

From: CATHERINE EBERLY
Sent: Monday, October 24, 2022 12:16 PM
To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>
Subject: Zoning request change Virginia Parkway

Good morning Ms. Sheffield,

My name is Catherine Eberly and I'm sending this email in regards to a zoning change request for the acreage behind Genesis Church on Virginia Parkway. We live in Stonebridge Estates subdivision and we would be directly impacted by this development. My (my husband's as well) concerns remain the same as a previous email we sent regarding this matter and a larger proposed development brought earlier this summer. I still think the traffic this proposed development will bring is not safe for the area. We are also still concerned about safety, lighting, trash locations and additional noise. One of our biggest concerns is if the zoning restrictions change and then the developer changes his plans and does not build what was originally planned. It does bring concerns regarding our property values in the future. We still feel there are better locations in the city for this type of development to be built. Thank you for taking the time to read this and listening to the concerns of residents in the surrounding area of this proposed zoning change.

Regards,

Craig and Catherine Eberly

From: Penny Hawkins
Sent: Saturday, October 22, 2022 6:26 PM
To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>
Subject: File #22-0112Z Protest

10/22/22

Hello Kaitlin,

My husband & I are within the 200 foot buffer zone of an apartment complex that is proposed behind Genesis church on Virginia Parkway. It has been brought to our attention that there is an agenda item for the 10/25/22 meeting regarding File #22-0112Z, yet we have received no formal notification from the city about this meeting or the proposed plans. It is my understanding that the homes within the buffer zone were to be notified 10 days in advance of a scheduled meeting that could affect their property. The only way that we knew about this is because the developer sent a one page, very vague letter to us that could have been very easily overlooked and with no proposed date of the meeting. I have been researching as best I can today and did find the agenda item and noticed that one letter states that there has been no opposition to the project. Can you please explain the process for zoning changes? How are we supposed to know when or what changes are in the pipeline when we, within the buffer zone, have not been notified. I have noticed that the zoning sign is still present, but because we went through this last June, and the signs never came down, it appeared that nothing had changed.

We are strongly against the project. It is not the right fit for the communities that surround it. McKinney has always been very consistent with keeping similar type communities together. Apartments in the middle of single family residential neighborhoods does not seem compatible with the cities existing communities. The height, parking, entrance, exit & overall structure makes no sense. Not to mention the traffic that is already overwhelming with the school and commuter traffic.

These apartments are not intended to meet the needs of the underserved in our community as stated in the developer's letter of intent. As a matter of fact, we were told that this will be quite an elite "senior" community that will be "a very low key, bedroom type community" with little activity. and would only need, at most, 1.3 parking stalls per unit. Most 55+ live very active lives - the idea that they won't need parking & a proper entrance & exit is not correct. Most 55 - 65 year olds are still working and generally with 2 income earners in the home which require 2 cars. My husband & I are well into our 70s with very active lives & have 2 cars. We couldn't get along with one car.

In addition, property values in this location will suffer. Our community is overwhelmingly against the project. This property should stay within the current zoning boundaries.

Please enter our protest into the record and consider the overall negative affect this will have on the community.

Thank you for your consideration.

Howard & Penny Hawkins
5801 N Woodcreek Cir
McKinney, TX 75071

From:

Sent: Monday, October 24, 2022 6:54 AM

To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>

Cc: Bill Cox; bry@brysonrealty.com; Bob Roeder

Subject: File# 2022 -0112 Zoning Change Request to Apartments – Protest

As of this Monday morning no resident of Stonebridge Estates or Cambridge has received a Public Hearing Notice from the City.

My address is 405 Brakebill Hill and is in Stonebridge Estates Buffer Zone.

I firmly protest the building of apartments next door. Below are a few reasons:

1. It is not in keeping with the adjacent neighborhood. The property is surrounded by single family homes on (3) sides. Apartments in close proximity also affects property values.
2. The Developer mentioned he would have no trouble leasing the units since it would lie next to 1-2 million dollar homes.
3. The Developer has flip flopped on their intention from build & sell to maybe they would manage for a few years - they have no long term plans for the City
4. In their Letter of Intent they mentioned 1-story Villas on the peripheral - there are no Villas on the site plan
5. In their new site plan they moved the trash bin from the northwest corner to the southwest corner in front of 2 houses
6. They never took their zoning signs down since the May time frame - perhaps I don't understand the rules - thought they had to be down 2 weeks after the last P & Z Meeting
7. This filing is disturbing to the residents in the Estates & Cambridge and is a total waste of City resources and the P & Z board's time
8. The last time this developer filed the City explained that apartments conflicted with their 2040 Plan - they don't care & are disrespectful of the City - they filed again anyway

Thank you to the City for looking out for us. City planners, P & Z Board, City Council and the Mayor of this great city are deeply appreciated.

Respectfully,

Sheila Johnson

A proud resident of McKinney for 27 years!

From: bob
Sent: Monday, November 7, 2022 8:09 AM
To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>;
Subject: Agenda Item 22-0112Z

Thank you for reading this email.

The Developer will tell you: 200 + Apartments

Will not increase Traffic on Virginia @ Ridge intersection

Will not increase Noise in the surrounding community

Will not increase auto Pollution

Will not cause lighting infiltration into homes

Will not contribute to density in a small area

Will not negatively affect property values

Will not contribute to crime risk

Will not negatively affect the established single family culture

They completely ignored City Planner's opinion in regard to the City's 2040 Plan/Suburban Living Placetype

They state in their LOI, Page 2, that they will "leave the neighborhood changed for the better". I don't think so!

They told us verbally they will build, populate, sell & leave McKinney.

They will also tell you that they "listened" to the residents and have changed their plans to meet/solve their concerns.

Another untruth - the residents told them they wanted "No" Apartments! There are (103) signatures confirming this statement

from the majority of residents in the Buffer Zone/200ft area.

I am 84 years of age & have lived in McKinney 27 years. My address is 405 Brakebill Hill - in the Buffer Zone. It faces East & would be looking directly at

apartments. It is my Dream Home & we do not want to leave.

We moved our Financial Service business here more than 20 years ago.

Giving back to the community is important to us. We are founding donors of Baylor Hospital, Established a Scholarship fund for the children of Police & Fire, my wife served on

the MEDC Board, we are members of the Chamber of Commerce. Additionally, my wife served on the McKinney Women's Chamber Committee for (5) years & as Chair 2020.

Please support the wise research, guiding principles & land use compatibility as described by the City Planners & vote "NO" to Apartment Zoning Change.

Respectfully,

Bob Johnson

Stonebridge Estates

405 Brakebill Hill

From: John Chapman

Sent: Sunday, November 6, 2022 2:18 PM

To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>

Subject: Strongly Against Re-zoning to Permit Winsome Living "Over 55 Development" by Bonner Carrington

Kaitlin,

Hi.....I am John Chapman. My wife, Chigusa, and I live at 301 Brakebill Hill Dr. in Stonebridge Estates, McKinney.

We are directly across the greenbelt and small fence from the proposed "Over 55" apartment development which we strongly oppose being built at that location.

My wife and I purchased our home four and a half years ago because of the very nice , private, and quiet neighborhood at Stonebridge Estates. Large lots with lots of trees and well-maintained greenery. A great place for families as is Cambridge nearby.

Chigusa and I are very concerned and do not support the rezoning and the Bonner Carrington proposal to build Winsome Living behind the Genesis Church. Zoning should be for single family homes only in that area.

Stonebridge Estates and Cambridge are very nice single-family home developments. The area behind Genesis Church now being considered should be re-zoned for single family homes that fit in and enhance the surrounding area and maintain property values.

"Over 55" Apartments, as nice as they may be, will no doubt bring some level of increased noise and light pollution as well as increased traffic and possible security issues. It will affect property values.

I have carefully looked at the revised proposal and it looks like a nice development, but in the wrong location.

Importantly, when I attended a meeting hosted by Bonner Carrington at the time of the first proposal, their President stated that they normally hold their properties for three to five years. Then they sell them and move on. At that time, normal or subsidized apartments would further affect the areas desirability.

Thank you very much for your attention and consideration of this very important matter.

Best Regards,

John and Chigusa Chapman

From: Home
Sent: Sunday, November 6, 2022 1:38 PM
To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>
Subject: Proposed Building Behind Genesis Church

*****CITY OF MCKINNEY SECURITY NOTICE*****

THIS IS AN EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments from unknown sender and be sure the content is safe.

We are against the development of the Land behind Genesis Church on Virginia.

There is already daily traffic congestion all along Virginia. Entering or leaving the proposed development will only create more congestion in that to go east one has to first turn right and then make a u turn a short distance on the left or proceed to the light and make a u turn there.

The proposed building does not fit the footprint of the two surrounding communities.

Thank You

Linda and Rick Hahn

5700 King Forest Lane

From: Daniella Vezozzo Lincks

Sent: Saturday, November 5, 2022 3:03 PM

To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>

Subject: Letter in protest to construction project on Virginia Parkway behind Joplin Drive

*****CITY OF MCKINNEY SECURITY NOTICE*****

THIS IS AN EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments from unknown sender and be sure the content is safe.

To whom it may concern,

We are Mark and Daniella Lincks and we are reaching out to you to protest the Bonner Carrington Development building senior living/multi-family homes on Virginia Parkway behind our subdivision "Cambridge". We live at 5809 Waterford Lane, behind the proposed build area. We built our home in 2010 and moved in February 2011. We had been wanting to live in this neighborhood since 2007 and when the opportunity arose we purchased a home there. One of the things that attracted us the most was the lot, with a lovely view of the park and the pond, and for that privilege, we paid a \$15,000 lot premium. A few years after building our home, we built a resort-like outdoor living area with an arbor, swimming pool, and spa and it was quite a hefty investment. We love our backyard and we enjoy every possible moment being out there. We both have really stressful jobs, and it is very often we work 80-hour weeks. In addition, one of us has debilitating lower back issues which prevent us from taking trips, so our backyard is where we spend a lot of our "staycations."

Therefore, you might be able to understand why we are so upset with the prospect of having community living/apartment-style buildings and a parking lot behind us. Our peace and quiet will be shattered, not only during the noisy construction process but after it is complete. The possibility of people walking behind our wrought-iron fence, shining their car lights into our living areas, talking loudly, slamming doors, and turning alarms on and off makes us shudder. We paid a steep price for the privilege of having this backyard (over \$100,000) and neither the church nor the construction company seem to care since it's no skin off their nose.

When we moved to McKinney over 20 years ago, this was a quiet and safe town. We decided to move here for the peace of mind it afforded us, despite adding another 60 minutes to our commute. However, over the past few years, we have been seeing McKinney become a shadow of what it used to be. It seems that someone is on a personal crusade to fill every single lot in this town with houses squeezed on top of each other, with complete disregard for how it will affect traffic, water supply, and the crowds we encounter every time we try to run an errand. So it's not like we are just newcomers trying to stir things up. Our kids were born while we lived here, went to school here, and we have been paying property taxes for over 20 years for the privilege of living here.

The people who run that church behind us have been a thorn in our side since we first moved in. Always threatening to build whatever they wanted there, having even “threatened” to build a drug rehab home. Our community has always been able to shut down those projects but now it seems that the builder is especially invested in getting this approval, to the point of making phone calls to our personal phones, sending letters, and showing up at our door with information about the construction. Se pretty much we feel harassed at this point.

Bonner is proposing building community living/apartment-style buildings and a parking lot behind our lot. Doing that will decrease our property value and the property value of our neighbors. We will also have to live with increased traffic, noisy neighbors, and construction noise. Also, one of the things we especially love about our backyard is enjoying the wildlife, and we go to special lengths to feed and protect them. Construction on that lot will significantly affect the wildlife which, with the amount of construction that is going on in McKinney, has fewer and fewer options for shelter. That construction will invade our family’s privacy and make worthless the amount of money we spent on that lot premium and with building our backyard. This construction will shatter our oasis, so we beg you to listen to our pleas and stop that project from being approved.

Sincerely,

Mark and Daniella Lincks, homeowners

5809 Waterford Lane

McKinney, TX 75071

From: Catherine Keen
Sent: Saturday, November 5, 2022 1:25 PM
To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>
Subject: Letter of Protest RE: Bonner Carrington Development

CITY OF MCKINNEY SECURITY NOTICE

THIS IS AN EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments from unknown sender and be sure the content is safe.

To whom it may concern.

I am writing this letter in protest of the Bonner Carrington Development building senior living/multi family homes on Virginia Parkway. We live at 5817 Waterford Lane, directly north of the proposed build area. We built our home in 2008 and moved in February 2009. We fell in love with our specific lot. We back up to the woods, can view the pond, can see the park up on the hill by the school. Upon purchasing our home we paid a \$15,000 lot premium for a home that backed up to the woods. We were a select group of homes that were promised a wrought iron fence in the back to enjoy the view. We created an amazing outdoor living area in our backyard to complement the scenery with a pool, spa, arbor, and playhouse for our children. Our family spends the majority of our time outside in our backyard enjoying the wildlife, views, and the quiet lifestyle.

Bonner is suggesting building immediately behind us with community living/apartment style buildings and a parking lot. This will decrease our property value and the property value of our neighbors. We will have car lights shining into our bedroom, living room, and dining area at all times throughout the evening and night. If we have a parking lot behind our home we will hear car doors closing and vehicle locking alarms at all hours. Our children will be on display in their swimsuits swimming in our pool while playing in our own backyard. We purchased this lot specifically for our backyard living and the privacy that ensued.

Altogether, multi resident homes will affect our property value, increase traffic, increase noise, eliminate the wildlife, invade our family and children's privacy, and negate the lot premium we paid for. Communal living is not the answer for this designated space, it is absolutely not what we are interested in living immediately next to.

Catherine Keen and Ryan Reid, homeowners
5817 Waterford Lane
McKinney, TX 75071

From: Mike Brown
Sent: Monday, November 7, 2022 10:23 AM
To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>
Subject: Zoning case 22-011222

*****CITY OF MCKINNEY SECURITY NOTICE*****

THIS IS AN EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments from unknown sender and be sure the content is safe.

Dear Ms. Sheffield,

My name is Mike Brown, I own and reside at 5800 Creekside Ct in Stonebridge Estates, McKinney. My family and I have lived at this address for 20 years.

I am writing to oppose the proposed high density apartment community on the land behind and to the north of Genesis Church on Virginia Parkway. At the June 14, 2022 P&Z meeting, the same developer, Bonner Carrington, proposed a 218-unit 55+ apartment community with up to 4 stories. That zoning change request was tabled due to opposition from the surrounding property owners.

Now the developer is proposing a 180 to 205-unit 2-story 55+ apartment community.

Putting a high density apartment community on this land is inappropriate based on the immediately surrounding properties, which are single family residential homes on 3 out of 4 sides. There are more than 30 single family homes within the buffer.

The Placetype for this land is Suburban Living. The city's development plan states that "Any undeveloped land that remains will continue to develop in a manner that supports, promotes and enhances the existing neighborhoods." This proposed apartment community does not support, promote or enhance the existing neighborhoods.

This would be the ONLY apartment community in west McKinney surrounded closely on 3 out of 4 sides by residential single family homes, with only a thin tree buffer separating it from the surrounding homes.

Here are some examples:

The Villas of Stonebridge Ranch is surrounded by a golf course, a 6-lane road, commercial properties and townhomes. Single family homes (SF) on 0 sides.

MAA Stonebridge Ranch is surrounded by commercial properties and a wide creek with dense trees with a public hike and bike trail before any homes. SF on 1 side after a large dense buffer.

Gray Branch Luxury Apartments borders a large estate home on acreage to the south, vacant fields to the east, commercial and 380 to the north, and a 6-lane road and schools to the west. SF on 1 side.

The Legend of McKinney has a wide creek with dense trees to its south, along with a community pool before any homes, a 2-lane road and vacant land to the east, commercial to the west and a 6-lane road to the north. SF on 1 side after a large buffer.

The Chateau has commercial on its north side, a school to the west and half of the south, and a wide creek with dense trees on the south and east side before any homes. SF on 2 sides after a large buffer.

Brookdale has a 6-lane road to the south, a church to the west, and 2 residential homes on about an acre each on the north and east sides. SF on 2 sides.

Anthology of Stonebridge is surrounded by a 6-lane road, commercial and vacant fields. SF on 0 sides.

Parkside at Craig Ranch is surrounded by commercial properties and vacant fields. SF on 0 sides.

Saxon Woods has a 6-lane road and commercial to the south and west, a 4-lane road to the east before homes, and single family homes to the north. SF on 2 sides with a street buffer.

Virginia Oaks Apartments has vacant land and a church to the west, a 6-lane road and commercial to the south, a bank and one single family home to the east, and apartments to the north. SF on 1 side.

Stonegate Apartments has a church and vacant land to the west, apartments to the south, a school and some homes to the north and single family homes to the east. SF on 2 sides.

The Venue Craig Ranch and The Avenues at Craig Ranch are surrounded mostly by a wide creek area, vacant land and commercial. SF on 0 sides.

Artistry at Craig Ranch has a 6-lane road to the south, commercial to the west, vacant land to the east and single family homes to the north. SF on 1 side.

Cortland Craig Ranch has commercial to the north, west and south, and single family homes to the east. SF on 1 side.

There is plenty of other land available for apartments in McKinney, without being surrounded by single family homes on 3 sides. PLEASE DENY this zoning change request on behalf of all the McKinney residents who live in single family homes surrounding this property.

Please share my email with members of the Planning & Zoning Commission and the City Council.

I can be reached at this email or at if necessary.

Thank you,

Mike Brown

From: Fred Foote

Sent: Monday, November 7, 2022 5:38 PM

To: ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org

Subject: FILE # 2022-0112Z - ZONING CONSIDERATION FOR APARTMENTS NEXT TO STONEBRIDGE ESTATES

Please accept this email as my formal protest against the new apartments referenced above; these apartments would border a major section of our neighborhood – Stonebridge Estates. I personally live at 5807 N Woodcreek Circle and have lived here since June of 2007.

My wife and I sincerely believe that having apartments right next to our community would reduce our property values. McKinney has several gated communities; I believe that Provine Farms Estates, Kings Lake, Isleworth and Stonebridge Estates are 4 of the top gated communities from a property value standpoint. The result is that we have some of the highest tax liabilities in McKinney. At the moment, none of these communities are directly bordered by an apartment community. Stonebridge Estates should not be the first to be bordered by apartments due to re-zoning. It would be one thing if we had moved into our homes knowing that a property zoned for apartments was next to our community, but that was not the case. The church is trying to change the rules of the game so they can have a big payday. Please remember that most of the homes in Stonebridge Estates were here before the church purchased their property and subsequently built their facility.

Thank you for your consideration and I hope you will make sure my sentiments are passed onto all appropriate parties.

Fred W. Foote, CPCU

President

Merit Insurance Services, Inc.

[2591 Dallas Parkway, Suite 300](#)

[Frisco, TX 75034](#)

From: Andy Milo
Sent: Monday, November 7, 2022 10:47 PM
To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>
Subject: Apartment Zoning Protest - reference file#2022-0112Z

*****CITY OF MCKINNEY SECURITY NOTICE*****

THIS IS AN EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments from unknown sender and be sure the content is safe.

To Ms. Sheffield, and to the esteemed members of both the Planning and Zoning Commission and of the City Council:

I'm writing to you in protest of the senior living / multifamily housing being proposed by Bonner Carrington on the north side of Virginia Parkway, behind Genesis Church (file#2022-0112Z).

Multifamily housing is a total mismatch for this location and approving this facility would negatively impact the well-established neighborhoods that surround it. The current zoning does not support this type of facility and we hope the City doesn't either! We bought a home in Stonebridge Ranch because we wanted to live in a more established location, one that was master-planned and that focused on the quality of life for its residents. We paid a high premium for that desire and we hope that the Planning and Zoning Commission will help to protect the investment that we've made in McKinney and vote NO on the proposed usage of this parcel.

To be more specific, there are many reasons why the proposed facility doesn't fit this location:

- The developer has proposed over 200 apartments be stuffed into this space - that's over **3 times** the number of residences than is in my entire adjacent development, all jammed into what is roughly **1/4 of the space**. A vibrant community needs multifamily housing, but a well-planned city doesn't mash these two, very different types of properties right next to each other.
- This big of a change will of course have an impact to both property values and to the quality of life for the surrounding residents, and NONE of that impact will be positive. The developer is either tone deaf to the rightful concerns of the existing residents who are worried about the impact to property values, or they just don't care. Instead of properly addressing or even acknowledging the validity of these concerns, their information website extols the value of the facility solely from a tax revenue standpoint and then adds an unsubstantiated assertion of no negative impact to the surrounding properties. This is a hollow platitude of self interest at best and does nothing to protect those of us who are directly impacted by the proposal.
- The facility itself will have only one point of ingress and egress, with a single additional egress point as an emergency backup. Stuffing that much residential traffic through one road, when it is already *also* needed by the Church during peak weekend times, just doesn't match up. The current zoning rightfully doesn't support this density of usage, and while a plan can be drawn up on paper that "fits", the solution itself is hardly adequate to handle how this would work in the real world. No one would *choose* to do it this way if there was a different option. The

mismatched nature of the proposed project and this particular parcel just leaves no other option. Put simply, ***this is a zoning retrofit that DOESN'T fit.***

- The noise and traffic from construction will negatively impact existing residents for up to two years. This is much more of a burden on neighbors than what would be required for alternative uses of the land - uses that can still provide increased economic value to both the parcel owner and the City, all without the negative impact to existing residents.
- When finished, the increased light pollution from the facility will have a permanent negative impact on the surrounding properties. Different uses - single family homes for example - would create no such negative impact.

Careful zoning can provide smartly planned cities a strong mechanism for creating economic growth opportunities for the community at large without sacrificing important protections for existing residents. Conversely, a zoning change that doesn't benefit the community at large, but rather only benefits specific interests at the *expense* of many others is nothing short of a **bad** change. In this case, the proposal benefits *only* the developer and the parcel owner, with a significant negative impact on everyone else. If more 55 and older multifamily residences are deemed as beneficial to the community at large, there are many other more appropriate locations within McKinney still available for this type of housing.

We know that the current owners of this parcel have the right to ask for changes in its zoning - that is only fair. But, as their neighbors, we ask that they propose usage that is in keeping with the surrounding community and embrace what makes this part of McKinney such a wonderful place to call home. Single family homes, for example, would be a fitting addition to the community and would not negatively impact the surrounding residents.

In closing, I'll add that my neighbors and I are already bracing for the potential negative impact of the US 380 Bypass project, should Segment A be selected as the final option. While these two items may at first seem tangential, the situations are directly related by the fact that both projects would negatively impact both our property values and quality of life in our community. As McKinney residents, we may not have total control over the final 380 Bypass segment that is chosen, but we **DO** have control over how we choose to change the zoning for this particular parcel of land. Please choose the option that provides the best outcome for the largest number of residents and vote NO on the proposed apartments.

Thank you very much for your kind attention and for the critical service that you provide to our community!

Very best regards,

Andrew Milo

400 Windwood Court, McKinney

From: Janet Smith
Sent: Tuesday, November 8, 2022 6:02 PM
To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>
Subject: PROTEST BONNER CARRINGTON DEVELOPMENT

CITY OF MCKINNEY SECURITY NOTICE

THIS IS AN EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments from unknown sender and be sure the content is safe.

We apologize for the tardiness of this as we just read a Notification about protesting the Bonner Carrington development as we are all residents of Cambridge.
We PROTEST the development. Thank you.

Jan Bonafede-Smith
Jim Agliata
5704 Lea Lane
McKinney TX 75071

Karen & Jeff Morman
5700 Lea Lane
McKinney. TX 75071

Thank you.

From: David Weidenfeller
Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2022 10:02 AM
To: Kaitlin Sheffield <ksheffield@mckinneytexas.org>
Subject: Protest of Bonner Carrington

CITY OF MCKINNEY SECURITY NOTICE

THIS IS AN EXTERNAL EMAIL: Do not click links or open attachments from unknown sender and be sure the content is safe.

Let this serve as my letter of protest over the Bonner Carrington development and the rezoning of the land behind Genesis church on Virginia Parkway. We are 100% opposed to this entire matter.

Kind regards,

David Weidenfeller
President/CEO
Shipper Owned Container