HC116	372	42	\$1,464.81	\$544,373	4.5%	\$292,613	\$836,986	0%	35%	35%	\$0	\$290,944	\$290,944
(2) *	HC118	823	42	\$1,464.81	\$1,206,163	4.5%	\$648,340	\$1,854,503	0%	35%	35%	\$0	\$644,843	\$644,843
(2) *	110120	781	42	\$1,464.81	\$1,143,987	4.5%	\$614,919	\$1,758,906	0%	33%	33%	\$0	\$575,504	\$575,504
(2) *	HC122	697	42	\$1,464.81	\$1,020,519	4.5%	\$548,552	\$1,569,071	0%	33%	33%	\$0	\$513,410	\$513,410
	Subtotal:	7,510			\$11,000,000	4.5%	\$5,912,750	\$16,912,750				\$0	\$5,877,157	\$5,877,157
	2P - 36''	Honey	Creek E	extension '	Trunk Sew	er								
(1)	113701	465	26	ф120.51	# C4.000	4.50	#24.00 <i>5</i>	000 705	0.07	20.07	20.07	tho.	#20 412	#20 412
(1)		465	36	\$139.51	\$64,900	4.5%	\$34,885	\$99,785	0%	28%	28%	\$0	\$28,413	\$28,413
(1)		733	36	\$139.51	\$102,306	4.5%	\$54,992	\$157,298	0%	28%	28%	\$0	\$44,789	\$44,789
(1)		429	36	\$139.51	\$59,820	4.5%	\$32,155	\$91,975	0%	28%	28%	\$0	\$26,189	\$26,189
(1)		488	36	\$139.51	\$68,023	4.5%	\$36,564	\$104,587	0%	24%	24%	\$0	\$24,855	\$24,855
(1) (1)		1,020 384	36	\$139.51 \$139.51	\$142,296 \$53,610	4.5% 4.5%	\$76,487 \$28,817	\$218,783 \$82,427	0% 0%	24% 24%	24% 24%	\$0 \$0	\$51,994 \$19,589	\$51,994 \$19,589
	HY07	1,010	36 36	\$139.51 \$139.51	\$33,610 \$140,964		\$28,817 \$75,771	\$216,735	0%	24%	24%	\$0 \$0	\$19,389	\$48,000
(1) (1)		695	36	\$139.51 \$139.51	\$140,964 \$96,957	4.5% 4.5%	\$73,771 \$52,117	\$216,733 \$149,074	0%	22%	22%	\$0 \$0	\$33,015	\$33,015
(1)		312	36	\$139.51	\$43,533	4.5%	\$23,400	\$66,933	0%	22%	22%	\$0 \$0	\$14,824	\$14,824
(1)		667	36	\$139.51	\$93,043	4.5%	\$50,013	\$143,056	0%	22%	22%	\$0 \$0	\$30,818	\$30,818
(1)		688	36	\$139.51	\$96,048	4.5%	\$51,628	\$147,676	0%	22%	22%	\$0 \$0	\$31,814	\$31,814
(1)		409	36	\$139.51	\$57,093	4.5%	\$30,689	\$87,782	0%	22%	22%	\$0	\$18,911	\$18,911
	Subtotal:	7,301			\$1,018,593	4.5%	\$547,518	\$1,566,111				\$0	\$373,211	\$373,211
	3P - The	Preser	ve at Ho	ney Creel										
(1)		90	21	\$59.10	\$5,304	4.5%	\$2,851	\$8,155	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$7,466	\$7,466
(1)		87	21	\$59.10	\$5,118	4.5%	\$2,751	\$7,869	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$7,204	\$7,204
(1)		109	21	\$59.10	\$6,421	4.5%	\$3,451	\$9,872	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$9,037	\$9,037
(1)	HY16	448	21	\$59.10	\$26,504	4.5%	\$14,246	\$40,750	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$37,305	\$37,305

^{(1) -} City Participate in Cost Oversize

^{(2) -} City Initiated and Funded

[!] Average Unit costs are based on Bid Tabulation or Design Opinion of Cost, plus Engineering and Easements

 $[*]Average\ Unit\ costs\ are\ based\ in\ 2019\ dollars\ unless\ otherwise\ indicated\ and\ include\ 20\%\ for\ engineering\ and\ easements.$

TABLE 20
Proposed Wastewater Collection Lines

- (1) City Participate in Cost Oversize
- (2) City Initiated and Funded
 - ! Average Unit costs are based on Bid Tabulation or Design Opinion of Cost, plus Engineering and Easements
 - $* Average\ Unit\ costs\ are\ based\ in\ 2019\ dollars\ unless\ otherwise\ indicated\ and\ include\ 20\%\ for\ engineering\ and\ easements.$

	B - 1	Bore Across Sta	te Highway or Int	erstate										
							20 Year		(%) Utilized Cap	pacity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	ity
	Dia.	Long	Diameter	*Avg. Unit Cost	Total	Debt Service	Debt Service Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During Fee			During
	Pipe Number	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Capital Cost (\$)	Intersest Rate %	Simple Interest	Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	Period	2019	2029	Fee Period
(1)		227	18	\$59.10	\$13,391	4.5%	\$7,198	\$20,589	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$18,849	\$18,849
(1)		444	18	\$59.10	\$26,223	4.5%	\$14,095	\$40,318	0%	92%	92%	\$0 \$0	\$36,909	\$36,909
(1)		272	18	\$59.10	\$16,101	4.5%	\$8,655	\$24,756	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$22,663	\$22,663
(1)		257	18	\$59.10	\$15,180	4.5%	\$8,160	\$23,340	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$21,367	\$21,367
(1)		425	18	\$59.10	\$25,145	4.5%	\$13,516	\$38,661	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$35,391	\$35,391
(1)	! HY22	499	18	\$59.10	\$29,499	4.5%	\$15,857	\$45,356	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$41,522	\$41,522
(1)	! HY23	499	18	\$59.10	\$29,499	4.5%	\$15,856	\$45,355	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$41,519	\$41,519
(1)	! HY24	496	15	\$59.10	\$29,329	4.5%	\$15,765	\$45,094	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$41,282	\$41,282
(1)		488	15	\$59.10	\$28,858	4.5%	\$15,512	\$44,370	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$40,617	\$40,617
(1)		429	15	\$59.10	\$25,332	4.5%	\$13,617	\$38,949	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$35,655	\$35,655
(1)		139	15	\$59.10	\$8,212	4.5%	\$4,414	\$12,626	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$11,559	\$11,559
(1)		300	15	\$59.10	\$17,718	4.5%	\$9,524	\$27,242	0%	92%	92%	\$0	\$24,938	\$24,938
. /														
	Subtotal:	5,209			\$307,836	4.5%	\$165,468	\$473,304				\$0	\$433,283	\$433,283
	4D Uni	non Foot	Fork T	runk Sew	0.14									
	4r - Opj	per Lasi 	L FOLK II	Tunk Sew	er									
(1)	* UE108	1,905	18	\$60.00	\$114,292	4.5%	\$61,435	\$175,727	0%	80%	80%	\$0	\$140,619	\$140,619
(1)		2,543	15	\$35.00	\$89,011	4.5%	\$47,845	\$136,856	0%	82%	82%	\$0	\$111,615	\$111,615
(1)	* UE116	3,466	15	\$35.00	\$121,322	4.5%	\$65,213	\$186,535	0%	82%	82%	\$0	\$152,142	\$152,142
	Subtotal:	7,914			\$324,625	4.5%	\$174,493	\$499,118				\$0	\$404,376	\$404,376
	5P - Sto	ver Cre	ek Trunl	k Sewer P	hase 2									
(1)	! ST01	206	27	\$167.85	\$34,613	4.5%	\$18,605	\$53,218	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$10,354	\$10,354
(1)		345	27	\$167.85	\$57,931	4.5%	\$31,139	\$89,070	0%	19%	19%	\$0 \$0	\$17,329	\$17,329
(1)		144	27	\$167.85	\$24,121	4.5%	\$12,966	\$37,087	0%	19%	19%	\$0 \$0	\$7,216	\$7,216
(1)		513	27	\$167.85	\$86,190	4.5%	\$46,329	\$132,519	0%	19%	19%	\$0 \$0	\$25,783	\$25,783
(1)		281	27	\$167.85	\$47,234	4.5%	\$25,389	\$72,623	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$14,129	\$14,129
(1)		151	27	\$167.85	\$25,376	4.5%	\$13,640	\$39,016	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$7,591	\$7,591
(1)	! ST07	218	27	\$167.85	\$36,577	4.5%	\$19,661	\$56,238	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$10,457	\$10,457
(1)	! ST08	332	27	\$167.85	\$55,808	4.5%	\$29,998	\$85,806	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$15,956	\$15,956
(1)	! ST09	277	27	\$167.85	\$46,416	4.5%	\$24,950	\$71,366	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$13,271	\$13,271
(1)		489	27	\$167.85	\$82,075	4.5%	\$44,117	\$126,192	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$23,465	\$23,465
(1)		150	27	\$167.85	\$25,153	4.5%	\$13,520	\$38,673	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$7,191	\$7,191
(1)	! ST12	56	27	\$167.85	\$9,369	4.5%	\$5,036	\$14,405	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$2,679	\$2,679
(1)	! ST13	432	27	\$167.85	\$72,454	4.5%	\$38,946	\$111,400	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$20,715	\$20,715
(1)	! ST14	319	27	\$167.85	\$53,593	4.5%	\$28,807	\$82,400	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$15,322	\$15,322
(1)		181	27	\$167.85	\$30,374	4.5%	\$16,327	\$46,701	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$8,684	\$8,684
(1)	! ST16	118	27	\$167.85	\$19,791	4.5%	\$10,638	\$30,429	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$5,658	\$5,658

TABLE 20 Proposed Wastewater Collection Lines

- (1) City Participate in Cost Oversize
- (2) City Initiated and Funded
 - ! Average Unit costs are based on Bid Tabulation or Design Opinion of Cost, plus Engineering and Easements
 - * Average Unit costs are based in 2019 dollars unless otherwise indicated and include 20% for engineering and easements.

 B Bore Across State Highway or Interstate

							20 Year		(%) Utilized Cap	acity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	ity
						Debt	Debt Service							
				*Avg. Unit	Total	Service	Utilizing	Total 20 Yr.			During			ъ.
	Pipe	Length	Diameter	Cost	Capital	Intersest	Simple	Project	2010	2020	Fee Period	2010	2020	During Fee Period
(1)	Number	(Ft.)	(Inches)	(\$/Ft.)	Cost (\$)	Rate %	Interest	Cost (\$)	2019	2029		2019	2029	
(1) !	ST17	244	27	\$167.85	\$40,877	4.5%	\$21,972	\$62,849	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$11,686	\$11,686
(1) !	ST18	284	27	\$167.85	\$47,719	4.5%	\$25,650	\$73,369	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$13,642	\$13,642
(1) !	ST19	229	27	\$167.85	\$38,496	4.5%	\$20,692	\$59,188	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$11,006	\$11,006
(1) !	ST20	549	27	\$167.85	\$92,222	4.5%	\$49,571	\$141,793	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$26,365	\$26,365
(1) !	ST21	266	27	\$167.85	\$44,689	4.5%	\$24,021	\$68,710	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$12,776	\$12,776
(1) !	ST22	212	27	\$167.85	\$35,543	4.5%	\$19,105	\$54,648	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$10,161	\$10,161
(1) !	ST23	140	27	\$167.85	\$23,467	4.5%	\$12,614	\$36,081	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$6,709	\$6,709
(1) !	ST24	162	27	\$167.85	\$27,236	4.5%	\$14,640	\$41,876	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$7,786	\$7,786
(1) !	ST25	623	27	\$167.85	\$104,602	4.5%	\$56,226	\$160,828	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$29,904	\$29,904
(1) !	ST26	320	27	\$167.85	\$53,670	4.5%	\$28,849	\$82,519	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$15,344	\$15,344
(1) !	ST27	145	27	\$167.85	\$24,405	4.5%	\$13,118	\$37,523	0%	19%	19%	\$0	\$6,977	\$6,977
	Subtotal:	7,388			\$1,240,000	4.5%	\$666,526	\$1,906,527				\$0	\$358,156	\$358,156
	6D Old	Mill D	and Corre	er (WW18	Q 5 Q)									
	or - Olu	IVIIII N	oau sew 	er (vv vv 10	550)									
(2) !	LW108	4,455	8	\$448.92	\$2,000,000	4.5%	\$1,075,046	\$3,075,046	0%	107%	107%	\$0	\$3,279,228	\$3,279,228
	Subtotal:	4,455			\$2,000,000	4.5%	\$1,075,046	\$3,075,046				\$0	\$3,279,228	\$3,279,228
	7P - Fra	nklin B	ranch T	runk Sewo	er									
(1) *	FB100	883	21	\$85.00	\$75,014	4.5%	\$40,322	\$115,336	0%	65%	65%	\$0	\$74,478	\$74,478
(1) *	FB102	5,010	21	\$85.00	\$425,832	4.5%	\$228,894	\$654,726	0%	65%	65%	\$0	\$427,417	\$427,417
(1) *	FB104	5,603	15	\$35.00	\$196,103	4.5%	\$105,410	\$301,513	0%	64%	64%	\$0	\$191,882	\$191,882
	Subtotal:	11,495			\$696,949	4.5%	\$374,626	\$1,071,575				\$0	\$693,777	\$693,777
	8P - Stoi	nehrido	e Lift St	ation No	1 Bypass S	ewer								
		lesting			1 Dypuss s									
(2) !	19000A	4,329	24	\$923.98	\$4,000,000	4.5%	\$2,150,092	\$6,150,092	0%	89%	89%	\$0	\$5,483,703	\$5,483,703
	Subtotal:	4,329			\$4,000,000	4.5%	\$2,150,092	\$6,150,092				\$0	\$5,483,703	\$5,483,703
	9P - Upp	er Wils	son Cree	k Sewer										
(1) *	UW108	6,425	15	\$35.00	\$224,864	4.5%	\$120,870	\$345,734	0%	60%	60%	\$0	\$208,494	\$208,494
	Subtotal:	6,425			\$224,864	4.5%	\$120,870	\$345,734				\$0	\$208,494	\$208,494
	10P - Ho	oney Cr	eek Exte	ension Tru	ınk Sewer	Phase 2	2							

TABLE 20 Proposed Wastewater Collection Lines

- (1) City Participate in Cost Oversize
- (2) City Initiated and Funded
 - ! Average Unit costs are based on Bid Tabulation or Design Opinion of Cost, plus Engineering and Easements
 - * Average Unit costs are based in 2019 dollars unless otherwise indicated and include 20% for engineering and easements.

 B Bore Across State Highway or Interstate

			e Highway or Inte	- State			20 Year		(%) Utilized Cap	acity	(\$)	Utilized Capac	rity
	Pipe Number	Length (Ft.)	Diameter (Inches)	*Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.)	Total Capital Cost (\$)	Debt Service Intersest Rate %	Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest	Total 20 Yr. Project Cost (\$)	2019	2029	During Fee Period	2019	2029	During Fee Period
(1) * (1) * (1) *	HC126 HC127 HC172	2,141 2,251 2,918 7,310	36 36 15	\$280.00 \$280.00 \$35.00	\$599,342 \$630,394 \$102,136 \$1,331,872	4.5% 4.5% 4.5%	\$322,160 \$338,851 \$54,900 \$715,911	\$921,502 \$969,245 \$157,036 \$2,047,783	0% 0% 0%	9% 2% 71%	9% 2% 71%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$82,298 \$15,000 \$112,048 \$209,346	\$82,298 \$15,000 \$112,048 \$209,346
			7 1 5	1.0		7.0 /0	φ/13,711	φ2,047,763				30	Ψ207,340	φ207,340
	11P - Cl	emons (Creek Tr	runk Sewe	er									
(1) * (1) * (1) * (1) *	CC100 CC102 CC103 CC104	3,283 2,106 1,196 2,458	27 24 24 24	\$150.00 \$120.00 \$120.00 \$120.00	\$492,469 \$252,706 \$143,504 \$294,983	4.5% 4.5% 4.5% 4.5%	\$264,713 \$135,835 \$77,137 \$158,560	\$757,182 \$388,541 \$220,641 \$453,543	0% 0% 0% 0%	19% 6% 4% 2%	19% 6% 4% 2%	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$140,413 \$23,055 \$9,775 \$10,969	\$140,413 \$23,055 \$9,775 \$10,969
	Subtotal:	9,043			\$1,183,662	4.5%	\$636,245	\$1,819,907				\$0	\$184,212	\$184,212
	12P - Big	g Branc	h Trunk	Sewer										
(1) * (1) *	LE10 BB100	2,227 2,032	30 30	\$210.00 \$210.00	\$467,621 \$426,824	4.5% 4.5%	\$251,357 \$229,428	\$718,978 \$656,252	0% 0%	3% 2%	3% 2%	\$0 \$0	\$21,117 \$13,730	\$21,117 \$13,730
	Subtotal:	4,259			\$894,445	4.5%	\$480,785	\$1,375,230				\$0	\$34,847	\$34,847
	13P - Ho	ney Cr	eek Brar	ich Sewer	•									
(1) * (1) * (1) *	HC157 B HC158 HC160	1,386 1,710 2,994 6.090	18 15 15	\$129.24 \$35.00 \$35.00	\$179,186 \$59,864 \$104,775 \$343,825	4.5% 4.5% 4.5%	\$96,317 \$32,178 \$56,319 \$184,814	\$275,503 \$92,042 \$161,094 \$528,639	0% 0% 0%	50% 53% 50%	50% 53% 50%	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$137,210 \$48,645 \$80,454 \$266,309	\$137,210 \$48,645 \$80,454 \$266,309
ТОТ	AL PROPOSI	- ,	ECTION I	INES:	φ3 4 3,023	7.0 /0	φ10 4 ,014	φ340,039		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	, pu	φ200,309	φ200,309
101	AL I KOI OSI	88,728	ECHONI	211 (12)3 (\$24,566,671		\$13,205,144	\$37,771,816				\$0	\$17,806,099	\$17,806,099

F. CREDIT CALCULATION FOR UTILITY SERVICE REVENUES

The City elected to pursue determination of a credit for the portion of utility revenues generated by new service units during the analysis period that are used for payment of improvements of the Water and Wastewater Impact Fee CIP. Chapter 395 of the Local Government Code requires the municipality to either award a 50-percent credit of the total projected cost of implementing the CIP or to award a calculated credit that is determined through financial analysis based on the utility service revenues generated by the projected new service units during the analysis period that is used for payment of CIP improvements, including payment of debt.

For the credit analysis, recoverable impact fee costs were provided to the City's financial consultant for this study; NewGen Strategies & Solutions, LLC. NewGen performed monetary amortization calculations that were based on numerous factors including the City's interest earnings on the existing impact fee fund balance and assumptions of the portion of the future project costs that will be financed. The existing financial standings and assumptions were coordinated with the City.

NewGen Strategies & Solutions, LLC provided the following discussion on their credit calculation. Details of the credit calculation are provided as Appendix E.

The impact fee determination method employed by NewGen Strategies and Solutions, LLC is developed through a financial based model, which fully recognizes the requirements of Chapter 395, including the recognition of cash and/or debt financing, interest earnings, fund balances, and applicable credits associated with the use of utility revenues. In developing the components of the financial model several assumptions must be made, including the following:

- Financing
- Method of financing (i.e. cash or debt financing)
- The level of financing (e.g. 100% debt)
- Cost of financing
- Debt repayment structure
- Timing and Level of Expenditures and Revenues
- Interest Earnings
- Annual Service Growth
- Portion of Utility Revenue Used to Fund Impact Fee Water and Wastewater Improvements

The assumptions employed in the maximum assessable impact fee determination provide a reasonable basis for forecasting; however, it must be emphasized that these assumptions may not necessarily reflect actual future conditions. To address this, Chapter 395 requires the monitoring of impact fees through the Impact Fee Advisory Committee and allows for the option to update or revise impact fees to reflect the actual implementation of the impact fee program.

Once the cost of capacity added that is attributable to growth is determined, it must then be decided how the cost will be financed: cash and/or debt. For any previously funded projects, whether partially funded or in full, actual costs of capital have been included. Based on discussions with City staff, unless specific funding has already been determined, it is assumed that the City will debt finance 70% of the future project costs, and the remaining 30% with sources other than debt. For debt financing, the cost of financing is based on the City staff estimates of future debt costs for bonds issued with 20-year terms, as shown in **Appendix E**. Debt service payments for each future debt issue are assumed to remain constant over the issue's term.

Currently, the exact timing and annual level of cash capital expenditures over the forecast period is indeterminate; therefore, it is assumed that capital expenditures will occur in equal amounts over the 10-year program period. It is also assumed that for debt-financed capital projects, the City will expend debt proceeds over a 3-year timeframe. For the calculation of the maximum assessable impact fee, debt is assumed to be issued in equal amounts for each year. In order to recognize the full amount of debt to be issued for the cost of capacity added that is attributable to growth during the 10-year period, a portion of years 8, 9, and 10 are assumed to be spent in the final 3 years.

Because debt is issued over 20-year terms and impact fees developed herein are to be charged over a 10-year period, sufficient fund balance must be generated to meet the future debt service obligations. Fund balances were identified for each service area as a potential source for the current Impact Fee CIP. Because of the generation of the fund balance, excess monies will be available for interest earnings.

Chapter 395 states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are to be held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore, in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund only impact fee eligible improvements, interest earnings are credited against the costs recoverable through impact fees. It should be noted that Chapter 395 does not require the upfront recognition of interest earnings in the impact fee determination; however, in

an effort to acknowledge the time value of the impact fee payers' monies, interest earnings have been credited. Interest is assumed to be earned at an annual rate of 2% per City staff.

As with the timing and level of the capital expenditures over the 10-year forecast, the timing and annual level of service unit growth over the 10-year program period is indeterminate at the present time. As such, it is assumed that service unit growth will be consistent over the 10-year forecast.

Chapter 395 requires a plan for awarding either a credit for the portion of ad valorem tax and/or utility service revenues generated by new service units during the program period that are used for payment of improvements that are included in the Water and Wastewater Impact Fee CIP. As an alternative, a credit equal to 50% of the total cost of implementing the Water and Wastewater Impact Fee CIP may be used. The City has elected to pursue the determination of a credit for the portion of utility revenues generated by new service units during the program period that are used for payment of improvements that are included in the Water and Wastewater Impact Fee CIP. It should be noted that the credit is not a determination to recognize the total utility revenue generated by new service units, but is only a credit for the portion of utility revenue that is used for payment of improvements that are included in the Water and Wastewater Impact Fee CIP. Theoretically, the credit determination could be zero (\$0) if the City does not utilize any of the new service unit utility revenue to fund improvements that are included in the Water and Wastewater Impact Fee CIP. However, to be conservative and recognize potential cash flow issues that can occur with the funding of major capital improvement projects, it is assumed that the debt-funded projects (50% of the improvement costs included in the Water and Wastewater Impact Fee CIP but not otherwise funded) could potentially be funded by utility revenue. Lastly, the City's participation in related NTMWD's projects included in the Wastewater Impact Fee CIP is anticipated to be funded in the wastewater utility rates. This amount was divided evenly over 30 years to match NTWMD's assumed debt terms, and included in the revenue credit calculation for wastewater.

G. MAXIMUM IMPACT FEES - WATER & WASTEWATER

The maximum assessable impact fees for the water and wastewater systems were calculated separately and include credit for utility service revenues. The maximum assessable impact fees were calculated by dividing the recoverable costs and financing by the new service unit equivalents in the analysis period. Recoverable costs include the existing and proposed capital improvements or facility expansions necessitated and attributable to new development in the Service Area within the ten (10) year period.

The City employed the utility service revenue credit analysis, prescribed by the Local Government Code, Chapter 395, to calculate the Maximum Assessable Impact Fees, based on the project costs, utilized capacities and utility service revenues, including interest earnings. Details of the utility service revenue credit analysis, by NewGen Strategies & Solutions, LLC, are provided in **Appendix E**.

The calculated maximum impact fees for the base service unit equivalent, a three-quarter inch (3/4") water meter, having a land use equivalency (LUE) factor of 1.00, are as follows:

Maximum Assessible Water System Impact Fee: \$1,754/ LUE


Maximum Assessible Wastewater System Impact Fee: \$2,899/ LUE

Table No. 21 summarizes the maximum assessible impact fees that can be charged based on the credit analysis. The NTMWD Wastewater CIP component of the maximum assessable wastewater impact fee is presented separately in Table 21. To simplify collection, we recommend the fee remain fixed throughout the 5-year period, unless changed by Council.

TABLE NO. 21 Maximum Assessable Water & Wastewater Impact Fee by Water Meter Size

Max. Assessible Water Impact fee /LUE\$1,754.00Max. Assessible Wastewater Impact fee /LUE\$2,899.00

Meter	Meter	Living Unit	Water							
Type	Size	Equivalent	water	McKinney NTMWD				Total	Total	
Multijet	3/4"	1.00	\$ 1,754.00	\$	388.00	\$	2,511.00	\$	2,899.00	\$ 4,653.00
Multijet	1"	1.67	\$ 2,929.18	\$	647.96	\$	4,193.37	\$	4,841.33	\$ 7,770.51
Multijet	1½"	3.33	\$ 5,840.82	\$	1,292.04	\$	8,361.63	\$	9,653.67	\$ 15,494.49
Ultrasonic	2"	8.33	\$ 14,610.82	\$	3,232.04	\$	20,916.63	\$	24,148.67	\$ 38,759.49
Ultrasonic	3"	16.67	\$ 29,239.18	\$	6,467.96	\$	41,858.37	\$	48,326.33	\$ 77,565.51
Ultrasonic	4"	33.33	\$ 58,460.82	\$	12,932.04	\$	83,691.63	\$	96,623.67	\$ 155,084.49
Ultrasonic	6"	53.33	\$ 93,540.82	\$	20,692.04	\$	133,911.63	\$	154,603.67	\$ 248,144.49
Ultrasonic	8"	93.33	\$ 163,700.82	\$	36,212.04	\$	234,351.63	\$	270,563.67	\$ 434,264.49
Ultrasonic	12"	183.33	\$ 321,560.82	\$	71,132.04	\$	460,341.63	\$	531,473.67	\$ 853,034.49



City of McKinney - 2019 Water Impact Fee Study Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Summary Table Water Service Area

0	Existing Fund Balance	\$ 4,545,014
1	Existing Number of Service Units	97,759
2	Total Number of Services Units for Planning Period	132,791
3	Additional Service Units Added During Planning Period (Line 2 - Line 1)	35,032
4	Total Cost of the Water Impact Fee CIP	\$ 207,079,119
5	Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee Planning Period	\$ 65,876,352
6	Percent Recoverable for Water Impact Fee Planning Period (Line 5 / Line 4)	31.81%
7	Financing Costs (From Financial Analysis)	\$ 21,554,433
8	Interest Earnings (From Financial Analysis)	\$ (6,222,687)
9	Recoverable Cost of Water Impact Fee and Financing Costs Less Balance (Line 5 + Line 7 + Line 8 - Line 0)	\$ 76,663,084
10	Pre-Credit Maximum Fee (Line 9 / Line 3)	\$ 2,188
11	Credit for Utility Revenues (From Financial Analysis)	\$ (15,216,343)
12	Recoverable Cost of Water Impact Fee and Financing (Line 9 + Line 11)	\$ 61,446,741
13	Maximum Assessable Fee (Line 12 / Line 3)	\$ 1,754

i

499

SUMMARY OF WATER IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

Water Service Area

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 65,876,352	BHC Impact Fee Report
Financing Cost	21,554,433	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	(4,545,014)	Water Appendices - page 1
Interest Earnings	(6,222,687)	Water Appendices - page 3
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 76,663,084	Sum of Above
Credit for Utility Revenues	(15,216,343)	Water Appendices - page 6
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 61,446,741	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is the Birkhoff, Hendricks Carter, LLP (BHC) Impact Fee Report.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interest costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 20,071,440	Water Appendices - page 2
Existing Annual Debt Service	50,277,619	Water Appendices - page 2
Principal Component (New and Existing Debt)	 (48,794,626)	Water Appendices - page 1
Financing Costs	\$ 21,554,433	_

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects from the 2013 Impact Fee Study have been included in the 2019 Impact Fee Study. Reference is page 1 of Water Appendices.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of Accumulated Interest on page 3 of Water Appendices.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Existing Fund Balance and Interest Earnings.

Credit for Utility Revenues:

In 2001, the Local Government Code Chapter 395 was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes utility revenues used to fund impact fee eligible projects. Reference is page 6 of Water Appendices.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Utility Revenues. This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through impact fees.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Water Service Area

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits ⁽¹⁾	2.00%
Annual Service Unit Growth ⁽²⁾	3,503
Existing Fund Balance ⁽³⁾	4,545,014

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾ 35,155,755 Non-debt Funded Project Cost (5) 17,081,727 New Project Cost Funded Through New $\mathsf{Debt}^{(6)}$ 13,638,871 Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 1,363,887	4.00%	20
2	1,363,887	4.00%	20
3	1,363,887	4.00%	20
4	1,363,887	4.00%	20
5	1,363,887	4.00%	20
6	1,363,887	4.00%	20
7	1,363,887	4.00%	20
8	1,363,887	4.00%	20
9	1,363,887	4.00%	20
10	1,363,887	4.00%	20
Total	\$ 13,638,871		

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Annual Capital <u>Expenditures⁽¹⁰⁾</u>
1	\$ 1,708,173
2	2,162,802
3	2,617,431
4	3,072,060
5	3,072,060
6	3,072,060
7	3,072,060
8	3,072,060
9	3,072,060
10	3,072,060
11	1,363,887
12	909,258
13	454,629
Total	30,720,598

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Table 3 Water Living Unit Equivalents 2019-2029 from BHC Impact Fee Report
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (5) This assumes 30% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise
- (6) This assumes 70% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise
- (7) Birkhoff, Hendricks Carter, LLP (BHC) Impact Fee Report
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Debt Service and Expense Summary Water Service Area

I. New Debt Service Detail

<u>Year</u>	Series	Series	Series	Series	Series <u>5</u>	Series	Series <u>7</u>	Series	Series <u>9</u>	Series 10	ı	Total Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>
1	\$ 100,357	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	100,357
2	100,357	100,357	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		200,714
3	100,357	100,357	100,357	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		301,072
4	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	-	-	-	-	-	-		401,429
5	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	-	-	-	-	-		501,786
6	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	-	-	-	-		602,143
7	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	-	-	-		702,500
8	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	-	-		802,858
9	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	-		903,215
10	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		1,003,572
11	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		1,003,572
12	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		1,003,572
13	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		1,003,572
14	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		1,003,572
15	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		1,003,572
16	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		1,003,572
17	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		1,003,572
18	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		1,003,572
19	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		1,003,572
20	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		1,003,572
21	-	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		903,215
22	-	-	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		802,858
23	-	-	-	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		702,500
24	-	-	-	-	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		602,143
25	-	-	-	-	-	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		501,786
26	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,357	100,357	100,357	100,357		401,429
27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,357	100,357	100,357		301,072
28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,357	100,357		200,714
29	-	 -	 -	 -	 -	 -	 -	 -	 -	 100,357		100,357
	\$ 2,007,144	\$ 2,007,144	\$ 2,007,144	\$ 2,007,144	\$ 2,007,144	\$ 2,007,144	\$ 2,007,144	\$ 2,007,144	\$ 2,007,144	\$ 2,007,144	\$:	20,071,440

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

<u>Year</u>	New Annual Annual Debt Capital Service ⁽¹⁾ Expenditures ⁽²⁾		Annual Bond <u>Proceeds⁽²⁾</u>	Existing Annual Debt Service ⁽³⁾	Annual <u>Credit⁽⁴⁾</u>	Total Expense
	f 400.057	¢ 4700470	© (4.000.007)	£ 0.000.500	\$ (101.466)	₾ 0.475.750
1 2	\$ 100,357 200,714	\$ 1,708,173 2,162,802	\$ (1,363,887) (1,363,887)	\$ 2,832,583 2,825,676	\$ (101,466) (202,396)	
3	301,072	2,162,602	(1,363,887)	2,819,096	(302,874)	3,622,909 4,070,837
4	401,429	3,072,060	(1,363,887)	2,813,564	(403,063)	4,520,102
5	501.786	3,072,060	(1,363,887)	2,809,158	(503,097)	4,520,102
6	602,143	3,072,060	(1,363,887)	2,894,026	(618,688)	4,585,654
7	702,500	3,072,060	(1,363,887)	2,803,127	(703,021)	4,510,779
8	802,858	3,072,060	(1,363,887)	2,677,564	(775,460)	4,413,134
9	903,215	3,072,060	(1,363,887)	2,628,939	(861,370)	4,378,957
10	1,003,572	3,072,060	(1,363,887)	2,520,041	(929,575)	4,302,211
11	1.003.572	1,363,887	(1,000,001)	2,405,363	(899,322)	3,873,501
12	1.003.572	909.258	_	2,406,026	(899,496)	3,419,359
13	1,003,572	454,629	-	2,405,679	(899,405)	2,964,475
14	1.003.572	-	-	2,405,620	(899,389)	2,509,802
15	1,003,572	-	-	2,405,812	(899,440)	2,509,944
16	1,003,572	-	-	2,404,993	(899,224)	2,509,341
17	1,003,572	-	-	2,387,047	(894,490)	2,496,129
18	1,003,572	-	-	2,237,139	(854,942)	2,385,769
19	1,003,572	-	-	1,867,309	(757,376)	2,113,505
20	1,003,572	-	-	1,728,858	(720,851)	2,011,579
21	903,215	-	-	-	(238,280)	664,935
22	802,858	-	-	-	(211,804)	591,053
23	702,500	-	-	-	(185,329)	517,172
24	602,143	-	-	-	(158,853)	443,290
25	501,786	-	-	-	(132,378)	369,408
26	401,429	-	-	-	(105,902)	295,527
27	301,072	-	-	-	(79,427)	221,645
28	200,714	-	-	-	(52,951)	147,763
29	100,357	-	-	-	(26,476)	73,882
	\$ 20,071,440	\$ 30,720,598	\$ (13,638,871)	\$ 50,277,619	\$ (15,216,343)	\$ 72,214,443

⁽¹⁾ Water Appendices - page 2 Section I

⁽²⁾ Water Appendices - page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service
(4) Water Appendices - page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Revenue Test Water Service Area

<u>Year</u>	Impact <u>Fee</u>	Service <u>Units</u>	Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>		Annual Expenses		Sub-Total		Accumulated <u>Interest</u>		Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>
Initial										\$	4,545,014
1	\$ 1,754	3,503	\$ 6,144,674	\$	3,175,759	\$	2,968,915	\$	120,589		7,634,518
2	1,754	3,503	6,144,674		3,622,909		2,521,765		177,908		10,334,191
3	1,754	3,503	6,144,674		4,070,837		2,073,837		227,422		12,635,451
4	1,754	3,503	6,144,674		4,520,102		1,624,572		268,955		14,528,977
5	1,754	3,503	6,144,674		4,516,020		1,628,654		306,866		16,464,497
6	1,754	3,503	6,144,674		4,585,654		1,559,020		344,880		18,368,397
7	1,754	3,503	6,144,674		4,510,779		1,633,895		383,707		20,385,999
8	1,754	3,503	6,144,674		4,413,134		1,731,540		425,035		22,542,574
9	1,754	3,503	6,144,674		4,378,957		1,765,717		468,509		24,776,800
10	1,754	3,503	6,144,674		4,302,211		1,842,463		513,961		27,133,224
11	-	-	-		3,873,501		(3,873,501)		503,929		23,763,653
12	-	-	-		3,419,359		(3,419,359)		441,079		20,785,373
13	-	-	-		2,964,475		(2,964,475)		386,063		18,206,961
14	-	-	-		2,509,802		(2,509,802)		339,041		16,036,200
15	-	-	-		2,509,944		(2,509,944)		295,625		13,821,880
16	-	-	-		2,509,341		(2,509,341)		251,344		11,563,884
17	-	-	-		2,496,129		(2,496,129)		206,316		9,274,071
18	-	-	-		2,385,769		(2,385,769)		161,624		7,049,926
19	-	-	-		2,113,505		(2,113,505)		119,863		5,056,284
20	-	-	-		2,011,579		(2,011,579)		81,010		3,125,715
21	-	-	-		664,935		(664,935)		55,865		2,516,645
22	-	-	-		591,053		(591,053)		44,422		1,970,014
23	-	-	-		517,172		(517,172)		34,229		1,487,071
24	-	-	-		443,290		(443,290)		25,309		1,069,089
25	-	-	-		369,408		(369,408)		17,688		717,369
26	-	-	-		295,527		(295,527)		11,392		433,234
27	-	-	-		221,645		(221,645)		6,448		218,038
28	-	-	-		147,763		(147,763)		2,883		73,157
29	-	-	-		73,882	-	(73,882)		724		-
			\$ 61,446,742	\$	72,214,443			\$	6,222,687		

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Water Service Area

	Future Value Escalation								
	Number of	Interest	Recovery						
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual Ser	vice Units		Annual	Ехр	ense
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Escalated		<u>Actual</u>	•	Escalated
1	29	1.7584	1.0000	3,503	6,160	\$	3,175,759	\$	5,584,365
2	28	1.7240	1.0000	3,503	6,039		3,622,909		6,245,733
3	27	1.6902	1.0000	3,503	5,921		4,070,837		6,880,335
4	26	1.6570	1.0000	3,503	5,805		4,520,102		7,489,864
5	25	1.6245	1.0000	3,503	5,691		4,516,020		7,336,372
6	24	1.5927	1.0000	3,503	5,579		4,585,654		7,303,425
7	23	1.5614	1.0000	3,503	5,470		4,510,779		7,043,309
8	22	1.5308	1.0000	3,503	5,363		4,413,134		6,755,728
9	21	1.5008	1.0000	3,503	5,258		4,378,957		6,571,969
10	20	1.4714	1.0000	3,503	5,155		4,302,211		6,330,184
11	19	1.4425	1.0000	-	-		3,873,501		5,587,636
12	18	1.4142	1.0000	-	-		3,419,359		4,835,808
13	17	1.3865	1.0000	-	-		2,964,475		4,110,285
14	16	1.3593	1.0000	-	-		2,509,802		3,411,642
15	15	1.3327	1.0000	-	-		2,509,944		3,344,936
16	14	1.3065	1.0000	-	-		2,509,341		3,278,561
17	13	1.2809	1.0000	-	-		2,496,129		3,197,353
18	12	1.2558	1.0000	-	-		2,385,769		2,996,068
19	11	1.2312	1.0000	-	-		2,113,505		2,602,114
20	10	1.2070	1.0000	-	-		2,011,579		2,428,064
21	9	1.1834	1.0000	-	-		664,935		786,868
22	8	1.1602	1.0000	-	-		591,053		685,724
23	7	1.1374	1.0000	-	-		517,172		588,243
24	6	1.1151	1.0000	-	-		443,290		494,322
25	5	1.0933	1.0000	-	-		369,408		403,858
26	4	1.0718	1.0000	-	-		295,527		316,751
27	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-		221,645		232,905
28	2	1.0302	1.0000	-	-		147,763		152,226
29	1	1.0100	1.0000	- <u>-</u>	- 56,441	jı.	73,882	Φ.	74,620
					56,441			\$	107,069,268
		A I late a set De					0.000/		
		Annual Interest Ra	te:				2.00%		
		Present Value of Ir	nitial Impact Fee F	Fund Balance		\$	4,545,014		
		Total Escalated Ex	pense for Entire I	Period		\$	107,069,268		
		Less Future Value	•				8,071,239		
		Sub-Total	·			\$	98,998,029		
		Total Escalated Se	ervice Units				56,441		
		Impact Fee for Wa	ater Service Area	1		\$	1,754		
			ALO. OUI TIOU AIGE	•		Ψ	.,. 54		

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Project Funding Water Service Area

Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	Cost In Service Area (1)	Impact Fee Cost ⁽¹⁾	Debt Fu Existing ⁽³⁾	ınded ⁽²⁾ <u>Proposed</u>	Non-Debt Funded ⁽²⁾	Impact Fee Cost
McKinney Ranch Pump Station - Original Construction (920)	\$ 208,670	\$ 25,040	\$ 25,040	\$ -	s -	\$ 25,040
McKinney Ranch Pump Station - Phase I Improvements (920)	1,123,172	494,196	494,196			494,196
McKinney Ranch Pump Station - Phase II Improvements (920)	197,929	87,089	87,089	-	-	87,089
McKinney Ranch Pump Station - 850 Service Area Pumps (850)	4,488,282	-	-	-	-	-
McKinney Ranch Pump Station - Emergency Generator (2 Sets)	2,098,226	1,049,113	1,049,113	-	-	1,049,113
University Pump Station - Phase IA Improvements (920)	2,547,618	1,299,285	-	909,500	389,786	1,299,285
University Pump Station - Phase II Improvements (850) University Pump Station - Phase II Improvements (920)	3,138,358 3,138,358	1,035,658 815,973	-	724,961 571,181	310,697 244,792	1,035,658 815,973
University Pump Station - Phase it improvements (920) University Pump Station - Emergency Generator - Set 1	2,172,954	1,086,477	586,477	571,161	500,000	1,086,477
Gerrish Pump Station - Replace Pump 4 + Electrical	809,017	226,525	226,525	_	-	226,525
McKinney Ranch No. 1	3,201,000	1,291,731	1,291,731	-	-	1,291,731
McKinney Ranch No. 2	4,083,980	1,648,049	526,208	-	1,121,841	1,648,049
University No. 1	2,159,043	681,803	681,803	-	-	681,803
University No. 2	6,179,442	1,951,403	1,188,769	-	762,633	1,951,403
University No. 3	7,095,814	2,240,783	647,467	-	1,593,317	2,240,783
U.S. 380	605,000 1,357,731	18,150 135,773	18,150 135,773	-	-	18,150 135,773
Virginia Community	3,418,500	3,281,760	3,281,760			3,281,760
Industrial	1,857,500	297,200	297,200	_	_	297,200
Wilneth	2,680,137	80,404	80,404	-	-	80,404
Hardin	5,057,066	910,272	910,272	-	-	910,272
Independence	4,379,943	1,489,181	1,169,527	-	319,653	1,489,181
F.M. 720 PUMP STATION 30" WATER LINE	158,340	54,720	54,720	-	-	54,720
VIRGINIA PARKWAY 24" WATER LINE	49,884	2,879	2,879	-	-	2,879
CUSTER 16" WATER LINE	272,440	463,004	463,004	-	-	463,004
F.M. 720 PARALLEL 42" WATER LINE INDUSTRIAL 2-MG ELEVATED STORAGE TANK WATER LINE	1,405,692 128,893	403,004	42,535	-	-	42,535
ALMA ROAD 24-INCH WATER LINE	449,797		42 ,333	-	-	42,000
ELDORADO 20-INCH WATER LINE	78,702	-	-			-
GERRISH PUMP STATION / AIRPORT BLVD. 36-INCH WATER LINES	1,453,539	522,278	522,278	-	-	522,278
UNIVERSITY 36-INCH WATER LINE - DISCHARGE LINE 1	606,881	151,720	151,720	-	-	151,720
HARDIN BLVD. 36-INCH WATER LINE - (VIRGINIA TO US 380)	1,292,000	187,344	187,344	-	-	187,344
HARDIN NORTH WATER LINE - (US 380 TO BUCHANAN)	506,736	-	-	-	-	-
850 WILMETH WATER MAIN - PHASE 1	731,955	8,627	8,627	-	-	8,627
850 WILMETH WATER MAIN - PHASE 2 850 LOOPED SYSTEM NORTH	1,973,668 2,463,730	172,969 914,897	172,969 914,897	-	-	172,969 914,897
STONEBRIDGE 48-INCH WATERMAIN	1,171,630	314,037	314,037	-	-	314,037
36-INCH & 48INCH WATERLINE FROM VIRGINIA TO STONEBRIDGE	1,675,443	-		-	-	-
ALMA ROAD 24-INCH WATER LINE (CRAIG RANCH NORTH)	1,672,230	-	-	-	-	-
LAKE FOREST DRIVE 30-INCH WATER LINE (WAL-MART)	203,232	54,873	54,873	-	-	54,873
VILLAGE PARK - PHASE 1 - 20", 30" & 36" WATER LINE	394,306	173,967	173,967	-	-	173,967
COLLIN MCKINNEY 30" & 36" WATER LINE (CRAIG RANCH INFRASTRUCTURE) (VCIM 1)	585,633	150,431	-	-	150,431	150,431
COLLIN MCKINNEY 20" & 24" WATER LINE (CRAIG RANCH INFRASTRUCTURE) (VCIM 1)	367,237	34,796	-	-	34,796	34,796
ALMA ROAD 20-INCH WATER LINE (CRAIG RANCH INFRASTRUCTURE) (VCIM 1) WESTRIDGE WATER LINE	199,075 261,844	64,787	-	-	64,787	64,787
INDEPENDENCE 20-INCH WATER LINE	216,672	64,021	64,021	-	-	64,021
STACY ROAD WATER LINE	456,622	173,231	173,231	-	-	173,231
MCKINNEY RANCH 16-INCH WATER LINE	137,496	65,538	65,538	-	-	65,538
COLLIN MCKINNEY 20-INCH WATER LINE - (CRAIG RANCH INFRASTRUCTURE) (VCIM 2)	313,041	208,938	208,938	-	-	208,938
ALMA ROAD 24-INCH WATER LINE - (CRAIG RANCH INFRASTRUCTURE) (VCIM 2)	480,293	86,019	86,020	-	-	86,020
CUSTER ROAD 16-INCH WATER LINE - (CRAIG RANCH INFRASTRUCTURE) (VCIM 2)	825,921	314,157	314,158	-	-	314,158
COLLIN MCKINNEY 20-INCH WATER LINE - ROWLETT CREEK BRIDGE BRISTOL / CUSTER 42-INCH WATER LINE	24,289 3,677,460	1,458	1,458	-	-	1,458
CUSTER ROAD UTILITY RELOCATION	4,786,776	-	-	-		
ELDORADO PKWY. / STONEBRIDGE DRIVE INTERSECTION 20-INCH WATER LINE	218,789	_	_	_	_	-
U.S. 380 36-INCH WATER LINE	6,219,865	805,822	579,813	-	226,009	805,822
UNIVERSITY PUMP STATION DISCHARGE LINE NO. 2	4,031,938	1,749,867	1,315,865	-	434,001	1,749,867
STACY ROAD 24-INCH WATER LINE	509,384	256,898	256,898	-	-	256,898
HARDIN 36-INCH WATER LINE (TIMBER CREEK ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS)	700,990	314,476	314,476	-	-	314,476
LAKE FOREST 20-INCH WATER LINE	568,772	25,079	25,079	-	-	25,079
VALOR POINTE AT WESTRIDGE, PHASE 10 - 16-INCH WATER LINES 920 VIRGINIA PKWY. 12-INCH PARALLEL LINE	34,505 270,166	8.328	8,328			8,328
WESTRIDGE 24-INCH WATER LINE	214,953	38,122	38,122	_	_	38,122
LAKE FOREST 36-INCH WATER LINE	1,070,317	159,419	159,419	-	-	159,419
COUCH DRIVE 12" WATER LINE LOOP	326,000	91,280	91,280	-	-	91,280
HARDIN ELEVATED STORAGE TANK WATER LINES	574,071	14,012	14,012	-	-	14,012
University Pump Station - Phase III - Add 920 PS 2 Pump 8	2,482,830	2,309,032	832,564	-	1,476,468	2,309,032
University Pump Station - PhaseIV - Add 850 PS2 Pump 3	2,420,000	895,400	2,149,000	626,780 1,086,449	268,620 465,621	895,400
McKinney Ranch Pump Station - Phase 1 & 2 Redbud Pump Station - Phase I Improvements (850)	10,574,487 12,600,000	3,701,070 3,276,000	1,636,605	1,147,576	491,818	3,701,070 3,276,000
Redbud Pump Station - Phase I Improvements (794)	12,600,000	3,528,000	1,762,498	1,235,851	529.651	3,528,000
Redbud No. 1	3,828,000	1,607,760	803,195	563,195	241,369	1,607,760
Stacy	5,500,000	4,070,000	-	2,849,000	1,221,000	4,070,000
REDBUD 794 PUMP STATION 54" DISCHARGE LINE	4,496,262	1,169,028	1,169,028	-	-	1,169,028
REDBUD 850 PUMP STATION 42" DISCHARGE LINE	8,137,350	2,384,538	1,718,547	-	665,991	2,384,538
US 380 / INDEPENDENCE LOOP HARRY McKILLOP BLVD. 24" WATER LINE	2,203,102 8,350,000	2,151,287 4,785,000	851,061 2,956,337	-	1,300,226 1,828,662	2,151,287 4,785,000
CUSTER 24" NORTH WATER LINE	11,888,125	3,183,620	1,883,961	909,761	389,898	3,183,620
HARDIN SOUTH 16" WATER LINE	108,900	91,476	- ,303,301	64,033	27,443	91,476
INDUSTRIAL BLVD. 12" WATER LINE (PIPE BURST 8" to 12")	569,109	54,449	-	38,115	16,335	54,449
HARDIN 24" & 16" (TRINITY FALLS WEST FEED NORTH)	691,392	228,159	-	159,711	68,448	228,159
INDEPENDENCE CONNECTION TO US 380	561,120	561,120	-	-	561,120	561,120
REDBUD PUMP STATION 850 DISCHARGE LINE (T-FALLS EAST FEED)	737,100	221,130	24,570		196,560	221,130
STONEBRIDGE 42" WATER LINE	5,342,040	352,001	-	246,400	105,600	352,001
F.M. 1461 (FUTURE E/W THOROUGHFARE)	289,560	203,269	-	142,288	60,981 16.138	203,269
COUNTY ROAD 228 16" WATER LINE AIRPORT WATER LINE NORTH LOOP	125,100 4,821,900	53,793 2,473,235	-	37,655 1,731,265	16,138 741,971	53,793 2,473,235
LAKE FOREST 16" WATER LINE	337,138	71,868	-	50,307	21,560	71,868
BLOOMDALE 16" WATER LINE	200,220	32,035	-	22,425	9,611	32,035
FUT. 850 EAST / WEST THOROUGHFARE WATER LINE	2,245,020	739,309	-	517,517	221,793	739,309
Water Master Plan & Impact Fee Update	204,417	204,417	204,417	-	-	204,417
Ad Valorem Tax/Utility Revenue Credit Analysis	7,000	7,000		4,900	2,100	7,000
Total	\$ 207,079,119	\$ 65,876,352	a 35,155,755	3 13,638,871	\$ 17,081,727	\$ 65,876,353

⁽¹⁾ BHC Impact Fee Report. The debt financing costs in the report were removed in order to calculate NewGen's financing costs
(2) Per discussions with City staff and City files
(3) An assumption of 4.5% was used for existing debt funding that did not have a specific bond issuance identified, in accordance with the BHC Impact Fee Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Credit Determination Water Service Area

<u>Year</u>		ble Revenue ided Cost ⁽²⁾	Annual Service <u>Units</u>	Eligible Debt Service per Service Unit	Annual G Service (Cumu	Units	it for Annual Water e Revenues
1	\$	2,932,940	101,262	\$ 28.96		3,503	\$ 101,466
2		3,026,391	104,765	28.89		7,006	202,396
3		3,120,167	108,269	28.82		10,510	302,874
4		3,214,993	111,772	28.76		14,013	403,063
5		3,310,944	115,275	28.72		17,516	503,097
6		3,496,170	118,778	29.43		21,019	618,688
7		3,505,628	122,281	28.67		24,522	703,021
8		3,480,422	125,785	27.67		28,026	775,460
9		3,532,154	129,288	27.32		31,529	861,370
10		3,523,613	132,791	26.54		35,032	929,575
11		3,408,935	132,791	25.67		35,032	899,322
12		3,409,598	132,791	25.68		35,032	899,496
13		3,409,251	132,791	25.67		35,032	899,405
14		3,409,192	132,791	25.67		35,032	899,389
15		3,409,384	132,791	25.67		35,032	899,440
16		3,408,565	132,791	25.67		35,032	899,224
17		3,390,619	132,791	25.53		35,032	894,490
18		3,240,711	132,791	24.40		35,032	854,942
19		2,870,881	132,791	21.62		35,032	757,376
20		2,732,430	132,791	20.58		35,032	720,851
21		903,215	132,791	6.80		35,032	238,280
22		802,858	132,791	6.05		35,032	211,804
23		702,500	132,791	5.29		35,032	185,329
24		602,143	132,791	4.53		35,032	158,853
25		501,786	132,791	3.78		35,032	132,378
26		401,429	132,791	3.02		35,032	105,902
27		301,072	132,791	2.27		35,032	79,427
28		200,714	132,791	1.51		35,032	52,951
29		100,357	132,791	0.76		35,032	26,476
Total	\$	70,349,059					\$ 15,216,343
2019 Ser	vice Un	its ⁽¹⁾		97,759			

 2019 Service Units⁽¹⁾
 97,759

 Ten Year Growth in Service Units⁽¹⁾
 35,032

 Annual Growth in Service Units
 10

 Years
 3,503

 Credit Amount
 \$ 15,216,343

⁽¹⁾ Derived from Table 3 Water Living Unit Equivalents 2019-2029 from BHC Impact Fee Report

⁽²⁾ Water Appendices - page 2 Section II

City of McKinney - 2019 Wastewater Impact Fee Study Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Summary Table Wastewater Service Area

0	Existing Fund Balance	\$ 1,368,844
1	Existing Number of Service Units	84,434
2	Total Number of Services Units for Planning Period	114,682
3	Additional Service Units Added During Planning Period (Line 2 - Line 1)	30,248
4	Total Cost of the Wastewater Impact Fee CIP	\$ 158,394,791
5	Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee Planning Period	\$ 130,488,137
6	Percent Recoverable for Wastewater Impact Fee Planning Period (Line 5 / Line 4)	82.38%
7	Financing Costs (From Financial Analysis)	\$ 5,045,156
8	Interest Earnings (From Financial Analysis)	\$ (16,068,573)
9	Recoverable Cost of Wastewater Impact Fee and Financing Costs Less Balance (Line 5 + Line 7 + Line 8 - Line 0)	\$ 118,095,876
10	Pre-Credit Maximum Fee (Line 9 / Line 3)	\$ 3,904
11	Credit for Utility Revenues (From Financial Analysis)	\$ (30,416,281)
12	Recoverable Cost of Wastewater Impact Fee and Financing (Line 9 + Line 11)	\$ 87,679,595
13	Maximum Assessable Fee (Line 12 / Line 3)	\$ 2,899

i

SUMMARY OF WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE DETERMINATION

Wastewater Service Area

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs	\$ 130,488,137	BHC Impact Fee Report
Financing Cost	5,045,156	See Detail Below
Existing Fund Balance	(1,368,844)	Wastewater Appendices - page 1
Interest Earnings	(16,068,573)	Wastewater Appendices - page 3
Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 118,095,876	Sum of Above
Credit for Utility Revenues	(30,416,281)	Wastewater Appendices - page 6
Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee	\$ 87,679,595	

Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs:

Represents the portion of capital improvement costs that are eligible for funding through impact fees. Reference is the Birkhoff, Hendricks Carter, LLP (BHC) Impact Fee Report.

Financing Costs:

Represents the interest costs associated with debt financing the new impact fee project costs. Interest costs are derived from existing debt issues and forecasted debt issues.

New Annual Debt Service	\$ 5,952,622 Wastewater Appendices - page 2
Existing Annual Debt Service	10,395,042 Wastewater Appendices - page 2
Principal Component (New and Existing Debt)	(11,302,508) Wastewater Appendices - page 1
Financing Costs	\$ 5.045.156

Existing Fund Balance:

Represents impact fee revenue collected but not yet expended. Some projects from the 2013 Impact Fee Study have been included in the 2019 Impact Fee Study. Reference is page 1 of Wastewater Appendices.

Interest Earnings:

Represents the interest earned on cash flows and assumes a 2.00% annual interest rate. The Impact Fee Statute states that interest earnings are funds of the impact fee account and are held to the same restrictions as impact fee revenues. Therefore in order to recognize that interest earnings are used to fund capital improvements, interest earnings are credited against the recoverable costs. Reference is the sum of Accumulated Interest on page 3 of Wastewater Appendices.

Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Recoverable Impact Fee CIP Costs plus Financing Costs less Existing Fund Balance and Interest Earnings.

Credit for Utility Revenues:

In 2001, the Local Government Code Chapter 395 was amended to include a credit for ad valorem and/or utility revenues generated by new service units during the ten-year timeframe that are used to fund impact fee eligible projects for which the new service units were charged an impact fee. The intent of this amendment is to avoid double-charging the new service units for impact fee capital improvements. The credit recognizes utility revenues used to fund impact fee eligible projects. Reference is page 6 of Wastewater Appendices.

Maximum Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee:

Represents Pre Credit Recoverable Cost for Impact Fee less Credit for Utility Revenues. This is the maximum cost that can be recovered through Impact fees.

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Calculation Assumptions Wastewater Service Area

I. General Assumptions

Annual Interest Rate on Deposits⁽¹⁾
Annual Service Unit Growth⁽²⁾
Existing Fund Balance⁽³⁾

2.00%
3,025
1,368,844

Portion of Projects Funded by Existing Debt⁽⁴⁾ Non-debt Funded New Project Cost⁽⁵⁾ New Project Cost Funded Through New Debt⁽⁶⁾ \$ 7,257,604 119,185,629 4,044,904

Total Recoverable Project Cost⁽⁷⁾

\$ 130,488,137

II. New Debt Issues Assumptions

<u>Year</u>	Principal ⁽⁸⁾	Interest ⁽⁹⁾	<u>Term</u>
1	\$ 404,490	4.00%	20
2	404,490	4.00%	20
3	404,490	4.00%	20
4	404,490	4.00%	20
5	404,490	4.00%	20
6	404,490	4.00%	20
7	404,490	4.00%	20
8	404,490	4.00%	20
9	404,490	4.00%	20
10	404,490	4.00%	20
Total	¢ 4.044.004		

III. Capital Expenditure Assumptions

	Annual Capital
<u>Year</u>	Expenditures (10)
1	\$ 4,108,392
2	4,243,222
3	4,378,052
4	4,512,883
5	4,512,883
6	4,512,883
7	4,512,883
8	4,512,883
9	4,512,883
10	4,512,883
11	4,309,576
12	4,174,746
13	4,039,916
14-30	66,386,451
Total	\$ 123,230,533

- (1) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (2) Derived from Table 4 Wastewater Living Unit Equivalents 2019-2029 from BHC Impact Fee Report
- (3) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (4) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- $(5) \quad \text{This assumes 30\% of new project costs funded through sources other than debt, unless specified otherwise}$
- $(6) \quad \text{This assumes 70\% of new project costs funded through new debt issues, unless specified otherwise}$
- (7) Birkhoff, Hendricks Carter, LLP (BHC) Impact Fee Report
- (8) Assumes new debt issued in equal annual amounts based on projected time frame
- (9) Per discussions with City Staff and City files
- (10) Assumes new debt proceeds expended over a 3-year timeframe Non-debt funded capital expenditures allocated per discussions with City Staff Years 14-30 reflect repayment of NTMWD eligible capital over 30 years in monthly wastewater charges

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Debt Service and Expense Summary Wastewater Service Area

I. New Debt Service Detail

Year	Series	Series	Series	Series <u>4</u>	Series <u>5</u>	Series	Series 7	Series	Series	Series	Total Annual New Debt <u>Service</u>
1 9	29,763	\$ - \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-	\$ 29,763
2	29,763	29,763	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59,526
3	29,763	29,763	29,763	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	89,289
4	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	-	-	-	-	-	-	119,052
5	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	-	-	-	-	-	148,816
6	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	-	-	-	-	178,579
7	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	-	-	-	208,342
8	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	-	-	238,105
9	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	-	267,868
10	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	297,631
11	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	297,631
12	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	297,631
13	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	297,631
14	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	297,631
15	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	297,631
16	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	297,631
17	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	297,631
18	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	297,631
19	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	297,631
20	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	297,631
21	-	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	267,868
22	-	-	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	238,105
23	-	-	-	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	208,342
24	-	-	-	-	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	178,579
25	-	-	-	-	-	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	148,816
26	-	-	-	-	-	-	29,763	29,763	29,763	29,763	119,052
27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29,763	29,763	29,763	89,289
28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29,763	29,763	59,526
29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29,763	29,763
30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
,	595,262	\$ 595,262 \$	595,262 \$	595,262 \$	595,262 \$	595,262 \$	595,262 \$	595,262 \$	595,262 \$	595,262	\$ 5,952,622

II. Summary of Annual Expenses

<u>Year</u>		New Annual Debt Service ⁽¹⁾	<u>E</u>	Annual Capital Expenditures ⁽²⁾		Annual Bond Proceeds ⁽²⁾		Existing Annual Debt Service ⁽³⁾		Annual Credit ⁽⁴⁾	Total Expense		
1	\$	29.763	\$	4,108,392	\$	(404,490)	\$	540,662	\$	(154,787)	\$	4,119,539	
2	•	59,526	Ψ.	4,243,222	Ψ	(404,490)	Ψ	540,783	Ψ	(301,224)	Ψ	4,137,817	
3		89,289		4,378,052		(404,490)		540,065		(440,039)		4,162,878	
4		119,052		4,512,883		(404,490)		539,723		(572,022)		4,195,146	
5		148,816		4,512,883		(404,490)		539,862		(697,845)		4,099,225	
6		178,579		4,512,883		(404,490)		541,680		(818,309)		4,010,342	
7		208,342		4,512,883		(404,490)		540,398		(933,060)		3,924,072	
8		238,105		4,512,883		(404,490)		523,326		(1,039,489)		3,830,333	
9		267,868		4,512,883		(404,490)		516,736		(1,143,396)		3,749,600	
10		297,631		4,512,883		(404,490)		515,065		(1,244,340)		3,676,748	
11		297,631		4,309,576		-		512,697		(1,243,716)		3,876,188	
12		297,631		4,174,746		-		513,323		(1,243,881)		3,741,819	
13		297,631		4,039,916		-		513,208		(1,243,851)		3,606,904	
14		297,631		3,905,085		-		513,086		(1,243,819)		3,471,984	
15		297,631		3,905,085		-		513,152		(1,243,836)		3,472,033	
16		297,631		3,905,085		-		512,578		(1,243,684)		3,471,610	
17		297,631		3,905,085		-		513,073		(1,243,815)		3,471,974	
18		297,631		3,905,085		-		492,854		(1,238,482)		3,457,088	
19		297,631		3,905,085		-		486,526		(1,236,813)		3,452,429	
20		297,631		3,905,085		-		486,246		(1,236,739)		3,452,223	
21		267,868		3,905,085		-		-		(1,100,639)		3,072,314	
22		238,105		3,905,085		-		-		(1,092,789)		3,050,401	
23		208,342		3,905,085		-		-		(1,084,939)		3,028,488	
24		178,579		3,905,085		-		-		(1,077,089)		3,006,575	
25		148,816		3,905,085		-		-		(1,069,238)		2,984,663	
26		119,052		3,905,085		-		-		(1,061,388)		2,962,750	
27 28		89,289		3,905,085		-		-		(1,053,538)		2,940,837	
28 29		59,526		3,905,085		-		-		(1,045,688)		2,918,924	
29 30		29,763		3,905,085		-		-		(1,037,838) (1,029,987)		2,897,011 2,875,098	
30	\$	5,952,622	\$	3,905,085 123,230,533	\$	(4,044,904)	Φ	10,395,042	•	(30,416,281)	Φ	105,117,012	
	Ф	5,952,622	φ	123,230,533	Ф	(4,044,904)	Φ	10,385,042	Φ	(30,410,201)	φ	100,117,012	

⁽¹⁾ Wastewater Appendices - page 2 Section I
(2) Wastewater Appendices - page 1
(3) Eligible debt funded projects as a percent of total principal times original annual debt service
(4) Wastewater Appendices - page 6

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Revenue Test Wastewater Service Area

<u>Year</u>	npact <u>Fee</u>	Service <u>Units</u>	Impact Fee <u>Revenue</u>		Annual Expenses		Sub-Total		Accumulated Interest	Estimated Fund <u>Balance</u>
Initial										\$ 1,368,844
1	\$ 2,899	3,025	\$ 8,767,960	\$	4,119,539	\$	4,648,421	\$	73,861	6,091,126
2	2,899	3,025	8,767,960		4,137,817		4,630,143		168,124	10,889,392
3	2,899	3,025	8,767,960		4,162,878		4,605,082		263,839	15,758,313
4	2,899	3,025	8,767,960		4,195,146		4,572,813		360,894	20,692,021
5	2,899	3,025	8,767,960		4,099,225		4,668,735		460,528	25,821,283
6	2,899	3,025	8,767,960		4,010,342		4,757,618		564,002	31,142,903
7	2,899	3,025	8,767,960		3,924,072		4,843,888		671,297	36,658,088
8	2,899	3,025	8,767,960		3,830,333		4,937,626		782,538	42,378,252
9	2,899	3,025	8,767,960		3,749,600		5,018,359		897,749	48,294,360
10	2,899	3,025	8,767,960		3,676,748		5,091,212		1,016,799	54,402,371
11	-	-	-		3,876,188		(3,876,188)		1,049,286	51,575,468
12	-	-	-		3,741,819		(3,741,819)		994,091	48,827,741
13	-	-	-		3,606,904		(3,606,904)		940,486	46,161,323
14	-	-	-		3,471,984		(3,471,984)		888,507	43,577,845
15	-	-	-		3,472,033		(3,472,033)		836,837	40,942,649
16	-	-	-		3,471,610		(3,471,610)		784,137	38,255,176
17	-	-	-		3,471,974		(3,471,974)		730,384	35,513,586
18	-	-	-		3,457,088		(3,457,088)		675,701	32,732,198
19	-	-	-		3,452,429		(3,452,429)		620,120	29,899,889
20	-	-	-		3,452,223		(3,452,223)		563,476	27,011,141
21	-	-	-		3,072,314		(3,072,314)		509,500	24,448,326
22	-	-	-		3,050,401		(3,050,401)		458,463	21,856,387
23	-	-	-		3,028,488		(3,028,488)		406,843	19,234,742
24	-	-	-		3,006,575		(3,006,575)		354,629	16,582,795
25	-	-	-		2,984,663		(2,984,663)		301,809	13,899,942
26	-	-	-		2,962,750		(2,962,750)		248,371	11,185,564
27	-	-	-		2,940,837		(2,940,837)		194,303	8,439,030
28	-	-	-		2,918,924		(2,918,924)		139,591	5,659,698
29	-	-	-		2,897,011		(2,897,011)		84,224	2,846,911
30	-	-	-		2,875,098		(2,875,098)		28,187	-
			\$ 87,679,595	\$	105,117,012			\$	16,068,573	

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees
Impact Fee Calculation
Wastewater Service Area

		Future Value	Escalation									
	Number of	Interest	Recovery									
	Years to	Rate	Fee	Annual Se	rvice Units		Annual	Ехр	ense			
<u>Year</u>	End of Period	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Escalated		<u>Actual</u>		Escalated			
1	30	1.7936	1.0000	3,025	5,425	\$	4,119,539	\$	7,388,818			
2	29	1.7584	1.0000	3,025	5,319	Ψ	4,137,817	Ψ	7,276,080			
3	28	1.7240	1.0000	3,025	5,215		4,162,878		7,176,615			
4	27	1.6902	1.0000	3,025	5,112		4,195,146		7,090,436			
5	26	1.6570	1.0000	3,025	5,012		4,099,225		6,792,465			
6	25	1.6245	1.0000	3,025	4,914		4,010,342		6,514,887			
7	24	1.5927	1.0000	3,025	4,818		3,924,072		6,249,744			
8	23	1.5614	1.0000	3,025	4,723		3,830,333		5,980,834			
9	22	1.5308	1.0000	3,025	4,630		3,749,600		5,739,975			
10	21	1.5008	1.0000	3,025	4,540		3,676,748		5,518,088			
11	20	1.4714	1.0000	-	-		3,876,188		5,703,343			
12	19	1.4425	1.0000	_	_		3,741,819		5,397,681			
13	18	1.4142	1.0000	_	_		3,606,904		5,101,041			
14	17	1.3865	1.0000	_	_		3,471,984		4,813,953			
15	16	1.3593	1.0000	_	_		3,472,033		4,719,628			
16	15	1.3327	1.0000	_	_		3,471,610		4,626,523			
17	14	1.3065	1.0000	_	_		3,471,974		4,536,283			
18	13	1.2809	1.0000	-	-		3,457,088		4,428,268			
19	12	1.2558	1.0000	-	-		3,452,429		4,335,589			
20	11	1.2312	1.0000	_	_		3,452,223		4,250,323			
21	10	1.2070	1.0000	_	_		3,072,314		3,708,417			
22	9	1.1834	1.0000	_	_		3,050,401		3,609,772			
23	8	1.1602	1.0000	-	-		3,028,488		3,513,569			
24	7	1.1374	1.0000	-	-		3,006,575		3,419,751			
25	6	1.1151	1.0000	-	-		2,984,663		3,328,262			
26	5	1.0933	1.0000	-	-		2,962,750		3,239,045			
27	4	1.0718	1.0000	-	-		2,940,837		3,152,048			
28	3	1.0508	1.0000	-	-		2,918,924		3,067,217			
29	2	1.0302	1.0000	-	-		2,897,011		2,984,501			
30	1	1.0100	1.0000	-	-		2,875,098		2,903,849			
				_	49,708		, ,	\$	146,567,002			
		Annual Interest Ra	to:				2.00%					
		Annual interest ita	ie.				2.0070					
		Present Value of Ir	nitial Impact Fee F	Fund Balance		\$	1,368,844					
		Total Escalated Ex	pense for Entire	Period		\$	146,567,002					
		Less Future Value	of Initial Impact F	ee Fund Balance			2,479,471					
		Sub-Total			•	\$	144,087,531					
		Total Escalated Se	rvice Units				49,708					
Impact Fee for Wastewater Service Area \$ 2,899												

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Impact Fee Project Funding Wastewater Service Area

Impact Fee Project Name ⁽¹⁾	<u>Se</u>	Cost In rvice Area (1)	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost ⁽¹⁾	ļ	Debt Funded ⁽²⁾ <u>Existing⁽³⁾</u> <u>Proposed</u>				Non-Debt Funded ⁽²⁾	Impact Fee Recoverable Cost		
Sloan Lift Station & Force Main (WW1623)	\$	1,861,492	\$ 89,670	\$	89,670	\$	-	\$	-	\$	89,670	
Stonebridge 27" Offsite Sewer Along Wilson Creek (1987) Line A1		446,699	-		-		-		-		-	
Stonebridge 18" & 21" Offsite Sewer (1987) Line A1-1		393,197	5,002		5,002		-		-		5,002	
Stonebridge 30" Offsite Sewer (1987) Line B1		304,799	-		-		-		-		-	
Bray Central 2 - Off Site Sewer		52,700	1,523		1,523		-		-		1,523	
36" Wilson Creek Interceptor Phase 2		456,001	216		216		-		-		216	
15" Mckinney Northwest Outfall Sewer (1982)		148,502	11,208		11,208		-		-		11,208	
Jeans Creek Interceptor Line		185,600	2,873		2,873		-		-		2,873	
36"-48" Wilson Creek Interceptor Sewer Phase 1		2,155,800	-		-		-		-		-	
18" Diversion Sewer Line: West, Davis, Louisiana & Woodleigh St.		220,299	94		94		-		-		94	
12" Provine Farm Estates		244,898	260		260		-		-		260	
18" Cottonwood Creek Sanitary Sewer		320,999	7,396		7,396		-		-		7,396	
24" Mckinney Northwest Outfall Sewer Along Wilson Creek (1982)		1,113,172	10,932		10,932		-		-		10,932	
15" Spur 399 Sanitary Sewer Line		279,648	65,791		65,791		-		-		65,791	
12" Herndon Branch Trunk Sewer		504,599	16,497		16,497		-		-		16,497	
18" Jeans Creek Relief Sewer		89,500	1,701		1,701		-		- 74		1,701	
Eagles Nest Sewer Service		26,577	71 170		-		-		71 170		71 170	
Westridge Blvd. Sewer		14,602 823,679	198		-		-		170		170	
15" Craig Ranch North 6		794,544	72,228		72,228		-		198		72,228	
15"- 24" Craig Ranch West 1 (VCIM2)		396,460	100,687		100,687		-		-		100,687	
15"- 24" Craig Ranch Infrastructure 1 (VCIM1) 18" - 24" Harvest Bend 1 Offsite S.S. Line		200,741	21,976		21,976		-		-		21,976	
Wal-Mart Super Center Off-Site Sanitary Sewer		118,001	3,071		3,071		_				3,071	
27" Creekview Estates 1 Offsite Sanitary Sewer		183,369	76,059		76,059						76,059	
Timber Creek 1 Offsite Sanitary Sewer Main		408,877	90,034		90,034		_		_		90,034	
Robinson Ridge 1 Offsite Sewer		264,763	-		-		_		_		-	
NTMWD Mckinney Prosper Interceptor Sewer		316,160	60.603		57,506		_		3,097		60.603	
15" Lacima Sanitary Sewer		12,312	6		6		_		-		6	
Westerra Stonebridge Trunk Main "H" & "H-2"		526,479	9,943		9,943		-		-		9,943	
Franklin Branch Trunk Sewer		738,865	237,802		237,802		-		-		237,802	
15" Airport Sewer Phase 2 Sewer Main		846,438	16,942		14,940		-		2,002		16,942	
121 Grand Offsite Sewer		135,535	1,304		1,304		-		-		1,304	
21" Lake Forest & Hwy 121 Offsite Utilities		589,810	63,552		63,552		-		-		63,552	
Wilmeth Ridge (Stover Creek) Sanitary Sewer Main 1 (WW4213)		759,442	134,639		134,639		-		-		134,639	
Rutherford Branch East Pumping Capacity Expansion		440,000	351,098		351,098		-		-		351,098	
NTMWD Treatment Systems Capacity Expansion Share		117,152,561	117,152,561		-		-		117,152,561		117,152,561	
Honey Creek Parallel Trunk Sewer		11,000,000	3,822,485		55,600		2,636,819		1,130,065		3,822,485	
36" Honey Creek Extension Trunk Sewer		1,018,593	242,735		-		169,915		72,821		242,735	
The Preserve at Honey Creek		307,836	281,806		-		197,264		84,542		281,806	
Upper East Fork Trunk Sewer		324,625	263,005		-		184,104		78,902		263,005	
Stover Creek Trunk Sewer Phase 2		1,240,000	232,944		232,944		-		-		232,944	
Old Mill Road Sewer (WW1858)		2,000,000	2,132,799		2,132,799		. .				2,132,799	
Franklin Branch Trunk Sewer		696,949	451,230		- .		315,861		135,369		451,230	
Stonebridge Lift Station No. 1 Bypass Sewer		4,000,000	3,566,583		3,388,254		124,830		53,499		3,566,583	
Upper Wilson Creek Sewer		224,864	135,604		-		94,923		40,681		135,604	
Honey Creek Extension Trunk Sewer Phase 2		1,331,872	136,158		-		95,311		40,847		136,158	
Clemons Creek Trunk Sewer		1,183,662	119,811		-		83,868		35,943		119,811	
Big Branch Trunk Sewer		894,445	22,664		-		15,865		6,799		22,664	
Honey Creek Branch Sewer		343,825	173,206		-		121,245		51,962		173,206	
Wastewater System Master Plan Update		52,000	52,000		-		-		52,000		52,000	
Wastewater System Impact Fee Update		30,000	30,000		-		-		30,000		30,000	
Wastewater Flow Monitoring Initiative		180,000	180,000		-		-		180,000		180,000	
Wastewater Hydraulic Model Calibration		32,000	32,000		-		4.000		32,000		32,000	
Ad Valorem Tax/Utility Revenue Credit Analysis	\$	7,000	7,000	r.	7.057.004	r.	4,900	Φ	2,100	٠	7,000	
Total	Ф	158,394,791	\$ 130,488,137	\$	7,257,604	\$	4,044,904	ф	119,185,629	\$	130,488,137	

⁽¹⁾ BHC Impact Fee Report. The debt financing costs in the report were removed in order to calculate NewGen's financing costs

⁽²⁾ Per discussions with City staff and City files

⁽³⁾ An assumption of 4.5% was used for existing debt funding that did not have a specific bond issuance identified, in accordance with the BHC Impact Fee Report

Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees Credit Determination Wastewater Service Area

2 4,505,394 90,484 49.79 6,050 301,2 3 4,534,440 93,508 48.49 9,074 440,0 4 4,563,861 96,533 47.28 12,099 572,0 5 4,593,763 99,558 46.14 15,124 697,8 6 4,625,344 102,583 45.09 18,149 818,3 7 4,653,825 105,608 44.07 21,174 933,0 8 4,666,516 108,632 42.96 24,198 1,039,9 9 4,689,689 111,657 42.00 27,223 1,143,3 10 4,717,781 114,682 41.14 30,248 1,244,5 11 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 12 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 13 4,715,803 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 16 4,715,789 114,682 41.12	Credit for Paid in evenues
2 4,505,394 90,484 49.79 6,050 301,2 3 4,534,440 93,508 48.49 9,074 440,6 4 4,563,861 96,533 47.28 12,099 572,6 5 4,593,763 99,558 46.14 15,124 697,6 6 4,625,344 102,583 45.09 18,149 818,5 7 4,653,825 105,608 44.07 21,174 933,6 8 4,666,516 108,632 42.96 24,198 1,039,4 9 4,689,689 111,657 42.00 27,223 1,143,3 10 4,717,781 114,682 41.14 30,248 1,244,5 11 4,715,414 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 12 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 13 4,715,924 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12	154,787
3 4,534,440 93,508 48.49 9,074 440,0 4 4,563,861 96,533 47.28 12,099 572,0 5 4,593,763 99,558 46.14 15,124 697,8 6 4,625,344 102,583 45.09 18,149 818,3 7 4,653,825 105,608 44.07 21,174 933,6 8 4,666,516 108,632 42.96 24,198 1,039,4 9 4,689,689 111,657 42.00 27,223 1,143,3 10 4,717,781 114,682 41.14 30,248 1,244,3 11 4,715,414 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,7 12 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 13 4,715,924 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 14 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 15 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 </td <td>301,224</td>	301,224
4 4,563,861 96,533 47.28 12,099 572,0 5 4,593,763 99,558 46.14 15,124 697,6 6 4,625,344 102,583 45.09 18,149 818,3 7 4,653,825 105,608 44.07 21,174 933,0 8 4,666,516 108,632 42.96 24,198 1,039,4 9 4,689,689 111,657 42.00 27,223 1,143,3 10 4,717,781 114,682 41.14 30,248 1,244,5 11 4,715,414 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 12 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 13 4,715,924 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 14 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 16 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 18 4,695,571 114,682 40	440,039
5 4,593,763 99,558 46.14 15,124 697,6 6 4,625,344 102,583 45.09 18,149 818,3 7 4,653,825 105,608 44.07 21,174 933,6 8 4,666,516 108,632 42.96 24,198 1,039,4 9 4,689,689 111,657 42.00 27,223 1,143,3 10 4,717,781 114,682 41.14 30,248 1,244,5 11 4,715,414 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 12 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 13 4,715,924 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 14 4,715,803 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 16 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 17 4,715,789 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,236,8 19 4,689,243 114,682 <t< th=""><th>572,022</th></t<>	572,022
6 4,625,344 102,583 45.09 18,149 818,5 7 4,653,825 105,608 44.07 21,174 933,6 8 4,666,516 108,632 42.96 24,198 1,039,4 9 4,689,689 111,657 42.00 27,223 1,143,5 10 4,717,781 114,682 41.14 30,248 1,244,5 11 4,715,414 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 12 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 13 4,715,924 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 14 4,715,803 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 17 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,236,6 20 4,688,963 114,682	697,845
7 4,653,825 105,608 44.07 21,174 933,0 8 4,666,516 108,632 42.96 24,198 1,039,4 9 4,689,689 111,657 42.00 27,223 1,143,3 10 4,717,781 114,682 41.14 30,248 1,244,3 11 4,715,414 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,7 12 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 13 4,715,924 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 14 4,715,803 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 17 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 21 4,143,190 114,682	818,309
8 4,666,516 108,632 42.96 24,198 1,039,4 9 4,689,689 111,657 42.00 27,223 1,143,3 10 4,717,781 114,682 41.14 30,248 1,244,3 11 4,715,414 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,7 12 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 13 4,715,924 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 14 4,715,803 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 17 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,236,8 19 4,689,243 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 21 4,143,190 114,682 <td>933,060</td>	933,060
10 4,717,781 114,682 41.14 30,248 1,244,3 11 4,715,414 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,7 12 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 13 4,715,924 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 14 4,715,803 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 17 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,236,8 19 4,689,243 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,039,489
11 4,715,414 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,7 12 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 13 4,715,924 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 14 4,715,803 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 17 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,236,8 19 4,689,243 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,143,396
12 4,716,039 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 13 4,715,924 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 14 4,715,803 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 17 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,238,4 19 4,689,243 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,244,340
13 4,715,924 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 14 4,715,803 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 17 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,238,4 19 4,689,243 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,243,716
14 4,715,803 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 17 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,236,8 19 4,689,243 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,243,881
15 4,715,869 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 17 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,236,8 19 4,689,243 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,7 21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,243,851
16 4,715,294 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,6 17 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,238,4 19 4,689,243 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,7 21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,243,819
17 4,715,789 114,682 41.12 30,248 1,243,8 18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,238,4 19 4,689,243 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,7 21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,243,836
18 4,695,571 114,682 40.94 30,248 1,238,4 19 4,689,243 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,7 21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,243,684
19 4,689,243 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,8 20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,7 21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,243,815
20 4,688,963 114,682 40.89 30,248 1,236,7 21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,238,482
21 4,172,953 114,682 36.39 30,248 1,100,6 22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,236,813
22 4,143,190 114,682 36.13 30,248 1,092,7	1,236,739
	1,100,639
	1,092,789
	1,084,939
	1,077,089
	1,069,238
	1,061,388
	1,053,538
	1,045,688
	1,037,838
	1,029,987
Total \$ 133,500,225 \$ 30,416,2	30,416,281
2019 Service Units ⁽¹⁾ 84,434	
Ten Year Growth in Service Units ⁽¹⁾ 30,248 10 years	
Annual Growth in Service Units 3,025	

⁽¹⁾ Derived from Table 4 Wastewater Living Unit Equivalents 2019-2029 from BHC Impact Fee Report

Credit Amount

30,416,281

⁽²⁾ Wastewater Appendices - page 2 Section II plus repayment of NTMWD eligible capital over 30 years in monthly wastewater charges



2019 - 2029 WATER & WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE UPDATE

BIRKHOFF, HENDRICKS & CARTER, L.L.P.

JANUARY 2020

DRAFT