### REGIONAL STUDY OF SPORTS FINAL REPORT



## **Study Goals**

- The 5-cities Allen, Frisco, McKinney, Plano and Richardson
- Enable cities to make informed decisions in their planning processes for the provision of future athletic services by providing a regional context.
- Examine and define the character and needs of sports participation in the 5-city area.
- Identify common and disparate goals and suggest reconciliation measures where feasible.
- Develop a comprehensive inventory of athletics facilities in the 5-city area.
- Align local policies and operations in each of the cities to minimize duplication of efforts and maximize the benefit derived from facilities investment to residents of all 5 cities.



## **Study Organization**

- Demographics
- Sports Participation
- Field Inventory
- Field Demand
- Field Allocation
- Field Maintenance
- Standards and Recommendations
- Artificial Turf





## Demographics

- Growth will drive demand
- Northern communities have the most growth
- Changing ethnic mix
- Large youth population

	Allen	Frisco	Mckinner	Plano	Dicharder	c Cities
Denulation	Allen	FIISCO	McKinney	Piano	Richardson	5-Cities
Population:	0 61	6 0 3	2	<b>0</b> /	5	<b>c c c c c c c c c c</b>
2010 Census	84,2461	116,989²	131,1173	259,8414	99,223 <sup>5</sup>	691,416 <sup>6</sup>
2015 Estimate	93,620	147,846	154,044	272,923	103,338	771,771
2020 Estimate	103,597	178,019	178,001	290,690	108,925	859,232
Households:						
2010 Census	27,870	39,901	44,353	99,131	38,714	249,969
2015 Estimate	30,867	49,959	52,230	104,736	40,419	278,211
2020 Estimate	34,118	60,001	60,635	112,042	42,743	309,539
Families:						
2010 Census	22,752	31,226	33,983	69,464	25,545	182,970
2015 Estimate	25,139	38,932	39,728	72,194	26,405	202,398
2020 Estimate	27,728	46,584	45,842	76,363	27,687	224,204
Ave. Household Size:						
2010 Census	3.02	2.93	2.91	2.61	2.54	2.75
2015 Estimate	3.03	2.95	2.91	2.60	2.53	2.76
2020 Estimate	3.03	2.96	2.90	2.59	2.53	2.76
Ethnicity (2015 Est):						
Hispanic	11.3%	13.0%	18.9%	15.2%	16.9%	15.3%
White	68.2%	71.0%	72.1%	63.2%	63.2%	67.1%
African Amer.	9.1%	9.6%	11.9%	8.5%	9.1%	9.5%
American Ind.	0.5%	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%
Asian	15.7%	11.8%	5.0%	19.2%	17.8%	14.3%
Pacific Islander	0.1%	0.04%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%
Other	3.2%	3.5%	6.8%	5.3%	6.0%	5.1%
Multiple	3.3%	3.5%	3.4%	3.3%	3.3%	3.4%
Median Age:						
2010 Census	34.6	33.8	32.7	37.0	36.7	35.2
2015 Estimate	35.4	34-4	33.6	38.2	37.3	36.0
2020 Estimate	35.9	34-3	33.8	38.7	38.0	36.2
Median Income:						
2015 Estimate	\$112,780	\$110,482	\$97,612	\$91,203	\$71,684	\$96,497
2020 Estimate	\$124,882	\$123,551	\$105,924	\$102,469	\$81,194	\$106,316



### **Sports Participation**

Growing Demand Soccer Lacrosse Flag Football Elite/travel baseball Decreasing Demand Softball (adult) Football (Tackle) Baseball

Emerging Sports Rugby Cricket Ultimate

Growth is strongest in rectangular field sports





# **Field Inventory**

#### **Existing Field Inventory**

Field Type	Allen	Frisco	McKinney	Plano	Richardson	Total
Diamond	18	24	21	65	17	145
Lighted	18	24	21	49	14	126
Unlighted	0	0	0	16	3	19
Rectangular	32	28	24	96	27	207
Lighted	25	26	18	58	3	130
Unlighted	7	2	6	38	24	77
Total	50	52	45	161	44	352

#### Field Inventory-per thousand population

Field Type	Allen	Frisco	McKinney	Plano	Richardson	Total
2015 Population (in 000's)	93.6	147.8	154.0	272.9	103.3	771.8
Diamond (per thousand pop.)	.19	.16	.14	.24	.16	.19
Rectangular (per thousand pop.)	.34	.19	.16	•35	.26	.27



## Field Demand

#### Future Field Demand-per thousand population

Field Type	Allen	Frisco	McKinney	Plano	Richardson	Total
2020 Population (in 000's)	103.6	178.0	178.0	290.7	108.9	859.2
Additional Diamond Fields	+1.7	+4.5	+3.9	+4.8	+.42	+18.2
Additional Rectangle Fields	+3.2	+5.8	+4.5	+5.7	+1.3	+25.0

#### Master Plan-future field needs

City	Diamond Sports Fields	Rectangular Sports Fields	Other
Allen	2-Youth Baseball	1-Football 7-Soccer	An Adult Sports Facility Youth Practice Fields
Frisco*	1-Adult Softball 1-Girls Softball	2- Soccer	Light Existing Fields
McKinney	5-Baseball 1-T-Ball	5- Rectangular 2- Football 20- Multipurpose Small or 5 Regualtion Fields	All Fields Lighted Except T-Ball
Plano	15-Diamond	16-Rectangular	1-Cricket
Richardson**	N/A	1-Football 6-8 Soccer	1- Cricket
Total	25 Fields	60-62 Fields	



## **Field Demand**

#### **PRORAGIS Benchmarks**

#### **Population Per Field**

Facility	National (Median)	Allen	Frisco	McKin- ney	Plano	Richard- son	5 Cities
Diamond Field	3,333	5,200	6,158	7,333	4,198	6,076	5,323
Rectangular Fields	3,929	2,925	5,279	6,417	2,843	3,826	3,729

#### **Population Per Field**

Facility	Texas Cities (Median)	Communities 200,000- 400,00 (Median)
Baseball Field	7,213	9,826
Softball Field	8,484	8,153
Multi-purpose Rectangular Field	12,000	6,843
Football Field	54,500	38,552
Soccer Field	5,440	13,113



### Field Demand Factors

- Some sports organizations are limiting teams and seasons, especially adult and emerging sports
- There is a larger demand for rectangular fields than diamond
- Travel teams are primarily driving the demand for diamond fields
- Field demand by use Practice Game Tournaments
- Need to light as many fields as possible
- There is very little use of ISD fields
- There are very few artificial turf fields
- Most sports organizations are dependent on city fields



### **Field Allocation**

- Priorities are based on:
  - Residents first
  - Youth over adults
  - Recreation over competitive
  - One organization per sport



- Three communities have one large organization that provides most sports (PSA, ASA and SVAA)
- Most fields are designated by specific use
- Most youth sports programs are provided by other organizations
- Block scheduling is used for most fields
- Nominal fees are charged
- Sports tourism is a priority for most communities
- Assigning field use to elite and travel teams is a concern
- Unauthorized use of fields is a significant issue



### **Field Maintenance**

- Most field maintenance is in house with little responsibility from the user groups
- Cities determine when fields are playable
- Water restrictions are a major obstacle
- Overuse of fields is a major issue
- There are not any regional maintenance standards for fields





- Field Demand Calculations
  - Difficult to establish field demands on a regional basis
  - Calculate number of fields per 1,000 pop.
  - Compare with other communities
  - Classify fields into 3 categories: Diamond Rectangular Multipurpose
  - Classify by:
    - Practice
    - Game
    - Tournament
  - Role of ISD fields and other providers





#### • Field Allocation

- Priorities Internal use Youth sports Adult sports
- Other

Recreation over competitive

Approved youth over non-recognized

- Establish a process for sports organizations to be recognized
- Establish primary and secondary seasons per sport
- Determine max number of practices/games week and season
- Must approve any expansion of seasons/age groups/sports, etc.
- Establish a Youth Sports Council
- Must address the needs of travel teams
- Establish a non-compliance protocol
- Emerging sports will require fields and a more regional approach
- Enforcement of field allocation policies will require Field Marshals



#### • Field Scheduling

- Move away from block/exclusive use
- Cities should schedule fields on a day, time and per field basis
- Priority for practices, games and then tournaments
- Avoid designation of fields by sport. Promote multi-use
- Schedule or designate practice fields
- Utilize a common field scheduling software package
- Will require greater resources to accomplish
- Coordinate scheduling on a regional basis





#### • Field Maintenance

- Each community should have a specific field maintenance plan
- Attempt to develop field maintenance best practices on a regional basis
- Establish a regional purchasing plan if possible
- Use dedicated crews for field maintenance
- Consider contracting out some services
- Determine possible future roles and expectations from the user groups
- Playability of fields is up to the city and umpires/officials





#### • General

- The Five-Cities will need to establish a formal Five-Cities Athletic Fields Council
- The Council should update the field inventory annually
- The Council should establish a field data base
- Long term there should be the ability to view actual field use on-line
- Establish a regional approval plan for travel teams
- May need to have a third party manage the data base
- Establish field maintenance best practices
- Work to compete for large regional and national tournaments





#### • Field Development

- The focus should be on:
  - Rectangular before diamond
  - Practice before game
  - Game before tournament
  - Youth over adult
- Develop larger sites with multiple fields
- Light all new fields and as many existing as possible
- Artificial turf fields have a role
- Field development plans should be tracked and reviewed on a regional basis





#### Athletic Programs and Tournaments

- Tracking in-house programs by city should be a role for the Athletic Fields Council
- The Five-Cities will be primarily a provider of fields for other organizations
- Establish areas of sport focus by city when appropriate
- For emerging sports there may need to be regional programs
- For adult sports consider establishing days/times and fields for programs
- The Athletic Fields Council should help promote sports tourism through tournaments. An economic impact study of tournaments should be completed
- Consider establishing a North Dallas Sports Commission
- The Athletic Fields Council must address the needs of the travel teams on a regional basis.





#### • Role of Other Providers

- Need to explore possible greater use of ISD fields.
- Track college/university fields and their use by outside groups
- Fields and facilities that are being provided by ASA, PSA and SVAA need to be recognized and integrated into a long range plan for fields
- Consider allowing youth sports organizations to lease land to develop and maintain their own fields
- Private organizations that have their own fields also need to be included





- Fees and Funding
  - Consideration should be given to increasing the fees for field use and maintenance
  - Increase rates charged to for-profit tournaments
  - Track costs of maintaining fields on a per field basis
  - Determine possible non-tax funding sources for new fields
  - Indoor fieldhouses will continue to be primarily developed by private or youth sports organizations





## Implementation Schedule

- Short Term (1-2 years)
  - 1. Establish a Five-Cities Athletic Fields Council.
  - 2. Each city considers that establishment of a Youth Sports Council
  - 3. Update the athletic field inventory
  - 4. Formalize a regional approach to field allocation
  - 5. Establish a field allocation process for travel teams
  - 6. Track in-house field sports programs
  - 7. Determine if a common field scheduling and inventory software program is available
  - 8. Determine if outside assistance is needed to manage the work of the Athletic Fields Council
  - 9. Promote the benefits of regional planning



## Implementation Schedule

- Middle Term (3-4 years)
  - 1. Establish field maintenance best practices
  - 2. Move to day/time/field scheduling
  - 3. Explore the development of a regional approach to field use fees
  - 4. Determine roles of sports organizations in maintenance of fields
  - 5. Establish a regional approach to how indoor fieldhouses will be developed
  - 6. Launch the field data base and scheduling software
  - 7. Develop a regional approach to better utilization of ISD fields
  - 8. Develop a Field Marshal program
  - 9. Promote sports tourism on a regional basis
  - 10. Investigate the possibility of having ground leases for sports organizations to develop their own fields



### Implementation Schedule

#### • Long Term (5+ years)

- 1. Explore setting up a regional purchasing consortium
- 2. Make field use schedules available on-line
- 3. Establish a regional tournament plan
- 4. Conduct an economic impact study for tournaments
- 5. Determine viability of a North Dallas Sports Commission
- 6. Determine specific areas of focus by sport for communities
- 7. Work to develop fields on a regional basis



## **Artificial Turf Issues**

- Benefits and drawbacks
- Role for the future There should be some fields in each community in key locations Pay for use at higher rate?



REGIONAL STUDY OF SPORTS

Prepared for: BALLARD KING & ASSOCIATES



TX REG. ENGINEERING FIRM F-14439 TX REG. SURVEYING FIRM LS-101938-05 PK No. 3721-15.228 7557 Rambler Road, Suite 1400 Dallas, Texas 75231-2388



## McKinney

- Population growth has outpaced availability of sports fields.
- Only recognize one youth sports organization per sport, except baseball.
- There are set seasons for most sports.
- The anticipated loss of the softball fields at the Ballfields at Craig Ranch is a concern.
- Fields are assigned and scheduled for the sports organizations.
- High requirement of resident participation at 80%
- Nominal fees are charged for field use.
- The City maintains all fields
- The City has over 700 acres of undeveloped property banked.











### Plano

- Strong inventory of fields
- Still a demand for more fields
- Many youth and adult sports organizations
- Fields are multi-use, not by sport
- Organizations do not have exclusive use
- There is a high percent of non-resident use Charge for field use
- Organizations are responsible for lining fields
- Have on-site coordinators for tournaments and Field Service Specialists for monitoring fields



