

EVALUATION FORM

09-25RFP COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT FINES, COURT COSTS & FEES FOR MUNICIPAL COURT

NAME OF OFFEROR:

SCORE

(0=lowest; 5=highest)

FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS/EXPERIENCE AND REFERENCES

20%

0

Evaluator Comments:

PROPOSED PROJECT TEAM/STAFF & QUALIFICATIONS

20%

0

Evaluator Comments:

PROPOSED SERVICE MEETS THE OBJECTIVES IN THIS REQUEST & THE CITY'S NEEDS AND REQUIREMENTS

20%

0

Evaluator Comments:

TOTAL PROPOSED PRICE

40%

0

Evaluator Comments:

100%

Highest Possible Final Score: 5

TOTAL SCORE

Evaluator Certification:

By signing this completed form or by attaching to an electronic message transmitted under my name, I certify that the above point values reflect my best independent judgment of the merits of the noted offeror's proposal.

Signature

Printed Name

Department

09-25RFP COLLECTION OF DELINQUENT FINES, COURT COSTS & FEES FOR MUNICIPAL COURT

	Weighting Factor	AMER MUNI SRVS					WARRANT ENF. BUREAU					LINEBARGER					AMO RECOVERIES					MVBA					CREDIT WATCH SERVICES										
		Evaluator					Evaluator					Evaluator					Evaluator					Evaluator					Evaluator										
		Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror								
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5								
FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE & REFERENCES	20%	3	4	4	3	4	3	2	3	1	0	5	5	5	3	5	4	6	3	4	3	2	3	5	5	5	4	4	2	4	3	3	4	2	1	2.6	
PROPOSED PROJECT TEAM, STAFF & QUALIFICATION	20%	3	4	4	3	4	3	2	3	1	0	5	5	5	3	4	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	6	4	4	4	4	2	3	3	3	2	1	2.4		
PROPOSED SERVICE MEETS OBJECTIVES IN THIS REQUEST	20%	5	3	4	3	5	4	3	2	4	1	0	5	5	4	3	5	4	4	4	2	2	3	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	2	1	2.4	
TOTAL PROPOSED PRICE	40%	5	3	4	3	5	8	3	2	3	1	0	3.6	5	5	4	3	4	8.4	4	2	3	2	5	6.4	3	4	4	4	2	6.8	4	3	5	2	0	5.6
Highest Possible Score = 5		3.84					1.84					4.36					3.08					3.68					2.6										

	Weighting Factor	PENN CRE					R.S.I. ENT					MSB					GC SERVIC					SC SERVIC												
		Evaluator					Evaluator					Evaluator					Evaluator					Evaluator												
		Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror	Offeror
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
FIRM'S QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE & REFERENCES	20%	3	5	4	2	2	1.6	3	3	4	2	1	2.6	4	5	5	4	4	4.4	4	5	5	3	4	4.2	4	3	4	2	1	2.8			
PROPOSED PROJECT TEAM, STAFF & QUALIFICATION	20%	3	4	4	2	2	1.6	3	2	3	2	2	2.4	5	5	4	4	4	4.4	5	5	4	3	4	4.2	4	4	3	2	1	2.8			
PROPOSED SERVICE MEETS OBJECTIVES IN THIS REQUEST	20%	5	5	5	2	2	1.8	3	3	3	2	2	2.6	5	4	5	4	5	4.6	5	4	4	3	4	4	3	2	4	2	1	2.4			
TOTAL PROPOSED PRICE	40%	2	4	3	2	3	5.6	3	3	3	2	2	5.2	3	5	4	4	3	7.6	3	4	4	3	5	7.6	3	3	3	2	1	4.8			
Highest Possible Score = 5		2.12					2.56					4.2					4					2.56												

Evaluators are urged to use the following guidelines when assessing merits of each offer against each evaluation factor.

5 = Excellent (innovatively exceeds all needs)

4 = Above Average (meets all & exceeds some needs)

3 = Good (meets all needs)

2 = Fair (meets some needs)

1 = Merely Responsive (does not meet needs)

0 = Non-Responsive (fails to meet mandatory requirements)