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## CITY OF MCKINNEY 2012-2022 WATER \& WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE UPDATE <br> SECTION I - INTRODUCTION

## A. GENERAL

In accordance with the requirements of Chapter 395.052 of the Local Government Code, this report establishes the City of McKinney's Capital Improvement Plan for water and wastewater impact fees and calculates the maximum allowable fee for each. Land use assumptions for impact fees were generated under a separate document by the City of McKinney's Planning Department.

Chapter 395, of the Local Government Code is an act that provides guidelines for financing capital improvements required by new development in municipalities, counties, and certain other local governments. The basis for determination of an impact fee requires the preparation and adoption of a land use plan and growth assumption, and the preparation of a 10 -year capital improvement plan. The capital improvement plan requires an analysis of total capacity, the level of current usage and commitments of capacity of existing capital improvements. From these two phases, a maximum impact fee is calculated.

The Act allows the maximum impact fee to be charged if revenues from future ad valorem taxes, and water and sewer bills are included as a credit in the analysis. If not, the Act allows the maximum fee to be set at $50 \%$ of the calculated maximum fee. The following items were included in the impact fee calculation:

1. The portion of the cost of the new infrastructure that is to be paid by the City, including engineering, property acquisition and construction cost.
2. Existing excess capacity in lines and facilities that will serve future growth and which were paid for in whole or part by the City.
3. Engineering and quality control fees for construction projects.
4. Interest and other finance charges on bonds issued by the City to cover its portion of the cost.

The engineering analysis portion of the Water and Wastewater Fee determines utilized capacity cost of the major water distribution and wastewater collection facilities between the year 2012 and the year 2022. Facilities in this analysis include, water pump stations, water storage tanks, water transmission lines and wastewater collection lines. The North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) water treatment, wastewater treatment and distribution components were excluded from this analysis. The study period is a ten-year period with 2012 as the base year. The impact fee calculations for the water and wastewater systems are based on land use assumptions provided by the City of McKinney. Prior to this impact fee update, the City's Water Distribution and Wastewater Collection hydraulic models were updated for 2012, 2022 and buildout conditions. The hydraulic model results are available for review from the City of McKinney. The equivalency factors utilized in this analysis conform to the latest American Water Works Association Standards (C700-C703).

## B. WATER \& WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE GLOSSARY

1. Advisory Committee means the capital improvements advisory committee established by the City for purposes of reviewing and making recommendations to the City Council on adoption of the City's impact fee program.
2. Area-Related Facility means a capital improvement or facility expansion which is designated in the impact fee capital improvements plan and which is not a site-related facility. Area-Related Facility may include capital improvements that are located off-site, or within or on the perimeter of the development site.
3. Assessment means the determination of the amount of the maximum impact fee per service unit that can be imposed on new development.
4. Capital Improvement means either a water facility or a wastewater facility with a life expectancy of three or more years, to be owned and operated by or on behalf of the City.
5. City means the City of McKinney, Texas.
6. Credit means the amount of the reduction of an impact fee due, determined under this ordinance or pursuant to administrative guidelines that is equal to the value of area-related
facilities provided by a property owner pursuant to the City's subdivision or zoning regulations or requirements, for the same type of facility.
7. Debt Service means the 20 -year financing costs of projects applied to all eligible existing and proposed water and wastewater facilities.
8. Facility Expansion means either a water facility expansion or a sewer facility expansion.
9. Impact Fee means either a fee for water facilities or a fee for wastewater facilities, imposed on new development by the City pursuant to Chapter 395 of the Texas Local Government Code in order to generate revenue to fund or recoup the costs of capital improvements or facility expansion necessitated by and attributable to such new development. Impact fees do not include the dedication of rights-of-way or easements for such facilities, or the construction of such improvements, imposed pursuant to the City's zoning or subdivision regulations.
10. Impact Fee Capital Improvements Plan means either a water capital improvements plan or a wastewater capital improvement plan adopted or revised pursuant to the impact fee regulations.
11. Land Use Assumptions means the projections of population and growth, and associated changes in land uses, densities and intensities over at least a ten-year period, as adopted by the City and as may be amended from time to time, upon which the capital improvements plans are based.
12. Land Use Equivalency Table means a table converting the demands for capital improvements generated by various land uses to numbers of service units, as may be amended from time to time.
13. New Development means the subdivision of land; the construction, reconstruction, redevelopment, conversion, structural alteration, relocation, or enlargement of any structure; or any use or extension of the use of land; any of which increases the number of service units.
14. Recoupment means the imposition of an impact fee to reimburse the City for capital improvements that the City had previously oversized to serve new development.
15. Service Area means either a water service area or wastewater service area which impact fees for capital improvements or facility expansion will be collected for new development occurring within such area, and within which fees so collected will be expended for those types of improvements or expansions identified in the type of capital improvements plan applicable to the service area.
16. Service Unit means the applicable standard units of measure shown on the land use equivalency table in the Impact Fees Capital Improvements Plan that can be converted to water meter equivalents, for water or for wastewater facilities, which serves as the standardized measure of consumption, use or generation attributable to the new unit of development.
17. Site-Related Facility means an improvement or facility which is for the primary use or benefit of a new development, and/or which is for the primary purpose of safe and adequate provision of water or wastewater facilities to serve the new development, and which is not included in the impact fees capital improvements plan and for which the property owner is solely responsible under subdivision or other applicable development regulations.
18. Utility Connection means installation of a water meter for connecting a new development to the City's water system, or connection to the City's wastewater system.
19. Wastewater Facility means a wastewater interceptor or main, lift station or other facility included within and comprising an integral component of the City's collection system for wastewater. Wastewater facility includes land, easements or structure associated with such facilities. Wastewater facility excludes site-related facilities.
20. Wastewater Facility Expansion means the expansion of the capacity of any existing wastewater improvement for the purpose of serving new development, but does not include the repair, maintenance, modernization, or expansion of an existing sewer facility to serve existing development.
21. Wastewater Capital Improvements Plan means the adopted plan, as may be amended from time to time, which identifies the wastewater facilities or wastewater expansions and their associated costs which are necessitated by and which are attributable to new development, for a period not to exceed 10 years.
22. Water Facility means a water main, pump station, storage tank or other facility included within and comprising an integral component of the City's water storage or distribution system. Water facility includes land, easements or structures associated with such facilities. Water facility excludes site-related facilities.
23. Water Facility Expansion means the expansion of the capacity of any existing water facility for the purpose of serving new development, but does not include the repair, maintenance, modernization, or expansion of an existing water improvement to serve existing development.
24. Water Capital Improvements Plan means the adopted plan, as may be amended from time to time, which identifies the water facilities or water expansions and their associated costs which are necessitated by and which are attributable to new development, for a period not to exceed 10 years.
25. Water Meter means a device for measuring the flow of water to a development, whether for domestic or for irrigation purposes.
C. LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS (Provided By: City of McKinney Planning Department)

The impact fee land use assumptions utilized in this update were prepared by the City of McKinney's Planning Department and are presented in a separate document. The land use assumptions projected an ultimate residential population of approximately 357,967 in the City of McKinney's ultimate planning boundary. This is a lower ultimate population than projected in the City's 2007 Water and Wastewater Impact Fee Update, which estimated a residential population of 387,964 , a decrease of 29,997 people.

The residential and non-residential growth provided by the City for the year 2012 through 2022 is summarized in Table No. 1.

TABLE NO. 1
Residential and Non-Residential Growth from 2012 to 2022

| Year | Residential Population* | Non-Residential Uses** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type | Developed Area (SF) |
| 2012 | 136,813 | Basic | 11,453,254 |
|  |  | Service | 9,804,571 |
|  |  | Retail | 9,900,940 |
|  |  | Total: | 31,158,274 |
| 2022 | 199,003 | Basic | 12,780,084 |
|  |  | Service | 14,260,185 |
|  |  | Retail | 14,401,196 |
|  |  | Total: | 41,441,465 |
| Res. Growth Rate | 1.45 | Non-Res. Growth Rate | 1.3 |

* Residential Population - Represent Estate, Low Density, Medium Density and High Density Residential Categories
** Basic - Industrial Land Uses
** Service - Office \& Institutional Land Uses
** Retail - Commercial Land Uses

As shown in Table No. 1, increases in the residential population and non-residential uses will occur during the 10 -year capital recovery period. The water demand and wastewater flows from the residential and non-residential uses dictate the ultimate size of facilities, while the rate of growth is important to determine the timing of system improvements to meet the City's growing needs. The eligible water impact fee facilities are shown on Exhibit 1. The eligible wastewater facilities are shown on Exhibit 2 in this report.

## SECTION II <br> WATER \& WASTEWATER C.I.P. AND IMPACT FEE ANALYSIS

## A. DEFINITION OF A SERVICE UNIT - WATER AND WASTEWATER

Chapter 395 of the Local Government Code requires that impact fees be based on a defined service unit. A "service unit" means a standardized measure of consumption, use generation, or discharge attributable to an individual unit of development calculated in accordance with generally accepted engineering or planning standards. This impact fee defines a water and wastewater service unit to be a $3 / 4$-inch water meter and has referred to this service unit as a Single Family Living Unit Equivalent (SFLUE). The SFLUE is based on the continuous duty capacity of a $3 / 4$-inch water meter. This is the typical meter used for a single family detached dwelling, and therefore is considered to be equivalent to one "living unit". Other meter sizes can be compared to the $3 / 4$-inch meter through a ratio of water flows as published by the American Water Works Association as shown in Table No. 2 below. This same ratio is then used to determine the proportional water and wastewater impact fee amount for each water meter size.

TABLE NO. 2
Living Unit Equivalencies For Various Types and Sizes of Water Meters

| Meter Type | Meter Size | Continuous Duty <br> Maximum Rate (gpm)${ }^{(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{b})}$ | Ratio to 3/4" <br> Meter |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multi-jet | $3 /{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 30 | 1.0 |
| Multi-jet | $1 "$ | 50 | 1.7 |
| Ultrasonic | $2 "$ | 250 | 8.3 |
| Ultrasonic | $3 "$ | 500 | 16.7 |
| Ultrasonic | $4 "$ | 1,000 | 33.3 |
| Ultrasonic | $6 "$ | 1,600 | 53.3 |
| Ultrasonic | $8 "$ | 2,800 | 93.3 |
| Ultrasonic | $10 "$ | 5,500 | 183.3 |
| Ultrasonic | $12 "$ | 5,500 | 183.3 |

[^0]
## B. CALCULATION OF WATER \& WASTEWATER - LIVING UNIT EQUIVALENTS

The City of McKinney provided the existing water meter count by size category as of December 2012. In total, there are 47,277 domestic water and irrigation meters serving an existing population of 136,813 residents and business. Table No. 3 shows the number of existing meters, the living unit equivalent factor and the total number of living unit equivalents for each sized water meter.

The number of wastewater accounts was determined by subtracting the number if irrigation meters from the number of domestic water meters. This equates to 42,861 wastewater accounts. Table No. 4 illustrates the existing wastewater accounts and the SFLUE's.

The residential growth rate of 1.45 in Table 1 was applied to $3 / 4$-inch through 2 -inch meters. The non-residential growth rate of 1.3 in Table 1 was applied to 3 -inch through 8 -inch meters. Utilizing these growth rates in a straight-line extrapolation of the existing water and wastewater accounts, the numbers of new accounts was calculated for the year 2022. City records indicate the historical growth of $3 / 4$-inch and 1 -inch meters is approximately $45 \% 3 / 4$-inch meters and $55 \%$ 1 -inch meters for the base meter sizes. These percentages were applied to the total growth of $3 / 4-$ inch and 1 -inch meters. Living unit equivalents were then applied to the water meters and wastewater accounts for 2012 and 2022, resulting in a total number of living units. The difference in the total number of 2012 and 2022 living units results in the new living unit equivalents during the impact fee period. The calculation of living unit equivalents is summarized in Table 3 and Table 4.

TABLE NO. 3
Water Living Unit Equivalents 2012-2022

| Meter Size | 2012 |  |  | 2022 |  |  | New <br> Living Unit <br> Equivalents <br> During <br> Impact <br> Fee Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of <br> Water <br> Meters | Living Unit Equivalent Ratio for 3/4" Used | Total Number of Living Units | Number <br> of Water <br> Meters | Living Unit Equivalent Ratio for 3/4" Used | Total Number of Living Units |  |
| 3/4" | 29,629 | 1.0 | 29,629.0 | 38,676.5 | 1.0 | 38,676.5 | 9,047.5 |
| $1 "$ | 15,512 | 1.7 | 26,370.4 | 26,778.1 | 1.7 | 45,522.8 | 19,152.4 |
| $2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1,844 | 8.3 | 15,305.2 | 2,673.8 | 8.3 | 22,192.5 | 6,887.3 |
| $3 "$ | 178 | 16.7 | 2,972.6 | 236.7 | 16.7 | 3,952.9 | 980.3 |
| $4 "$ | 44 | 33.3 | 1,465.2 | 58.5 | 33.3 | 1,948.1 | 482.9 |
| $6 "$ | 16 | 53.3 | 852.8 | 21.3 | 53.3 | 1,135.3 | 282.5 |
| 8" | 3 | 93.3 | 279.9 | 4.0 | 93.3 | 373.2 | 93.3 |
| $10^{\prime \prime}$ to $12{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | 183.3 | 183.3 | 1.0 | 183.3 | 183.3 | 0.0 |
| Totals | 47,227 |  | 77,058.4 | 68,449.9 |  | 113,984.6 | 36,926.2 |

## TABLE NO. 4

Wastewater Living Unit Equivalents 2012-2022

| Meter Size | 2012 |  |  | 2022 |  |  | New <br> Living Unit <br> Equivalents <br> During <br> Impact <br> Fee Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Water Meters | Living Unit Equivalent Ratio for 3/4" Used | Total <br> Number of Living Units | Number of Water Meters | Living Unit Equivalent Ratio for 3/4" Used | Total Number of Living Units |  |
| $3 / 4 "$ | 26,830 | 1.0 | 26,830.0 | 35,212.3 | 1.0 | 35,212.3 | 8,382.3 |
| $1{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 14,828 | 1.7 | 25,207.6 | 25,191.7 | 1.7 | 42,825.9 | 17,618.3 |
| $2 "$ | 969 | 8.3 | 8,042.7 | 1,405.1 | 8.3 | 11,662.3 | 3,619.6 |
| $3 "$ | 172 | 16.7 | 2,872.4 | 228.8 | 16.7 | 3,821.0 | 948.6 |
| $4 "$ | 43 | 33.3 | 1,431.9 | 57.2 | 33.3 | 1,904.8 | 472.9 |
| $6 "$ | 15 | 53.3 | 799.5 | 20.0 | 53.3 | 1,066.0 | 266.5 |
| $8{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 3 | 93.3 | 279.9 | 4.0 | 93.3 | 373.2 | 93.3 |
| 10" to 12" | 1 | 183.3 | 183.3 | 1.0 | 183.3 | 183.3 | 0.0 |
| Totals | 42,861 |  | 65,647.3 | 62,120.1 |  | 97,048.8 | 31,401.5 |

## C. COST OF FACILITIES

Unit cost for proposed water and wastewater lines larger than 12 inches in diameter that are anticipated to be constructed by private development, include the City's oversize cost participation only. These water and wastewater lines are highlighted green on Exhibits 1 through 2. Oversize cost participation from City is when funds become available. For City participation, the developer must bid the 12 -inch as a base and the oversize as an additive alternate. City initiated water and waste water lines include the full cost of the proposed facility. These water and wastewater lines are highlighted red on Exhibits 1 through 2. Developer initiated water and wastewater line projects which are 12 inches or less in diameter are not included in this Impact Fee analysis, as the cost for these size lines are the responsibility of the developer. These water and wastewater lines are highlighted blue on Exhibits 1 through 2.

Actual construction costs of the various elements of the water and wastewater systems were utilized where the information was known. The existing cost of facilities was determined from Contractor's final pay requests, City purchase orders, bid tabulation forms and developer's agreements.

Most of the cost data for existing water and wastewater lines included in the impact fee analysis have been located. A $5 \%$ debt service, over a period of 20 -years, has been added to all projects. Actual costs were used for those existing projects where records were available.

## D. WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

Computer models for the years 2012, 2022 and Buildout were prepared and analyzed by Birkhoff, Hendricks \& Carter. The models were developed and water demand distributed from residential population and non-residential land use projections provided by the City of McKinney's Planning Department. The projected developed land areas from the City's Land Use Assumptions follow closely to the construction of major facilities in the system. These facilities include pump stations, storage tanks, and major distribution lines. All computer models were run for the Maximum Hourly Demands in a three-day extended period simulation to insure proper sizing of the facilities to meet peak demands.

## 1. Existing Pump Stations, Ground Storage Reservoirs \& Elevated Storage Tanks

The existing water distribution system included in the impact fee analysis (As of December 2012) includes the facilities summarized in Table No. 5 and Table No. 6.

TABLE NO. 5
Water Distribution System -- Existing Pump Stations \& Ground Storage

| Pump Station | Number <br> of <br> Pumps | Rated <br> Capacity <br> (MGD) | Number of <br> Ground <br> Storage Tanks | Total <br> Ground Storage <br> Available (Gallons) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| McKinney Ranch | 11 | 49.7 | 2 | $16,000,000$ |
| University | 6 | 50.0 | 2 | $16,000,000$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

TABLE NO. 6
Existing Elevated Storage Tanks

| Elevated Storage Tanks | Capacity in Million Gallons |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industrial Elevated Storage Tank | 2.0 |  |  |
| U.S. 380 Elevated Storage Tank | 1.5 |  |  |
| Wilmeth Elevated Storage Tank | 2.0 |  |  |
| Virginia Elevated Storage Tank | 1.5 |  |  |
| Independence Elevated Storage Tank | 3.0 |  |  |
| Community Elevated Storage Tank | 3.0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0}$ |

The existing McKinney Ranch Low Side pumps and the Chestnut Elevated Storage Tank are no longer utilized and were not included in the impact fee calculation. The existing Gerrish Pump Station is near capacity and is not included in the impact fee calculation.

The pump stations and ground storage facilities were analyzed with the maximum daily demand, while elevated storage acts dynamically and therefore was analyzed utilizing the difference between the Maximum Hourly Demand and the Maximum Daily Demand.

## 2. Distribution Lines

The distribution lines consist of all lines within the Service Area planning boundary supplying water to customers in the City of McKinney. Existing and proposed distribution lines vary in size from $3 / 4$-inch services to 72 -inch transmission lines. The cost of water lines includes construction cost, appurtenances (water valves, fire hydrants, taps and the like), utility relocations, purchase of easements and engineering costs. Financing cost over a 20-year term is included for each project.

Unit cost for proposed capital improvement water lines 12-inches and larger in diameter classified as City initiated, or City participation in oversize water lines, include the City's full cost of the proposed facility. Developer's initiated water line projects, 12 inches or less in diameter were not included in this Impact Fee analysis, as the cost for these size lines are the responsibility of the developer.

## 3. Water Supply

The City of McKinney currently receives all of its water supply from the North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD). McKinney's allocation of the capital cost of services as a Member of the NTMWD was specifically excluded from the impact fee analysis.

If included, McKinney's share of the NTMWD capital cost could include the original construction cost, expansion cost and financing cost of the following components:
a) Water Rights Cost in Lake Lavon and other Sources
b) Raw Water Intake Structures
c) Raw Water Pump Stations
d) Treatment Plant and Expansion
e) High Service Pump Stations
f) Transmission Lines
g) NTMWD Owned Ground Storage Facilities

## 4. Water Distribution System Capital Improvement Projects for Impact Fees

In order to meet the demands of the anticipated growth over the next 10-years, as provided in the Land Use Assumptions prepared by the City of McKinney, certain water distribution system improvements are required. Exhibit 1 shows the recommended water system improvements and Table No. 7 itemizes each project and the project cost in 2012 dollars. These recommended improvements form the basis for the water system impact fee calculation.

The capital improvement plan for impact fees provides for system improvements within the defined Service Area Planning Boundary.


Table No. 7
10-Year Water System Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees

PROPOSED WATER LINES

| Year | 1=City Participation in Cost Oversize 2=City Initiated and Funded |  | Size | Opinion of Construction Cost (A) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Debt } \\ \text { Service (B) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total <br> Project Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | 2 | INDUSTRIAL BLVD. 12" WATER LINE (PIPE BURST ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ " to 12") | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | \$ | 610,868 | \$ | 320,707 | \$ | 931,575 |
| 2014 | 2 | COUCH DRIVE 12" WATER LINE LOOP | 12 " | \$ | 700,000 | \$ | 367,500 | \$ | 1,067,500 |
| 2015 | 2 | US 380 / INDEPENDENCE LOOP | 12",16",20",24" | \$ | 2,173,617 | \$ | 1,141,149 | \$ | 3,314,766 |
| 2014 | 1 | US 380 / COIT SUBDIVISION OFFSITE | 16", 20", 24" | \$ | 235,861 | \$ | 123,827 | \$ | 359,688 |
| 2015 | 1 | BLUESTEM 16" WATER LINE | $16^{\prime \prime}$ | \$ | 42,750 | \$ | 22,444 | \$ | 65,194 |
| 2015 | 1 | WESTRIDGE 16" WATER LINE | $16^{\prime \prime}$ | \$ | 29,349 | \$ | 15,408 | \$ | 44,757 |
| 2015 | 1 | S.H. 5 36" WATER LINE \& WILLOWWOOD 36" \& 24" WATER LINE | 24", 36" | \$ | 2,307,387 | \$ | 1,211,378 | \$ | 3,518,765 |
| 2016 | 1 | HARDIN SOUTH 16" WATER LINE | $16^{\prime \prime}$ | \$ | 27,264 | \$ | 14,314 | \$ | 41,578 |
| 2017 | 1 | STONEBRIDGE 48" WATER LINE | 48" | \$ | 6,096,215 | \$ | 3,200,512 | \$ | 9,296,727 |
| 2017 | 1 | HARDIN 30" WATER LINE - (TRINITYFALLS WEST FEED) | 30" | \$ | 2,188,580 | \$ | 1,149,004 | \$ | 3,337,584 |
| 2017 | 1 | F.M. 543 24" \& 16" WATER LINE | $16^{\prime \prime}, 24$ " | \$ | 402,059 | \$ | 211,081 | \$ | 613,140 |
| 2017 | 1 | F.M. 1461 (FUTURE E/W THOROUGHFARE) 24" \& 18" WATER LINE | 18", 24 " | \$ | 861,612 | \$ | 452,346 | \$ | 1,313,958 |
| 2018 | 1 | HARDIN 24" \& 16" (TRINITY FALLS WEST FEED NORTH) | 16", 24 " | \$ | 425,132 | \$ | 223,194 | \$ | 648,326 |
| 2018 | 1 | COUNTY ROAD 227 16" WATER LINE | $16^{\prime \prime}$ | \$ | 94,617 | \$ | 49,674 | \$ | 144,291 |
| 2018 | 2 | AIRPORT WATER LINE NORTH LOOP | 20", 36" | \$ | 3,569,134 | \$ | 1,873,795 | \$ | 5,442,929 |
| 2018 | 2 | CUSTER 18" NORTH WATER LINE | $18{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \$ | 2,301,937 | \$ | 1,208,517 | \$ | 3,510,454 |
| 2019 | 1 | RIDGE 20" \& 24" WATER LINES | 20", 24" | \$ | 498,838 | \$ | 261,890 | \$ | 760,728 |
| 2019 | 1 | RIDGE 16" WATER LINES (LOOP TO OLD DANVILLE SYSTEM) | $16 "$ | \$ | 92,909 | \$ | 48,777 | \$ | 141,686 |
| 2019 | 1 | LAKE FOREST 30" WATER LINE | $30^{\prime \prime}$ | \$ | 910,261 | \$ | 477,887 | \$ | 1,388,148 |
| 2019 | 1 | BLOOMDALE 16" WATER LINE - 850 PHASE 1 | $16^{\prime \prime}$ | \$ | 61,438 | \$ | 32,255 | \$ | 93,693 |
| 2019 | 1 | BLOOMDALE 16" WATER LINE - 850 PHASE 2 | $16^{\prime \prime}$ | \$ | 95,152 | \$ | 49,955 | \$ | 145,107 |
| 2020 | 2 | BLOOMDALE 794 PUMP STATION 54" DISCHARGE LINE | $54 "$ | \$ | 3,890,601 | \$ | 2,042,566 | \$ | 5,933,167 |
| 2020 | 2 | AIRPORT 24" WATER LINE SOUTH LOOP | $24 "$ | \$ | 1,356,344 | \$ | 712,082 | \$ | 2,068,426 |
| 2020 | 2 | OLD MILL ROAD 24" WATER LINE (FUTURE THOROUGHFARE) | 24 " | \$ | 2,008,863 | \$ | 1,054,653 | \$ | 3,063,516 |
| 2020 | 1 | BLOOMDALE 850 PUMP STATION 42 \& 54" DISCHARGE LINE | 42", 54" | \$ | 4,029,477 | \$ | 2,115,476 | \$ | 6,144,953 |
| 2021 | 1 | FUTURE 850 EAST / WEST THOROUGHFARE 20 " \& 24" WATER LINE | 20", 24" | \$ | 1,541,297 | \$ | 809,182 | \$ | 2,350,479 |
| 2021 | 1 | BLOOMDALE PUMP STATION 850 DISCHARGE LINE (TRINITY FALLS EAST FEED) | 24", 30", 48" | \$ | 4,127,908 | \$ | 2,167,151 | \$ | 6,295,059 |
| 2022 | 1 | F.M. 2933 30" WATER LINE | 30" | \$ | 1,625,879 | \$ | 853,587 | \$ | 2,479,466 |
| 2022 | 1 | MCINTYRE/ WOODLA WN 36" WATER LINE | 36" | \$ | 1,540,425 | \$ | 808,723 | \$ | 2,349,148 |
| 2022 | 1 | U.S. 380 EAST WATER LINE | 12", 24 " | \$ | 1,859,159 | \$ | 976,058 | \$ | 2,835,217 |
| 2022 | 1 | FUTURE NORTH / SOUTH THOROUGHFARE 16" WATER LINE | $16 "$ | \$ | 162,415 | \$ | 85,268 | \$ | 247,683 |
|  |  | Subtotal: Proposed Water Lines |  | \$ | 45,867,348 | \$ | 24,080,360 | \$ | 69,947,708 |

(A) Opinion of Cost includes:
a) Engineer's Opinion of Construction Cost
b) Professional Services Fees (Survey, Engineering, Testing, Legal
c) Cost of Easement or Land Acquisitions
(B) Debt Service based on 20-year simple interest bonds at $5 \%$

Table No. 7 Cont.

PUMPING AND STORAGE FACILITIES

| Year | Project | Capacity | Opinion of Construction $\operatorname{Cost}(\mathrm{A})$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Debt } \\ \text { Service (B) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total <br> Project Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | Hardin Elevated Storage Tank | 2 MG | \$ | 5,202,788 | \$ | 2,731,464 | \$ | 7,934,252 |
| 2014 | University 10-MG Ground Storage Reservoir No. 3 | 10 MG | \$ | 4,950,000 | \$ | 2,598,750 | \$ | 7,548,750 |
| 2014 | 794/850 PRV |  | \$ | 183,920 | \$ | 96,558 | \$ | 280,478 |
| 2015 | Gerrish 2-MG Ground Storage Reservoir No. 2 | 2 MG | \$ | 2,200,000 | \$ | 1,155,000 | \$ | 3,355,000 |
| 2015 | Gerrish Pump Station Expansion - Replace Pump $4+$ Electrical | 4.8 MGD | \$ | 1,100,000 | \$ | 577,500 | \$ | 1,677,500 |
| 2016 | Stacy Elevated Storage Tank | 3 MG | \$ | 6,700,000 | \$ | 3,517,500 | \$ | 10,217,500 |
| 2017 | Trinity Falls Elevated Storage Tank | 3 MG | \$ | 6,700,000 | \$ | 3,517,500 | \$ | 10,217,500 |
| 2018 | Bloomdale Pump Station - Phase I (850) | 20 MGD | \$ | 4,730,149 | \$ | 2,483,328 | \$ | 7,213,477 |
| 2018 | Bloomdale 6-MG Ground Storage Reservoir No. 1 | 6 MG | \$ | 2,640,000 | \$ | 1,386,000 | \$ | 4,026,000 |
| 2018 | Bloomdale Pump Station - Emergency Generator No. 1 | 1000 kW | \$ | 660,000 | \$ | 346,500 | \$ | 1,006,500 |
| 2018 | Bloomdale Pump Station - Phase I (794) | 20 MGD | \$ | 4,730,149 | \$ | 2,483,328 | \$ | 7,213,477 |
| 2022 | University Pump Station Phase III Improvements - Add Pump | 15 MGD | \$ | 550,000 | \$ | 288,750 | \$ | 838,750 |
|  | Subtotal: Pumping and Storage Facilities |  | \$ | 40,347,006 | \$ | 21,182,178 | \$ | 61,529,184 |

PLANNING EXPENSES

| Year | Project | Capacity | Opinion of Cost(1) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Debt } \\ \text { Service (2) } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total <br> Project Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | Water \& Wastewater System Master Plan \& Impact Fee Analysis <br> Subtotal: Planning Expenses |  | \$ | 204,417 | \$ | - | \$ | 204,417 |
|  |  |  | \$ | 204,417 | \$ | - | \$ | 204,417 |
|  | GRAND TOTAL: Water Distribution System CIP |  | \$ | 86,418,771 | \$ | 45,262,538 | \$ | ,681,309 |

## 5. Utilized Capacity

Utilized capacity for the water distribution system was calculated based on the water line size required for each model year (2012, 2022 and build out). Analysis of the water distribution system is based on the maximum daily demand, maximum hourly demand, and the minimum hourly demand. Pump station capacity is generally based on the maximum daily system demand while transmission and distribution facilities are sized based on either the maximum hourly demand or the minimum hourly demand, whichever demand is greater for a particular water line. Often times, the capacity of a water line is determined by the flows generated by the minimum hourly demand. The minimum hourly flows are usually higher in those lines that are used to refill elevated storage. For each line segment in the water distribution model, the buildout flow rate in the line was compared to the flow rate in the same line segment for the 2012 and the 2022 models.

The percent utilized capacity was then calculated for each year based on the buildout capacity. The utilized capacity during the Impact Fee period is the difference between the year 2022 capacity and the year 2012 capacity. Table No. 8 below summarizes the project cost and utilized cost over the impact fee period of 2012-2022 for each element of the Water Distribution System. The utilized capacity for each water distribution facility, both existing and proposed, is presented in detail in Impact Fee Capacity Calculation Table Nos. 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13.

Table No. 8
Summary of Eligible Water Distribution Project Cost and Utilized Capacity Cost

| Water System Facility | 20-Year <br> Project Cost | Utilized <br> Capacity (\$) in the <br> CRP Period |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Existing Pump Stations \& Storage | $\$ 74,737,483$ | $\$ 19,576,395$ |
| Existing Transmission/Distribution Lines | $\$ 66,836,124$ | $\$ 11,022,749$ |
| Proposed Pump Stations \& Storage | $\$ 61,529,184$ | $\$ 43,027,372$ |
| Proposed Transmission/Distribution Lines | $\$ 69,947,708$ | $\$ 21,785,316$ |
| Planning Expenses | $\$ 204,417$ | $\$ 204,417$ |
|  | Total: | $\mathbf{\$ 2 7 3 , 2 5 4 , 9 1 6}$ |

Water $\begin{aligned} & \text { TABLE NO. } 9 \\ & \text { Pump Station Facilities }\end{aligned}$


TABLE NO. 10


* $10 \%$ of Construction Assumed for Engineering and Testing
(1) Actual Cost
(2) Estimated Cost in 2012 Dollars

$\frac{\text { TABLE NO. } 11}{\text { Elevated Storage Tanks }}$


[^1]$\frac{\text { TABLE NO. } 12}{\text { Existing Impact Fee Water Lines }}$

TABLE NO. 12
Existing Impact Fee Water Lines

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 Year |  | (\%) Ut | ilized Ca | pacity |  | lized Capa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Pressure Plane | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{Ft} .) \end{gathered}$ | Diameter (Inches) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { Date } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { ofst. } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ \text { (\$/Ft.) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Debt Service Intersest Rate \% | Debt Service <br> Utilizing <br> Simple <br> Interest | Total 20 Year <br> Project <br> Cost (\$) | 2012 | 2022 | During <br> Fee <br> Period | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \end{gathered}$ |
| CUSTER 16" WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Stonebridge Dr. to Cotton Rridge Rd. (Pipes 5135, 5295, 5296 \& 2927 |  |  |  |  | Were Adandoned in 2009 with Custer Utility Relocations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5135 | 920 | 2,330 | 16 |  | \$104.00 | \$242,328 |  | \$127,223 | \$369,551 | 0\% | 0\% |  | so | so | so |
| P5295 | 920 | 1,700 | 16 |  | \$104.00 | \$176,849 |  | \$92,846 | \$269,695 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | so | so | so |
| P5296 | 920 | 2,666 | 16 |  | \$104.00 | \$277,273 |  | \$145,569 | \$422,842 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | so | s0 | so |
| P5297 | 920 | 1,372 | 16 |  | \$104.00 | \$142,637 |  | \$74,885 | \$217,522 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | s0 | so | so |
| P5298 | 920 | 2,042 | 16 |  | \$104.00 | \$212,350 |  | \$11,484 | \$323,834 | 0\% | 0\% |  | s0 | so | so |
| Subtotal: |  | 10,110 |  | 1999 |  | \$1,051,437 | 5\% | \$552,007 | \$1,603,444 |  |  |  |  | 0 | so |
| INDUSTRIAL 2-MG ELEVATED STORAGE TANK WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Industrial Elevated Storage Tank to McDonald St. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \quad \mathrm{Pl} 304$ | 794 |  | 24 |  | \$229.94 | \$128,893 |  | \$67,669 | \$196,562 | $81 \%$ | 87\% | $6 \%$ | \$159,215 | \$171,009 | \$11,794 |
| Subtotal: |  | 561 |  | 2002 |  | \$128,893 | 5\% | \$67,669 | \$196,562 |  |  |  | \$159,215 | \$171,009 | \$11,794 |
| ALMA ROAD 24-INCH WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Eldorado Pkwy. South to Community 3-MG Elevated Storage Tank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$157.47 | \$180,391 |  | \$94,705 | \$275,096 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$275,096 | \$275,096 |  |
| P5404 | 920 | 897 | 24 |  | \$157.47 | \$141,275 |  | \$74,169 | \$215,444 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$215,444 | \$215,444 | so |
| P5405 | 920 | 674 | 24 |  | \$157.47 | \$106,120 |  | \$55,713 | \$161,833 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$161,833 | \$161,833 | so |
| P5406 | 920 | 140 | 24 |  | \$157.47 | \$22,011 |  | \$11,556 | \$33,567 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$33,567 | \$33,567 | so |
| Subtotal: |  | 2,856 |  | 2005 |  | \$449,797 | 5\% | \$236,143 | \$685,940 |  |  |  | \$685,940 | \$685,940 | so |
| ELDORADO 20-INCH WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5301 | 920 | 1,375 | 20 |  | \$14.31 | \$19,676 |  | \$10,330 | \$30,006 | 63\% | 100\% | 37\% | \$18,904 | \$30,006 | \$11,102 |
| P5370 | 920 | 2,023 | 20 |  | \$14.31 | \$28,945 |  | \$15,196 | \$44,141 | 68\% | 100\% | 32\% | \$30,016 | \$44,141 | \$14,125 |
| P5371 | 920 | 116 | 20 |  | \$14.31 | \$1,661 |  | \$872 | \$2,533 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$2,533 | \$2,533 | so |
| P6154 | 920 | 1,986 | 20 |  | \$14.31 | \$28,420 |  | \$14,921 | \$43,341 | 67\% | 100\% | 33\% | \$29,038 | \$43,341 | \$14,303 |
| Subtotal: |  | 5,500 |  | 2005 |  | 578,702 | 5\% | \$41,319 | \$120,021 |  |  |  | s80,491 | \$120,021 | \$39,530 |
| GERRISH PUMP STATION / AIRPORT BLVD. 36-INCH WATER LINES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Gerrish Pump Station East to Airport Blvd. and |  |  | Airport Blvd | d. from U | S. 380 to Indus | fial Blvd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 36 |  | \$115.51 | \$61,501 |  | \$32,288 | \$93,789 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$93,789 | \$93,789 | so |
| P192 | 794 | 1,366 | 36 |  | \$115.51 | \$157,828 |  | \$82,860 | \$240,688 | $73 \%$ | 79\% | $6 \%$ | \$175,702 | \$190,144 | \$14,441 |
| P193 | 794 | 952 | 36 |  | \$115.51 | \$109,911 |  | \$57,703 | \$167,614 | 73\% | 79\% | $6 \%$ | \$122,358 | \$132,415 | \$10,057 |
| P194 | 794 | 2,918 | 36 |  | \$115.51 | \$337,101 |  | \$176,978 | \$514,079 | 76\% | 79\% | 3\% | \$390,700 | \$406,122 | \$15,422 |
| P195 | 794 | 2,574 | 36 |  | \$115.51 | \$297,294 |  | \$156,079 | \$453,373 | 16\% | 60\% | $44 \%$ | \$72,540 | \$272,024 | \$199,484 |
| P1360 | 794 | 2,110 | 36 |  | \$115.51 | \$243,761 |  | \$127,975 | \$371,736 | 47\% | 70\% | 23\% | \$174,716 | \$260,215 | \$85,499 |
| P1395 | 794 | 1,300 | 36 |  | \$115.51 | \$150,176 |  | \$77,842 | \$229,018 | 20\% | $61 \%$ | $41 \%$ | \$45,804 | \$139,701 | \$93,897 |
| P1408 | 794 | 831 | 36 |  | \$115.51 | \$95,966 |  | \$50,382 | \$146,348 | 73\% | 79\% | 6\% | \$106,834 | \$115,615 | \$8,781 |
| Subtotal: |  | 12,584 |  | 2003 |  | \$1,453,539 | 5\% | \$763,107 | \$2,216,645 |  |  |  | \$1,182,443 | \$1,610,025 | \$427,581 |


TABLE NO. 12
Existing Impact Fee Water Lines
TABLE NO． 12
Existing Impact Fee Water Lines

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \mathrm{os} \\ & 0 \text { os } \\ & \text { os } \\ & \text { os } \\ & \text { os } \\ & 0 \$ \\ & \text { os } \end{aligned}$ | \％0 |  | 16．96S\＄ <br> 169658 <br> 16．965\＄ <br> 16．96S\＄ <br> 16．96s\＄ <br> 16．96s\＄ <br> NVY OI | ${ }^{\text {z00z }}$ |  |  <br> HLVM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 0 \text { os } \\ & \text { os } \\ & \text { os } \\ & \text { os } \\ & \text { os } \\ & \text { os } \end{aligned}\right.$ | £6t＇I8t＇zs <br> I60＇sez\＄ <br> 0Et゙Et9「I\＄ <br> 69S＇LZI\＄ <br> てsI＇9tt\＄ <br> ISで6Z\＄ | と6t＇I8t＇zs <br> 160＇s\＆z\＄ <br>  <br> 69S＇LZIS <br> zsI＇9tts <br> ISで6Z\＄ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \% o n \\ \% 0 \\ \% 00 \\ \% 00 \\ \% 00 \\ \% 00 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \% 001 \\ & \% 001 \\ & \% 001 \\ & \% 001 \\ & \% \% 01 \\ & \% 001 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \% 001 \\ & \% 001 \\ & \% o 01 \\ & \% o o l \\ & \% \% 01 \\ & \% 001 \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  | \％s |  |  | 9002 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \varepsilon \\ & 9 \varepsilon \\ & 88 \\ & 8+ \\ & 8+ \\ & 8+ \end{aligned}$ | $\|$$91 I^{\prime} t$ <br> $06 \varepsilon$ <br> $9 z L^{\prime} \tau$ <br> $z 12$ <br> $0+\angle$ <br> $6 t$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 z 6 \\ & 0 z 6 \\ & 0 z 6 \\ & 0 z 6 \\ & 0 z 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| os | S6\％${ }^{\circ} 998^{\prime}$＇s | 098＇is |  |  |  | S6z＇098＇s | 08tot9s | \％s | s98\％612＇1s |  | 9002 |  | $980^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ |  | ： E \％oqn |
| os | tLss | 6E6＇LSS | \％0 | \％001 | \％001 | 666＇tLSs | 6L6IS |  | ¢LLEs | I¢¢6¢\＄ |  |  | t56 | ${ }^{0} 6$ | 069Sd |
| os | L¢s¢ 198 | LE¢ | \％ | \％001 | \％001 | Lest 198 | 6zs＇ous |  | 800 | $1 \varepsilon ¢ 56$ |  | $8{ }^{8+}$ | ＋10¢ | 026 | 88 |
| os | ¢¢9\％09s | ¢¢9\％09s | \％ | \％001 | \％001 | ¢¢9\％ 098 | 608＇L0zs |  | 9z8＇s6es | I¢ ¢ 685 |  | ${ }_{8} \downarrow$ | 100＇ | $00^{6}$ | L89 Sd |
| os | ¢8102 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ャ8102 | \％ | \％001 | \％001 | ャ8102 | z91＇zes |  | 2zo＇9ts | $1 \varepsilon ¢ 56 ¢ 5$ |  | ${ }_{8}$ | 911 | $00^{6}$ | 989 Sd |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | NIVLUGLVM HDNI－8t GDCIEGANOLS |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{65 t} 00 z^{\prime}$ IS | It9 4 ¢tt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | E0z＇tez＇IS |  |  |  | Szt＇619¢\％ |  | \％s |  |  | s00z |  | 597t91 |  | ［1709\％ |
|  |  |  | \％0 | \％0 | \％ | 96t＇LEIS | seč 4 ¢ |  | ${ }^{191068}$ | SIttis |  | $9 \varepsilon$ | sz9 | 058 | z9t\＆d |
| os |  | os | \％0 | \％ | \％ | Lls＇oczs | ${ }^{6 L \varepsilon 66 L S}$ |  | ${ }^{861 \text {＇tisis }}$ | sitotis |  | $9 \varepsilon$ | $6{ }^{6} 0^{4} 1$ | $0{ }^{\text {c }} 8$ | ${ }^{19+\varepsilon d}$ |
| 9t6＇s915 | zocsszs | 9¢č688 | \％ 69 | \％001 | \％s¢ | zoc＇sszs | $168^{2} 885$ |  | Itt 291 | situls |  | $9 \varepsilon$ | ${ }^{1914}$ | $0{ }^{0} 8$ | ${ }_{600 \text { ed }}$ |
| 8689995 | 8809618 | 0st＇6018 | \％88 | \％001 | \％ 29 | 8009618 | L094098 |  | Int＇slis | sitdis |  | $9 \varepsilon$ | 108 | 058 | 800¢d |
| iIS＇998 | tit＇s918 | E0600IS | \％68 | \％001 | \％19 | titis9Is | 9969s8 |  | 89t＇801s | situls |  | $9 \varepsilon$ | zsl | os8 | L00¢d |
| $66 t^{\text {ceszs }}$ | 8108858 | 69s＇tLzs | \％88 | \％001 | \％rs | 810＇8zss | LLC＇181s |  | 1tで9t¢s | sltdis |  | $9 \varepsilon$ | 20tr | $0{ }^{\text {os }}$ | ${ }_{900 \varepsilon d}$ |
| E9988tIS | st99\％ts | 286468 | \％$\%$ | \％$¢$ | \％6z | 0＜8＇LE\＆ | 91¢91 IS |  | tsstizzs | SItuls |  | $9 \varepsilon$ | LEs＇1 | 058 | soord |
| 600＇tozs | E¢690ss | ＋58＇29zs | \％92 | \％ F S | \％82 | t948868 | 181＇czes |  | ¢8s＇s198 | situls |  | $9 \varepsilon$ | OLz＇t | os8 | tooqd |
| os9．9ts | $685^{\circ} 0015$ | 686 ¢ss | $\%$ \％ | \％69 | \％ $2 \varepsilon$ | 182＇stis | L810ss |  | t6S＇66s | sithis |  | $9 \varepsilon$ | ¢99 | 058 | ${ }^{\text {coog }}$ |
| ${ }^{8 L 5}$ c668 | 8tizozs | 1L98018 | \％1E | \％ 29 | \％9¢ | E98＇098 | 076 ¢ois |  | EtGL6IS | situis |  | $9 \varepsilon$ | \＆LE์ 1 | 058 | zoord |
| s999］is | toticscs | 6L＇9¢1s | \％62 | \％¢9 | \％$\%$ | z6a＇zots | tot＇scis |  | 86L＇E9zs | sitals |  | 9 | 0¢8＇1 | os8 | 000¢d |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{1} 18$ | ${ }^{17} 97$ | Suolv ${ }^{\text {sis }}$ | px ¢ри | ¢\％о |  | HLYON WGLSAS GAdOOT 0S8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| po！̣．10d $\boldsymbol{\partial O}_{4}$ <br> ภิแ！．！（I | zzoz | z10z |  | zzoz | z10z |  |  |  |  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{c} \text { 7suod } \\ \text { jo } \\ \text { jowa } \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ¢！pede？pazilp（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2012－2022 Water Wastewater Impact Fee Update
TABLE NO. 12
Existing Impact Fee Water Lines

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 Year |  | (\%) | Utilized C | 年aci |  | Ca |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Pressure } \\ \text { Plane }}}^{\text {den }}$ | ${ }_{\text {Lenth }}^{\substack{\text { Length } \\ \text { (FL) }}}$ | Diameter (Inches) | $\left.\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Const. } \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Cost } \\ (\mathrm{SFFt}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Devt } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Service } \\ \text { Intirest } \\ \text { Rate } \end{array} \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | Debt Service <br> Utilizing <br> Simple <br> Interest | Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$) | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { During } \\ \text { Fee } \\ \text { Period } \end{array}$ | 2012 | 2022 | During Fee Period |
| LAKE FOREST DRIVE 30-INCH WATER LINE (WAL-MART) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\|$From McKinney Ranch  <br> 1 P5582 <br>  Subtotal: | pky. Sout 1 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 400-\mathrm{ft} \\ 1,373 \\ 1,373 \end{array}\right\|$ | ${ }^{30}$ |  | S148.06 | ( | 5\% |  | \$ $\begin{aligned} & 5309,929 \\ & 839929\end{aligned}$ | $61 \%$ | 97\% | 36\% | \$1189,057 | ¢5300,631 <br> 630,631 | ( $\begin{gathered}\text { S111,574 } \\ \text { sil1,54 }\end{gathered}$ |
| VILLAGE PARK - PHASE 1-20", 30" \& 36" WATER LINE (LAKE FOREST DR., COLLIN MCKINNEY PKWY. \& RIDGE RD.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20" - Ridge Road from Stacy Rd. to McKinney Ranch Pkwy.; 30" -Lake Forest Dr. from 1,400-ft South of McKinney Ranch Pkwy. to Collin McKinney Pkwy.; |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {P5584 }}$ | 920 | 711 | ${ }^{30}$ |  | \$50.51 | ${ }_{\text {S33,927 }}$ |  | s18,862 | s54,789 | 60\% |  |  | s32,873 | \$53,145 | \$20,272 |
| P5606 | 920 | 666 | ${ }^{36}$ |  | \$50.51 | \$33,644 |  | 517,663 | ${ }_{551,37}$ | $41 \%$ | 100\% | 59\% | \$2,,36 | ${ }_{\text {s51,307 }}$ | \$30,271 |
| ${ }^{\text {P5586 }}$ | 920 | 1,054 | 20 |  | \$50.51 | 553,225 |  | S27,943 | 581,168 | $46 \%$ | 100\% | $54 \%$ | S37,37 | \$81,168 | \$44,831 |
| ${ }_{\text {P5587 }}$ | 920 | 434 | ${ }^{20}$ |  | \$50.51 | \$21,941 |  | S11,503 | S33,414 | 70\% | 100\% | 30\% | \$2,390 | 533,414 | s10,024 |
| P5588 | ${ }^{920}$ | 1,331 | ${ }^{20}$ |  | \$50.51 | S67,221 |  | 535,291 | s102,512 | 55\% | 97\% | $42 \%$ | \$56,382 | S99,437 | \$43,055 |
| ${ }_{\text {Ps607A }}$ | 920 | 1,900 | ${ }^{36}$ |  | \$50.51 | S95,963 |  | S50,381 | S146,344 | 55\% | 97\% | $42 \%$ | 588,489 | s141,954 | S61,464 |
| ${ }_{\text {Sutbotal }}^{\text {P6017 }}$ | 920 | r,807 | ${ }^{20}$ | 2004 | S50.51 | ( $\begin{gathered}\text { S31,523 } \\ \text { s39,36 }\end{gathered}$ | 5\% | S10,550 | 548,073 S601317 | 57\% | 100\% | 43\% | (in ${ }_{\substack{\text { S27,402 } \\ 5829,92}}$ | \$48,073 |  |
| COLLIN MCKINNEY 30" \& 36" WATER LINE (CRAIG RANCH INFRASTRUCTURE) (VCIM 1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5607B |  | 1,584 | ${ }^{36}$ |  | 58.13 | s128,513 |  | so |  |  |  |  |  | \$124,658 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {P5608 }}$ | 920 | 2,844 | ${ }^{30}$ |  | S81.13 | s23,735 |  | so | 5230,735 | 53\% | 95\% | 42\% | \$122,290 | S219,198 | 599,909 |
|  | 920 920 |  | 30 <br> 30 |  | \$81.13 | ( 548,930 |  | so |  |  |  | 41\% | ${ }_{\substack{\text { che } \\ 526,422 \\ 52057}}$ | cist |  |
| ${ }_{\text {P5696 }}$ | ${ }_{920}^{20}$ | ${ }_{895}$ | 30 <br> 30 |  | 边 | ¢ |  | so |  | 10\% | 10\% | \%\% |  |  | ${ }^{50}$ |
| Subtoal: |  | 6,284 |  | 2004 |  | S50, 851 | \% | so | S50,851 |  |  |  | 521,038 | \$419,397] | s204,399 |

## Existing Impact Fee Water Lines

TABLE NO. 12
Existing Impact Fee Water Lines

|  |  |  | Diameter | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Const. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\mathbf{S} / \mathrm{Ft} .) \end{gathered}$ | TotalCapitalCost (\$) | Debt Service intersest Rate \% | 20 Year <br> Debt Service <br> Utilizing <br> Simple <br> Interest | Total 20 YearProjectCost (\$) | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (\$) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Pressure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{Ft} .) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee } \\ \text { Period } \end{gathered}$ | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \end{gathered}$ |
| STACY ROAD WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S.H. 121 to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6013 | 920 | 45 | 20 |  | \$55. | \$24,653 |  | \$12,943 | \$37,596 | 52\% | 100\% | 48\% | \$19,550 | \$37,596 | \$18,046 |
| P6014 | 920 | 1,486 | 20 |  | \$55.40 | \$82,333 |  | \$43,225 | \$125,588 | $66 \%$ | 100\% | 34\% | \$82,868 | \$125,558 | \$42,690 |
| P6016 | 920 | 2,148 | 20 |  | \$54.65 | \$17,361 |  | \$61,614 | \$178,975 | 55\% | 98\% | 43\% | \$98,436 | \$175,396 | \$76,959 |
| P6018 | 920 | 1,357 | 24 |  | \$82.11 | \$111,452 |  | \$58,512 | \$169,964 | 35\% | 100\% | 65\% | \$59,487 | \$169,964 | \$110,477 |
| P6019 | 920 | 1,395 | 24 |  | \$82.11 | \$114,552 |  | \$60,140 | \$174,692 | 36\% | 100\% | 64\% | \$62,889 | \$174,692 | \$11,803 |
| Subtatal |  | 6,831 |  | 2007 |  | \$450,351 | 5\% | \$236,434 | \$686,785 |  |  |  | \$323,230 | \$683,206 | \$359,975 |
| MCKINNEY RANCH 16-INCH WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Ridge Rd. to Stacy Rd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6024 | 920 | 1,666 | 16 |  | \$34.40 | \$57,313 |  | \$30,089 | \$87,402 | 24\% | 98\% | 74\% | 20,9 | \$85,654 | 44,677 |
| P6026 | 920 | 2,331 | 16 |  | \$34.40 | \$80,179 |  | \$42,094 | \$122,273 | 45\% | 100\% | 55\% | \$55,023 | \$122,273 | \$67,250 |
| Subtotal: |  | 3,997 |  | 2007 |  | \$137,492 | 5\% | \$72,183 | \$209,675 |  |  |  | \$75,999 | \$227,927 | \$131,927 |
| COLLIN MCKINNEY 20-INCH WATER LINE - (CRAIG RANCH INFRASTRUCTURE) (VCIM 2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Boston Rd. to Custer Rd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5678 | 920 | 1,057 | ${ }^{20}$ |  | \$130.86 | \$138,278 |  | so | \$138,278 | 28\% | 67\% | 39\% | \$38,718 | \$92,646 | \$53,928 |
| P5679 | 920 | 1,335 | 20 |  | \$130.86 | \$174,742 |  | so | \$174,742 | 26\% | $66 \%$ | 40\% | \$45,433 | \$115,330 | \$69,897 |
| Subtotal: |  | 2,392 |  | 2007 |  | \$313,020 | 0\% | so | \$138,278 |  |  |  | \$38,718 | \$92,646 | \$53,928 |
| ALMA ROAD 24-INCH WATER LINE - (CRAIG RANCH INFRASTRUCTURE) (VCIM 2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Stacy Road to Collin McKinney Pkwy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6027 | 920 | 147 | 24 |  | \$130.86 | \$19,299 |  | \$10,132 | \$29,431 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$29,431 | \$29,431 | so |
| P6028 | 920 | 684 | 24 |  | \$130.86 | \$89,520 |  | \$46,998 | \$136,518 | 57\% | 96\% | 39\% | \$77,815 | \$131,057 | \$53,242 |
| P6029 | 920 | 626 | 24 |  | \$130.86 | \$81,967 |  | \$43,033 | \$125,000 | 56\% | 96\% | 40\% | \$70,000 | \$120,000 | \$50,000 |
| P6030 | 920 | 727 | 24 |  | \$130.86 | \$95,126 |  | \$49,941 | \$145,067 | 56\% | 96\% | 40\% | \$81,238 | \$139,264 | \$58,027 |
| P6031 | 920 | 472 | 24 |  | \$130.86 | \$61,731 |  | \$32,409 | \$94,140 | 56\% | 96\% | 40\% | \$52,718 | \$90,374 | \$37,656 |
| P6171 | 920 | 1,014 | 24 |  | \$130.86 | \$132,632 |  | \$69,632 | \$202,264 | 56\% | 98\% | $42 \%$ | \$113,268 | \$198,219 | \$84,951 |
| Subtotal: |  | 3,670 |  | 2007 |  | \$480,275 | 5\% | \$252,145 | \$732,420 |  |  |  | \$424,470 | \$708,345 | \$283,876 |
| CUSTER ROAD 16-INCH WATER LINE - (CRAIG RANCH INFRASTRUCTURE) (VCIM 2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Stacy Rd. to Town Crossing (2,720-ff South of Boston Rd.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5665 | 920 | 1,561 | 16 |  | \$130.86 | \$204,277 |  | \$107,245 | \$311,522 | 83\% | 86\% | 3\% | \$258,563 | \$267,909 | \$9,346 |
| ${ }^{\text {P5666 }}$ | 920 | 1,113 | 16 |  | \$130.86 | \$145,680 |  | \$76,482 | \$222,162 | 83\% | 86\% | 3\% | \$184,394 | \$191,059 | \$6,665 |
| P5667 | 920 | 917 | 16 |  | \$130.86 | \$120,016 |  | \$63,008 | \$183,024 | 48\% | 72\% | 24\% | \$87,852 | \$131,777 | \$43,926 |
| P6037 | 920 | 1,290 | 16 |  | \$130.86 | \$168,843 |  | \$88,643 | \$257,486 | 90\% | 100\% | 10\% | \$231,737 | \$257,486 | \$25,749 |
| P6038 | 920 | 1,430 | 16 |  | \$130.86 | \$187,146 |  | \$98,252 | \$285,398 | 80\% | 88\% | $8 \%$ | \$228,318 | \$251,150 | \$22,832 |
| Subtotal: |  | 6,312 |  | 2007 |  | \$825,962 | 5\% | \$433,630 | \$1,259,592 |  |  |  | \$990,864 | \$1,099,381 | \$108,518 |

TABLE NO. 12
Existing Impact Fee Water Lines

| Pipe Number | Pressure Plane | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{Ft} .) \end{gathered}$ | Diameter | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Const. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg. Unit } \\ & \text { Cost } \\ & (\$ / \mathrm{Ft} .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Debt Intersest Rate \% | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 20 \text { Year } \\ \text { Debt Service } \\ \text { Utilizing } \\ \text { Simple } \\ \text { Interest } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COLLIN MCKINNEY 20-INCH WATER LINE - ROWLETT CREEK BRIDGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal: |  | 1,324 |  | 2006 |  | \$24,289 | 5\% | \$12,752 | \$37,041 |
| BRISTOL / CUSTER 42-INCH WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6150 | 920 | 4,864 | 42 |  | \$ 507.59 | \$2,468,962 |  | \$1,296,205 | \$3,765,167 |
| P6151 | 920 | 610 | 42 |  | \$ 507.59 | \$309,743 |  | \$162,615 | \$472,358 |
| P6152 | 920 | 871 | 42 |  | \$ 507.59 | \$441,921 |  | \$232,009 | \$673,930 |
| P6222 | 920 | 900 | 42 |  | \$ 507.59 | \$456,834 |  | \$239,838 | \$696,672 |
| Subtotal: |  | 7,245 |  | 2008 |  | \$3,67, 460 | 5\% | \$1,930,667 | \$5,608,127 |
| CUSTER ROAD UTILITY RELOCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Virginia Pkwy. to Eldorado Pkwy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5130 | 920 | 275 | 36 |  | \$ 432.46 | \$118,725 |  | \$62,331 | \$181,056 |
| P5132 | 920 | 260 | 36 |  | \$ 432.46 | \$112,437 |  | \$59,029 | \$171,466 |
| P5754 | 920 | 487 | 30 |  | \$ 432.46 | \$210,584 |  | \$110,557 | \$321,141 |
| P6153 | 920 | 841 | 36 |  | \$ 432.46 | \$363,495 |  | \$190,835 | \$554,330 |
| P6155 | 920 | 1,717 | 36 |  | S 432.46 | \$742,406 |  | \$389,763 | \$1,132,169 |
| P6156 | 920 | 2,392 | 36 |  | \$ 432.46 | \$1,034,493 |  | \$543,109 | \$1,577,602 |
| P6168 | 920 | 1,347 | 30 |  | \$ 432.46 | \$582,648 |  | \$305,890 | \$888,538 |
| P6169 | 920 | 2,744 | 30 |  | \$ 432.46 | \$1,18,493 |  | \$622,909 | \$1,809,402 |
| P617 | 920 | 1,007 | 30 |  | \$ 432.46 | \$435,495 |  | \$228,635 | \$664,130 |
| Subtotal: |  | 11,069 |  | 2010 |  | S4,786,776 | 5\% | \$2,513,058 | \$7,29, 834 |
| ELDORADO PKWY. / STONEBRIDGE DRIVE INTERSECTION 20-INCH WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\frac{\text { TABLE NO. } 12}{\text { Existing Impact Fee Water Lines }}$
Exing ITM Fer Wat

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 Year |  | (\%) U | ilized C | pacity | (\$) | tilized Capa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe <br> Number | Pressure Plane | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & \text { (Ft.) } \end{aligned}$ | Diameter (Inches) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Const. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ / F t .) \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | Total Capital Cost (\$) | Service <br> Intersest <br> Rate \% | Utilizing <br> Simple <br> Interest | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } 20 \text { Year } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | 2012 | 2022 | During <br> Fee <br> Period | 2012 | 2022 | During <br> Fee Period |
| U.S. 380 36-INCH WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Univeristy Pump Station to Hardin Rd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 P 3423 | 850 | 30 | 36 |  | \$361.55 | \$10,765 |  | \$5,652 | \$16,417 | 71\% | 100\% | 29\% | \$11,656 | \$16,417 | \$4,761 |
| P4084 | 850 | 5,597 | 36 |  | \$361.55 | \$2,023,591 |  | \$1,062,385 | \$3,085,976 | 40\% | 100\% | 60\% | \$1,234,390 | \$3,085,976 | \$1,851,586 |
| P4085 | 850 | 3,633 | 36 |  | \$361.55 | \$1,313,651 |  | \$689,667 | \$2,003,318 | 71\% | 100\% | 29\% | \$1,422,356 | \$2,003,318 | \$580,962 |
| P4086 | 850 | 1,082 | 36 |  | \$361.55 | \$391,083 |  | \$205,319 | \$596,402 | 79\% | 100\% | 21\% | \$471,158 | \$596,402 | \$125,244 |
| P4087 | 850 | 320 | 36 |  | \$361.55 | \$115,531 |  | \$60,654 | \$176,185 | 83\% | 100\% | 17\% | \$146,234 | \$176,185 | \$29,951 |
| P4088 | 850 | 129 | 30 |  | \$361.55 | \$46,731 |  | \$24,534 | \$71,265 | 83\% | 100\% | 17\% | \$59,150 | \$71,265 | \$12,115 |
| P4089 | 850 | 1,451 | 30 |  | \$361.55 | \$524,737 |  | \$275,487 | \$800,224 | 91\% | 100\% | 9\% | \$728,204 | \$800,224 | \$72,020 |
| P4090 | 850 | 926 | 30 |  | \$361.55 | \$334,611 |  | \$175,671 | \$510,282 | 94\% | 100\% | 6\% | \$479,665 | \$510,282 | \$30,617 |
| P4183 | 850 | 441 | 36 |  | \$361.55 | \$159,447 |  | \$83,710 | \$243,157 | 81\% | 100\% | 19\% | \$196,957 | \$243,157 | \$46,200 |
| P4184 | 850 | 3,229 | 30 |  | \$361.55 | \$1,167,383 |  | \$612,876 | \$1,780,259 | 92\% | 100\% | 8\% | \$1,637,838 | \$1,780,259 | \$142,421 |
| P4196 | 850 | 366 | 36 |  | \$361.55 | \$132,334 |  | \$69,475 | \$201,809 | 81\% | 100\% | 19\% | \$163,465 | \$201,809 | \$38,344 |
| Subtotal: |  | 17,204 |  | 2012 |  | \$6,219,865 | 5\% | \$3,265,430 | \$9,485,294 |  |  |  | \$6,551,073 | \$9,485,294 | \$2,934,221 |
| UNIVERSITY PUMP STATION DISCHARGE LINE NO. 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From University Pump Station West to Future Stonebridge Dr.; South Along Future Stonebridge Dr. to U.S. 380; U.S. 380 to Custer Rd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6090 | 920 | 184 | 48 |  | \$677.22 | \$124,891 |  | \$65,568 | \$190,459 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$190,459 | \$190,459 | \$0 |
| P6091 | 920 | 112 | 48 |  | \$677.22 | \$75,772 |  | \$39,780 | \$115,552 | 12\% | 27\% | 15\% | \$13,866 | \$31,199 | \$17,333 |
| P6148 | 920 | 2,145 | 66 |  | \$677.22 | \$1,452,373 |  | \$762,496 | \$2,214,869 | 55\% | 60\% | 5\% | \$1,218,178 | \$1,328,921 | \$110,743 |
| P6149 | 920 | 2,784 | 30 |  | \$677.22 | \$1,885,175 |  | \$989,717 | \$2,874,892 | 47\% | 82\% | 35\% | \$1,351,199 | \$2,357,411 | \$1,006,212 |
| P6235 | 920 | 623 | 30 |  | \$677.22 | \$422,236 |  | \$221,674 | \$643,910 | 41\% | 77\% | 36\% | \$264,003 | \$495,811 | \$231,808 |
| P7061 | 920 | 106 | 48 |  | \$677.22 | \$71,490 |  | \$37,532 | \$109,022 | 66\% | 72\% | 6\% | \$71,955 | \$78,496 | \$6,541 |
| Subtotal: |  | 5,954 |  | 2009 |  | \$4,031,938 | 5\% | \$2,116,767 | \$6,148,704 |  |  |  | \$3,109,660 | \$4,482,297 | \$1,372,637 |
| STACY ROAD 24-INCH WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Alma Rd. East 2,756-ft |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6020 | 920 | 687 | 24 |  | 193.1462403 | \$132,707 |  | \$69,671 | \$202,378 | 60\% | 100\% | 40\% | \$121,427 | \$202,378 | \$80,951 |
| P5744 | 920 | 1,950 | 24 |  | 193.1462403 | \$376,677 |  | \$197,755 | \$574,432 | 60\% | 100\% | 40\% | \$344,659 | \$574,432 | \$229,773 |
| Subtotal: |  | 2,637 |  | 2009 |  | \$509,384 | 5\% | \$267,426 | \$776,810 |  |  |  | \$466,086 | \$776,810 | \$310,724 |
| HARDIN 36-INCH WATER LINE (TIMBER CREEK ACCESS IMPROVEMENTS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Wilmeth Rd. to Holly Ridge Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4015 | 850 | 1,200 | 36 |  | \$249.81 | \$299,890 |  | \$157,442 | \$457,332 | 10\% | 46\% | 36\% | \$45,733 | \$210,373 | \$164,640 |
| P4016 | 850 | 1,606 | 36 |  | \$249.81 | \$401,100 |  | \$210,578 | \$611,678 | 0\% | 40\% | 40\% | \$0 | \$244,671 | \$244,671 |
| Subtotal: |  | 2,806 |  | 2010 |  | \$700,990 | 5\% | \$368,020 | \$1,069,010 |  |  |  | \$45,733 | \$455,044 | \$409,311 |
| LAKE FOREST 20-INCH WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Collin McKinney Pkwy. to S.H. 121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 20 |  | \$302.70 | \$568,772 |  | \$298,605 | \$867,377 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$867,377 | \$867,377 | \$0 |
| Subtotal: |  | 1,879 |  | 2010 |  | \$568,772 | 5\% | \$298,605 | \$867,377 |  |  |  | \$867,377 | \$867,377 | \$0 |


TABLE NO. 12
Existing Impact Fee Water Lines

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 Year |  | (\%) U | ilized C | pacity |  | lized Capa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Pressure Plane | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Length } \\ (\text { Ft.) } \end{array} \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | Diameter (Inches) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Const. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ / \mathbf{F t} .) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Debt Service Intersest Rate \% | Utilizing Simple Interest | Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$) | 2012 | 2022 | During Fee Period | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| VALOR POINTE AT WESTRIDGE, PHASE 10-16-INCH WATER LINES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Along Virgina Parkway West 1,250-ft to Future Westridge Subdivision; South \& Southwest in Future Westridge Subdivision |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6069 | 920 | 1,224 | 16 |  | \$18.45 | \$22,579 |  | \$11,854 | \$34,433 | 23\% | 100\% | 77\% | \$7,920 | \$34,433 | \$26,513 |
| P6079 | 920 | 647 | 16 |  | \$18.45 | \$11,927 |  | \$6,262 | \$18,189 | $21 \%$ | 100\% | 79\% | \$3,820 | \$18,189 | \$14,369 |
| Subtotal: |  | 1,870 |  | 2012 |  | \$34,506 | 5\% | \$18,116 | \$52,622 |  |  |  | \$11,740 | \$52,622 | \$40,882 |
| 920 VIRGINIA PKWY. 12-INCH PARALLEL LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Adriatic Pkwy. to Ridge Rd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5232 | 920 | 949 | 12 |  | \$67.51 | \$64,038 |  | \$33,620 | \$97,658 | 100\% | 100\% |  | \$97,658 | \$97,658 | so |
| P5702 | 920 | 620 | 12 |  | \$67.51 | \$41, 874 |  | \$21,984 | \$63,858 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$63,858 | \$63,858 | so |
| P5736 | 920 | 49 | 12 |  | \$67.51 | \$64,102 |  | \$33,654 | S97,756 | 96\% | 100\% | 4\% | \$93,846 | \$97,756 | \$3,910 |
| P5737 | 920 | 389 | 12 |  | \$67.51 | \$26,232 |  | \$13,772 | \$40,004 | 97\% | 100\% | 3\% | \$38,804 | \$40,004 | \$1,200 |
| P6172 | 920 | 1,095 | 12 |  | \$67.51 | \$73,920 |  | \$38,808 | \$112,728 | 97\% | 100\% | 3\% | \$109,346 | \$112,728 | \$3,382 |
| Subtotal: |  | 4,002 |  | 2011 |  | \$270,166 | 5\% | \$141,838 | \$412,004 |  |  |  | \$403,512 | \$412,004 | \$8,492 |
| WESTRIDGE 24-INCH WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Westridge Blvd. Phase 4A \& 4B (Custer West Partners) From Independence Elevated Storage Tank to Willard Dr. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5158 | 920 | 1,163 | 24 |  | \$50.74 | \$58,996 |  | \$30,973 | \$89,969 | 36\% | 81\% | 45\% | \$32,389 | \$72,875 | \$40,486 |
| P5159 | 920 | 632 | 24 |  | \$50.74 | \$32,041 |  | \$16,822 | \$48,863 | 39\% | $82 \%$ | 43\% | \$19,057 | \$40,068 | \$21,011 |
| P5160 | 920 | 867 | 24 |  | \$50.74 | \$43,971 |  | \$23,085 | \$67,056 | 79\% | 97\% | 18\% | \$52,974 | S65,044 | \$12,070 |
| ${ }^{\text {P5683 }}$ | 920 | 287 | 24 |  | \$50.74 | \$14,561 |  | \$7,645 | \$22,206 | $41 \%$ | 83\% | 42\% | \$9,104 | \$18,431 | \$9,327 |
| P6062 | 920 | 1,289 | 24 |  | \$50.74 | \$65,385 |  | \$34,327 | \$99,712 | 4\% | 80\% | 76\% | \$3,988 | \$79,770 | \$75,781 |
| Subtotal: |  | 4,237 |  | 2007 |  | \$214,953 | 5\% | \$112,852 | \$327,806 |  |  |  | \$117,512 | \$276,188 | \$158,675 |

ZI ON HTGVL
Existing Impact Fee Water Lines

| Pipe Number | Pressure Plane | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{Ft} .) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { (Inches) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Const. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ \text { (S/Ft.) } \end{array} \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Debt Intersest Rate \% | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 20 \text { Year } \\ \text { Debt Service } \\ \text { Utilizing } \\ \text { Simple } \\ \text { Interest } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKE FOREST 36-INCH WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Willmeth Phase 2 Water Line to Bloomdale Rd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P4013 | 850 | 1,650 | 36 |  | \$421.10 | \$694,746 |  | \$364,742 | \$1,059,488 |
| P4189 | 850 | 892 | 36 |  | \$421.10 | \$375,571 |  | \$197,175 | \$572,746 |
| Subtotal: |  | 2,542 |  | 2010 |  | \$1,070,317 | 5\% | \$561,917 | \$1,632,234 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P3359 | 850 | 464 | 24 |  | \$407.34 | \$188,899 |  | \$99,172 | \$288,071 |
| P3371 | 850 | 369 | 12 |  | \$169.32 | \$62,399 |  | \$32,759 | \$95,158 |
| P4116 | 850 | 1,072 | 24 |  | \$300.92 | \$322,536 |  | \$169,331 | \$491,867 |
| Subtotal: |  | 1,904 |  | 2012 |  | \$573,834 | 5\% | \$301,262 | \$875,096 |
| US 75 UTILITY RELOCATIONS <br> From Market Place Dr. South to Existing 16" Water Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2116 | 794 | 1,848 | 16 |  | \$376.42 | \$695,619 |  | \$365,200 | \$1,060,819 |
| Subtotal: |  | 1,848 |  | 2012 |  | \$699,619 | 5\% | \$365,200 |  |
| US 75 UTILITY RELOCATIONS - PHASE III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Along NB US 75 From Bloomdale Rd. North; US 75 Crossing; From US 75 Crossing Along SB US 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P3175 | 794 | 1,186 | 16 |  | \$218.41 | \$259,097 |  | \$136,026 | \$395,123 |
| P3176 | 794 | 458 | 16 |  | \$218.41 | \$100,086 |  | \$52,545 | \$152,631 |
| P3177 | 794 | 544 | 16 |  | \$218.41 | \$118,756 |  | \$62,347 | \$181,103 |
| Subtotal: |  | 2,188 |  | 2012 |  | \$477,940 | 5\% | \$250,918 | \$728,857 |
| EXISTING TOTAL: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 241,729 |  |  |  | \$45,493,957 |  | S22,497,972 | S66,836, |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 Year |  | (\%) | S | pacity |  | Utilized Cap |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Pressure <br> Plane | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\text { Ft. }) \end{gathered}$ | Diameter (Inches) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Date } \\ \text { of Const. } \end{array} .$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ \text { (\$/Ft.) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Debt Service Interest Rate \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Debt Service } \\ \text { Utilizing } \\ \text { Simple } \\ \text { Interest } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total <br> 20 Year <br> Project <br> Cost (\$) | 2012 | 2022 | During <br> Fee <br> Period | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \end{gathered}$ |
| INDUSTRIAL BLVD. 12" WATER LINE (PIPE BURST 8" to 12") |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\|$From Industrial Ele  <br> 2 P1399 * <br> 2 P1402 * <br> 2 P1403 * <br> Subtotal:  | ated Tank Eaa | 1,540-ft \& fro | \|rom Union Pac | $\left.\right\|^{2014}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 41,782 \\ \$ 164,959 \\ \$ 404,427 \\ \$ 610,868 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5\% | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 21,936 \\ & \$ 86,604 \\ & \text { } \\ & \hline 212,16 \\ & \mathbf{S 3 2 0 , 7 0 7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 63,718 \\ \$ 251,53 \\ \hline 616,294 \\ \hline 961,57 \end{gathered}$ | 0\% $0 \%$ $0 \%$ 0 | $82 \%$ $85 \%$ $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ <br> 880 <br> $84 \%$ <br> $84 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & s 0 \\ & \text { so } \\ & \text { so } \\ & \text { so } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 52,249 \\ & \$ 213,89 \\ & \$ 517,687 \\ & \$ 783,765 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| COUCH DRIVE 12" WATER LINE LOOP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left.\right\|_{\mid l} ^{\text {From Airport Rd. to }} \begin{aligned} & \text { P1406 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Couch Dr. } \\ 794 \end{gathered}$ | 120 | 12 | 2014 | \$169.90 | $\$ 700,000$ $\$ 700,000$ | 5\% | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 367,500 \\ & 5367,500 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1,067,500 \\ s_{1,067,500} \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | 83\% | 83\% | so ${ }_{\text {so }}$ | $\$ 886,025$ $\$ 886,025$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 886,025 \\ & \mathbf{\$ 8 8 6 , 0 2 5} \end{aligned}$ |
| US 380 / INDEPENDENCE LOOP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Custer Rd. to Independence Pkwy. \& Independence Pkwy. from US 380 to Virginia Pkwy. (Pipe 5757 is a Bore Across Custer) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P5757* | 920 | 146 | 16 |  | \$550.00 | \$80,475 |  | \$42,249 | \$122,724 | 0\% | 70\% | 70\% | so | 885,907 | 585,907 |
| P5758* | 920 | 449 | 12 |  | \$114.00 | \$51,222 |  | \$26,892 | \$78,114 | 0\% | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ | so | \$64,835 | \$64,835 |
| P5763 * | 920 | 329 | 8 |  | \$85.00 | \$27,946 |  | \$14,672 | \$42,618 | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | so | \$42,618 | \$42,618 |
| P5767 * | 920 | 307 | 16 |  | \$132.00 | \$40,477 |  | \$21,250 | \$61,727 | 0\% | 89\% | 89 | so | \$54,937 | \$54,937 |
| P6083 * | 920 | 1,021 | ${ }^{24}$ |  | \$225.00 | \$229,786 |  | \$120,638 | \$350,424 | 0\% | 88\% | 88\% | so | \$308,373 | \$308,373 |
| P6084* | 920 | 2,797 | 24 |  | \$225.00 | \$629,218 |  | \$330,339 | \$999,557 | 0\% | 88\% | 88\% | so | \$844,410 | \$844,410 |
| P6086* | 920 | 1,686 | 12 |  | \$114.00 | \$192,204 |  | \$100,907 | \$293,111 | 0\% | 89\% | 89\% | so | \$260,869 | \$260,869 |
| P6087* | 920 | 4,099 | 24 |  | \$225.00 | \$922,289 |  | \$484,202 | \$1,406,491 | 0\% | 90\% | $90 \%$ | so | \$1,26, 842 | \$1,26, ,42 |
|  |  | 10,834 |  | 2015 |  | s2,173,617 | 5\% | s,141,149 | \$3,314,766 |  |  |  | s0 | \$2,92,991 | \$2,927,791 |
| US 380 / COIT SUBDIVISION OFFSITE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20" Along Independence Pkwy. from 600-ft Sout of Virginia Pkwy; 24" Along Independence Pkwy. from Virginia Virginia Pkwy. to 1,628-ft orth of Virgigia Pkwy; $16 \mathrm{l} \mathrm{\prime}$ Along Virginia Pkwy. from Bluestem Dr.to Independence Pkwy. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6074 * | 920 | 1,078 | 16 |  | \$18.00 | \$19,401 |  | \$10,186 | \$29,587 | 0\% | 81\% | 81\% | so | S23,965 | \$23,965 |
| P6089 * | 920 | 596 | 20 |  | \$60.00 | \$35,743 |  | \$18,765 | \$54,508 | 0\% | 100\% | 100\% | so | \$54,508 | \$54,508 |
| P6239 * | 920 | 1,628 | 24 |  | \$111.00 | \$180,717 |  | \$94,876 | \$275,593 | 0\% | 9\% | $89 \%$ | so | \$245,278 | \$245,278 |
| Subtotal |  | 3,302 |  | 2014 |  | \$235,861 | 5\% | \$123,827 | \$359,688 |  |  |  | s0 | \$323,751 | \$323,751 |
| BLUESTEM 16" WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pressure } \\ \text { Plane } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { (Ft.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \begin{array}{c} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { (Inches) } \end{array} \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ \text { of Const. } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Debt Service Interest Rate \% | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { 20 Year } \\ \text { Debt Service } \\ \text { Utilizing } \\ \text { Simple } \\ \text { Interest } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (\$) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|\|c} \begin{array}{\|c} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ / F t) \end{array} \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { Year } \\ & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Cost (S) } \end{aligned}$ | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee } \\ \text { Period } \end{array} \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| WESTRID <br> From Willard Driv <br> Subtotal <br> ${ }^{\text {Prob }}$ | E 16" | ATER | LINE | 2015 | \$18. | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 29,399 \\ & 529,349 \end{aligned}$ | 5\% | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,408 \\ & \$ 15,408 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 44,757 \\ & \$ 44,557 \end{aligned}$ | 0\% | 79\% | 79\% | \$0 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 35,358 \\ & \mathbf{S 3 5 , 3 5 8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 3,358 \\ & \$ 35,358 \\ & \hline 35 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | WOO | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{3 6 " 8} \\ & \begin{array}{l} \$ 276.00 \\ \$ 111.00 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 24 " \text { WAT } \\ \\ \$ 1,842,852 \\ \$ 464,535 \\ \$ 2,307,387 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | R L | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 967,497 \\ \$ 24,881 \\ \text { S1,21,378 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 2,81,349 \\ \$ 708,416 \end{gathered}$ $53,518,765$ | $0 \%$ $0 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r}7 \% \\ 11 \% \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 7\% ${ }^{70}$ | \$0 \$0 s0 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 196,724 \\ & \$ 77,926 \\ & \$ 274,650 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 196,724 \\ & \$ 77,926 \\ & \$ 274,650 \end{aligned}$ |
| HARDIN S From McKinney R Subtotal tal | UTH 1 920 | " WAT <br> Illin McKinn 1,515 1,515 | ER LI ey Pkwy 16 | 2016 | \$18.00 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 27,264 \\ & \mathbf{s} 27,264 \end{aligned}$ | 5\% | $\$ 14,314$ $\mathrm{~S} 14,314$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 41,578 \\ & \$ 41,578 \end{aligned}$ | 0\% | 97\% | 97\% | \$0 ${ }_{\text {so }}$ | $\$ 40,331$ $\$ 40,331$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54,331 \\ & 54,331 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | DGE 48 <br> 4. 1461 (Futu <br> 920 <br> 920 <br> 920 <br> 920 <br> 920 | WATE East-West T 6,911 1,50 2,301 $3,2,29$ 2,128 16,128 10 | R LINE <br> Thoroughare <br> 48 <br> 48 <br> 48 <br> 48 <br> 48 |  | \$378.00 $\$ 378.00$ $\$ 378.0$ S378.00 $\$ 378.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,612,307 \\ \$ 566,98 \\ \$ 89,604 \\ \$ 1,243,172 \\ \$ 804,204 \\ \$ 6,096,215 \end{array}$ | 5\% | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1,371,461 \\ \$ 29,737 \\ \$ 46,542 \\ \$ 56,265 \\ \$ 42,207 \\ \$ 3,20,512 \\ \$ 3 \end{array}$ | \$864,565 \$1,326,146 \$1,895,837 \$9,296,727 | $0 \%$ $0 \%$ $0 \%$ $0 \%$ $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ $4 \%$ $5 \%$ $3 \%$ $3 \%$ | $7 \%$ <br> $4 \%$ <br> $4 \%$ <br> $5 \%$ <br> $3 \%$ <br> $3 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & s 0 \\ & s 0 \\ & s 0 \\ & s 0 \\ & s 0 \\ & s 0 \\ & \text { so } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 278,864 \\ \$ 3,583 \\ \$ 66,307 \\ \$ 56,87 \\ \$ 36,792 \\ \$ 473,421 \\ \hline 473, \end{gathered}$ |
|  | WAT <br> to FM 543 <br> 850 <br> 850 <br> 850 <br> 850 <br> 850 <br> 850$\|$ | 2,448 2,422 4,530 2,474 1,636 13,510 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { E- (TRI } \\ \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | 2017 | $\begin{array}{\|} \hline \text { LLS W } \\ \\ \$ 162.00 \\ \$ 162.00 \\ \$ 162.00 \\ \$ 162.00 \\ \$ 162.00 \end{array}$ | ST FEE <br> \$396,643 <br> \$392,296 <br> $\$ 400,835$ <br> \$264,998 \$2,188,580 | 5\% | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 208,238 \\ \$ 205,955 \\ \$ 385,249 \\ \$ 210,438 \\ \$ 139,124 \\ \$ 1,19,004 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 604,881 \\ \$ 958,51 \\ \$ 1,19,57 \\ \$ 81,057 \\ \$ 1,1273 \\ \$ 404,122 \\ \$ 3,37,544 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 0 \% \\ 0 \% \end{gathered}$ | $48 \%$ $20 \%$ $19 \%$ $38 \%$ $39 \%$ | 48\% 20\% 19\% $38 \%$ $39 \%$ | \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 so s0 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 290,343 \\ \$ 119,650 \\ \$ 212,621 \\ \$ 232,284 \\ \$ 157,68 \\ \$ 1,012,506 \end{array}$ |  |
| $$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& 16" } \\ & \text { East Limits } \\ & 850 \\ & 850 \\ & 850 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { NATER }}{\substack{\text { Trinty } \\ 3,200 \\ 2,602 \\ 2,002 \\ 5,8}}$ | LINE <br> 24 16 | 2017 | $\$ 111.00$ $\$ 18.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 355,232 \\ \$ 46,827 \\ \$ 402,059 \end{array}$ | 5\% | \$186,497 $\$ 211,081$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 541,729 \\ \$ 71,411 \end{gathered}$ S613,140 | $0 \%$ $0 \%$ | $76 \%$ $51 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7{ }^{7}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}, \end{aligned}$ | \$0 so so | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 411,714 \\ \$ 36,420 \end{array}$ $\$ 448,134$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 41,711 \\ \$ 36,420 \\ \$ 48,134 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 Year |  | (\%) | tilized Cal | paity |  | Utilized Capa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( Pipe | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Pressure } \\ \text { Plane }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { Length } \\ \text { (F.) }}}^{\text {cta }}$ | Diameter (Inches) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Date } \\ \text { of Const. } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (s) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Debt } \\ & \begin{array}{l} \text { Service } \\ \text { Interest } \\ \text { Rate } \end{array} \\ & \text { Rete } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Debt Service } \\ \text { Utilizing } \\ \text { Simple } \\ \text { Interest } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { To Year } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Cost (S) } \end{gathered}$ | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee } \\ \text { Period } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \end{gathered}$ |
| F.M. 1461 (FUTURE E/W THOROUGHFARE) 24" \& 18" WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fuure Stone | dige Dr.to f | Lake Foo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {P4464 * }}$ * | ${ }^{220}$ | ${ }^{1,348}$ | ${ }^{24}$ |  | s111.00 | ${ }_{\text {si4, }}^{510}$ |  | 578,945 | s228,155 |  |  |  |  | \$9,126 | 59,126 |
| $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { P6132 } \\ \text { P6133 }}]{ }$ | -20 | ${ }_{\substack{3.041 \\ 1,142}}$ | ${ }_{24}^{24}$ |  | Silli.e |  |  | sil7,195 | \$514,710 | ${ }_{0}^{0 \%}$ | 3\% |  | ${ }^{50}$ | $\underset{\substack{11,441 \\ 57732}}{ }$ | S15,411 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { P66133 } \\ \text { P60 * }}}^{\text {* }}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,142}$ | ${ }_{18}^{24}$ |  | sithoo |  |  |  | (19,294 | \% | $2 \%$ | 2\% | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\text {s }}^{53} 3$ | cis |
| ${ }^{\text {P6 } 6141}$ * | 920 | 940 | 24 |  | s11.00 | siot,301 |  | 554,758 | \$159,059 | \% \% | ${ }_{1 \%}$ | 10, |  | ${ }_{\text {s } 1,91}$ | \$1,591 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { P6176 * }}}^{\text {cila }}$ | ${ }^{220}$ | ${ }_{4}^{482}$ | ${ }_{18}^{18}$ |  | \$30.00 |  |  | 57,12 | ${ }^{520,688}$ | $\%$ | ${ }^{4 \%}$ | 4 | so | ${ }_{5826}$ | 5826 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { P6178 * } \\ \text { ctal }}}$ | ${ }_{22} 2$ | ${ }_{1}^{182}$ |  | 2017 | S111.00 | S20,180 | 5\% | \$1,594 | 50,774 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HARDIN 24" \& 16" (TRINITY FALLS WEST FEED NORTH) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\text { P4069 * }}$ P4070 | ${ }^{850}$ | ${ }^{2.925}$ | ${ }^{24}$ |  | s111.00 | \$324,686 |  | S170,460 | S495, 146 | $\%$ | ${ }^{33 \%}$ | ${ }^{33}{ }^{3} 8$ |  | S163,388 | S16,3, |
| ${ }_{\text {Subtatl }}^{\text {P477 }}$ * | 850 | ${ }_{\substack{5.880 \\ 8.505}}$ |  | 2018 | \$18.00 |  | 5\% | ¢ | ¢ | \% | 38\% | 38\% | so | (ss,208 | Sts, |
| COUNTY ROAD 227 16" WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ire Hatrin | Rd. Easto T | Finy Falls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Subutal }}^{\text {Pa072 * }}$ | ${ }^{850}$ | ${ }_{\substack{5,256 \\ 5,256}}^{\substack{\text { che }}}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | 2018 | ${ }^{1800}$ |  | 5\% |  |  | \% | $5 \%$ | ${ }_{510}$ | so |  | cos |
| Along Futur Airport Blvd. From Bloomdale Rd. to US. 380 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {P2017 * }}$ | ${ }^{79}$ |  |  |  | 5390.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { P2018 } \\ \text { pana }}}$ | 794 | 421 | ${ }^{36}$ |  | 5390.00 | S164,202 |  | 58,206 | \$250,048 |  |  |  | so | 544,578 | 547,578 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { P2043 } \\ \text { P2044 }}}$ | 794 | 4,228 | 20 |  | S174.00 | \$887,52 |  | \$450,215 | \$1,37,767 | \% | $68 \%$ | 689\% | so | \$888,282 | 5889,282 |
| ${ }_{\substack{\text { P2044 }}}^{\text {cotatal }}$ | 794 | 3.445 | 20 |  | S174.00 | \$599,383 |  |  |  | \% |  | 87\% | so | \$795,2 | 5795,231 |
| tat: |  | 13,89 |  | 2018 |  | ${ }^{53,56,134}$ | 5\% | s1,87,795 | ¢5,42,299 |  |  |  |  | 52,29,623 | 2,296, |
| CUSTER 18" NORTH WATER LINE From U.S. 380 North to FM 1461 (Future E / W Thoroughfare) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {p6052 }}$ * | ${ }^{920}$ | ${ }^{1,226}$ |  |  |  |  |  | si07,810 | \$313,163 | 0 |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {s134,60 }}$ | ${ }^{\text {S134,660 }}$ |
| $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { P6093 } \\ \text { P6906 }}]{\text { * }}$ | 920 920 | ${ }_{2}^{2,174} \mathbf{2 , 6 1 7}$ | 18 18 18 |  | Sti4.00 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0 \%}$ | ${ }^{32 \%}$ |  | So so |  | (152,742 Stio.43 |
| ${ }_{\text {P6097 }}$ * | 920 | ${ }_{1}^{2,392}$ | 18 |  | S144.00 | ${ }_{\text {8200.431 }}$ |  | s105\%226 | \$305, 657 | \% | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ |  | ${ }_{\text {S91,697 }}$ | \$91,697 |
| P6102 * | 920 | 3,95 | 18 |  | S144.00 | 544,733 |  | 523,4010 | \$697,743 | \% | $14 \%$ | $144^{2}$ | so | S99, 164 | \$95,164 |
| ${ }^{\text {P60, } 103}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }_{220}$ | 2,348 | 18 |  | S144,00 | \$338,065 |  | s177,484 | \$151,549 | $0 \%$ | ${ }^{15 \%}$ | 15\% | 80 | \$77,32 | \$7,332 |
| ${ }^{\text {Pr2210 * }}$ | ${ }^{220}$ | ${ }^{1,25}$ | ${ }^{18}$ |  | S144.00 | ${ }^{5277,167}$ |  | ${ }^{5144,5,513}$ | \$422,680 | \% |  |  | s0 | \$143,711 | S14,711 |
| ${ }_{\text {Pral }}^{\text {Pral }}$ * | ${ }_{20}$ | 1,009 | 18 |  | S144.00 | S145,276 |  | ${ }^{576,270}$ | \$221,546 | \% | 32\% | ${ }^{32 \%}$ | 50 | ${ }^{50,985}$ |  |
| subtoral: |  |  |  | 2018 |  | ${ }_{\text {c2,301, } 37}$ | 5\% | S1,208,517 | ¢, $5,510,454$ |  |  |  |  | s927,144 | 5927,14 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 Year |  | (\%) U | lized Ca | paity |  | Utilized Capa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Pressure } \\ \text { Plane }}}{ }$ | $\underset{\text { Length }}{\text { Fet }}$ | Diameter (Inches) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Date } \\ \text { of Const. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left.\begin{array}{c} \text { Agg. Unit } \\ \text { ( } \mathrm{Cost} \mathrm{Ft} \end{array}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Debt } \\ \text { Sevic } \\ \text { Senverst } \\ \text { Inate } \\ \text { Rate } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Top orear } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Cost (s) } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | 2012 | 2022 | During <br> Fee Period | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \end{gathered}$ |
| RIDGE 20" \& 24" WATER LINES |  |  |  | 2019 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 33,3.39 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5\% |  | \$508,380 <br> \$158,449 $\$ 93,899$ $\$ 760,728$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ \substack{7 \\ 13 \% \\ 3_{0} \\ \hline} \end{gathered}$ | \% | S0 ${ }_{\text {so }}^{\text {so }}$ |  |  |
| From Wilmeth Rd. to | ${ }^{10}$ Future Bloon | mmale Rd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{850}$ | ${ }^{3,003}$ | ${ }^{24}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {P4008 }}$ * | ${ }^{850}$ | 1,732 | ${ }^{20}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Pr P4109 * }}$ | ${ }_{850}$ | 555 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | TO OLD DANVILLE SYSTEM) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & s 0 \\ & s 0 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{1,71 \\ s .1,193 \\ \text { sha44}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| RIDGE 16" WATER LINES (LOOP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} \text { From FM } & 1461 \text { to C.R } \\ 1 & \text { P6134 * } \end{array}\right.$ | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{\text {2,078 }}$ | ${ }_{16}^{16}$ |  | \$18.00 | \$33,401 |  | S19,635 | 857,036 | $\%$ | 3\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| P6135 * | 920 | 3,084 | 16 |  | \$18.00 | S55,508 |  | S29,142 | \$88,650 | $\%$ | 2\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| total: |  | 5.162 |  | 2019 |  | ${ }_{\text {S22,909 }}$ | 5\% | 548,77 | 686 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAKE FOREST 30" WATER LINE |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S112.2000 } \\ & \hline 12000 \\ & \hline 1262020 \end{aligned}$ |  | 5\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 572,407 \\ & \$ 439,740 \\ & \$ 376,001 \end{aligned}$$\$ 1,388,148$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 150 \\ & \left.\begin{array}{l} 150 \\ 150 \\ 15 \% \end{array} \right\rvert\, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15^{2}{ }^{2} 5^{2} \\ & 15^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & s_{0} 0 \\ & s_{0} \\ & s_{0} \\ & s_{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 85,861 \\ \$ 65,961 \\ \$ 56,400 \\ \mathbf{\$ 2 0 8 , 2 2 2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 85,861 \\ \$ 65,961 \\ \$ 56,400 \\ \mathbf{\$ 2 0 8 , 2 2 2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| $\mid$ | futre | moughar | reat CR. 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Pr }}^{\substack{\text { P4022 } \\ \text { P4* }}}$ | ( | $\underset{\substack{2,317 \\ 1,780}}{ }$ | ${ }^{30}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {P4 } 4027 \text { * }}$ | cos |  | 30 <br> 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtalal |  | 5.19 |  | 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLOOMDALE 16" WATER LINE - 850 PHASE 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5\% | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 14,500 \\ & \$ 17,755 \\ & \mathbf{\$ 3 2 , 2 5 5} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} x_{0} \\ x_{0} \end{gathered}$ | $12 \%$ | ${ }^{122} 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,054 \\ & \$ 4,642 \\ & \mathbf{\$ 9 , 6 9 6} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Futur R Ride R | Rd.to future | dridg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ¢ | 1,534 | ${ }^{16}$ |  | S18.00 | 527,619 |  |  | \$42,199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Subtatal }}^{\text {Pata }}$ |  | (1,879 |  | 2019 | \$18.00 |  |  |  | cosis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLOOMDALE 16" WATER LINE - 850 PHASE 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5\% |  |  | $\begin{gathered} x_{0} \\ x_{0} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40^{\circ} \% \\ \hline 609 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4100_{5}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Futur Ridge R | kd. to Late Fors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }^{850}$ | 3,050 | 16 |  | \$18.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (2, $\begin{aligned} & 2,266 \\ & 5,86\end{aligned}$ | 16 | 2019 | \$18.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLOOMDALE 794 PUMP STATION 54" DISCHARGE LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{\infty}$ | 20\% | 20\% | so $\begin{aligned} & \text { so } \\ & \text { so } \\ & \text { so }\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 248,751 \\ \$ 844,094 \\ \mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 9 2 , 8 4 5} \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  | tale Pump Satit | (ion 10 Blomd | dale Rd. \& Eas | stos.f. 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 794 794 |  | ${ }_{54}^{54}$ |  | S56400 |  |  | ¢ 5428.179 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | , |  | 2020 |  | cis, | 5\% | stionesf | ¢5,93,167 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


TABLE NO. 13
Proposed Impact Fee Water Lines

|  |  |  |  |  | Avg. Unit Cost (\$/Ft.) | Total Capital Cost (\$) | Debt Service Interest Rate \% | 20 Year Debt Service Utilizing Simple Interest |  | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (\$) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pipe Number | Pressure Plane | Length (Ft.) | Diameter (Inches) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Date } \\ \text { of Const. } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 | 2022 | During Fee Period | 2012 | 2022 | During Fee Period |
| F.M. 2933 30" WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Woodlawn Rd. to U.S. 380 along a Future Thoroughfare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2047 * | 794 | 2,618 | 30 |  | \$162.00 | \$424,165 |  | \$222,687 | \$646,852 | 0\% | 15\% | 15\% | \$0 | \$97,028 | \$97,028 |
| P2048 * | 794 | 1,077 | 30 |  | \$162.00 | \$174,466 |  | \$91,595 | \$266,061 | 0\% | 15\% | 15\% | \$0 | \$39,909 | \$39,909 |
| P2049 * | 794 | 4,740 | 30 |  | \$162.00 | \$767,875 |  | \$403,134 | \$1,171,009 | 0\% | 17\% | 17\% | \$0 | \$199,072 | \$199,072 |
| P2050 * | 794 | 1,601 | 30 |  | \$162.00 | \$259,373 |  | \$136,171 | \$395,544 | 0\% | 19\% | 19\% | \$0 | \$75,153 | \$75,153 |
| Subtotal: |  | 10,036 |  | 2022 |  | \$1,625,879 | 5\% | \$853,587 | \$2,479,466 |  |  |  | s0 | \$411,162 | \$411,162 |
| MCINTYRE / WOODLAWN 36" WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Southern Pacific Railroad to F.M. 2933 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2020 * | 794 | 4,045 | 36 |  | \$276.00 | \$1,116,362 |  | \$586,090 | \$1,702,452 | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | \$0 | \$119,172 | \$119,172 |
| P2021 * | 794 | 1,536 | 36 |  | \$276.00 | \$424,063 |  | \$222,633 | \$646,696 | 0\% | 7\% | 7\% | \$0 | \$45,269 | \$45,269 |
| Subtotal: |  | 5,581 |  | 2022 |  | \$1,540,425 | 5\% | \$808,723 | \$2,349,148 |  |  |  | \$0 | \$164,441 | \$164,441 |
| U.S. 380 EAST WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Airport Blvd. to C.R. 407 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2075* | 794 | 6,215 | 12 |  | \$114.00 | \$708,486 |  | \$371,955 | \$1,080,441 | 0\% | 53\% | 53\% | \$0 | \$572,634 | \$572,634 |
| P2076 * | 794 | 7,013 | 24 |  | \$111.00 | \$778,411 |  | \$408,666 | \$1,187,077 | 0\% | 8\% | 8\% | \$0 | \$94,966 | \$94,966 |
| P2077 * | 794 | 3,354 | 24 |  | \$111.00 | \$372,262 |  | \$195,437 | \$567,699 | 0\% | 9\% | 9\% | \$0 | \$51,093 | \$51,093 |
| Subtotal: |  | 16,581 |  | 2022 |  | \$1,859,159 | 5\% | \$976,058 | \$2,835,217 |  |  |  | s0 | \$718,693 | \$718,693 |
| FUTURE NORTH / SOUTH THOROUGHFARE 16" WATER LINE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From U.S. 380 (East of Intersection of U.S. 380 and Airport Blvd.) South to Enloe Rd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P2079 * | 794 | 6,404 | 16 |  | \$18.00 | \$115,268 |  | \$60,516 | \$175,784 | 0\% | 19\% | 19\% | \$0 | \$33,399 | \$33,399 |
| P2080 * | 794 | 2,619 | 16 |  | \$18.00 | \$47,147 |  | \$24,752 | \$71,899 | 0\% | 20\% | 20\% | \$0 | \$14,380 | \$14,380 |
| Subtotal: |  | 9,023 |  | 2022 |  | \$162,415 | 5\% | \$85,268 | \$247,683 |  |  |  | \$0 | \$47,779 | \$47,779 |
| PROPOSED TOTAL: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 253,251 |  |  |  | \$45,867,348 |  | \$24,080,360 | \$69,947,708 |  |  |  | \$0 | \$21,785,316 | \$21,785,316 |

## E. WASTEWATER COLLECTION SYSTEM

Computer models for the years 2012, 2022 and Buildout were prepared by Birkhoff, Hendricks \& Carter. The models were developed and peak flows calculated from the residential population and non-residential land use projections provided by the City of McKinney's Planning Department. Computer models were run to determine peak wet weather flow to insure proper sizing of the collection system.

## 1. Collection Lines

The natural creeks, whose basins will collect wastewater through the installed system of collection lines that flow into the geographic area serviced by the NTMWD.

The wastewater collection system analysis covered all of the drainage basins within the Service Area planning boundary. Each collection system was analyzed for line sizes 12 -inches in diameter and larger. Eliminating line sizes smaller than 12 -inches in diameter from the study leaves only the interceptor and trunk lines included in the study. The wastewater project cost includes necessary appurtenances (manholes, lift stations, aerial crossings and the like), purchase of easements, utility relocation, pavement removal and replacement, and engineering costs. For existing Impact Fee projects, actual costs were utilized where known. Future project cost estimates were based on 2012 average unit cost per linear foot and includes engineering, easements, and construction cost.

All eligible wastewater collection line projects in the Service Area planning boundary were included in the impact fee analysis. Eligible existing and proposed wastewater facilities are shown on Exhibit 2.

## 2. Treatment

The North Texas Municipal Water District (NTMWD) provides the City of McKinney with a significant portion of its wastewater collection, and transportation. NTMWD also owns and operates the Wilson Creek Treatment Plant and provides all of McKinney's wastewater treatment. McKinney pays NTMWD for the cost of this service according to the City's present contribution of wastewater flows in each of the regional facilities in any given year.

This Impact Fee study excludes the cost of NTMWD regional collection and transportation and facilities located within the City's Service Area planning boundary that were paid for by NTMWD. Existing treatment plant and future treatment plant expansion costs of NTMWD were specifically excluded from this Impact Fee analysis.

## 3. Wastewater System Capital Improvement Projects for Impact Fees

The 10-year Wastewater System Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees was developed by Birkhoff, Hendricks \& Carter, LLP. Exhibit 2 shows the recommended system improvements and Table No. 14 itemizes each project and the project cost. These recommended improvements form the basis for the Wastewater System Impact Fee Calculation.

The capital improvement plan for impact fees provides for system improvements within the defined Service Area Planning Boundary.


Table No. 14

## 10-Year Wastewater System Capital Improvement Plan for Impact Fees

PROPOSED WASTEWATER LINES

|  | 1=City Participation in Cost Oversize 2=City Initiated and Funded |  |  | Opinion of Construction Cost (1) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Debt } \\ \text { Service (2) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Total <br> Project Cost |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | Project | Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 1 | Westerra Stonebridge - Sanitary Sewer Trunk Line Line "H-3" | 15-24" | \$ | 628,692 | \$ | 330,063 | \$ | 958,755 |
| 2013 | 1 | Trinity Falls Off-site Wastewater Line | 36" | \$ | 2,503,778 | \$ | 1,314,482 | \$ | 3,818,260 |
| 2014 | 1 | Clemons Creek Trunk Sewer | 21"-27" | \$ | 834,039 | \$ | 437,871 | \$ | 1,271,910 |
| 2016 | 1 | Honey Creek Trunk Sewer | 15"-36" | \$ | 1,367,493 | \$ | 717,933 | \$ | 2,085,426 |
| 2017 | 1 | NTMWD Prosper / McKinney Parallel Interceptor | 42"-48" | \$ | 1,799,107 | \$ | 944,532 | \$ | 2,743,639 |
| 2018 | 1 | Big Branch Trunk Sewer | 21"-27" | \$ | 468,264 | \$ | 245,839 | \$ | 714,103 |
| 2018 | 1 | Upper East Fork Trunk Sewer | 15"-30" | \$ | 855,365 | \$ | 449,066 | \$ | 1,304,431 |
| 2020 | 1 | Franklin Branch Trunk Sewer | 15"-18" | \$ | 417,301 | \$ | 219,083 | \$ | 636,384 |
| 2022 | 2 | Stonebridge Lift Station No. 1 Abandonment Sanitary Sewer | $24 "$ | \$ | 1,022,400 | \$ | 536,760 | \$ | 1,559,160 |
| 2022 | 1 | Stover Creek Trunk Sewer | 24"-27" | \$ | 1,377,601 | \$ | 723,241 | \$ | 2,100,842 |
| 2022 | 1 | Upper Wilson Creek Trunk Sewer | 15 " | \$ | 157,933 | \$ | 82,915 | \$ | 240,848 |
|  |  | Subtotal: Proposed WastewaterLines |  | \$ | 11,431,973 |  | 6,001,785 |  | 7,433,758 |

PROPOSED WASTEWATER FACILITIES


## PLANNING EXPENSES

| Year | Project |  | Opinion of Cost <br> (1)(b) | Debt <br> Service (2) | Total <br> Project Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | Water System Master Plan \& Impact Fee Analysis |  | $\$$ | 345,935 | $\$$ |
|  | Subtotal: Planning Expenses |  | $\$$ | $\mathbf{3 4 5 , 9 3 5}$ | $\$$ |

## 4. Utilized Capacity

Utilized capacity for the wastewater collection system was calculated based on land use assumptions provided by the City of McKinney. The population and non-residential growth in each wastewater drainage basin was determined utilizing the City's growth projections. These growth rates were utilized to calculate 2012, 2022 and buildout peak design flows.

The percent-utilized capacity was calculated for the design flow of each study year based on the buildout capacity. The utilized capacity during the Impact Fee period is the difference between the year 2012 capacity and the year 2022 capacity. Table No. 15 below summarizes the project cost and utilized cost over the impact fee period of 2012-2022. The utilized capacity for each eligible existing and proposed wastewater collection line is presented in detail in the Impact Fee Capacity Calculation Table Nos. 16 and 17. Table No. 18 summarizes the utilized capacity of lift stations eligible for impact fee recovery.

## TABLE NO. 15

Summary of Eligible Capital Cost and Utilized Capacity Cost

| Wastewater System Facility | $\begin{array}{c}\text { 20-Year } \\ \text { Project Cost }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Utilized Capacity (\$) } \\ \text { in the CRP Period }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Existing Wastewater Collection Line | $\$ 20,302,674$ | $\$ 2,133,385$ |
| Proposed Wastewater Collection Line | $\$ 17,433,758$ | $\$ 7,097,312$ |
| Proposed Wastewater Facilities | $\$ 1,106,802$ | $\$ 606,116$ |
| Planning Expenses | $\$ 345,935$ | $\$ 345,935$ |
|  | $\mathbf{T o t a l}:$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 9 , 1 8 9 , 1 6 9}$ |$] \$ \mathbf{1 0 , 1 8 2 , 7 4 8}$.

TABLE NO. 16

## Existing Impact Fee Wastewater Lines

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { (Ft.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { Diameter }}{\text { (Inches) }}$ | Date of Const. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ / \mathbf{F t} .) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Debt Service Interest Rate \% | Debt Service Utilizing Simple | Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$) | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (S) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 | 2022 | During Fee Period | 2012 | 2022 | During Fee Period |
| 27" Sewer Line Along Wilson Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North of Virgini Parkway (Wilson Creek Main Interceptor) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10227 | ${ }^{614}$ | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$31,402 |  | \$16,486 | \$47,888 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$47,888 | \$47,888 | so |
| 10228 | 344 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$17,614 |  | \$9,247 | \$26,861 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$26,861 | \$26,861 | so |
| 10229 | 290 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$14,837 |  | \$7,789 | \$22,626 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$22,626 | \$22,626 | so |
| 10230 | 126 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$6,434 |  | \$3,378 | \$9,812 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$9,812 | \$9,812 | so |
| 10231 | 144 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$7,370 |  | \$3,869 | \$11,239 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$11,239 | \$11,239 | so |
| 10232 | 496 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$25,367 |  | \$13,318 | \$38,685 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$38,685 | \$38,685 | so |
| 10233 | 500 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$25,572 |  | \$13,425 | \$38,997 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$38,997 | \$38,997 | so |
| 10234 | 411 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$21,030 |  | \$11,041 | \$32,071 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$32,071 | \$32,071 | s0 |
| 10235 | 182 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$9,298 |  | \$4,881 | \$14,179 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$14,179 | \$14,179 | so |
| 10236 | 454 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$23,235 |  | \$12,198 | \$35,433 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$35,433 | \$35,433 | so |
| 10237 | 501 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$25,628 |  | \$13,455 | \$39,083 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$39,083 | \$39,083 | so |
| 10238 | 499 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$25,516 |  | \$13,396 | \$38,912 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$38,912 | \$38,912 | so |
| 10239 | 411 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$21,000 |  | \$11,025 | \$32,025 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$32,025 | \$32,025 | so |
| 10240 | 506 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$25,853 |  | \$13,573 | \$39,426 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$39,426 | \$39,426 | so |
| 10241 | 300 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$15,328 |  | \$8,047 | \$23,375 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$23,375 | \$23,375 | so |
| 10242 | 273 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$13,978 |  | \$7,338 | \$21,316 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$21,316 | \$21,316 | so |
| 10243 | 655 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$33,484 |  | \$17,579 | \$51,063 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$51,063 | \$51,063 | so |
| 10244 | 69.3 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$3,544 |  | \$1,861 | \$5,405 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$5,405 | \$5,405 | so |
| 10245 | 465 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$23,802 |  | \$12,496 | \$36,298 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$36,298 | \$36,298 | so |
| 10246 | 147 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$7,498 |  | \$3,936 | \$11,434 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$11,434 | \$11,434 | so |
| 10247 | 281 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$14,377 |  | \$7,548 | \$21,925 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$21,925 | \$21,925 | so |
| 10248 | 357 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$18,243 |  | \$9,578 | \$27,821 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$27,821 | \$27,821 | so |
| 10249 | 278 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$14,223 |  | \$7,467 | \$21,690 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$21,690 | \$21,690 | so |
| 10250 | 432 | 27 |  | \$51.14 | \$22,069 |  | \$11,586 | \$33,655 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$33,655 | \$33,655 | so |
| Subtotal: | 8,734 |  | 1987 |  | \$446,700 | 5\% | \$234,517 | \$681,219 |  |  |  | \$681,219 | \$6881,219 | s0 |
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## Existing Impact Fee Wastewater Lines

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { (Ft.) } \end{gathered}$ | Diameter (Inches) | Date of Const. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ \text { (\$/Ft.) } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Debt } \\ \text { Service } \\ \text { Interest } \\ \text { Rate \% } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Debt Utilizing Simple | Total Project Cost (\$) | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (\$) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 | 2022 | During <br> Fee <br> Period | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| West McKinney 24" Outfall Sanitary Sewer Main <br> Along Wilson Creek to Wastewater Treatment Plant (Wilson Creek Main Interceptor) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10065 | 528 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$26,339 |  | \$13,828 | \$40,167 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$40,167 | \$40,167 | so |
| 10066 | 713 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$35,532 |  | \$18,654 | \$54,18 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$54,186 | \$54,186 | so |
| 10067 | 671 | 24 |  | \$49.8 | \$33,458 |  | \$17,565 | \$51,023 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$51,023 | \$51,023 | so |
| 10068 | 44 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$37,113 |  | \$19,48 | \$56,597 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$56,597 | \$56,597 | so |
| 10069 | 631 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$31,434 |  | \$16,503 | \$47,937 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$47,937 | \$47,937 | so |
| 10070 | 727 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$36,220 |  | \$19,016 | \$55,236 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$55,236 | \$55,236 | so |
| 10071 | 688 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$34,311 |  | \$18,013 | \$52,324 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$52,324 | \$52,324 | so |
| 10072 | 510 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$25,431 |  | \$13,351 | \$38,782 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$38,782 | \$38,782 | so |
| 10073 | 537 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$26,768 |  | \$14,053 | \$40,821 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$40,821 | \$40,821 | so |
| 10074 | 98 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$4,886 |  | \$2,565 | \$7,451 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$7,451 | \$7,451 | so |
| 10075 | 113 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$5,624 |  | \$2,953 | \$8,577 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$8,577 | \$8,577 | so |
| 10076 | 163 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$8,107 |  | \$4,256 | \$12,363 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$12,363 | \$12,363 | so |
| 10077 | 445 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$22,186 |  | \$11,648 | \$33,834 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$33,834 | \$33,834 | so |
| 10078 | 275 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$13,705 |  | \$7,195 | \$20,900 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$20,900 | \$20,900 | so |
| 10079 | 463 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$23,068 |  | \$12,111 | \$35,179 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$35,179 | \$35,179 | so |
| 10080 | 155 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$7,713 |  | \$4,049 | \$11,762 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$11,762 | \$11,762 | so |
| 10081 | 657 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$32,745 |  | \$17,191 | \$49,936 | 83\% | 100\% | 17\% | \$41,544 | \$49,936 | \$8,392 |
| 10082 | 596 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$29,689 |  | \$15,587 | \$45,276 | $83 \%$ | 100\% | 17\% | \$37,669 | \$45,276 | \$7,607 |
| 10083 | 435 | 24 |  | \$49.86 | \$21,672 |  | \$11,378 | \$33,050 | 47\% | 69\% | 22\% | \$15,468 | \$22,744 | \$7,276 |
| Subtotal: | 9,146 |  | 1982 |  | \$456,000 | 5\% | \$239,400 | \$695,401 |  |  |  | \$661,820 | \$685,095 | \$23,275 |
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| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | Length <br> (Ft.) | Diameter (Inches) | Date of Const. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ / \text { Ft. }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Debt } \\ & \text { Service } \\ & \text { Interest } \\ & \text { Rate \% } \end{aligned}$ | Debt Utilizing Simple | Total 20 Year ProjectCost (\$) | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (8) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 | 2022 | During Fee <br> Period | 2012 | 2022 | During Fee Period |
| 18" Diversion Sewer Line <br> From Throckmorton to 27" NTMWD Sewer Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22014 | 777 | 18 |  | S64.41 | \$50,067 |  | \$26,285 | \$76,352 | 86\% | 94\% | 8\% | \$65,701 | \$71,511 | 55,810 |
| 22015 | 346 | 18 |  | \$64.41 | \$22,312 |  | \$11,714 | \$34,026 | 85\% | 93\% | $8 \%$ | \$28,929 | \$31,786 | \$2,857 |
| 22016 | 496 | 18 |  | \$64.4 | \$31,961 |  | \$16,780 | \$48,741 | 85\% | 93\% | 9\% | \$41,262 | \$45,500 | \$4,238 |
| 22017 | 336 | 18 |  | S64.41 | \$21,649 |  | \$11,366 | \$33,015 | $84 \%$ | 93\% | 9\% | \$27, | \$30,784 | \$2,996 |
| 22018 | 770 | 18 |  | S64.41 | \$49,603 |  | \$26,042 | \$75,645 | $84 \%$ | 93\% | 9\% | \$63,540 | \$70,490 | ,950 |
| 22019 | 433 | 18 |  | S64.41 | \$27,897 |  | \$14,646 | \$42,543 | $81 \%$ | 93\% | 11\% | \$34,625 | \$39,510 | \$4,885 |
| 22020 | 261 | 18 |  | S64.41 | \$16,811 |  | 88,826 | \$25,637 | $81 \%$ | 93\% | 11\% | \$20,865 | \$23,809 | \$2,944 |
| Subtotal: | 3,420 |  | 1995 |  | \$220,300 | 5\% | \$115,659 | \$335,959 |  |  |  | \$282,711 | \$313,390 | \$30,680 |
| Provine Farms Sewer Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From Hardin Boulevard to Wilson Creek Intereptor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15000 | 430 | 15 |  | \$48.87 | \$21,012 |  | \$11,031 | \$32,043 | 77\% | 82\% | 5\% | \$24,807 | \$26,337 | \$1,530 |
| 15001 | 86 | 15 |  | \$48.87 | \$4,213 |  | \$2,212 | S6,425 | 77\% | 82\% | 5\% | \$4,974 | \$5,281 | \$307 |
| 15002 | 521 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$25,474 |  | \$13,374 | \$38,848 | 77\% | 82\% | 5\% | \$30,076 | \$31,931 | \$1,855 |
| 15003 | 329 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$16,077 |  | \$8,440 | \$24,517 | 77\% | 82\% | 5\% | \$18,981 | \$20,151 | \$1,170 |
| 15004 | 499 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$24,376 |  | \$12,797 | \$37,173 | 77\% | 82\% | 5\% | \$28,779 | \$30,554 | \$1,775 |
| 15005 | 149 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$7,301 |  | \$3,833 | \$11,134 | 79\% | $83 \%$ | 4\% | 58,740 | \$9,197 | \$457 |
| 15006 | 480 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$23,460 |  | \$12,317 | \$35,777 | 79\% | 83\% | 4\% | \$28,085 | \$29,553 | \$1,468 |
| 15007 | 150 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$7,325 |  | \$3,846 | \$11,171 | 79\% | 83\% | 4\% | 58,769 | \$9,228 | \$458 |
| 15008 | 486 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$23,770 |  | \$12,479 | \$36,249 | 79\% | 83\% | 4\% | \$28,456 | \$29,943 | \$1,487 |
| 15009 | 174 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$8,484 |  | \$4,454 | \$12,938 | 79\% | 83\% | 4\% | \$10,156 | \$10,687 | \$531 |
| 15010 | 185 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$9,029 |  | \$4,740 | \$13,769 | 79\% | 83\% | 4\% | \$10,809 | \$11,374 | \$565 |
| 15011 | 306 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$14,940 |  | \$7,844 | \$22,784 | $79 \%$ | 83\% | 4\% | \$17,886 | \$18,820 | \$935 |
| 15012 | 295 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$14,434 |  | \$7,578 | \$22,012 | 80\% | 83\% | 3\% | \$17,596 | \$18,355 | \$759 |
| 15013 | 295 | 12 |  | 548.87 | \$14,419 |  | \$7,570 | \$21,989 | $82 \%$ | 84\% | 2\% | \$17,938 | \$18,478 | \$540 |
| 15014 | 297 | 12 |  | \$48.87 | \$14,492 |  | \$7,608 | \$22,100 | $82 \%$ | 84\% | 2\% | \$18,029 | \$18,572 | \$543 |
| 15015 | 329 | 12 |  | S48.87 | \$16,096 |  | \$8,450 | \$24,546 | $84 \%$ | 85\% | 1\% | \$20,536 | \$20,830 | \$293 |
| Subtotal: | 5,011 |  | 1996 |  | \$244,900 | 5\% | \$128,573 | \$373,475 |  |  |  | \$294,617 | \$309,291 | \$14,673 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (\%) C | ilized Ca | pacity |  | tilized Capac |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { (Ft.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { (Inches) } \end{gathered}$ | Date of Const. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ / \mathbf{F t} .) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Debt Interest Rate \% | Debt Service <br> Utilizing Simple | Total Project Cost (\$) | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee } \\ \text { Period } \end{gathered}$ | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1997 Sewer Line Along Cottonwood Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50040 | 110 | 18 |  | \$69.47 | \$7,649 |  | \$4,016 | \$11,665 | 72\% | 85\% | 13\% | \$8,451 | \$9,960 | \$1,509 |
| 50041 | 735 | 18 |  | \$69.47 | \$51,068 |  | \$26,811 | \$77,879 | 82\% | 86\% | 4\% | \$63,920 | \$67,030 | \$3,110 |
| 50042 | 506 | 18 |  | \$69.47 | \$35,153 |  | \$18,455 | \$53,608 | 82\% | 86\% | 4\% | \$44,002 | \$46,126 | \$2,124 |
| 50043 | 399 | 18 |  | \$69.47 | \$27,684 |  | \$14,534 | \$42,218 | 82\% | 86\% | 4\% | \$34,653 | \$36,326 | \$1,673 |
| 50044 | 716 | 18 |  | \$69.47 | \$49,742 |  | \$26,115 | \$75,857 | 82\% | 86\% | 4\% | \$62,492 | \$65,548 | \$3,056 |
| 50045 | 506 | 18 |  | \$69.47 | \$35,139 |  | \$18,448 | \$53,587 | 82\% | 86\% | 4\% | \$44,010 | \$46,288 | \$2,278 |
| 50046 | 742 | 18 |  | \$69.47 | \$51,562 |  | \$27,070 | \$78,632 | 82\% | 86\% | 4\% | \$64,579 | \$67,921 | \$3,342 |
| 50047 | 789 | 18 |  | \$69.47 | \$54,841 |  | \$28,792 | \$83,633 | 82\% | 86\% | 4\% | \$68,687 | \$72,241 | \$3,555 |
| 50048 | 118 | 18 |  | \$69.47 | \$8,163 |  | \$4,286 | \$12,449 | 83\% | 87\% | 4\% | \$10,380 | \$10,861 | \$481 |
| Subtotal: | 4,621 |  | 1997 |  | \$321,000 | 5\% | \$168,527 | \$489,528 |  |  |  | \$401,174 | \$422,301 | \$21,128 |
| Wilson Creek Interceptor Phase 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From west of S.H. 75 to 1,600 feet noth of Virginia Parkway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10208 | 528 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$64,529 |  | \$33,878 | \$98,407 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$98,407 | \$98,407 | so |
| 10209 | 713 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$87,052 |  | \$45,702 | \$132,754 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$132,754 | \$132,754 | so |
| 10210 | 671 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$81,971 |  | \$43,035 | \$125,006 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$125,006 | \$125,006 | so |
| 10211 | 744 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$90,924 |  | \$47,735 | \$138,659 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$138,659 | \$138,659 | so |
| 10212 | 631 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$77,012 |  | \$40,431 | \$117,443 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$117,443 | \$117,443 | so |
| 10213 | 727 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$88,738 |  | \$46,587 | \$135,325 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$135,325 | \$135,325 | so |
| 10214 | 688 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$84,060 |  | \$44,131 | \$128,191 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$128,191 | \$128,191 | so |
| 10215 | 510 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$62,306 |  | \$32,711 | \$95,017 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$95,017 | \$95,017 | so |
| 10216 | 537 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$65,579 |  | \$34,429 | \$100,008 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$100,008 | \$100,008 | so |
| 10217 | 98 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$11,970 |  | \$6,284 | \$18,254 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$18,254 | \$18,254 | so |
| 10218 | 113 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$13,778 |  | \$7,233 | \$21,011 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$21,011 | \$21,011 | so |
| 10219 | 163 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$19,861 |  | \$10,427 | \$30,288 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$30,288 | \$30,288 | so |
| 10220 | 445 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$54,354 |  | \$28,536 | \$82,890 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$82,890 | \$82,890 | so |
| 10221 | 275 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$33,577 |  | \$17,628 | \$51,205 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$51,205 | \$51,205 | so |
| 10222 | 463 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$56,516 |  | \$29,671 | \$86,187 | 73\% | 100\% | 27\% | \$62,909 | \$86,187 | \$23,278 |
| 10223 | 155 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$18,896 |  | \$9,920 | \$28,816 | $75 \%$ | 100\% | 25\% | \$21,472 | \$28,816 | \$7,344 |
| 10224 | 657 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$80,224 |  | \$42,118 | \$122,342 | 47\% | 100\% | 53\% | \$57,694 | \$122,342 | \$64,648 |
| 10225 | 596 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$72,737 |  | \$38,187 | \$110,924 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$110,924 | \$110,924 | so |
| 10226 | 402 | 36 |  | \$122.14 | \$49,090 |  | \$25,772 | \$74,862 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | \$74,862 | \$74,862 | so |
| Subtotal: | 9,114 |  | 2000 |  | \$1,113,172 | 5\% | \$584,415 | \$1,697,589 |  |  |  | \$1,602,319 | \$1,697,589 | \$95,270 |
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| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { (Ft.) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { (Inches) } \end{gathered}$ | Date of Const. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ / \mathrm{Ft}) \end{array}$ | TotalCapitalCost $(\$)$ | Debt Service $\qquad$ InterestRate \% | Debt Utilizing Simple | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { 20 Year } \\ & \text { Project } \\ & \text { Cost (\$) } \end{aligned}$ | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (8) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee } \\ \text { Period } \end{gathered}$ | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \end{gathered}$ |
| Herndon Branch Sewer Line From Hills Creek Drive to Wilson Creek Interceptor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15049 | 382 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$23,537 |  | \$12,357 | \$35,894 | 65\% | 72\% | 7\% | \$23,293 | \$25,672 | \$2,380 |
| 15050 | 551 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$33,968 |  | \$17,833 | \$51,801 | 65\% | 72\% | 7\% | \$33,615 | \$37,049 | \$3,434 |
| 15051 | 343 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$21,134 |  | \$11,095 | \$32,229 | 65\% | 72\% | 7\% | \$20,914 | \$23,051 | \$2,137 |
| 15052 | 162 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$9,975 |  | \$5,237 | \$15,212 | 65\% | 72\% | 7\% | \$9,871 | \$10,880 | \$1,008 |
| 15053 | 326 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$20,062 |  | \$10,533 | \$30,595 | 65\% | 72\% | 7\% | \$19,854 | \$21,882 | \$2,028 |
| 15054 | 95 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$5,872 |  | \$3,083 | \$8,955 | 65\% | 72\% | 7\% | \$5,811 | \$6,405 | \$594 |
| 15055 | 324 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$19,969 |  | \$10,484 | \$30,453 | 68\% | 74\% | 5\% | \$20,823 | \$22,479 | \$1,656 |
| 15056 | 371 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$22,828 |  | \$11,985 | \$34,813 | 68\% | 74\% | 5\% | \$23,805 | \$25,698 | \$1,893 |
| 15057 | 407 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$25,071 |  | \$13,162 | \$38,233 | 68\% | 74\% | 5\% | \$26,143 | \$28,222 | \$2,079 |
| 15058 | 621 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$38,262 |  | \$20,088 | \$58,350 | 68\% | 74\% | 5\% | \$39,899 | \$43,072 | \$3,173 |
| 15059 | 60 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$3,709 |  | \$1,947 | \$5,656 | 68\% | 74\% | 5\% | \$3,867 | \$4,175 | \$308 |
| 15060 | 165 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$10,148 |  | \$5,328 | \$15,476 | 68\% | 74\% | 5\% | \$10,582 | \$11,424 | \$842 |
| 15061 | 574 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$35,373 |  | \$18,571 | \$53,944 | 68\% | 74\% | 5\% | \$36,849 | \$39,780 | \$2,931 |
| 15062 | 620 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$38,201 |  | \$20,056 | \$58,257 | $72 \%$ | 76\% | 4\% | \$41,981 | \$44,371 | \$2,390 |
| 15063 | 309 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$19,020 |  | \$9,986 | \$29,006 | $72 \%$ | 76\% | $4 \%$ | \$20,952 | \$22,156 | \$1,203 |
| 15064 | 239 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$14,738 |  | \$7,737 | \$22,475 | $72 \%$ | 76\% | 4\% | \$16,235 | \$17,167 | \$932 |
| 15065 | 249 | 12 |  | s61.61 | \$15,367 |  | \$8,068 | \$23,435 | 71\% | 75\% | 3\% | \$16,705 | \$17,464 | \$759 |
| 15066 | 223 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$13,752 |  | \$7,220 | \$20,972 | $71 \%$ | 75\% | 3\% | \$14,949 | \$15,629 | \$680 |
| 15067 | 64 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$3,925 |  | \$2,061 | \$5,986 | $72 \%$ | 75\% | 3\% | \$4,285 | \$4,471 | \$186 |
| 15068 | 234 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$14,393 |  | \$7,556 | \$21,949 | $73 \%$ | 77\% | 4\% | \$15,915 | \$16,837 | \$922 |
| 15069 | 479 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$29,513 |  | \$15,494 | \$45,007 | $72 \%$ | 76\% | 4\% | \$32,511 | \$34,377 | \$1,867 |
| 15070 | 267 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$16,457 |  | \$8,640 | \$25,097 | $72 \%$ | 75\% | 3\% | \$18,165 | \$18,917 | \$752 |
| 15071 | 408 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$25,114 |  | \$13,185 | \$38,299 | $72 \%$ | 75\% | 3\% | \$27,721 | \$28,868 | \$1,147 |
| 15072 | 188 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$11,559 |  | \$6,068 | \$17,627 | $72 \%$ | 75\% | 3\% | \$12,758 | \$13,257 | \$499 |
| 15073 | 530 | 12 |  | \$61.61 | \$32,655 |  | \$17,144 | \$49,799 | $72 \%$ | 75\% | 3\% | \$36,044 | \$37,453 | \$1,409 |
| Subtotal: | 8,190 |  | 1998 |  | \$504,600 | 5\% | \$264,918 | \$769,520 |  |  |  | \$533,547 | \$570,756 | \$37,209 |
| Jeans Creek Relief Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Along S.H 75 to Wilson Creek Interceptor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14186A | 371 | 18 |  | 963.80 | \$23,643 |  | \$12,413 | \$36,056 | 74\% | 75\% | 1\%\| | \$26,688 | \$27,160 | \$472 |
| 14187 | 98 | 18 |  | \$63.80 | \$6,227 |  | \$3,269 | \$9,496 | 73\% | 75\% | 2\% | \$6,932 | \$7,084 | \$152 |
| 14189 | 564 | 18 |  | \$63.80 | \$35,975 |  | \$18,887 | \$54,862 | 73\% | 75\% | 2\% | \$40,048 | \$40,927 | \$879 |
| 14191 | 371 | 18 |  | \$63.80 | \$23,656 |  | \$12,420 | \$36,076 | 73\% | 75\% | 2\% | \$26,335 | \$26,913 | \$578 |
| Subtota: | 1,403 |  | 1999 |  | \$89,500 | 5\% | \$46,989 | \$136,490 |  |  |  | \$100,003 | \$102,084 | \$2,081 |

TABLE NO. 16

## Existing Impact Fee Wastewater Lines

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \end{gathered}$Number |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { (Ft.) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { (Inches) } \end{gathered}$ | Date of Const. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ / \mathbf{F t .}) \end{gathered}$ | TotalCapitalCost ( | Debt Service Interest Rate \% | Debt Service Utilizing Simple | Total <br> 20 Year <br> Project <br> Cost (\$) | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (\$) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2022 | During <br> Fee <br> Period | 2012 | 2022 | During Fee Period |
| Eagles Nest Sewer Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003-Phase 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 30310 |  | 1,134 | 18 |  | \$5.25 | \$5,954 |  | \$3,126 | \$9,080 | 89\% | 92\% | 3\% | \$8,075 | \$8,392 | \$317 |
| 1 | 30311 | 122 | 18 |  | \$5.25 | \$641 |  | \$337 | \$978 | 89\% | 92\% | 3\% | \$869 | 5903 | \$34 |
| 1 | 30312 | 196 | 18 |  | \$5.25 | \$1,030 |  | \$541 | \$1,571 | 89\% | 92\% | 4\% | \$1,396 | \$1,452 | \$56 |
| 1 | 30313 | 30 | 18 |  | \$5.25 | \$158 |  | 583 | \$241 | 89\% | 92\% | 4\% | \$214 | \$222 | \$8 |
| 1 | 30314 | 258 | 18 |  | \$5.25 | \$1,356 |  | \$712 | \$2,068 | 89\% | 92\% | 3\% | \$1,842 | \$1,905 | \$63 |
| 1 | 30315 | 280 | 18 |  | \$5.25 | \$1,470 |  | \$772 | \$2,242 | 88\% | 92\% | 3\% | \$1,980 | \$2,054 | \$74 |
| 1 | 30316 | 280 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$840 |  | \$441 | \$1,281 | 87\% | 91\% | 4\% | \$1,120 | \$1,166 | \$46 |
| 1 | 30317 | 401 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$1,202 |  | \$631 | \$1,833 | 87\% | 91\% | 4\% | \$1,603 | \$1,669 | \$66 |
| 1 | 30318 | 337 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$1,012 |  | S531 | \$1,543 | 87\% | 91\% | 4\% | \$1,349 | \$1,405 | \$55 |
| 1 | 30319 | 359 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$1,077 |  | \$565 | \$1,642 | 86\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$1,418 | \$1,483 | \$65 |
| 1 | 30320 | 343 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$1,028 |  | \$540 | \$1,568 | 86\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$1,354 | \$1,416 | \$62 |
| 1 | 30321 | 487 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$1,460 |  | \$767 | \$2,227 | 86\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$1,923 | \$2,012 | 588 |
| 1 | 30322 | 476 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$1,429 |  | \$750 | \$2,179 | 86\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$1,882 | \$1,968 | 586 |
| 1 | 30323 | 183 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$549 |  | \$288 | \$837 | 86\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$723 | \$756 | \$33 |
| 1 | 30324 | 190 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$569 |  | \$299 | \$868 | 86\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$750 | 5784 | \$34 |
| 1 | 30325 | 116 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$348 |  | \$183 | \$531 | 85\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$453 | 5476 | \$23 |
| 1 | 30326 | 27 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | 582 |  | \$43 | \$125 | 85\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$107 | \$112 | \$5 |
| 1 | 30327 | 143 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$428 |  | \$225 | \$653 | 85\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$557 | \$585 | \$28 |
| 1 | 30328 | 276 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$828 |  | \$435 | \$1,263 | 85\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$1,077 | \$1,131 | \$55 |
| 1 | 30329 | 275 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$826 |  | \$434 | \$1,260 | 85\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$1,074 | \$1,129 | \$55 |
| 1 | 30330 | 340 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$1,021 |  | \$536 | \$1,557 | 85\% | 90\% | 4\% | \$1,327 | \$1,395 | \$68 |
| 1 | 30331 | 500 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$1,500 |  | 5788 | \$2,288 | 83\% | 89\% | $6 \%$ | \$1,903 | \$2,032 | \$129 |
| 1 | 30332 | 450 | 15 |  | \$3.00 | \$1,350 |  | \$709 | \$2,059 | \% | 89\% | 6\% | \$1,71 | \$1,829 | \$116 |
|  | Subtotal: | 7,203 |  | 2003 |  | \$26,158 | 5\% | \$13,736 | \$39,894 |  |  |  | ¢34,799 | \$36,276 | \$1,566 |

TABLE NO. 16

## Existing Impact Fee Wastewater Lines

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { (Ft.) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { (Inches) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Date of } \\ \text { Const. } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ / \mathbf{F t}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Debt Service Interest Rate \% | Debt Service Utilizing Simple | Total 20 Year Projec Cost (\$) | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (8) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2022 | During <br> Fee <br> Period | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \end{gathered}$ |
| Custer West |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 30068 |  | 114 | 18 |  | ${ }^{\text {\$3.40 }}$ | \$386 |  | \$203 | \$589 | 85\% | 91\% | 7\% | \$498 | \$538 | \$40 |
| 1 | 30069 | 265 | 18 |  | \$3.40 | 5902 |  | \$474 | \$1,376 | 85\% | 91\% | 7\% | \$1,164 | \$1,257 | \$93 |
| 1 | 30070 | 265 | 18 |  | \$3.40 | \$902 |  | \$474 | \$1,376 | 84\% | 91\% | 7\% | \$1,161 | \$1,255 | \$95 |
| 1 | 30071 | 500 | 18 |  | \$3.40 | \$1,699 |  | 5892 | \$2,591 | $83 \%$ | 91\% | $8 \%$ | \$2,141 | \$2,354 | \$214 |
| 1 | 30072 | 408 | 18 |  | \$3.40 | \$1,387 |  | \$728 | \$2,15 | $82 \%$ | 91\% | 8\% | \$1,736 | \$1,915 | \$180 |
| 1 | 30073 | 311 | 18 |  | \$3.40 | \$1,057 |  | \$555 | \$1,612 | $82 \%$ | 91\% | 8\% | \$1,323 | \$1,460 | \$137 |
| 1 | 30074 | 310 | 18 |  | \$3.40 | \$1,053 |  | \$553 | \$1,606 | 82\% | 91\% | $8 \%$ | \$1,318 | \$1,454 | \$136 |
| 1 | 30075 | 531 | 18 |  | \$3.40 | \$1,805 |  | \$948 | \$2,753 | 82\% | 91\% | $8 \%$ | \$2,259 | \$2,493 | \$234 |
| 1 | 30076 | 475 | 18 |  | \$3.40 | \$1,615 |  | 5848 | \$2,463 | 62\% | 83\% | 21\% | \$1,534 | \$2,047 | \$513 |
| 1 | 30077 | 490 | 15 |  | \$1.70 | 5833 |  | \$437 | \$1,270 | $62 \%$ | $83 \%$ | 21\% | \$791 | \$1,055 | \$264 |
| 1 | 30078 | 499 | 15 |  | \$1.70 | 5848 |  | \$445 | \$1,293 | 62\% | $83 \%$ | 21\% | \$805 | \$1,074 | \$269 |
| 1 | 30079 | 159 | 15 |  | \$1.70 | \$269 |  | \$141 | \$410 | $62 \%$ | 83\% | $21 \%$ | \$255 | \$341 | \$85 |
| 1 | 30080 | 316 | 15 |  | \$1.70 | \$538 |  | \$282 | \$820 | 58\% | 81\% | 23\% | \$479 | \$667 | \$188 |
| 1 | 30081 | 360 | 15 |  | \$1.70 | \$612 |  | \$321 | \$933 | 58\% | $81 \%$ | 23\% | \$545 | \$759 | \$214 |
| 1 | 30082 | 409 | 15 |  | \$1.70 | \$695 |  | \$365 | \$1,060 | 58\% | 81\% | 23\% | \$620 | \$862 | \$243 |
| Subtotal: |  | 5,411 |  |  |  | \$14,601 | 5\% | 87,666 | \$22,267 |  |  |  | \$16,629 | \$19,531 | \$2,905 |
| Craig Ranch North |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 30209 | 635 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$131,976 |  | \$69,287 | \$201,263 | 87\% | 96\% | 9\% | \$174,802 | \$192,235 | \$17,433 |
| 1 | 30210 | 114 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$23,733 |  | \$12,460 | \$36,193 | 87\% | 96\% | 9\% | \$31,434 | \$34,569 | \$3,135 |
| 1 | 30210 | 265 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$55,120 |  | \$28,938 | \$84,058 | 87\% | 96\% | 9\% | \$73,006 | \$80,287 | \$7,281 |
| 1 | 30211 | 60 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$12,480 |  | \$6,552 | \$19,032 | 87\% | 96\% | 9\% | \$16,530 | \$18,178 | \$1,649 |
| 1 | 30212 | 161 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$33,426 |  | \$17,549 | \$50,975 | $84 \%$ | 95\% | 11\% | \$42,907 | \$48,356 | \$5,449 |
| 1 | 30213 | 474 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$98,530 |  | \$51,728 | \$150,258 | 82\% | 94\% | 12\% | \$123,090 | \$141,571 | \$18,482 |
| 1 | 30214 | 422 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$87,714 |  | \$46,050 | \$133,764 | 82\% | 94\% | 12\% | \$109,578 | \$126,031 | \$16,453 |
| 1 | 30215 | 264 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$54,912 |  | \$28,829 | \$83,741 | 80\% | 94\% | 14\% | \$67,306 | \$78,784 | \$11,479 |
| 1 | 30216 | 372 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$77,418 |  | \$40,644 | \$118,062 | 80\% | 94\% | 14\% | \$94,891 | \$111,074 | \$16,183 |
| 1 | 30217 | 265 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$55,120 |  | \$28,938 | \$84,058 | 80\% | 94\% | 14\% | \$67,561 | \$79,083 | \$11,522 |
| 1 | 30218 | 265 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$55,120 |  | \$28,938 | \$84,058 | 80\% | 94\% | 14\% | \$67,561 | \$79,083 | \$11,522 |
| 1 | 30219 | 664 | 15 |  | \$208.00 | \$138,133 |  | \$72,520 | \$210,653 | 78\% | 94\% | 16\% | \$164,139 | \$197,236 | \$33,096 |
|  | Subtotal: | 3,960 |  | 2004 |  | \$823,680 | 5\% | \$432,433 | \$1,256,15 |  |  |  | \$1,032,805 | \$1,186,487 | \$153,684 |

TABLE NO. 16

## Existing Impact Fee Wastewater Lines


TABLE NO. 16

## Existing Impact Fee Wastewater Lines

| PipeNumber |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { (Ft.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { (Inches) } \end{gathered}$ | Date of Const. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ / F t .) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Debt } \\ \text { Service } \\ \text { Interest } \\ \text { Rate \% } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Debt Service Simple UtilizingSimple |  | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (\$) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2022 | During <br> Fee <br> Period | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Harvest Bend Offsite Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 40001 |  | 125 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$3,212 |  | \$1,686 | \$4,898 | 68\% | 98\% | 31\%\| | \$3,320 | \$4,825 | \$1,504 |
| 1 | 40002 | 432 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$11,079 |  | \$5,817 | \$16,896 | 68\% | 98\% | 31\% | \$11,454 | \$16,643 | \$5,188 |
| 1 | 40003 | 330 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$8,473 |  | \$4,448 | \$12,921 | 69\% | 82\% | 13\% | \$8,927 | \$10,625 | \$1,698 |
| 1 | 40004 | 133 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$3,402 |  | \$1,786 | \$5,188 | 69\% | 82\% | 13\% | \$3,587 | \$4,266 | \$679 |
| 1 | 40005 | 272 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$6,972 |  | \$3,660 | \$10,632 | $68 \%$ | 82\% | 14\% | \$7,198 | \$8,704 | \$1,506 |
| 1 | 40006 | 446 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$11,441 |  | \$6,007 | \$17,448 | 68\% | $82 \%$ | $14 \%$ | \$11,813 | \$14,284 | \$2,471 |
| 1 | 40007 | 595 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$15,271 |  | \$8,017 | \$23,288 | 68\% | $82 \%$ | 14\% | \$15,767 | \$19,065 | \$3,298 |
| 1 | 40008 | 595 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$15,271 |  | \$8,017 | \$23,288 | 66\% | $81 \%$ | 15\% | \$15,360 | \$18,952 | \$3,592 |
| 1 | 40009 | 249 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$6,385 |  | \$3,352 | \$9,737 | $66 \%$ | $81 \%$ | 15\% | \$6,422 | \$7,924 | \$1,502 |
| 1 | 40010 | 480 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$12,318 |  | \$6,467 | \$18,785 | $66 \%$ | 81\% | 15\% | \$12,390 | \$15,288 | \$2,898 |
| 1 | 40011 | 585 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$15,007 |  | \$7,879 | \$22,886 | 66\% | $81 \%$ | 15\% | \$15,095 | \$18,625 | \$3,530 |
| 1 | 40012 | 500 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$12,826 |  | \$6,734 | \$19,560 | 68\% | 85\% | 17\% | \$13,298 | \$16,593 | \$3,295 |
| 1 | 40013 | 236 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$6,051 |  | \$3,177 | \$9,228 | 68\% | 85\% | 17\% | \$6,274 | \$7,828 | \$1,554 |
| 1 | 40014 | 396 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$10,148 |  | \$5,328 | \$15,476 | 68\% | 85\% | 17\% | \$10,522 | \$13,129 | \$2,607 |
| 1 | 140015 | 261 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$6,698 |  | \$3,516 | \$10,214 | 68\% | 85\% | 17\% | \$6,944 | \$8,665 | \$1,720 |
| 1 | 140016 | 374 | 24 |  | \$25.65 | \$9,584 |  | \$5,032 | \$14,616 | 71\% | 89\% | 19\% | \$10,336 | \$13,063 | \$2,727 |
| 1 | 140017 | 487 | 18 |  | \$25.65 | \$12,498 |  | \$6,561 | \$19,059 | 70\% | 90\% | 20\% | \$13,322 | \$17,095 | \$3,773 |
| 1 | 140018 | 487 | 18 |  | ${ }^{525.65}$ | \$12,498 |  | \$6,561 | \$19,059 | 70\% | 90\% | 20\% | \$13,322 | \$17,095 | \$3,773 |
| 1 | 140019 | 343 | 18 |  | ${ }^{\text {S25.65 }}$ | \$8,799 |  | \$4,619 | \$13,418 | 70\% | 90\% | 20\% | \$9,379 | \$12,035 | \$2,656 |
| 1 | 140020 | 295 | 18 |  | ${ }^{\text {S25.65 }}$ | \$7,573 |  | \$3,976 | \$11,549 | 69\% | 90\% | 21\% | \$7,933 | \$10,394 | \$2,461 |
| 1 | 140021 | 204 | 18 |  | \$25.65 | \$5,233 |  | \$2,747 | \$7,980 | 69\% | 90\% | 21\% | \$5,482 | \$7,182 | \$1,701 |
|  | Subtotal: | 7,82 |  | 2004 |  | \$200,740 | 5\% | \$105,387 | \$306,126 |  |  |  | S208,145 | \$262,280 | \$54,133 |

TABLE NO. 16

## Existing Impact Fee Wastewater Lines

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \text { (Ft.) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diameter } \\ \text { (Inches) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Date of Const. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ (\$ / \mathrm{Ft} .) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Debt Interest Rate \% | Debt Service Utilizing Simple | Total 20 Year Project Cost (\$) | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (S) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee } \\ \text { Period } \end{gathered}$ | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \end{gathered}$ |
| Wal-Mart Offsite 24" Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 50001 |  | 269 | 24 |  | ${ }^{534.30}$ | \$9,220 |  | \$4,841 | \$14,061 | 59\% | 71\% | 12\%\| | \$8,307 | \$9,967 | \$1,660 |
| 1 | 50002 | 468 | 24 |  | ${ }^{534.30}$ | \$16,066 |  | \$8,43 | \$24,501 | 59\% | 71\% | 12\% | \$14,474 | \$17,366 | \$2,892 |
| 1 | 50003 | 274 | 24 |  | ${ }_{\text {\$34.30 }}$ | \$9,402 |  | \$4,936 | \$14,338 | 59\% | 71\% | 12\% | \$8,470 | 0,163 | \$1,693 |
| 1 | 50004 | 291 | 24 |  | ${ }_{\text {\$34.30 }}$ | \$9,964 |  | \$5,231 | \$15,195 | 59\% | 71\% | 12\% | \$8,976 | \$10,770 | \$1,794 |
| 1 | 50005 | 230 | 24 |  | ${ }_{\text {S34.30 }}$ | \$7,889 |  | \$4,142 | \$12,031 | 59\% | 71\% | 12\% | \$7,107 | 58,528 | \$1,420 |
| 1 | 50006 | 694 | 24 |  | ${ }_{\text {\$34.30 }}$ | \$23,787 |  | \$12,488 | \$36,275 | 60\% | 73\% | 12\% | \$21,894 | \$26,358 | \$4,464 |
| 1 | 50007 | 265 | 24 |  | ${ }_{\text {\$34.30 }}$ | \$9,076 |  | \$4,765 | \$13,841 | 60\% | 73\% | 13\% | 98,305 | \$10,068 | \$1,763 |
| 1 | 50008 | 232 | 24 |  | \$34.30 | \$7,958 |  | \$4,178 | \$12,136 | 60\% | 73\% | 13\% | \$7,282 | \$8,827 | \$1,546 |
| 1 | 50009 | 566 | 24 |  | \$34.30 | \$19,400 |  | \$10,185 | \$29,585 | 60\% | 73\% | 13\% | \$17,751 | \$21,519 | \$3,768 |
| 1 | 50010 | 153 | 21 |  | \$34.30 | \$5,238 |  | \$2,750 | \$7,988 | 60\% | 73\% | 13\% | \$4,768 | \$5,824 | \$1,056 |
| Subtotal: |  | 3,440 |  | 2003 |  | \$118,000 | 5\% | \$61,951 | \$179,951 |  |  |  | \$107,334 | \$129,390 | \$22,056 |
| Creekview Estates Offsite Sanitary Sewer <br> Franklin Branch - from Wilson Creek to Franklin Branch Trunk Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 16000 | 350 | 27 |  | \$57.71 | \$20,210 |  | \$10,610 | \$30,820 | 15\% | 48\% | 33\% | \$4,526 | \$14,676 | \$10,150 |
| 1 | 16001 | 209 | 16 |  | \$57.71 | \$12,038 |  | \$6,320 | \$18,358 | 15\% | 48\% | 33\% | \$2,695 | \$8,740 | \$6,045 |
| 1 | 16002 | 443 | 27 |  | \$57.71 | \$25,571 |  | \$13,425 | \$38,996 | 15\% | 48\% | 33\% | \$5,725 | \$18,566 | \$12,840 |
| 1 | 16003 | 359 | 27 |  | \$57.71 | \$20,735 |  | \$10,886 | \$31,621 | 9\% | $46 \%$ | $37 \%$ | \$2,903 | \$14,446 | \$11,542 |
| 1 | 16004 | 231 | 27 |  | \$57.71 | \$13,314 |  | 86,990 | \$20,304 | 9\% | $46 \%$ | 37\% | \$1,864 | \$9,276 | \$7,411 |
| 1 | 16005 | 484 | 27 |  | \$57.71 | \$27,909 |  | \$14,652 | \$42,561 | 9\% | $46 \%$ | $37 \%$ | \$3,908 | \$19,443 | \$15,535 |
| 1 | 16006 | 375 | 27 |  | \$57.71 | \$21,618 |  | \$11,349 | \$32,967 | 4\% | $44 \%$ | 39\% | \$1,338 | \$14,358 | \$13,020 |
| 1 | 16007 | 383 | 27 |  | \$57.71 | \$22,074 |  | \$11,589 | \$33,663 | 4\% | $44 \%$ | 39\% | \$1,366 | \$14,661 | \$13,295 |
| 1 | 16008 | 136 | 27 |  | \$57.71 | \$7,860 |  | \$4,127 | \$11,987 | 4\% | $44 \%$ | 39\% | \$486 | \$5,221 | \$4,734 |
| 1 | 16009 | 209 | 27 |  | \$57.71 | \$12,038 |  | \$6,320 | \$18,358 | 4\% | 44\% | 39\% | \$745 | \$7,995 | \$7,250 |
| Subtotal: |  | 3,177 |  | 2005 |  | \$183,369 | 5\% | \$99,268 | \$279,635 |  |  |  | \$25,556 | \$127,382 | \$101,822 |

TABLE NO. 16

## Existing Impact Fee Wastewater Lines

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Pipe } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ (\mathrm{Ft}) \end{gathered}$ | Diameter (Inches) | Date of Const. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Avg. Unit } \\ \text { Cost } \\ \text { (\$/Ft.) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Capital } \\ \text { Cost (\$) } \end{gathered}$ | Debt Interest Rate \% | DebtService Utilizing Simple |  | (\%) Utilized Capacity |  |  | (\$) Utilized Capacity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2022 | During Fee Period | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { During } \\ \text { Fee Period } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Timber Creek Offsite Sewer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phase 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 26009 |  | 789 | ${ }^{21}$ |  | \$44.34 | \$34,982 |  | \$18,366 | \$53,348 | 34\% | $65 \%$ | 31\% | \$18,089 | \$34,821 | \$16,733 |
| 1 | 26010 | 725 | 21 |  | \$44.34 | \$32,153 |  | \$16,880 | \$49,033 | 39\% | $65 \%$ | 26\% | \$18,936 | \$31,800 | \$12,864 |
| 1 | 26011 | 211 | 21 |  | \$44.34 | \$9,374 |  | \$4,921 | \$14,295 | 25\% | $6^{62}$ | 37\% | \$3,540 | \$8,803 | \$5,263 |
| 1 | 26012 | 716 | 24 |  | \$44.34 | \$31,731 |  | \$16,659 | \$48,390 | 24\% | 59\% | 35\% | \$11,796 | \$28,718 | \$16,922 |
| 1 | 26013 | 204 | 21 |  | \$44.34 | \$9,059 |  | \$4,756 | \$13,815 | 24\% | 59\% | 35\% | \$3,368 | \$8,199 | \$4,831 |
| 1 | 26014 | 137 | 21 |  | \$44.34 | \$6,088 |  | \$3,196 | \$9,284 | 24\% | 59\% | 35\% | \$2,263 | \$5,510 | \$3,247 |
| 1 | 26015 | 225 | 21 |  | \$44.34 | \$9,977 |  | \$5,238 | \$15,215 | 24\% | 59\% | 35\% | \$3,709 | \$9,030 | \$5,321 |
| 1 | 26016 | 718 | 21 |  | \$44.34 | \$31,824 |  | \$16,708 | \$48,532 | 24\% | 59\% | 35\% | \$11,831 | \$28,803 | \$16,972 |
| 1 | 26017 | 569 | 18 |  | \$44.34 | \$25,226 |  | \$13,244 | \$38,470 | 33\% | 54\% | 20\% | \$12,744 | \$20,610 | \$7,866 |
| 1 | 26018 | 360 | 18 |  | \$44.34 | \$15,963 |  | \$8,381 | \$24,344 | 33\% | $54 \%$ | 20\% | \$8,064 | \$13,042 | \$4,978 |
| 1 | 26019 | 408 | 18 |  | \$44.34 | \$18,069 |  | \$9,486 | \$27,555 | 33\% | 54\% | 20\% | \$9,128 | \$14,763 | \$5,634 |
| 1 | 26020 | 361 | 18 |  | \$44.34 | \$15,985 |  | \$8,392 | \$24,377 | 33\% | 54\% | 20\% | \$8,075 | \$13,060 | \$4,985 |
| 1 | 26021 | 392 | 18 |  | \$44.34 | \$17,387 |  | \$9,128 | \$26,515 | 33\% | 54\% | 20\% | \$8,784 | \$14,205 | \$5,422 |
| 1 | 26022 | 480 | 18 |  | \$44.34 | \$21,267 |  | \$11,165 | \$32,432 | 35\% | 54\% | 19\% | \$11,367 | \$17,385 | \$6,019 |
| 1 | 26023 | 479 | 18 |  | \$44.34 | \$21,244 |  | \$11,153 | \$32,397 | 33\% | 54\% | 20\% | \$10,732 | \$17,357 | \$6,625 |
| 1 | 26024 | 471 | 18 |  | \$44.34 | \$20,881 |  | \$10,963 | \$31,844 | 35\% | 54\% | 19\% | \$11,161 | \$17,070 | \$5,910 |
| 1 | 26025 | 590 | 18 |  | \$44.34 | \$26,175 |  | \$13,742 | \$39,917 | 37\% | 57\% | 20\% | \$14,960 | \$22,793 | \$7,833 |
| 1 | 26026 | 614 | 15 |  | \$44.34 | \$27,213 |  | \$14,287 | \$41,500 | $43 \%$ | 70\% | 27\% | \$17,822 | \$29,131 | \$11,308 |
| 1 | 26027 | 305 | 15 |  | \$44.34 | \$13,516 |  | \$7,096 | \$20,612 | $43 \%$ | 70\% | 27\% | \$8,852 | \$14,468 | \$5,616 |
| 1 | 26028 | 468 | 15 |  | \$44.34 | \$20,761 |  | \$10,900 | \$31,661 | $43 \%$ | 70\% | 27\% | \$13,597 | \$22,224 | \$8,627 |
|  | Subtotal: | 9,221 |  | 2004 |  | \$408,876 | 5\% | \$214,661 | \$623,536 |  |  |  | \$208,818 | \$371,792 | \$162,976 |

TABLE NO. 16

## Existing Impact Fee Wastewater Lines
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TABLE NO. 17

## Proposed Impact Fee Wastewater Lines
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2 - City Initiated and Funded

* Average Unit costs are based in 2012 dollars unless otherwise indicated and includes $20 \%$ for engineering, surveying \& QA testing
TABLE NO. 18
Proposed Wastewater Lift Station Facilities

| Pump Station Improvements | Year | ProiectedCapacititacid | Pump Station Cost (S) |  |  |  |  | Capacity Utilized (\%) |  |  | Capacity Ufilized (S) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Const. | Engineering $\&$ Testing |  | Total 20 Yr.Project Cost \$ |  | 2012 | 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { In The } \\ \text { Period } \\ \text { Period } \end{gathered}$ | 2012 |  | 2022 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { In The } \\ \substack{\text { Perion } \\ \text { Perid }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Westerra Stonebridge - Lift Stations (On U.S. 380, West of Custer Rd. \& East of Independence Pkwy.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stonebridge Lift Station No. 2 | $2013$ | $4.9$ | s314,249  <br>   | s 31,225 | s 181,479 |  | $527,153$ | 0.0\% | 660\% | $60.0 \%$ 500\% | s |  | s | $\begin{array}{r}316,292 \\ 28924 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  |  |
| Total |  |  | s 659,793 | 6.979 | ${ }_{381.030}$ |  | 1.106.802 |  |  |  | s |  | s | 606.116 |  | 606.116 |

* $10 \%$ of Construction Assumed for Enginecring and Testing
(1) Estimated Cost Bascd on Actual Bid Price


## F. CALCULATION OF MAXIMUM IMPACT FEES - WATER \& WASTEWATER

Chapter 395, of the Local Government Code allows the maximum impact fee to be charged if revenues from Future Ad Valorem Taxes and water and sewer bills are included as a credit in the analysis. If not, the Act allows the maximum assessable fee to be set at $50 \%$ of the calculated maximum fee. The maximum impact fees for the water and wastewater systems are calculated separately by dividing the cost of the capital improvements or facility expansions necessitated and attributable to new development in the Service Area within the ten year period by the number of living units anticipated to be added to City within the ten year period. To simplify collection, we recommend the fee remain fixed throughout the 5 -year period, unless changed by Council.

| The Water System impact fee for a $3 / 4$ " meter is calculated as follows: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Impact Fee = | Eligible Exi | cility | Eligible Propose | Facility Cost | \$95,616,249.12 |
|  | Number of New Living Unit Equivalent over the Next 10-Years |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$30,599,144.35 | + | \$65,017,104.77 |  |  |
|  | 36,926.2 |  |  |  | 36,926.2 |
| Calculated Water Maximum Impact Fee $=$ $\$ 2,589.39 *$ <br> * Maximum Allowable Water Impact Fee is $50 \%$ of the Calculated Water Maximum Impact Fee |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Assessable Water Impact Fee = |  |  | \$2,589.39 | X 50\% = | \$1,294.70 |


| The Wastewater System impact fee is calculated as follows: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum Impact Fee = | Eligible Exi | cility C | Eligible Propos | Facility Cost | \$10,182,748.22 |
|  | Number of New Living Unit Equivalent over the Next 10-Years |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$2,133,385.00 | + | \$8,049,363.22 |  |  |
|  |  | 1,401.5 |  |  | 31,401.5 |
| Calculated Water Maximum Impact Fee $=$ $\$ 324.28 *$ <br> * Maximum Allowable Water Impact Fee is $50 \%$ of the Calculated Water Maximum Impact Fee |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Assessable Wastewater Impact Fee $=\mathbf{\$ 3 2 4 . 2 8}$ |  |  |  | X 50\% = | \$162.14 |

Table No. 19 summarizes the per service unit equivalent maximum assessable impact fee that can be charged based on the calculated $50 \%$ credit above.

TABLE NO. 19

## Maximum Assessable Water \& Wastewater Impact Fee

## Maximum Assessable Wastewater Impact Fee per Living Unit Equivalent:

| Meter Type | Meter Size | Living Unit Equivalent | Max. Assessable Impact Fee |  |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Water |  | Wastewater |  |  |  |
| Multijet | 3/4" | 1.0 | \$ | 1,294.70 | \$ | 162.14 | \$ | 1,456.84 |
| Multijet | $1 "$ | 1.7 | \$ | 2,200.99 | \$ | 275.64 | \$ | 2,476.63 |
| Ultrasonic | 2" | 8.3 | \$ | 10,746.01 | \$ | 1,345.76 | \$ | 12,091.77 |
| Ultrasonic | 3" | 16.7 | \$ | 21,621.49 | \$ | 2,707.74 | \$ | 24,329.23 |
| Ultrasonic | $4 "$ | 33.3 | \$ | 43,113.51 | \$ | 5,399.26 | \$ | 48,512.77 |
| Ultrasonic | $6 "$ | 53.3 | \$ | 69,007.51 | \$ | 8,642.06 | \$ | 77,649.57 |
| Ultrasonic | 8" | 93.3 | \$ | 120,795.51 | \$ | 15,127.66 | \$ | 135,923.17 |
| Ultrasonic | 10 " to 12 " | 183.3 | \$ | 237,318.51 | \$ | 29,720.26 | \$ | 267,038.77 |



# UPDATED 2012-2022 WATER \& WASTEWATER IMPACT FEE UPDATE 

BIRKHOFF, HENDRICKS \& CARTER, L.L.P.


[^0]:    (a) Master Meter Bottom Loaded Mulit-Jet Meter Literature for 3/4" to 1"
    (b) Master Meter Octave Ultrasonic Meter Literature for 2" and Greater Meters

[^1]:    * $10 \%$ of Construction Assumed for Engineering and Testing
    (1) Actual Cost
    (2) Estumated Cost in 2012 Dollars

[^2]:    1- City Participate in Cost Oversize
    2 - City Initiated and Funded

